

# NLP for Criminals

"Causa DVNLP": Mafia, Stasi and Nazi  
Methods in German NLP

*by Thies Stahl*

**Caution!**

**Machine generated translation!**

## Table of contents

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### FOREWORD

#### 1. THE DERAILMENTS IN THE DVNLP

##### 1.1 ABUSE AND CULTURE OF ABUSE - PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS

*1.1.1 "Perverse triangles" and meta-abuse*

*1.1.2. contrary rankings in perverse triangles*

*1.1.3. perverse triangles of type I and II*

*1.1.4 'Covert operations' and 'dirty delegations*

*1.1.5. perverse triangles violate the ecology*

*1.1.6. perverse triangles contain elements of treason*

*1.1.7 Communication poverty and the 'cybernetic imperative*

##### 1.2 CONCRETE ABUSES IN THE DVNLP

###### 1.2.1 Abuses by individual DVNLP members

1.2.1.1 Misuse by XY

1.2.1.2 Abuse by Cora Besser-Siegmund

1.2.1.3 Abuse by Martina Schmidt-Tanger

1.2.1.3.1 Martina's "Sex & Crime" taboo

1.2.1.3.2 Line fault and compensation obligation

1.2.1.3.3 Place of honour among perpetrators of violence

1.2.1.3.3.1 Psychopathologize the trainee

1.2.1.3.3.2 DVNLP office misused as "NLP-professional": Statutes annulled and committees blocked

1.2.1.3.3.3 DVNLP office misused as "NLP-professional Association internal against training participant used

1.2.1.3.3.4. setting up a criminal rope

1.2.1.3.3.5 Perfidious double psychopathologisation

1.2.1.3.3.6. eliminating character assassinations and with Nazi or perpetrator energy

1.2.1.3.4 Repressed background knowledge

1.2.1.3.5 'False memories' as a DVNLP gift for paediatric criminals

1.2.1.4 Abuse by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas

1.2.1.4.1. head of the Party

1.2.1.4.2 Lord of the Perverse Triangles

1.2.1.4.3. employer of the informers

1.2.1.4.4 Lord of lies

1.2.1.4.5. abuser, thwarting and instigator

1.2.1.4.6. traitors to the presumption of innocence

1.2.1.4.7 Chief of double standards and double standards

1.2.1.4.8. grand inquisitor, conjurer and chief of the self- and lynch law

1.2.1.4.9 Master bullying as a matter of priority

1.2.1.4.10. Upper stigmatiser

1.2.1.4.11. Chief of the false accusers

1.2.1.4.12. "NLP-professional" as a helper of collegial deviousness

1.2.1.4.13. Architect of victim-offender conversion and the 'Final Solution

1.2.1.4.14. Defendant in the event of a claim

1.2.1.4.15. Lord of the "disappearances

1.2.1.5 Abuse by Stephan Landsiedel

1.2.1.6 Abuse by Anita von Hertel and Dr. Franz-Josef Hücker

1.2.1.7. abuse by Leo Buchholz

1.2.1.8 Misuse by Petra P., AK, DK, KA and OA

1.2.1.9 Misuse by the DVNLP instructor SA

1.2.1.10. Abuse by Ralf Dannemeyer

- 1.2.2 Abuses by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Directors
  - 1.2.2.1 DVNLP board of directors commits treason against DVNLP members
    - 1.2.2.1.1 The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Management Board and its Chairman
    - 1.2.2.1.2 The perfidious dual strategy of the Executive Board
  - 1.2.2.2 DVNLP Board abuses the judiciary
    - 1.2.2.2.1 Abusive use of 'associate lawyers
    - 1.2.2.2.2 Misuse of courts
      - 1.2.2.2.2.1 Muzzle provider for the complainant
      - 1.2.2.2.2.2 Muzzle provider for the volunteer
      - 1.2.2.2.2.3 Abuse by actions for damages
    - 1.2.2.2.3 Abuse through failure to comply with judgments
      - 1.2.2.2.3.1 The "Members' Meeting Manipulation" Judgment
      - 1.2.2.2.3.2 The "ghost article" judgment
    - 1.2.2.2.4 Abuse through contempt of justice
      - 1.2.2.2.4.1. disregard of the "members' meeting - manipulated" judgement
      - 1.2.2.2.4.2. disregard of the 'Nazi analogy judgment
  - 1.2.2.3 DVNLP Board abuses abusers and abusers
    - 1.2.2.3.1 The DVNLP board abuses the abused
    - 1.2.2.3.2 The DVNLP board abuses the abuser XY
  - 1.2.2.4 The board of directors abuses the DVNLP membership
    - 1.2.2.4.1 Misuse of DVNLP overall membership
    - 1.2.2.4.2 Misuse of membership of members
  - 1.2.2.5 The board abuses association officials
    - 1.2.2.5.1 Abuse against Martina Schmidt-Tanger
    - 1.2.2.5.2 Abuse of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas
    - 1.2.2.5.3 Misuse of Petra P. and AK
    - 1.2.2.5.4 Abuse against Anita von Hertel and Leo Buchholz
    - 1.2.2.5.5 Abuse against Cora Besser-Siegmund and Stephan Landsiedel
    - 1.2.2.5.6 Abuse against Henrik Andresen
    - 1.2.2.5.7 Abuse on the applicants AK and OA
    - 1.2.2.5.8 Misuse at the certificate cheaters RP and XY
    - 1.2.2.5.9 Misuse of the DVNLP instructor SA
    - 1.2.2.5.10. Abuse against Ralf Dannemeyer
  - 1.2.2.6. the board of directors abuses its office staff
  - 1.2.2.7. the Management Board misuses its communication channels
    - 1.2.2.7.1 Lies in the "faked" DVNLP member forum
    - 1.2.2.7.2 Lies in the Dannemeyer's "dirty corner forum
    - 1.2.2.7.3 Mr Dannemeyer's 'dirty-ass' contents
    - 1.2.2.7.4 DVNLP self-justification as a Scripted Reality Soap in Cyberspace - Cyberwar
    - 1.2.2.7.5. lies to the press
    - 1.2.2.7.6 Lie on the DVNLP website
    - 1.2.2.7.7 Lied member circular mail of the DVNLP
  - 1.2.2.8 The board abuses the association's bodies
    - 1.2.2.8.1 Misuse of the training commission
    - 1.2.2.8.2 Misuse of the conciliation commission
      - 1.2.2.8.2.1 Misuse by the April lie of the board
      - 1.2.2.8.2.2 Misuse by covert attribution of co-responsibility
      - 1.2.2.8.2.3 Misuse of misappropriated bias
      - 1.2.2.8.2.4 Abuse by disregarding the 'barber paradox
      - 1.2.2.8.2.5 Abuse through counterfeiting and sabotage
      - 1.2.2.8.2.6 Misuse through fraudulent labelling
    - 1.2.2.8.3 Misuse of the general meeting
      - 1.2.2.8.3.1 The "crocodile tears" of the charism expert of the DVNLP

1.2.2.8.3.2 The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board abuses the daughter of the Chairman

1.2.2.8.4 Misuse of the regional groups

1.2.2.9 The Executive Board misuses the resources of the DVNLP

1.2.2.9.1 Wasted resources for lawyers and courts

1.2.2.9.2 Wasted resources for three days five security guards

1.2.2.10. The board abuses the reputation of the NLP

1.2.2.11. The "Anja Mýrdal" board continues the culture of abuse in the DVNLP

2. CONTEXTS OF THE "CAUSA DVNLP

## Foreword

Published as PDF on [ThiesStahl.de](http://ThiesStahl.de) on 18.04.2019, as Kindle book on 21.01.2020<sup>1</sup>

In April 2015 I left the DVNLP. In doing so, I terminated a membership that had lasted for decades, which began in 1981 with the founding of its predecessor DGNLP<sup>2</sup> and ended in April 2015 with my withdrawal from the DVNLP, which I had initiated and launched in 1996. With this step I drew the consequence from the painful realization that I had not succeeded in correcting the fascist-totalitarian derailments in this association.

Since then, I have<sup>3</sup> reflected on the crisis of the DVNLP in several publications and have named some of the statutory, if not already criminal, mistakes and misconduct of the DVNLP leadership that make it up: Suppression of complaints, undermining of the association's internal separation of powers, prejudgement and condemnation by the association's official self-judicial system, perpetrator-victim-reversion with regard to the complainant, manipulation and deception of the 2014 General Assembly by the Executive Committee, Violent exclusion of voting members and execution of a "Standing Court in Absence" in this "Schautribunal" general meeting, deprivation of rights and "elimination" of<sup>4</sup> two DVNLP members, as well as years of lying by the DVNLP executive committee to the association members and the NLP-interested public.

In these publications I had begun to name the way in which the DVNLP had transformed itself into a dark German association of injustice in the last five years. In the following I will describe this disastrous development in more detail on the basis of the abuses of office, power and other abuses that have marked the crisis of this association.

---

<sup>1</sup> The "Causa DVNLP" final report, like my other texts on the DVNLP, I have supplemented and corrected several times after the first publication. I have decided to make the preliminary versions of the final report publicly available:

<https://www.dropbox.com/sh/vs6w4610y6o94em/AADwwyVnpdZYSNXP-ih0kbU3a?dl=0>. For easy clicking on the footnotes: <https://thiesstahl.com/fussnoten>.

<sup>2</sup> See "NLP Associations".

<sup>3</sup> See "The Perverse Triangle as a Recursive Pattern in DVNLP", "Violence, Abuse, Double Morals and the Return of the Repressed in DVNLP", "DVNLP Abandoned by All Good Spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control", "The NLP and the madmen. The DVNLP corrupts its method", "My beautiful delinquent German Association! DVNLP completes perpetrator-victim-reversion", "Perpetrator Association DVNLP - Silence, Denial and Repression", "DVNLP + GNLC hide suspected sex offender", "For what crimes is the DVNLP in the pillory", Legal facts of the "DVNLP cause" and "Psychiatry. Not funny."

<sup>4</sup> For this usage of language see the "Nazi Analogies" judgement on my article "DVNLP deserted by all good spirits?"

The lapses in the DVNLP can be described as a sequence of abuses against a female seminar participant and several male and female DVNLP members, as well as a blatant inability of the DVNLP leadership to deal with complaints about sexual and other abuses of power, as well as communicative, emotional and physical violence in the association in a different way than it has abused power and, even physically, violently. In order to be able to successfully cover up sexual and power abuses, DVNLP association officials and DVNLP members have committed a large number of abuses, which are still concealed in this association today.

The crisis in the DVNLP is aggravated by the fact that the abuses in this association were accompanied by the fact that in the course of the DVNLP "case" DVNLP certificates were used in a way that, in my opinion, can also be considered fraud. This crisis in the DVNLP came with certificate fraud, which led to a loss of the trustworthiness of DVNLP certificates. By tolerating and not clearing up irregularities in the DVNLP certification, in which in several cases a fraud and deception background can be proven and cannot be excluded, the DVNLP has probably lost its authority as a guardian of a serious NLP quality certification and its reputation as a trustworthy NLP certification authority. This is particularly the case because the certification and certificate fraud obviously committed by at least two DVNLP members in at least three cases was obviously approved and promoted by the DVNLP board of directors on the one hand and because these incidents have not been investigated or clarified within the association to date.

On the contrary: the alleged certificate fraudsters, together with the other "DVNLP causa"<sup>5</sup>perpetrators and accomplices, abusers and alleged sex offenders, are still covered and hidden in the DVNLP to this day. Instead of sanctions there were bonuses: The certificate cheaters XY and RP are in office and dignity as DVNLP Teaching Coaches, the DVNLP Teaching Coaches Stephan Landsiedel and Ralf Dannemeyer received the DVNLP Honorary Award in 2016 and the former Chairman of the Board Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his "DVNLP-causa" and "victim-victim-reversion" accomplices<sup>6</sup>, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, the still acting senior member of the DVNLP training commission, have been appointed honorary members of the DVNLP, as well as Cora Besser-Siegmund, who supports the certificate cheat, abuser and alleged sex offender XY together with the other new DVNLP-"dignitaries". And: Despite their involvement in the crimes of the "Causa DVNLP", Leo Buchholz and Ralf Dannemeyer were given the dubious honour of being newly elected to the board. I see all these six people as "Causa DVNLP" perpetrators in the joint responsibility for the current moral and credibility crisis of the DVNLP.

---

<sup>5</sup> I am referring to the "DVNLP case" in response to the DVNLP statement "On the case of Thies Stahl and ... [the complainant]", which the Executive Board, the complainant and myself left standing publicly on dvnlp.de from 26 September 2014 to July 2015 in a discrediting and defamatory manner. See the *steel response DVNLP-Causa-Stelln. (06.10.2014)*.

<sup>6</sup> See the Hamburg Regional Court in the "Nazi Analogies" Court Ruling (page 9).

In addition to these DVNLP association officials, several other DVNLP members, together with the certificate fraudsters, are criminally involved in intensive conflicts with the respective damaged or beneficiary certificate holders, which are all completely covered up in this association - together with the certification-related fraud and deception approved by the DVNLP Board of Directors.

The fraudsters, their abuse of office and other abuses, as well as alleged sexual crimes are still covered and hidden in the DVNLP to this day - their dark personal motives remain hidden for the DVNLP members deceived and deceived by their board and for the association's officially lied public behind the glamour of the honorary memberships and honorary awards of the new DVNLP honorary members - and also in the case of Leo Buchholz and Ralf Dannemeyer, behind the newly conferred office dignity as Board Member for Finance and Board Member for Press and Public Relations.

Probably only with the help of an unconditional clarification by a DVNLP investigative committee or a court of law dealing with the proven and alleged crimes of the "DVNLP case" could the machinations of the "DVNLP case" perpetrators and the background and motives of their wrongful acts be dealt with. Without a real reappraisal of the events in the DVNLP, the latter will remain a genuine association of perpetrators, and the lost trust in the ethical and moral integrity of the DVNLP as a serious certification association will probably not be restored.

## 1. the derailments in the DVNLP

The lapses and crimes<sup>7</sup> in the DVNLP can be described as a combination of serious abuses at several levels of this association, as well as a combination of covert operations carried out abusively and dirty delegations.

---

<sup>7</sup> By "crime" is meant here both the synergetically cumulative misdemeanours described below and the unethical and absolutely criminal derailments of the "causa DVNLP" individual perpetrators who synchronize with each other and organize themselves as "roped parties", which are concealed from the membership, as well as the misdemeanours collectively committed in the DVNLP of the one-sided abandonment of the presumption of innocence, character assassination and mob justice\*. Their cooperation, which in its entirety resulted in the crime of a complete conversion of the perpetrator-victim to the detriment of the complainant, ultimately resulted in a stigmatisation and psychiatrisation process that increasingly involved other persons, including those outside the DVNLP, and that organised itself in a complex, self-organising manner (cf. *"Psychiatisierung. Not funny"* and *"Psychiatric expertise - a gift for the pedocriminal offender system and the DVNLP"*). \*The mistreatment of two DVNLP members entitled to vote by other DVNLP (executive committee) members and a security service commissioned only for this purpose, who were prevented from speaking by brute force and removed from the general meeting of members manipulated and deceived by the executive committee in the course of its power-abusing vigilante justice, can certainly be described as mob or lynch justice (cf. *Bundeszentrale für politische Bildung*).

## 1.1 Abuse and culture of abuse - preliminary considerations

Before I describe the various abuses that have occurred in the DVNLP in concrete terms, I will first make clear in what sense I understand the term abuse here. For this purpose, I will draw on two concepts from the systemic and communication-theoretical world: the "Perverse Triangle" model (Jay Haley), used here as a framework concept for sexual and power abuses as well as for "covert operations" and "dirty delegations", and the concept of the "Cybernetic Imperative" Heinz von Foerster's<sup>8</sup>.

These concepts are well suited for a description of the basic structures of derailments in DVNLP, from which considerations can be derived on steps that are necessary in DVNLP in order for NLP to survive in this association as the ecological-systemic method that<sup>9</sup> I introduced in Germany forty years ago. The use of these concepts allows starting points to become clear, how the culture of abuse in the DVNLP, which has already clearly emerged from the collective silence of its members about the abuse of authority and power swept under the carpet in the DVNLP, can possibly still be averted or retransformed.

### 1.1.1 "Perverse triangles" and meta-abuse

In the course of his unprofessional complaint management with regard to the misuse and certificate fraud in the DVNLP, the board of directors of this association of professional communicators, which is extremely poor in communication due to its unethical involvement in several member conflicts, has established a pathogenic recursive pattern in the DVNLP. In an article published four and a half years ago,<sup>10</sup> I began to describe this pattern, which manifests itself on many levels in the association, using the term "perverse triangle"<sup>11</sup> coined by Jay Haley - for five decades a basic concept in the world of family and system therapy, which is still well suited to describing abuses and dysfunctional interaction structures in organizations and other systems.<sup>12</sup>

---

<sup>8</sup> Foerster, Heinz von (1993), *KybernEthik*, Merve Verlag, Berlin 1993

<sup>9</sup> In "*Violence, abuse, double standards and the return of the repressed in the DVNLP*" and "*The NLP and the madmen. The DVNLP corrupts its method*" are the first considerations.

<sup>10</sup> Link: "The Perverse Triangle as a Recursive Pattern in DVNLP".

<sup>11</sup> Jay Haley, „*The perverse triangle*“, in: J. Zuk & I. Nagy (Eds.), *Family therapy and disturbed families*, Palo Alto 1967

<sup>12</sup> Since Bert Hellinger also used Haley's "perverse triangle" as the basis for his "Orders of Love", it is also a fundamental concept in the world of System Constellations. All the more surprising that the DVNLP board, which now has a representative of constellation work in its ranks in Peter Klein, still steadfastly ignores my analysis of the "Causa DVNLP" (in "*The Perverse Triangle as a Recursive Pattern in DVNLP*") based on this concept. But perhaps the DVNLP board of directors can ask Peter Klein to explain the system-dynamic principles with the help of which I describe in



In this article, I will describe the perverse triangles that constitute the "DVNLP cause", in which members at different levels of the hierarchy have been (and still are) involved at several levels within the association, specifically as abusers. I will show that these multiple abusers represent a recursive pattern in so far as the corresponding perverse triangles in the DVNLP, which emerge from each other, merge into each other and relate to each other, have the same "perverse" basic structure in common as a basic pattern, quasi as a fractal: a power-abusing and sometimes violent violation of hierarchical or generational boundaries, i.e. a problem-generating disregard for rank orders. This pattern of "abuse of power" is repeated in its recursive self-similarity on many levels of interaction in the association, on a small and large scale - and probably unfortunately also in the way DVNLP practitioners deal with their clients and participants<sup>13</sup>.

A "perverse triangle" according to Jay Haley is constituted by a violation of the boundaries of hierarchy or generation within the respective frame of reference. Such a violation of boundaries occurs when a member of a higher level of status, generation or hierarchy, i.e. a person with greater power, covertly forms a coalition with a member of a lower level, i.e. a person with lesser power, either against a person on the same level as his or her own (in the analogy of a family: parent level), against another person on a lower level (sibling level) or against a person on a level higher than his or her own (grandparent level).

The prototype of a perverse triangle according to Haley is the relationship structure of sexual abuse (e.g. in so-called incestuous systems), to which a power imbalance (power asymmetry) typically belongs and in which the abuse of power superiority is usually accompanied by physical and, e.g. in the form of constructed threat scenarios of all kinds, psychological violence. The coalitions in perverse triangles of abuse cases are thus usually coalitions forced by power and violence, which one could also call coalitions of coercion.

Another defining characteristic of perverse triangles is that they are not overt but covert coalitions, i.e. hidden from the members of the relevant family or organisational system, which are not or only with difficulty recognisable to the third person in the triangle, and often to other participants. These coalitions are always superior relationships in the sense that they are characterized by contextual overlaps. In the example of the incest family, the father-daughter relationship is probably overlaid by qualities of a male-mistress, son-mother, brother-sister and/or other relationships.

This basic structure of the secret violation of hierarchical boundaries can be found, after their complaint suppressed in the DVNLP, in pure culture already in the first,

---

*"Violence, abuse, double standards and the return of the repressed in DVNLP"* the continuing risk of participants of DVNLP-certified seminars to become victims of violent acts committed by DVNLP teaching trainers.

<sup>13</sup> See *"The NLP and the crazies. The DVNLP corrupts its method"*.

the "initial" abuse of the "Causa DVNLP", the abuse on the complainant in the DVNLP, which was committed on her as a participant of this course in my master course at that time by the course assistant/coach/HP-psychotherapist and today's DVNLP-teaching trainer XY.<sup>14</sup> This is because the relationship between a course assistant and a participant is structurally always power asymmetric, since the latter is always in a hierarchically higher position than the former due to the greater proximity of the subsystem course instructor to course leader.

In addition, as a rule, and as in this case as well, there are certain differences in rank, in addition to the clearly defined office or role-related hierarchy "instructor-assistant participant", which further strengthen this implicit power asymmetry: according to the length of time they have been with the method NLP, the trainer, etc., merits (leading practice groups, organizational commitment to the group's well-being) or NLP and other skills (e.g. the ability to supervise participants and also the ability to establish closeness and trust). The extent to which a friendly closeness to the trainer is developed is also important here, as well as the level of trust that the trainer places in his course assistants. Moreover, in this particular case, the asymmetry of power between XY and the complainant was reinforced by the fact that the latter was not only a student in the course of the course supervisor XY, but also the client of the psychotherapist and coach XY.<sup>15</sup>

The basic structure of the covert, i.e. hidden violation of hierarchical boundaries of this initial abuse, i.e. hidden from the public of the respective reference group (first of the DVNLP Master Course, then of the DVNLP committees and finally of the DVNLP as a whole), was repeated in the many abuses of power and authority that occurred as a consequence of the association's official suppression and cover-up of this initial abuse and the complaint filed by the complainant in the DVNLP against XY in the summer of 2013 and continue to occur to this day.

Another important aspect of perverted abuse triangles is that they both impoverish communication and are maintained by it. The decisive communication deficit that sustains the abuse system is present in the relationship between the abuser and the third person involved. In the case of the father abusing his daughter, the third person would be, for example, her mother, his wife or partner, i.e. a person on the same hierarchical level with whom the abuser would then probably have increasingly

---

<sup>14</sup> I use the anonymization XY as well as the others in this article also in "Causa DVNLP - Die Chronologie" and in my previous publications.

<sup>15</sup> The authority responsible for XY as a non-medical practitioner is clear at this point. On 4 August 2015, she informed the complainant: *"Sexual abuse by a doctor, psychotherapist or alternative practitioner is then punishable by law when it involves patients who are to be treated (§ S174c para. 2 StGB). This applies regardless of any mental or spiritual illness of the patient. Sexual acts remain punishable for a doctor, psychotherapist or alternative practitioner even if the sexual acts performed on the patient are performed by mutual consent, especially if they are performed during the treatment relationship, in which the patient is under the care of the therapist.*

impoverished communication. It is an equivalent in the educational context of the DVNLP when my DVNLP co-trainer abuses one of our participants, i.e. forms a coalition with her in an abuse triangle against me and in the course of this hidden relationship constellation, no longer exchanges certain information with me that is important for all persons involved within and outside our triangle.

The secretly transgressed hierarchy boundary can also consist in a violation of the hierarchy order, which is given for example between siblings, especially if there is a considerable age difference between them. Thought again in a family analogy, the older sister could form a coalition with her much younger brother or half-brother in an abuse triangle against the common father. Here is the equivalent in the training context of the DVNLP, e.g. if a course assistant who is still in a training relationship with me as a course leader abuses a participant who is also in a younger training relationship with me. Or, if a former student who was trained by me quite a long time ago forms a coalition against me in an abuse triangle with a student who is currently still in training with me.<sup>16</sup>

In all of the perverted subsequent triangles that arose in the course of the "DVNLP case" from the internal cover-up and suppression of the perverted Initial Abuse Triangle (XY/complainant as course instructor) in my master course, there were such border violations by association members at various hierarchical levels: DVNLP officials and officials of the DVNLP always "perverted" with lower ranking, i.e. subordinate DVNLP members (and even with participants of DVNLP-certified seminars) against another subordinate, equal or superior DVNLP member. A lower ranking member is one who, either by virtue of an office or association function of his counterpart, holds a less powerful position in the DVNLP than this counterpart. However, in addition to the structural differences defined by offices and official functions, other ranking differences between the two members of the association, such as length of membership, teacher-student training relationships or special merits, can also cause power asymmetry.

### 1.1.2. contrary rankings in perverse triangles

The perverse triangles in which DVNLP association officials have formed a coalition against me and the complainant can be described in three ways:

---

<sup>16</sup> Whether and to what extent these and the other perverted abuse triangles within the DVNLP described in this article were also accompanied by crimes against the sexual self-determination of the persons with whom the respective perverted third parties were forming a coalition can only be discussed in the context of a DVNLP investigation exclusion or judicial review. According to the reports of the complainant suppressed in the DVNLP, however, it must be assumed that in all cases in which she was in the position of the abused participant or client, this was in interaction, quasi "in deed", with extremely unethical role mixes for which the respective DVNLP instructors are responsible. But, to put it very poignantly: This article cannot and must not talk about which complaint addressee, as a male or female DVNLP member or as a DVNLP seminar participant, was more interested in cunnilingus, fellatio or coitus in the context and execution of sexual and (power) abuses and certainly not which of them was more interested in hetero- or homosexual encounters with children and young people.

1. As in the complainant's case, the power hierarchy defined by DVNLP offices and functions also assigned me, as a DVNLP member, the position with the lesser power. With regard to the hierarchy of power defined by the DVNLP offices and functions, the "causa DVNLP" main perpetrators Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas have formed a coalition against me as a hierarchically subordinate member of the association, i.e. with less executive power, just as perverted and in violation of the statutes as against the complainant.
2. <sup>17</sup>Against the background of the fact that I was an honorary member of the DVNLP, as well as the association officials who were forming a coalition against me, they have formed a coalition against me on the same hierarchical level.
3. It is interesting, however, that besides this structurally formal hierarchy (DVNLP offices and functions) there is another, parallel but opposite ranking order, which the DVNLP association leadership has violated in the various perverse triangles involving me. This order of precedence results from the duration of NLP and DVNLP membership, from historical merits for the method of this association, from the founding history of the DVNLP and the order of awarding of its honorary memberships. Against the background of the injured, *simultaneously existing, but opposite* ranking order in the perverse triangles of this third kind, the association officials among the "causa DVNLP" perpetrators from lower ranking positions pervertedly formed a coalition against me as an association member who held a higher position than they did: As the founder of the DVNLP, the first German NLP trainer and instructor and their current or former NLP- and in Cora Besser-Siegmund's case even former Gestalt- and Family Therapy instructors, I held a higher position than they could ever have reached as a historically defined one.

To the long-term damage, which, as one can see again and again in organizational constellations of companies or associations, the DVNLP has presumably already suffered through a violation even of this historically founded order of precedence and through the exclusion of its initiator and authoritative founder, could certainly be said even more. However, the focus of this "Causa DVNLP" final report is rather on the misconduct and monstrosities that have not yet been cleared up in the DVNLP, especially those of the DVNLP board of directors and other male and female DVNLP association officials and members, towards the complainant.

### 1.1.3. perverse triangles of type I and II

In the "Causa DVNLP" the complainant, whose complaints were consistently and completely suppressed in the association, was in two ways the victim of perverse triangles, of which I distinguish two types here:

---

<sup>17</sup> The complainant had approached me in my capacity as the founding board member and first German honorary member initiating the association - with a request for mediation in her conflicts with XY, Cora Besser-Siegmund and Martina Schmidt-Tanger. This was the beginning of the "Causa DVNLP".

1. On the one hand, as just mentioned, the complainant was, in the corresponding perverse triangles, the person with whom XY and obviously other DVNLP instructors, abusing her in the process, (mostly and above all) formed a coalition against me. I call the person who is primarily responsible for initiating and maintaining the coalition "Person #1". I call the abused person, with whom a "Person #3" is formed in the course of this abuse, "Person #2". She is the victim or survivor of the coalition of coercion of this abuse. The primary damage in the "Type I" Perverse Triangle is to the person #2 *with whom* he or she is forming a *coalition*, abusing and harming them through this abuse.
2. On the other hand, the complainant (and, together with her, I too) was the "Person #3" in many perverse triangles in the DVNLP, i.e. the person *against whom*, with mostly bad consequences, the complaint was covered up, i.e. perverted, because secretly, *coalitions were and are formed*. The harm done to her results from being the target and victim of the damaging actions organized in perverse coalitions to her and also to my disadvantage of several DVNLP members (as "Persons #2") who were abused for these actions. These coalitions appeared in the "DVNLP case" in the form of covert operations and dirty delegations against the complainant and myself - for which the DVNLP executive committee, other officials and members (as "Persons #1") were responsible. The primary and apparently greatest damage in this "Type II" perverse triangle lies with "Person #3", i.e. those *against whom coalitions have been and are being formed*.

This distinction between two types of perverse triangles makes it possible to perceive an important phenomenon that is essential to the "DVNLP cause", which could be called the abuse of the abusers or the abuse of the abuse. This, to use a prefix often used in NLP, "meta-abuse" in DVNLP consists in the fact that the abusers who are protected and hidden in the association until today are also abused. As will be shown, they were all abused by higher ranking male and female DVNLP association officials and members.

The abusers of the "DVNLP case" are therefore victims of abuse just as much as they are perpetrators. In the terminology I propose, several of them can thus be described simultaneously as the "Person #1" in the corresponding "Type I" triangles and as the "Person #2" in the corresponding "Type II" triangles: they are guilty of abuse in the DVNLP and are at the same time long-term victims of abuse in this association.

As a result of the DVNLP's failure to educate and correct these abuses, it is to be feared that something like a culture of abuse has developed from the unprofessional, unethical and abusive treatment of the abuses by DVNLP office holders and functionaries in this association. And that their development has now progressed so far that it may no longer be reversible.

#### 1.1.4 'Covert operations' and 'dirty delegations'

The concept of "perverse triangle" can be seen as a framework or basic concept of the concepts of "covert operation" and "dirty delegation". All three concepts are

about coalitions which (a) are practised covertly in the divided social system, and (b) typically across hierarchical boundaries or levels of hierarchy, and in which (c) all persons involved are harmed - primarily, of course, the person with whom (#2) or against whom (#3) is forming a coalition and less so, at least in the short term, the person (#1) who initiated the perverse coalition in question and is mainly responsible.

Whereas, due to the corresponding violation of loyalty limits and at the expense of the abused person (#2) in perverse triangles, the primary concern is "only" an unhealthy dysfunctional restriction or prevention of information flows in the system (in the sense of: who is hiding what disloyally to whom and who is sharing which secrets disloyally and unauthorized with whom), covert operations and dirty delegations are always also about concrete, usually criminal actions and deeds - to the detriment of the person (#3) against whom the coalition is forming.

The distinction between "perverted triangle", "covert operation" and "dirty delegation" shall be clarified here briefly using the example of the Wikipedia user "Halligoland", who has committed a reputation-damaging vandalism on the "DVNLP" and on the "Thies Stahl" Wikipedia site.

If we assume the identity of "Halligoland" with the DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks (see 1.2.2.6. *The board of directors abuses its branch office staff*), a proven lover of the North Sea Hallig "Hallig Oland"<sup>18</sup>, then in this case (here of course only fictitious) one would speak of

- a *perverse triangle* if the DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had confided in DVNLP Managing Director Berend Hendriks across hierarchical boundaries, revealed to him his personal feelings and resentments towards DVNLP Honorary and Founding Board Member Thies Stahl, resulting from both internal association and private contexts,<sup>19</sup> and the DVNLP Managing Director had

---

<sup>18</sup> See the *Hallig Oland Hendriks (05/22/2016) Facebook page*.

<sup>19</sup> When Dr. jur. Jens Tomas was already a DVNLP instructor in Martina Schmidt-Tanger's "NLP-professional"-Institute and privately still the man at her side, he told me one evening over perhaps his third beer that he had an affair with a participant in an "NLP-professional"-Practitioner training group, which was hidden from his co-trainer Martina Schmidt-Tanger as well as from the group in question. After a conflict of loyalties that lasted several weeks, I decided that loyalty to my colleague, who had been a friend of mine for twenty years and was suffering greatly from her present relationship, was more important to me than loyalty to him as the man at her side, and I told her about his confession. Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, whom I also know from the time when he was still a seminar participant and secret lover of Martina Schmidt-Tanger as RA Jens Tomaschewski, has not been well disposed towards me since then. I never discussed with him my hypothesis that he unconsciously chose me as confessor to help him end his relationship. - This rather private background is very important for an understanding of the "DVNLP case", because it is primarily concerned with the handling of hidden love relationships between trainers/coaches and participants/clients and the questions concerning their ethical or criminal classification as well as the internal handling of abuse complaints resulting from them. The fact that Dr. jur. Jens

thereupon rendered the DVNLP Chairman a "labour of love", as the Wikipedia user "Halligoland" responsible for the maintenance of the DVNLP Wikipedia page, to my detriment, to also maintain the Thies Stahl Wikipedia page "at the same time"<sup>20</sup>,

- of a *dirty delegation* if the DVNLP chairman had secretly and without any written proof given the DVNLP managing director the order to harm his opponent Thies Stahl by covertly anonymous machinations on the Wikipedia pages, or by
- of a *covert operation* if Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had not only given the DVNLP managing director Hendriks the order to harm his personal and association-internal opponent Thies Stahl by a Wikipedia vandalism, but had additionally demanded of his managing director, who was bound by his instructions, to accept that in case of the disclosure of this dirty covert operation he would have to live with the fact that he had not officially received a corresponding order from his superior.<sup>21</sup>

The boundaries between these forms of social transactions "perverse triangle", "dirty delegation" and "covert operation" are probably fluid. In all three cases, the corresponding order can be more or less concealed, unspecified or concretely communicated - or even telepathically as a secret wish from person #1 to person #2, as in the case of unsolicited love service in the perverse abuse triangle to harm person #3 indirectly and covertly.

The tasks delegated in perverse coalitions are mostly those which (1.) serve the rather private interests of the respectively more powerful transaction partners and which (2.) cannot, however, carry out these themselves, since they are obliged to neutrality by their office or task, e.g. as chairman of the board of directors, and in the perception of the members of the social system concerned must not leave any doubt that they have in fact fully respected the neutrality offered to them.

---

Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger had their own experience of power-asymmetrical, intimate relationships hidden in groups was something they wanted to keep to themselves in any case - even at the price of the fact that the complainant's allegations were not to be dealt with and communicated within the association and that she "went to pieces" as a member and person. (This footnote was written on 20 November 2019: Although Martina Schmidt-Tanger dirty-footedly betrayed the complainant as our training participant and me as her colleague behind the scenes, it took the whole six years of the "Causa DVNLP" until I terminated my loyalty to her with the publication of this private background, which was important for the "Causa DVNLP", of the association management consisting at that time of Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas. To denounce her to her was easier than Dr. jur. Jens Tomas to her).

<sup>20</sup> See "Are you "Halligoland", Mr DVNLP Managing Director Berend Hendriks?"

<sup>21</sup> If an undercover operation is a military special unit, it can happen that the person carrying out the operation has to live (and possibly also die) without having acted on official orders and not even being known by his superior.

All "Causa DVNLP" events can be described, as I will show, as either Type I or, as dirty delegations and covert operations, Type II suspected abuses. All wrong decisions and actions of the Type II abuses in the "DVNLP case" are aimed at (1.) the cover-up of Type I abuses, (2.) the fading out of the topic "sexual and power abuses in the DVNLP" and (3.) the fading out of the topic "sexual and power abuses in the DVNLP".) the discrediting, isolation, "decomposition" and "elimination" of<sup>22</sup> those DVNLP members whose names and persons are associated with this topic as aggrieved parties, or who are denounced by the DVNLP leadership as critics of the DVNLP's policy concerning this topic as "damaging to the association". In the "DVNLP case", these are essentially the complainant and myself, with the exception of Henrik Andresen, member of the Arbitration Commission, who was only temporarily denounced by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Managing Directors as a critic who had fallen silent again (see *1.2.2.8.2 Abuse of the Arbitration Commission*).

A committee of inquiry to be set up in the DVNLP would have to clarify to what extent the respective dirty-working and undercover persons (#2) in the delegations and operations of the Type II abuses, in this case the DVNLP members hierarchically subordinated to the leadership of the association and the employees of the DVNLP office who were even bound by instructions to the leadership, were "only" abused, or whether they also "only" abused themselves, d.h. have, out of their own motivation, made themselves guilty towards the respective aggrieved person (#3), i.e. abused their abusers. In each individual case, it should therefore be examined to what extent they were "only" lied to, incited or instrumentalized, or whether they followed their own motives for perpetration against the background of their own conflicts with the person in question (#3), whom they were supposed to harm according to their orders, quasi also as acting individual perpetrators. Such a committee of inquiry would have to determine on a case-by-case basis whether

- the covert or dirty agent knew about the illegality of the commissioned action, whether he or she
- was involved in taking over the delegation with his own covert aims and purposes (mutual abuse), e.g. to distract from and conceal his own misconduct and crimes, and
- how concretely the assignment was given in each case, i.e. whether only the goal and purpose were stated, or also a special, very concrete procedure.<sup>23</sup>

---

<sup>22</sup> For this language from the German Nazi and Stasi era see *"DVNLP deserted by all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control", "My beautiful delinquent German Association!" DVNLP completes perpetrator-victim-reversion* and the *"Nazi analogies" court verdict*.

<sup>23</sup> Take this film scene, for example: the US president asks his chief advisor, in the face of a problem that threatens his power, what the special force he has unofficially and covertly



A DVNLP investigative committee commissioned in this way should urgently begin its work. For if one realizes how many DVNLP members and association officials<sup>24</sup> on how many levels have been involved in these perverse triangles of dirty delegations and covert operations, one must fear that a culture is developing in the DVNLP in which a recursive pattern of perverse coalitions in the association is "somehow" perceived by its members, but is experienced rather diffusely than "normally" and is not (no longer) questioned: a culture of abuse in which the more powerful continue to abuse the less powerful in the association for the benefit of their own hedonistic and economic privileges - and inevitably also their clients and participants.

#### 1.1.5. perverse triangles violate the ecology

The perverse "Type II" coalitions of the "Causa DVNLP" were initially directed primarily against the complainant. The male and female DVNLP association officials, who were themselves involved in sometimes intense conflicts with her, formed a perverse coalition against her - "fraternized"<sup>25</sup> would probably be the more appropriate term - and were consistently in contact with the complainant's other conflict partners, against whom the complainant had also filed complaints within the association and whom she had also reported to the police.<sup>26</sup> Besides XY, these conflict partners are participants from her DVNLP Master Course<sup>27</sup>, from her DVNLP

---

deployed would do to solve this problem. See: *"You don't want to know, Mr. President."* in *The Second DVNLP Fake Membership Forum (23.01.2015)*.

<sup>24</sup> See *"The NLP and the crazies. The DVNLP corrupts its method"*.

<sup>25</sup> Again in the family analogy: if the father forms a coalition with his children against their mother, "fraternizing" is very symbolic of his moving to the level of siblings. In this analogy the DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas has "sibled" with several "simple" male and female DVNLP members and also lower-ranking DVNLP functionaries.

<sup>26</sup> Most of them have been charged with crimes against sexual self-determination, failure to provide assistance, coercion and also group bullying.

<sup>27</sup> Conflict partners from the then Master Group are Petra P., spokeswoman of the DVNLP regional group Hamburg/Schleswig-Holstein (until 30.11.2017) and member of the board of trustees of the DVNLP (confirmed in 2016), Leo Buchholz, the current chief financial officer, as well as the DVNLP members AK, KA and DK, and the participants DD, BG, GA and DN. According to reports suppressed by the DVNLP, they are all fighting, for their own comprehensible reasons, on the side of the abuser and alleged sex offender XY against the complainant - until today.

"NLP-professional" coaching training<sup>28</sup> and from her DVNLP trainer training with Stephan Landsiedel<sup>29</sup>.

Then, after I had started to work in the DVNLP for the preservation of the complainant's membership and human rights, the female and male DVNLP federation officials involved in these conflicts engaged in several perverse triangles against me as well. The fact that the DVNLP Association leadership, which together with its various conflict partners was acting in a biased manner against the complainant, also directed its blind fight against me was, as the chairman, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, admitted, due to the fact that the Board had not "*differentiated*"<sup>30</sup> sufficiently between the complainant's complaint against XY and my complaint against XY. That's right: The DVNLP board of directors has consistently failed to distinguish between our two requests for negotiations with XY before the DVNLP Arbitration Commission, which were *not dealt with in violation of the statutes* - and then, just as inadmissibly mixed up as he did not deal with them, *inadmissibly swept them together under the carpet*.

This "context overlap" in the misperception of the Dr. jur. Jens Tomas board of directors was not corrected over the critical months of the "DVNLP case" - with disastrous consequences for the complainant, who was then criminalized, pathologized and thus officially abused by the DVNLP leadership, and of course for me as well. While the board of directors, instead of passing on the different and clearly defined complaints and motions of the complainant and myself separately to the competent bodies of the association, let them fall under the table together and hushed them up, it presented the DVNLP members with a distorted picture of "*association-damaging behaviour*"<sup>31</sup>, which - allegedly and exclusively - the

---

<sup>28</sup> In this case, the complainant's conflict partners are the participants SM and DN. But also Martina Schmidt-Tanger herself is - until today - involved in intense conflicts with her, both in her functions as a senior member of the DVNLP Education and Training Commission and trainer, and as a private person. As regards DN, who was a participant in my Hamburg Master's programme together with XY and the complainant, the complainant reports that he was the extended arm of XY in her coaching training with Martina Schmidt-Tanger and me - which in turn is presumably part of the paedocriminal offender system from which the complainant managed to escape and get out in November 2011.

<sup>29</sup> In this training XY was again her conflict partner, this time as a co-trainer in the trainer training, together with the course companion OA, who she called an accomplice, and also the trainer Stephan Landsiedel.

<sup>30</sup> See *mail Jens to Thies (29.05.2014)*.

<sup>31</sup> See *Causa Statement DVNLP (26.09.2014)*, "*Causa DVNLP*"- *Correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014* (page 1) and *Mail Thies to DVNLP (18.05.2014)* (page 5) The corresponding slanderous misrepresentations have not been corrected by the current DVNLP board of directors, as legal successor of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas' unjust board of directors, until today: The DVNLP continues to let its managing director lie to the association's people, see *Hendriks to member (24.01.2018)*, "*DVNLP relies on lying managing director*" and "*DVNLP lies. Chronic*".

complainant and myself should have shown, and which was grossly abridged by lies, omissions and complete erasure of person XY, as well as the conflicts that had arisen in the association around him. The DVNLP executive committee did not listen to the complainant and me, boycotted the mediation I had initiated<sup>32</sup>, disenfranchised the complainant and me, and excluded us from the association in a night and fog action spiced up by Mafia-legalism.

The idea of seeing<sup>33</sup>the complaints submitted to the Association and the allegations made by the complainant as concrete indications of a major ecological problem of<sup>34</sup> the NLP - not only in the DVNLP - has not been conceived by the Association's management, which is probably systemically rather insufficiently trained. Being involved in multiple perverse triangles in the association itself probably made Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, his advisor Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the board members, both of whom were misinformed and lied to, completely blind to the ecology, both of the respective tripartite systems (DVNLP association officials and members with the complainant or with me) and of the larger system "DVNLP", in which these triangles are embedded in many ways.

The concerns and objections that I have raised, as well as indications of a more appropriate handling of complaints of abuse<sup>35</sup>that I have expressed in relation to the Board's prejudgement of the complainant and its unilateral abandonment of the presumption of innocence against her, the DVNLP board of directors was unable to include as information important for the preservation of the ecology of the DVNLP system, as well as those objections and concerns that are directly derived and can be deduced from the contents of the allegations made by the complainant against XY and other DVNLP teacher trainers.

---

<sup>32</sup> In the *DVNLP statement on Stahl (03.05.2016)*, which has been published to date, the board of directors lies: *"The board of directors offers ... a mediation meeting (with a mediator proposed by Thies Stahl) in Hamburg. This mediation offer is rejected by Thies Stahl. See also: The mails from 09.06., 14.07., 06. and 11.09.2014 in "Causa DVNLP"- Correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014 and SMS exchange steel mediator (22.07.2014).*

<sup>33</sup> As stated in: *"Violence, abuse, double standards and the return of the repressed in the DVNLP"*

<sup>34</sup> The concept "ecology of systems", as people and their relationship networks are, but also the overall practice of a psycho-method, is a central one for NLP. That today the executive committee of the association representing this method needs tutoring for this is of course sad.

<sup>35</sup> See the theoretical and practical preliminary considerations for an appropriate handling of abuses of power by the DVNLP in my applications for a directive, which were withheld by the Executive Board (*1. steel application, 2. steel application, 3.-5th MV application steel*), in *thoughts on abuse of power and ethics in the DVNLP (12.06.2014)* as well as in *"Causa DVNLP" correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014* and *"Causa DVNLP" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014.*

Through their own misconduct with regard to the complainant, DVNLP association officials and members involved in the "DVNLP case" developed large blind spots - both with regard to the ecology of the complainant's relationship systems and the "DVNLP" and "practice of NLP method" systems. They seem to have become amnesic for the historical fact that NLP originally became known as a systemic-ecological method for working with individuals and human systems.

For the ecology of the systems involved, the DVNLP association officials have become so blind and deaf to the ecology of the systems involved, either because of their own culpably-conscious involvement or because they have been lied to by their entangled colleagues that they could not use my contributions as a guide for systemic thinking. In their focus on short-term turnover and profit maximisation and above all on not being prosecuted for their own misconduct, the association officials have "disposed" of me, together with the complainant who was not heard by the board of directors once after filing her complaint in August 2013 until her exclusion for a full year, as a quasi living ecological display and warning instrument.

#### 1.1.6. **perverse triangles contain elements of treason**

The basic pattern of violated hierarchical boundaries can be found in the coalitions of the DVNLP leadership with the "ordinary" members of the association among the complainant's conflict partners as well as in those with members of the association's bodies who, according to the statutes, would actually have been responsible for the complainant's concern<sup>36</sup>. And, it can be found in the "Coalition of the Fraudsters", as I call those DVNLP association officials and members who have formed an unhealthy alliance with XY and the other alleged sexual offenders and "causa DVNLP" perpetrators hidden in the association against the sovereign of the DVNLP, i.e. the association itself, above all Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Anita von Hertel, Petra P., AK and Leo Buchholz. These coalitions are also perverse coalitions, among other things, because they were built on an association-official, covert deception of the general meeting<sup>37</sup>, i.e. on secrecy and - ultimately - on high treason.

In perverse triangles, the relationship of the abuser to the third person, which can also be a whole association, quasi as a legal person, always contains an element of betrayal: The abuser who abuses his power (person #1) not only violates existing

---

<sup>36</sup> Martina Schmidt-Tanger is a member of the "DVNLP Training and Further Education Commission", Anita von Hertel of the "DVNLP Mediation Section", Henrik Andresen of the "DVNLP Arbitration Commission". Dr. jur. Jens Tomas was a member of the board and Petra P. spokeswoman of the "DVNLP regional group Hamburg/Schleswig-Holstein".

<sup>37</sup> The 2014 General Assembly was manipulated and deceived by Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Petra P., Anita von Hertel and Leo Buchholz with the help of a sophisticated, beamer- and crocodile tear-supported lie and character assassination dramaturgy, which had all the characteristics of Nazi summary courts (with violently forced, absent defendants) and Stalinist show tribunals. See *"DVNLP deserted by all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control"*, the "Nazi analogies" court decision and the *verdict - injunction MV*.

hierarchical or generational boundaries, he also betrays his loyalty to the person (#3) against whom he pervertedly forms a coalition - and with whom he then only impoverishes or no longer communicates.

In the analogy of the father-mother-daughter incest family, this would be the father (person #1), who, with the increasing intensity of the inappropriate relationship with the abused daughter (person #2), increasingly violates his loyalty to his partner (person #3), her mother, and makes his communication with her increasingly deficient. Communication impoverishment, refusal to communicate, and a distortion of communication through underhand lies and deception are concomitant symptoms of betrayal in perverse triangles.

In the "Causa DVNLP" there is something like simple betrayal, e.g. committed by my colleague Martina Schmidt-Tanger, who sneakily and pervertedly formed a coalition with XY against me and continues to do so today. And, there is such a thing as high treason: The sneaky deception of the sovereign of the association, the general meeting, led by the fraudulent "NLP professionals" Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, supported by Anita von Hertel, Petra P., AK and the current DVNLP board member for finances, Leo Buchholz, as well as, subsequently, by the moderator of the NLP Internet Forum, the current DVNLP board member for press and public relations, Ralf Dannemeyer.

A mixture of individual-personal betrayal and high treason can be found, since in this recursive pattern always also the deception of the general meeting, i.e. of the deceived and lied to sovereign of the DVNLP, resonates, also in the numerous perverse coalitions, which the DVNLP chairman of the board Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (as person #1) violates the hierarchical boundaries with XY, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Stephan Landsiedel, Petra P., Leo Buchholz, Ralf Dannemeyer, AK, OA and other participants of DVNLP seminars, e.g. of my Master, of the "NLP-professional" coaching training and of the Landsiedel training (all of them as the respective persons #2) against me as founding board member and honorary member of the DVNLP and against the complainant (as the respective person #3).

In the "DVNLP cause" phase of his most intensive fraternization with several DVNLP members and also with DVNLP course participants against the complainant and me, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas let the mediation initiated and already initiated by me burst and together with his board of directors withdrew completely and consistently from the already impoverished communication with the complainant and me. To this interruption of communication came the blocking of our communication with the DVNLP's "Education and Training" and "Arbitration Commission", for which he and

his board were responsible, and finally, by means of physical violence, the complete prevention of any communication with (or hearing by) the General Assembly.<sup>38</sup>

At the highest level in the association, the interaction of the board of directors with the general meeting, the recursive pattern "Perverse Triangle" can be found in two ways. Depending on one's point of view, the general meeting is either (a) a mere collection of individual members who are hierarchically subordinate to the chairman of the board who manipulates and deceives them and who are abused by him, or (b) who is (de jure) hierarchically superior to him but who is debilitated by him and his accomplices through the poison of their complexly interwoven lies, d.h. by a deliberate weakening of the sovereign of the association infantilized and made controllable by him, who - lied to and deceived by his board of directors - subordinated himself hierarchically to his board of directors by means of a "declaration of authorization" (de facto).

The DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (person #1) thus pervertedly formed a coalition on behalf of his board in the context of the general meeting, namely either

(a) with the individual members hierarchically subordinate to him (in these multiple perverse triangles, respectively, the persons #2), to whom he violated his duty of care by using them abusively, through lies and emotional seduction, against the association, its sovereign (the, in this case "legal", person #3), to whom he should not have been able to give up his loyalty in order to gain his own advantages (e.g., to eliminate the complainant and me), or

(b) with the body he abused General Assembly, i.e. the sovereign of the DVNLP (here as the, also legal, person #2), who was "debilitated" by him through lying, deceit and betrayal, to whom the board also has a duty of care and whom he should not have abused in many coalitions together with other association officials and members against the complainant and me (each as person #3) in order to be able to send us into the desert by means of a scapegoat ritual (cf. 1.2.5. "Sloppy" scapegoat ritual).

From both points of view, the DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas abused the power given to him by the DVNLP's sovereign, the general meeting, to gain personal advantages for himself and his "NLP-professional" colleagues SM and Martina Schmidt-Tanger. In both cases, he betrayed his loyalty and neglected his duty of care: from the point of view of (a), he is disloyal both to the association (to which he is obliged to be loyal by statute) and to the complainant and to me (the board should also represent its members loyally and should neither form a coalition against them with violent paedocriminals outside the association nor prejudice, slander or

---

<sup>38</sup> See the *remarks of the Regional Court of Berlin (01.07.2015)* in the order fixing the costs. The DVNLP now seems to want to institutionalize this practice, which was exemplified by totalitarian regimes, by amending its statutes (see the planned *amendment to § 11 para. 5 of the statutes, Executive Board proposal (18.09.2018)* and <https://www.dvnlp.de/news/vorstand-des-dvnlp-wieder-komplett-nach-nachwahl/>).

eliminate them), and he also neglects his duty of care towards the members by lying to them. And under consideration (b), he neglects his duty of care towards the sovereign, the general meeting, i.e. towards the association, which he lied to and thereby abused, and is disloyal towards two members, the complainant and myself.

In all these perverse triangles, each with many natural persons and one legal entity, there was an enormous communication impoverishment as a result of the multiple betrayals contained in them, which increasingly led to a communication deficit in the association. This finally took the form of a complete breakdown of communication: between the board of directors and all other bodies of the association, including the general meeting, on the one hand, and the complainant and myself on the other. Nowhere was there room for an "Audiatur et Altera Pars". This "Let the other side be heard as well" has unfortunately been lost to the Communicators and Mediators Association DVNLP as a fundamental human right that must be respected as a matter of course.

The possibility of a DVNLP member to be heard by the sovereign, the general meeting, in case of a conflict with its executive committee, which wants to exclude it from the association, will<sup>39</sup> probably be completely abolished by the currently now decided amendment of the statutes: Through the addition of paragraph 5 in §11, "*All membership rights are suspended by decision of the executive committee or the board of trustees*", there is no longer a "constitutionally anchored" possibility for members threatened with expulsion to present their case to the general meeting, i.e. to bring their case to the attention of the sovereign of the association. After a decision of exclusion by the association's management, he could not even demand a committee of inquiry in the general meeting, as he would no longer have the right to participate due to the "*dormant membership rights*". Following this amendment to the statutes, the MA would no longer be higher in the association's hierarchy than the other association bodies controlled by a fraudulent executive board, as happened in the DVNLP case. If in the case of the complainant and myself, who were excluded from the general meeting by formal legal tricks, the separation of powers in the DVNLP has not worked because the board of directors has levered out the arbitration commission, it would be completely dismantled by this amendment to the statutes. The DVNLP should by no means implement this change in the statutes, which promotes the fascist-totalitarian structures in the federation, but rather restore the de facto separation of powers in the federation, which does not already exist in practice. An "elimination" of members, which has demanded some effort and criminal skill from the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, would then only be a routine administrative act - "à la Eichmann", to use another analogy from the Nazi era to describe the conditions in today's German methods association DVNLP.<sup>40</sup>

---

<sup>39</sup> See *amendments to the Articles of Association Executive Board proposal (18.09.2018)* and <https://www.dvnlp.de/news/vorstand-des-dvnlp-wieder-komplett-nach-nachwahl/>.

<sup>40</sup> Cf. the "*Nazi Analogies*" court ruling (09.03.2017).

### 1.1.7 Communication poverty and the 'cybernetic imperative'

In my earlier publications I had warned the DVNLP leadership and the members of the association about the consequences of not correcting this pathogenic and virulent recursive pattern for the association and also for the NLP method - unfortunately in vain. Too thoroughly the DVNLP members were manipulated, deceived and lied to by the "Causa DVNLP"-rope<sup>41</sup> of today's new DVNLP honorary members, who still protect and hide DVNLP member XY. And too effective is the hypocrisy of the DVNLP association officials involved, above all the hypocrisy of Martina Schmidt-Tanger, who shed "crocodile tears" at the 2014 general meeting, which she manipulated and deceived together with the chairman of the board Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, while at the same time, together with Petra P., who denounced the complainant and me to the police with false accusations, she also shed "crocodile tears". and other DVNLP perpetrators committed a perfidious character assassination against the complainant, only to have her "eliminated" by the Dr. jur.

Regardless of whether the abuses of the "Causa DVNLP" are described as simple "perverse triangles", or as "perverse triangles of covert operations" or "perverse triangles of dirty delegations", what they have in common is that they can be regarded as violations of the "Cybernetic Imperative". For they all go hand in hand with the communication deficits typical of these structures, which are a consequence of the impoverishment of communication, which in turn is to be seen as a consequence of the elements of betrayal inherent in these interaction structures: a social system in which abuses of power take place loses, mediated by the impoverishment of its communication, much of its power to generate and shape choice and development opportunities: Misuse always violates the "Cybernetic Imperative" of Heinz von Foerster: *"Always act in such a way that the number of choices is increased!"*<sup>42</sup>

---

<sup>41</sup> To this "roped party" of about violations of the Statutes, which, according to the complainant's reports, was formed around the abuser and alleged sex offender XY, who, according to the complainant's reports, was pimping in the immediate vicinity of my seminars and in the wider environment of the DVNLP, and his supporters Cora Besser-Siegmund, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Anita von Hertel, Abuses of office, official but dirty-secret actions and reports that are offensive to the perpetrators of the "DVNLP case" involving association functionaries and members, who were appointed honorary members and prize winners of the DVNLP in October 2016, include Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Cora Besser-Siegmund, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Dr. Franz-Josef-Hücker, Stephan Landsiedel and the current member of the board Ralf Dannemeyer. Not yet admitted to this club of the new Mafia honorary members "Honorary Members and Honorary Prize Winners of the DVNLP" are the spokespersons of the DVNLP Mediation Section, Anita von Hertel, and Petra P., the former spokesperson of the DVNLP Regional Group Hamburg-Schleswig-Holstein, who are also involved in the misdemeanours and crimes of the "DVNLP cause", as well as today's Chief Financial Officer Leo Buchholz, who is deeply involved in the conflicts about XY and the complainant.

<sup>42</sup> "[One should] *not restrict the activities of another, but it would be good to behave in a way that increases the freedom of the other and of the community. Because the greater the freedom, the greater the choice and the greater the chance to take responsibility for your own actions.*



This imperative focuses on the multiplication of the total of the choices given by one's own actions for oneself and all participants in a system - as a high or highest value. Growth and development oriented systems can be recognized by the degree and extent to which this imperative is lived, exemplified and supported. Family abuse systems, on the other hand, as well as social and organizational systems with a culture of abuse, can be described, among other things, by the fact that in them the choices of all those involved are reduced, both directly, by violently restricting options for behavior and articulation, and indirectly, by the impoverishment of communication that goes hand in hand with their concealment.

This applies first and foremost and most obviously to the choices of the respective counterpart of the abuser (of his power), but it also applies to those of all other participants - and, as we shall see, also to those of the abuser himself. Restricted communication possibilities generally correspond to restricted choices and, through these, directly proportional losses of opportunities for personal development.

In this view, an action aimed at increasing the options of the other party can be defined as an ethical one, as an expression of affection, benevolence and love, whereas an action that seeks to reduce or accepts the reduction of such <sup>43</sup>violence by means of abusive communicative, psychological and physical violence must be classified as unethical - ultimately as an expression of hostility towards life, the will to destroy and annihilation.

Abuse is understood here essentially as a psychologically and/or physically violent restriction of the possibilities of development or evolution - including those of sexual self-determination - of a person who is abused by another person, the abusing person, whereby there is a power asymmetry between these two persons in the sense of a hierarchy or generational boundary. According to this definition, even sexual abuse does not consist in a mistaken "use" of the "victim of abuse"<sup>44</sup>, but

---

*Freedom and responsibility belong together. Only those who are free - and could always act differently - can act responsibly.*" Quoted from Heinz von Foerster/Bernhard Pörksen: Truth is the invention of a liar: Conversations for sceptics, 1999, p. 25). See this thought also in "1st Suppressed Motion (Steel) for the DVNLP General Assembly 2014".

<sup>43</sup> The statute-violating and physically violent removal of two voting members from a DVNLP general meeting, manipulated and deceived by the board of directors, by a five-member "Schutz-Staffel", whereby one person, the complainant, hits the pavement in front of the event building for a long time (see *blog post "Dull Violence in the DVNLP" (31.10.2014)*), is here understood as an act of communicative *and* physical violence. This drastically restricts the election and development possibilities of most of the participants and extends them, presumably exclusively, only for those of the persons mentioned by name in this article who, despite - or presumably because of - their participation in these crimes in the DVNLP, have been awarded honorary membership and honorary prize, or, like Leo Buchholz and Ralf Dannemeyer, have been newly elected to the board.

<sup>44</sup> The criticism of the traditional concept of abuse, which refers to the survivor, i.e. the victim of abuse, rightly starts where this concept suggests that there is something like an appropriate "use" of the person concerned.

"abuse" is always the abuse of an existing greater power and control over a hierarchically subordinate person - which the abuser could, theoretically at least, just as well "use" for the benefit and advantage of this person.

The abuse relationship can, as just explained, also exist between individual people and a rather abstract entity: Thus, an association and also a psychological method like NLP can be misused - whereby "association" and "method" are understood to mean the entirety of the possibilities for development or evolution of the groups of people who identify with the respective association or method.

Formulated for the "DVNLP cause": People can temporarily come into the position in an organisation to be equipped with a power to provide and distribute information and to abuse this power to the detriment of the systems and individuals concerned - with the described effects of restricted and impoverished communication on restricted and impoverished conditions for development and deployment.

An abusive relationship in this view is a relationship in which the abusing person, as a consequence, expression and to stabilize the asymmetry of power between the two, seeks to increase his or her own possibilities of development, i.e., choices, through a violently enforced restriction of the abused person's possibilities of development and choices. From this point of view, abuse is a "zero-sum game": one side wins opportunities for development and choice to the same extent that the other side loses them. This is probably the case at least in the short or medium term. The extent to which this may or may not apply in the longer term, i.e. the extent to which abusers also suffer a considerable loss of opportunities for choice and development, will be shown below. All this applies in particular to abuses along the lines of the "perverse triangle type I". But it also applies to those of type II, in this case the abuse of the abusers, as I will show.

The phenomenon of communication impoverishment already mentioned above for perverse triangles of type I in general is also particularly true for covert operations and dirty delegations, as perverse triangles of type II: The participants cannot cultivate positively triangulating, i.e. creative triadic-integrating communication among themselves. Communication with others in the system, or with other subsystems in the larger system, is becoming more and more restricted in its creative and integrative possibilities.

The crisis into which the DVNLP has been plunged in this respect by the abusive behaviour of the above mentioned group of members - six of them are new DVNLP honorary members and laureates and two other new board members - can be called a moral one due to the multiple abuses in this association. At the same time one must probably also speak of a crisis of the NLP method (in the DVNLP): The NLP has been robbed by the DVNLP association's official misconduct and crimes of the "DVNLP cause" perpetrators of essential opportunities for development and advancement, as I will explain below.

Recently I read somewhere the sentence, "Ethics arises from crises of morality". It remains to be seen whether it will prove true in the case of the DVNLP crisis. At the

moment, the DVNLP is probably not in a position to use its crisis to arrive at a newly formulated ethics, i.e. among other things also at ethical guidelines that explicitly refer to the handling of sexual and other abuses of power.<sup>45</sup> This crisis is apparently not perceived as such enough in the silent, repressive membership and the denial-silent management of the association. And too much energy it costs probably the today's, consistently not clearing-up "Anja Mýrdal" executive committee to cover up the abuses of power and crimes in the DVNLP, which are to be answered for by him, feels nevertheless also this executive committee apparently forced - reflex-like and communication-poor - against my reproaches, references and suggestions "against on" to lie.<sup>46</sup> This executive committee is too involved in the "DVNLP case" of its predecessor to have the strength and greatness to face the injustice that happened in the DVNLP. This is especially true after the election of Leo Buchholz and Ralf Dannemeyer to the board.<sup>47</sup>

## 1.2 Concrete abuses in the DVNLP

In the following, the concrete abuses and the abuse structures in which DVNLP members, DVNLP teaching trainers and DVNLP association officials have become entangled are discussed.

### 1.2.1 Abuses by individual DVNLP members

#### 1.2.1.1 Misuse by XY

At the beginning of the abuses of power that constitute the "DVNLP cause" a few years ago, there was the power-asymmetrical and abusive relationship of an assistant in my DVNLP-certified master seminar with one of the participants. According to reports suppressed by the DVNLP, this course facilitator, as psychotherapist (HP) and NLP-/Wing-Wave-Coach (DVNLP/GNLC<sup>48</sup>), conducted ethically extremely questionable and highly abusive coaching and psychotherapy sessions with this participant.

---

<sup>45</sup> preliminary considerations in: 1. *steel application*, 2. *steel application*, 3-5 *steel application*), *thoughts on abuse of power and ethics in the DVNLP* (12.06.2014), "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014 and "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014.

<sup>46</sup> See the texts "*DVNLP relies on lying managers*", *DVNLP regional group BW on FB* (19.01.2018) and "*DVNLP lies. Chronic*".

<sup>47</sup> While Anja Mýrdal and the other new board members were already well informed (by my mails to all members and my publications) about the misconduct and derailments in the DVNLP, which have not been cleared up by them, this applies all the more to the new board members Leo Buchholz and Ralf Dannemeyer: They are not only confidants, but, in different ways, but both are also clearly "DVNLP-causes".

<sup>48</sup> See "*DVNLP + GNLC hide suspected sex offender*"

In August 2013, this Master's student filed a complaint with the DVNLP<sup>49</sup>: She would have turned to said assistant, today's DVNLP and GNLC Teaching Trainer XY, with the request for help, after I had recommended him to the Master's participants as a non-medical practitioner-psychotherapist and coach trained by me in NLP and by my student Cora Besser-Siegmund in Wing-Wave to work on their own topics.

As a social education worker, the participant in question was the owner and manager of a youth welfare organisation with 30 employees and a further training institute for group work and coaching, which she managed as a trained group worker. As a lecturer for her own systemic group work approach, she had just written an article for a systemic reference book at Carl-Auer Verlag and had started her dissertation. At the time of her complaint she was a certified member of the DVNLP on all NLP training levels and a "NLP-professional" certified "Systemic Coach".

At the time of her participation in my master's course, the complainant alleged that she was in a special situation of distress, as she described it, on account of which she had turned to course instructor XY - a muscular former dock worker, scaffolder, doorman and bodyguard - for help: Together with her two children, 11 and 13 years old at the time, she was at the mercy of a system of paedocriminal offenders which had existed at its core since her childhood and in which she had grown up in a specific family and cult context.<sup>50</sup> This system of perpetrators, in which the complainant herself had been sexually exploited since her earliest childhood, and later also her children, had grown in her expanding family and professional environment as she grew older, with the addition of several new perpetrators.

This system of pimping and exploitative violent offenders would have expanded to include her no less violent second husband and the likewise violent psychology and social work professor GB, who "supervises" her employees and collaborators, in a way that would have pushed the complainant, who was exploited by this system until her departure at the end of 2011, to the very limits of what she could bear: In addition to the time-consuming work in the individual and group care of delinquent juveniles (with death threats against her children and herself, as well as the worst punishment and threat scenarios), she would have been forced by the group of offenders, which was expanded by XY, to offer herself as an individual, pair, team and group coach with an increasingly diversified and specialised portfolio: as a sex education assistant, surrogate partner, sex coach, luxury and special escort, sex service provider and exclusive geisha lover for demanding escorts, as well as a communicative and physically specially trained dominatrix, gangbang queen and

---

<sup>49</sup> See the *complainant's "request to the Conciliation Committee that was not dealt with"*.

<sup>50</sup> See *"Background to the abuse complaints"* and the *"request of the complainant to the Conciliation Commission that was not dealt with"*. The complainant provides further insight into her life situation at that time and into her history by agreeing to the publication of the *psychiatric report on culpability* and my essay on this, *"Psychiatric Report - a Gift for the Paedocriminal Offender System and the DVNLP"*.

disgust and pain trained top, sub and/or switch in BDSM contexts, and also as a whore for lucrative, but physically and psychologically extremely challenging, sometimes only sedated bearable "special assignments". She had numerous individual male *and female* clients and from childhood on she was used to dealing with a wide variety of people in male and mixed-gender groups in a creative and physical way.<sup>51</sup>

My recommendation to the Master participants to do sessions with XY would have been massively exploited by the latter - according to the complainant's reports, which were suppressed in the DVNLP. As her unprofessional and unethical coach and psychotherapist, he had not only entered into an abusive power-asymmetrical relationship with the complainant, but had also abused his role as tutor, coach and psychotherapist to the extent that he had become deeply involved in the paedocriminal offender system exploiting her and her children. Instead of helping her to get out of this system, as promised, XY would have allied herself with the main perpetrators of this system and participated intensively in the exercise of various forms of physical and emotional violence against the complainant and her children. The latter had in particular also taken the form that XY encouraged, supported and, together with the other perpetrators, incited and supported the complainant's children to commit violence against their mother and finally trained the children to be junior pimps and to act violently towards their mother as such. XY would also have extended the perpetrator system abusing the complainant and her children, after her Hamburg DVNLP-Practitioner-Trainer AL had already cooperated ominously with the perpetrators, up to my master course.

---

<sup>51</sup> Ever since she could consciously remember, that is, since she was a small child, her mother - until her death in 2007 the centre of the offender system - had trained her and trained her to the limits of her physical and psychological resilience to perfectly master and endure every conceivable variety of sexual services for men *and women*. She acquired great skill early on in life at entertaining and directing groups of male and female paedophiles and other suitors by dancing and singing - often using props, such as sparkle-marie or can-can dresses worn by her as a child without panties to animate the paying suitors. The complainant's performance skills also included being able to deal with groups of clients on her own at the age of seven, like a tamer who was in charge of deciding when it was anyone's turn to abuse her and with what act. She then refined this ability as a group worker social pedagogue with delinquent youths as well as an incentive and animation girl in secret board meetings or in the V.I.P. environment of DVNLP instructors and teaching trainers. - At the time when the offender system was extended to include XY, the complainant's situation was almost unbearably complicated by the additional coercion of her second pimp husband, which was enforced against her by force, to initiate and stage further sexual encounters with men *and women* for his Cuckold and Wife Sharing preferences - preferably with sponsors to be acquired by her at the same time for the jointly managed company (youth welfare service and training institute) and for the top lecturers to be recruited for the newly established coaching training. According to her two pimp husbands and the background perpetrator system, she was to recruit the latter - with the help of her special and lifelong trained, sexually erotic and communicative skills - from the circle of well-known and exposed male *and female* DVNLP instructors for their joint company.

Similarly, when the complainant then wanted to leave without his assistance, XY would have taken part in physically and psychologically extremely violent punitive actions against her by the group of perpetrators - also in the presence and involvement of her children. Evil punishment actions against the complainant, even with the participation of XY, would have taken place several times - whenever she had wanted to get out of this system of violence and testify against the perpetrators.

Instead of helping her to get out, XY would have made it enormously difficult and repeatedly impossible for her to get out by using a combination of NLP, wing-wave, hypnosis, drugs and physical violence to break her will. This had been done in cooperation with the other perpetrators, among whom were other "professionals" from the world of psychoanalysis in their role as (auxiliary) pimps, who had helped their two pimp husbands to make it impossible for the complainant to leave this violent system. Essentially, this would have been her professor who examines her and then supervises her companies, the Gestalt therapist BG, her DVNLP practitioner trainer AL from Hamburg, as well as today's DVNLP teaching trainer RP, at that time with SF, one of her husbands at that time, participants of my 2004 practitioner training and, like later XY, appointed by SF as her disciplining "coach".

In the informal background of my master's course, according to the content of her complaint submitted to the DVNLP, XY would be significantly involved in creating situations of collective violence against the complainant that poisoned the group dynamics of my course (e.g. in the wake of joint visits to swingers' clubs, gang-bangs and similar undertakings), in which individual Master participants had been involved in excesses of sexual violence similar to group trance and had committed a total violation of the complainant's rights (far beyond any "consensus" reached with the help of punitive measures and death threats against her and her children). In my Master's thesis, as a consequence of these role-mixed activities of XY, extreme group bullying against the complainant occurred - in the underground of group dynamics, which was not recognisable to me as a course instructor due to the collective silence, directed and instrumentalised for its own purposes by XY. This mobbing, in which Petra P., AK, Leo Buchholz, KA and DK, DD, BE, GA and DN had been involved, had become more and more devastating, and, mediated through the activities of DN and XY, had extended into her coaching training with Martina Schmidt-Tanger and me, and, mediated through XY, into the DVNLP training with Stephan Landsiedel. In addition, this mobbing would have enormously increased the violence in their family perpetrator system, now expanded by XY and other DVNLP members.

As a result of the connection of SF, one of the complainant's pimp husbands, to the DVNLP world and then, above all, as a consequence of XY's activities in the background of my master and in the environment of the DVNLP and the Wingwave community Cora Besser-Siegmunds, a good dozen DVNLP teaching trainers became entangled in an unethical and unprofessional way in their role-mixed relationships with the flexibly animating and skilfully acquiring complainant in the years 2004-2011: You would have held your position as a psychotherapist/psychotherapist, as a DVNLP teaching trainer and as a male or female abused a female coach by negligently and completely neglecting her professional responsibilities In contexts of individual encounters or group events, in which DVNLP instructors, initiated, directed

and responsible for sex performances and services of the complainant and the children and adolescents "delivered" by the offender system, were initiated, directed and responsible for by DVNLP instructors who had completely fallen out of their offices and roles, these DVNLP instructors, according to their own (1.) emotional and physical needs as a woman or man in need, (2.) their economic and financial interests (e.g. as their VIP clients pampering auxiliary or free rider pimps with sex) or (3.) their special hedonistic interests as hetero-, homosexual or paedophile celebration(s) cannot - or simply do not want to - see the predicament of the complainant forced to cooperate.

These perpetrators, male *and female* DVNLP members and association officials, obviously - and this is the core of the "DVNLP case" - completely and unilaterally shifted the consequences of these unprofessional and unethical role mixes onto the complainant when they decided to participate in the criminalization, psychiatricization and finally in the inhuman "disposal" of the complainant as a rope team of the "DVNLP case" perpetrators.

Beyond this prolongation of the bad living situation for her and her children, caused by XY and other, especially female DVNLP members, in close cooperation with GB, the Gestalt therapy professor and "supervisor" of the complainant and the other perpetrators belonging to her family, the damage suffered by the complainant according to her reports in my master's thesis also consists in the restriction of her possibilities to benefit in the course - after all, she could neither talk to the other participants nor to me as course instructor<sup>52</sup> about her difficult situation in the perpetrator system extended by XY.

In perverse triangles, all participants lose options. Thus, Assistant XY was probably not able to talk to me as his course instructor or to the other course instructors and group participants about the extent of his alleged involvement in the complainant's family and company system, as indicated in the complainant's reports - and certainly not about the feelings of guilt towards the complainant, her children and her staff, which probably went hand in hand with this involvement. Also, as regards his own plight with regard to his own violent and other issues, XY could not confide in anyone except within the narrow limits of his abusive relationship with the complainant, and certainly not in me as his course instructor.

---

<sup>52</sup> At the time of the complainant's participation in my NLP master, I did not know about her traumatic childhood experiences, nor about the compulsion to have to prostitute herself, which was partly caused and intensified by my course companion XY and which prevailed in her life until she left in November 2011. It was only when I entered into a relationship with her after the master course at the end of 2011 that she was able to tell me more and more in stages from the beginning of 2012. The complainant was only able to report to me in detail about her difficult situation with my course mate XY and my trainer colleague Martina Schmidt-Tanger - neither of whom had informed me about the special, unethical nature of her unprofessional and rather private relationship with the complainant - from the beginning of 2013 onwards. Before that time she could not be sure that I would believe her and not XY and Martina Schmidt-Tanger.

With the unethical mixing of roles as course facilitator, coach and HP-psychotherapist of the complainant, who is familiar with the court files, as a coach who is presumably training and "disciplining" on behalf of her offender system<sup>53</sup> and also as a "coach" of her employees, as her seminar tutor and at the same time as her trainee in her further training institute, as her unhappy love partner and, at the same time, as her allegedly violent "agent" for special prostitution assignments in the background of DVNLP seminars, as well as in the management floors of his company and the DVNLP, XY has obviously not only caused great harm to the complainant, to me and also to the participants of my group as a result of these roles mixed by him and the DVNLP teaching trainers involved, but also to himself: According to his psychotherapist treating <sup>54</sup>him for "*quite serious depressive decompensation*" as part of her health insurance approval, XY was decompensated for his abusive relationship with the complainant in need of treatment.

#### *1.2.1.2 Abuse by Cora Besser-Siegmund*

This psychotherapist of my master course attendant XY was the DVNLP member Cora Besser-Siegmund. She has obviously violated the psychotherapeutic abstinence rule<sup>55</sup> and, according to the reports of the complainant suppressed in the DVNLP, she has already taken sides with the complainant in the conflicts of DVNLP member XY with the complainant and his support group, which escalated in the background of my master course at that time. Part of these multiple conflicts in my master's course would have been the unethical activities of XY and the complainant in the background of my courses, which my former student Cora Besser-Siegmund had concealed from me as a course instructor with the knowledge that she had abused him.

Which was the decisive reason for my old student and today's colleague Cora Besser-Siegmund, who was obviously fully informed about the monstrous events in my DVNLP master course around her Wingwave student, psychotherapy patient and today's GNLC teacher trainer XY and the complainant, not to inform me about XY's criminal activities on a collegial basis is a mystery that a DVNLP investigative committee can easily solve if it considers Cora Besser-Siegmund and her husband,

---

<sup>53</sup> See "*Background to the abuse complaints*" and the *complainant's request to the Conciliation Commission*.

<sup>54</sup> See the *mails Cora Thies (28.03.2014)* Here is a short definition of "psychological decompensation": If a person is psychologically (also) no longer able to compensate for an emotional deficiency and/or a cognitive maldevelopment, he or she decompensates, i.e. the previously only poorly compensated suffering is now fully effective and the person concerned must be treated psychotherapeutically.

<sup>55</sup> In "*My beautiful delinquent German Association!*" DVNLP completes perpetrator-victim-reversion" you can find a detailed description of Cora Besser-Siegmund's professional ethical misconduct, also in "*DVNLP + GNLC hide suspected sex offender*", in the chapter "Questionable psychotherapy at the beginning of the DVNLP case".



also a DVNLP teaching trainer, in the light of the complainant's relevant reports concerning her personal involvement in the conflict complainant./XY questioned.

It can therefore be assumed that DVNLP member Cora Besser-Siegmund not only knew about the conflicts between the two other DVNLP members, XY and the complainant, early on, but had also been involved in them since 2010 or longer, when she contributed to the escalation of the conflicts between XY, the complainant and some master participants supporting XY in 2014, that they could no longer be resolved within the protected framework of the Conciliation Commission or an internal mediation within the Association, but had to be settled in public before the courts - which then happened to the great detriment and to the detriment of the complainant and of myself because of the covert, association-official, dirty support of XY by Cora Besser-Siegmund, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Stephan Landsiedel and Leo Buchholz.

Cora Besser-Siegmund, together with Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Petra P., Leo Buchholz, AK, DK, KA and Stephan Landsiedel interfered in court proceedings XY/Steel in a way that deliberately harmed me and above all the complainant - whereby the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board (1.) neither commented on nor punished this interference as de facto violations of the statutes by DVNLP members and (2.) the DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas obviously did not draw the attention of the psychotherapist Cora Besser-Siegmund, as a member of the DVNLP, to the fact that such a judicial interference is not only a violation of the statutes of the DVNLP, but is also justiciable as a violation of the professional code of conduct of the Psychotherapeutic Association. The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors has apparently approved of the judicial intervention of Cora Besser-Siegmunds - obviously also because Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his "NLP-professional" colleague Martina Schmidt-Tanger have themselves both interfered in the XY./Stahl court case in a manner that is just as unlawful, dirty and secret and with the same objective.<sup>56</sup>

At the beginning of the escalation phase of the "Causa DVNLP" in March 2014, Cora Besser-Siegmund announced - officially and in a way that escalated the conflict - that the psychological decompensation of her patient, Wing-Wave student and GNLC teacher trainer, Master Course Assistant XY, had been *"triggered by the aforementioned relationship [XYs to the complainant]"*.<sup>57</sup>i.e. through his abusive relationship with her, for which he is essentially responsible as her psychotherapist and coach, both as a Master Course participant and as his patient and client. According to the complainant's reports, which had been suppressed in the DVNLP,

---

<sup>56</sup> The chairman of the board, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, like the others named, also allowed himself to be named in court as a "character witness" against the complainant and me by KF, the lawyer of XY who was charged as an accomplice - instead of maintaining his neutrality as chairman of the board and making mediation possible.

<sup>57</sup> See also *Mails Cora Thies (28.03.2014)*.

XY's psychotherapist Cora Besser-Siegmund had more detailed knowledge of the latter.

With this indiscretion, which violated the personal rights of the complainant and unilaterally attributed the blame (for XY's desolate psychological state and thus indirectly also for his abusive relationship<sup>58</sup> with her) to her in the joint association DVNLP, Cora Besser-Siegmund became even more deeply involved in the conflict between XY, the complainant and then also with me, when she was already involved with XY and the complainant at the beginning of her therapy: she knew at the time in question that, as a founding and honorary member of the association's board of directors, I was standing up for the complainant's human rights and membership rights, which were threatened and then finally fully collected by the DVNLP board of directors. With her abusive public attribution of blame by the association, Cora Besser-Siegmund, for motives about which she, XY and her husband will hardly speak in public, caused considerable harm to the complainant, who already had to defend herself in the DVNLP against unjustified and (pre)convicting accusations of false accusation.

At the same time, Cora Besser-Siegmund abused the abuser and alleged sex offender XY, by taking on, outside the therapeutic situation, i.e. in "real" life and even in court, in the conflicts of her psychotherapy patient with the complainant and myself - to the detriment of the complainant, with whom Cora Besser-Siegmund herself, according to her reports suppressed in the DVNLP, was involved in intensive (role-mixing) conflicts, and also to my detriment, i.e. her former trainer and today's competitor on the seminar market. The Hamburg Chamber of Psychotherapists is investigating whether Cora Besser-Siegmund might be responsible under professional law for the "DVNLP case", since this is after all a matter of possible treatment errors such as "violation of the abstinence rule" and "takeover debt".<sup>59</sup>

With her partisanship for her psychologically decompensated patient and Wing-Wave student XY and her corresponding professional ethical misconduct, DVNLP member Cora Besser-Siegmund obviously initiated the association's official abuse of the DVNLP on both the DVNLP member complainant and DVNLP member XY. A clarification regarding Cora Besser-Siegmund's motives, which cannot be named here and therefore remain in the dark so far, must be left to a DVNLP investigative committee, the Hamburg Chamber of Psychotherapists or a court possibly still dealing with the "DVNLP case".

---

<sup>58</sup> Cf. *"Background to the abuse complaints"*, application by the complainant to the Arbitration Committee, application by Stahl to the Arbitration Committee and "Withdrawal of supervision certificate for XY".

<sup>59</sup> "Takeover debt" means that Cora Besser-Siegmund should not have been allowed to take XY into therapy at all, as she was involved in intensive conflicts with third parties.

It was not until March 2015 that the complainant, who had been promised a corresponding conciliation hearing by the Conciliation Commission<sup>60</sup>, filed a complaint against Cora Besser-Siegmund: *"Since Anita von Hertel and Cora Besser-Siegmund were also working for me in an advisory capacity, and since I have suffered great damage due to their respective interference in my difficult life situation at that time, which has not yet been made public, I request that these two DVNLP members also be heard by the DVNLP Arbitration Commission - with the aim of having my need for compensation acknowledged to both of them, as well as appropriate compensation."*<sup>61</sup>

#### *1.2.1.3 Abuse by Martina Schmidt-Tanger*

The senior member of the DVNLP training and further education commission, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, was, like Cora Besser-Siegmund between 1979 and 1987, with me in the NLP training from 1985-1987 and from 1997 to 2014 my colleague and co-trainer in some NLP training and seventeen coaching trainings.

##### *1.2.1.3.1 Martina's "Sex & Crime" taboo*

In September 2013 Martina Schmidt-Tanger made it very clear to me and the complainant, the former participant of our joint coaching training, in several conversations that she wanted to *"earn good money with NLP for another five years"* and that she *"therefore did not need a new 'Sex and Crime' story in NLP"*. With this statement, she referred to the scandal surrounding one of the NLP founders, Richard Bandler, who in 1987 in California was probably not brought to account as a perpetrator or accomplice for the murder of NLP student, drug dealer and prostitute Corine Christensen only because he and his friend Marino - as the only persons present at the fatal shot at arm's length, according to the concurring statements of both of them - accused each other of having held Bandler's pistol to her face and pulled the trigger.<sup>62</sup>

Martina Schmidt-Tanger made it very clear, probably for this very reason, that she could not understand at all why I wanted to help the complainant to bring XY before the DVNLP Conciliation Commission because of the incidents in my Master's thesis, which had also caused me serious harm. This would make *"these terrible incidents"* public in my master's course in the association, and this just now, *"when thank God the scandal of Bandler's involvement in the murder would be largely forgotten"*. I could only interpret this statement of Martina Schmidt-Tanger's in such a way, that in the end, a further, undisturbed marketing of her NLP seminars was more important to her than the well-being of our former training participant, who

---

<sup>60</sup> Orally on 27.03.2015 and previously in writing on 23.03.2015 (see *Non-Members to Arbitration Commission (Dec. 2014-2017)*). The DVNLP and its arbitration commission did not keep this promise.

<sup>61</sup> See *bias petition H.A. SK (26.03.2015)*

<sup>62</sup> See the result of an internet research in my article *"Violence, abuse, double standards and the return of the repressed in the DVNLP"*.

graduated from us in her "NLP-professional"-Institute as a "Systemic Coach": negative "Sex and Crime"-headlines that endanger sales should probably be avoided at all costs. For this, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas were obviously willing to risk the dignity and the physical and psychological integrity of their "NLP-professional" training participant and to actually sacrifice her as a DVNLP member, as a human being and as a person, in the course of a perpetrator-victim-reversion synchronized with the background perpetrators.

Nothing can be said here about the darkest of the personal motives of Martina Schmidt-Tanger, the graduate of our coaching training, not to want to help her, but about a motive that was obviously very important not only for her, but also for Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, her former life partner: Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas wanted to prevent a theoretical as well as ethical discussion on the topic "Power-Asymmetrical Relationships in DVNLP Seminars", which I proposed and which in my opinion is overdue in the DVNLP. I had suggested that we, as senior trainers, bring our own personal experiences - they knew mine and I knew theirs - with the risk of power asymmetric relationships in seminar contexts. Martina and Jens did not want to know anything about the idea of discussing this topic in public - their own experiences with this topic as individuals and especially as a former couple were probably too painful.

The other details, which I know extensively, belong in the protected framework of a DVNLP investigative committee, which of course should inevitably also deal with the influence of intimate private and very personal processes and circumstances on the wrong decisions of association officials. This probably mainly concerns Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, the two new honorary members of the DVNLP, who, as the main perpetrators of the DVNLP Perpetrator-Victim-Offender-Repatriation, have in any case already secured a place of honour for themselves in the complainant's decades-long series of abusers and perpetrators of violence.<sup>63</sup> But it also concerns two more of the new DVNLP honorary members, Cora Besser-Siegmund, who is highly involved in the "Causa DVNLP" and finally also Dr. Franz-Josef Hücker, who was well informed about the details of the "Causa DVNLP", but preferred, just like Anita von Hertel and the new CFO Leo Buchholz did in the 2014 general meeting, which was manipulated and deceived by the board, to support the derailments in the association by active and energetic silence. With regard to these four new DVNLP honorary members, a DVNLP investigative committee should also deal with the question whether their appointment as honorary members, as well as the appointment of Stephan Landsiedel and Ralf Dannemeyer as honorary prize winners, does not do more harm than good to the DVNLP in light of the guilt that these six new DVNLP "dignitaries" have incurred towards the complainant and myself.

---

<sup>63</sup> See pages 8-10 in the "trailblazing verdict on the Nazi analogies" on my article *"DVNLP abandoned by all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control"*.

#### 1.2.1.3.2 Line fault and compensation obligation

Martina Schmidt-Tanger, like me, has something to make up for to the complainant. A corresponding compensatory obligation<sup>64</sup> towards it is set out in the following facts and conclusions:

- Martina Schmidt-Tanger was informed by the complainant, as she reported, as a participant in our coaching training course, which Martina started on her own, of her difficult life situation: Martina Schmidt-Tanger knew (1.) *"that the respondent ... [the complainant] had to purchase for her husband at the time and that his auxiliary pimp was also supposed to have been in the seminar"*, and (2.) also, from a demo session in front of the group in the seminar "Provocative Coaching", *"that she ... [the complainant] was forced into prostitution and also had to have sex with her employees."*<sup>65</sup> I interpret as consistent with the corresponding statements in the complainant's reports, which were suppressed in the association, the fact that the application for an interim injunction submitted by Martina Schmidt-Tanger's lawyer Dr. jur: It was precisely these two statements by the complainant quoted from the police report of the complainant against Martina Schmidt-Tanger, which had been embezzled by the board, that Dr. jur. G. *did not* include on the list otherwise meticulously compiled by him and Martina Schmidt-Tanger of statements "to be omitted" by the complainant regarding what Martina Schmidt-Tanger had done, said or already known at the time of the seminar. It is to be assumed that Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. G. did not include these two statements in the catalogue of statements to be omitted in order to make the complainant, with her help, appear to the court as an ordinary and therefore implausible whore (1.2.2.2.1. *Abusive use of "association lawyers"*).
- Irrespective of the fact that Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the complainant have obviously become entangled in intensive conflicts in the course of an abusive mixing of roles, and also irrespective of our joint mistake in leadership in taking the complainant into our coaching training course at all, even though I had "had something with her" between the master training course and its beginning<sup>66</sup>, Martina Schmidt-Tanger should not have concealed from me, as her co-trainer in charge, her knowledge of this particular feature of the life situation of this particular participant in our coaching training course. This particularity was too

---

<sup>64</sup> Compensation obligation is understood here in terms of the systemic compensation principles going back to Hellinger and Varga von Kibéd/Sparrer (see Marlen Gabriele Arnold/Daimler or Christa Kolodej). The economic reinterpretation of "debt" into "indebtedness" goes back mainly to Bert Hellinger ("Debt and Debt from a Systemic Perspective") and Matthias Varga von Kibéd. For a quick overview see here.

<sup>65</sup> See *application MST via Dr. jur. G. on EV (05.06.2014)* on page 5.

<sup>66</sup> For my part, I have assumed responsibility within the association and have declared my obligation to compensate (see *"Causa DVNLP" correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014* and *"Causa DVNLP" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014*).

important in the life of our training participant, both for the group dynamics and for the fact that it was a training in biography-oriented coaching, i.e. coaching that strongly includes the current life situation and the life background.

- Martina Schmidt-Tanger, according to the reports of our participant, the complainant, suppressed in the DVNLP, would not have perceived the threats to which she had been exposed in Martina Schmidt-Tanger's seminar "Provocative Coaching" and in the first part of the coaching training, which she had carried out on her own. Martina Schmidt-Tanger would not have perceived or would not have wanted to perceive the violence directed against the complainant as our participant in the first seminars of the coaching training which she conducted alone, just as I did as seminar leader in my Hamburg master course: In my master's degree, XY would have been the representative and governor of the offender system that has a firm grip on the complainant and her children, and in the seminars conducted by Martina Schmidt-Tanger alone, first in the seminar "Provocative Coaching", a member of her youth welfare organisation's staff, AZ, reporting to the complainant's husband-pimp, and then in the first seminars of the coaching training, the Hamburg participant in my master's degree course, DN, reporting to XY. The latter would have been actively supported by SM, one of the "NLP-professional" colleagues of Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who was charged with alleged border-crossing behaviour committed against her together with DN.
- Martina Schmidt-Tanger, after the complainant's visit to her seminar "Provocative Coaching" and *before* the complainant had firmly registered for the coaching training, should have said something to me, as co-trainer of this training, such as, *"I got into a bad transference-countertransference dynamic with her in the Provo seminar. All of a sudden facts and topics came up that were beyond the scope of a demonstration in front of the group - and I don't know if you know them either. Let's talk again about whether it makes sense for her to come to the coaching training"*. And as a long-standing friend of mine, Martina Schmidt-Tanger should probably have told me that she knew that our participant had to live in violent contexts and was forced to make purchases. Particularly in view of the fact that I had told Martina Schmidt-Tanger that I would like to like the complainant very much, but that it was difficult for me to assess the situation in which she lives, my friend Martina Schmidt-Tanger should not have been allowed to conceal from me her impressions of the complainant's life situation and, above all, *her own conflictual entanglements* with the complainant as her participant. She should have informed me urgently that she had a problem with this particular training participant, the complainant.
- As one of the consequences of our aforementioned common management error, which can ultimately be seen as an abuse of the complainant by Martina Schmidt-Tanger and myself, the latter was unable to deal with her most important issues in the coaching training. Their opportunities to benefit from the coaching training were clearly limited by this our management error.

Minimizing options and choices is always one of the consequences of abuse. In the case of Martina Schmidt-Tanger, this consists, on the one hand, in our jointly committed line fault, but on the other hand also in the presumably very special - details must not be given here - kind of improper treatment of the complainant, of whom I only learned about it afterwards, from her reports suppressed in the DVNLP.

As already explained at the beginning in connection with the concept of the "Perverse Triangle", there is always a communication deficit in the relationship between the person #1 (allegedly Martina Schmidt-Tanger: a committee of inquiry should clarify the nature and details of the emotional and sexual abuse of power and sexual violence committed against her by Martina Schmidt-Tanger according to the reports of the complainant by Martina Schmidt-Tanger) and person #3 (me): During the phase in which Martina Schmidt-Tanger was still the leader of the group training alone and the conflict between her and the complainant escalated, there was no exchange between Martina Schmidt-Tanger and myself as co-leader of the coaching training. Martina Schmidt-Tanger had not informed me in any way about the difficult development of her relationship with the complainant and about what the complainant reported as extremely abusive behaviour by her trainer Martina Schmidt-Tanger towards her, i.e. about the apparent mixing of roles that had taken place and the presumed involvement with the complainant that was probably the result of this. I only learned later about the behaviour of Martina Schmidt-Tanger (who was her DVNLP coaching trainer and influential senior member of the "DVNLP Training and Further Training Commission", which was decisive for her vital and important training matters), which was denounced by the complainant in her DVNLP complaint and her denunciation as ethically highly questionable, and I learned about her complaints, which were suppressed in the association.

Martina Schmidt-Tanger has thus helped to create the conditions under which she became entangled in intensive conflicts with the complainant in the first of the seminars of the coaching training, which she alone led, and which obviously became role-mixed and personal. If Martina Schmidt-Tanger had informed me about the conflict with the complainant after the alleged transference-counter transference dynamic disaster of her "Provocative Coaching" with the complainant, which presumably resulted from this disaster and from Martina Schmidt-Tanger's obviously failed handling of the complainant's<sup>67</sup> "Thies" secret, she would not have to bear the main responsibility for our leadership mistake and its disastrous consequences today. After all, this could have been corrected at the beginning of the coaching training if Martina Schmidt-Tanger had not caused such a large communication

---

<sup>67</sup> The complainant reports that Martina Schmidt-Tanger, in the "Provo" and in the first training seminar of the coaching training course, refused in a harsh way to talk to her about how she wanted to deal with her, me and the fact that the complainant and I had already got to know each other better in a way that was relevant for group dynamics. The fact that this was already a real difficulty for the complainant when I was not yet part of the course as a co-trainer is one of the many things and events in the seminars that Martina Schmidt-Tanger conducted alone, about which she had obviously been negligent not to inform me.

deficit between us by her uncooperative concealment of her conflict with the complainant.

To what extent one can actually speak here of an abuse coalition between Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the complainant in the sense of a perverted triangle should be determined in more detail <sup>68</sup>by a committee of inquiry to be set up in the DVNLP. If, after an assessment of the complainant's reports, which have been suppressed in the DVNLP, the latter, like me, were to come to the conclusion that one must indeed speak of a serious abuse on several levels, he would certainly have taken into account that there were two relevant hierarchical boundaries in Martina Schmidt-Tanger's relationship with the complainant, i.e. that there was virtually a double and therefore a particularly toxic abuse of power by Martina Schmidt-Tanger in the complainant: The complainant was not only dependent on her trainer Martina Schmidt-Tanger as a training participant, but, because of the trainer training that Stephan Landsiedel sold her too early, also on her as a senior member of the DVNLP's Training and Further Training Commission, which had to take a decision that was essential for the complainant's DVNLP training situation with regard to the consequences of Stephan Landsiedel's violation of the rules.<sup>69</sup>

Martina Schmidt-Tanger had already clearly violated the hierarchical boundary as her trainer at the moment when she wanted to oblige the complainant, as the latter reported, to maintain absolute silence towards the training group regarding the fact that she already knew me, her co-trainer of this group who was not yet present. Instead of doing this in a harsh tone and on her own, as has obviously been the case, Martina Schmidt-Tanger would have had to short-circuit with me as co-leader of this group, so that the three of us could have talked about possible solutions for this situation. In this case, Martina Schmidt-Tanger would have had a special duty of care towards the complainant, which resulted from our common management error in having taken her into training at all.

The entanglement structure of a perverted abuse triangle is thus supported by the fact that Martina Schmidt-Tanger did not inform me, her co-trainer, either about her

---

<sup>68</sup> A DVNLP investigative committee would have to effectively free the complainant, by means of an appropriate legal agreement, from the "muzzle" that she has been given by the unlawful "DVNLP-sponsored" injunctions Martina Schmidt-Tangers and her "NLP-professional" colleague SM.

<sup>69</sup> It had to be decided how to deal with Stephan Landsiedel's trainer's certificate, which was formally invalid due to the time not being observed between the master's and the trainer's training, e.g. which conditions the complainant might have had to fulfil in order for it to be recognised despite the formal error for which Stephan Landsiedel was responsible. However, the Training and Further Training Committee, together with the Board of Management and, if applicable, the Arbitration Committee, did not have to make this decision because Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, in cooperation with Stephan Landsiedel and the other "causa DVNLP" perpetrators, had decided to "dispose of" the complainant by criminalisation and psychopathologisation.



conflict with the complainant or about the violent and sexually extremely violent behaviour and actions of SM, DN and other training participants towards her in the first seminars that Martina Schmidt-Tanger conducted alone, according to her reports. At the latest when the obviously *very personal conflicts* between Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the complainant escalated, my colleague and co-trainer Martina Schmidt-Tanger should have informed me about developments and events in the group - and also about the special interactions between her and the participants SM and DN, who were involved in conflicts with the complainant.

With regard to these interactions, the complainant had submitted further complaints to the DVNLP, but these were suppressed and hushed up by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board. The Board had taken note of the complainant's allegations, mediated about the corresponding complaint concerning the misconduct of Martina Schmidt-Tanger and also about the copy of the complainant's police reports against Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM that had been submitted to it. But instead of forwarding the complaints and the copies of the complaints to the responsible association committee arbitration commission, the DVNLP chairman and "NLP-professional" trainer Dr. jur. Jens Tomas embezzled these association-internal confidential documents and handed them over to his old study colleague RA Dr. G., who filed injunctions against the complainant in the name of the "NLP-professional" owner Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the "NLP-professional" trainer SM in court. Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his "NLP-professional" colleagues Schmidt-Tanger and SM thus successfully prevented the complainant from presenting her complaints to the association. In the embezzled complaints and in the complaint<sup>70</sup> against Martina Schmidt-Tanger, the complainant accuses her of misconduct in our coaching training before I joined, about which there was no exchange between Martina Schmidt-Tanger and myself. Martina Schmidt-Tanger, as her co-trainer in charge, had not informed me at all about the obviously difficult development of her role-mixed relationship with the complainant and about her involvement in the complainant's conflicts with the participants SM and DN.

I only learned about the ethically more than questionable behaviour of Martina Schmidt-Tanger towards the complainant from her later reports, which were suppressed in the association. At that time, during the first seminars run by Martina Schmidt-Tanger alone, the seminar "Provocative Coaching" and two modules of coaching training, the complainant had probably failed to contact me and to inform me of her difficult situation in these seminars and in the coaching training she had started, probably because of a loyalty to Martina Schmidt-Tanger that had arisen in the context of a mother's transfer to her trainer. This was particularly true with regard to details of her conflictual and probably inappropriately personal relationship with Martina Schmidt-Tanger. In the corresponding months, in mid-2011, I had no contact either with the complainant or with Martina Schmidt-Tanger. I

---

<sup>70</sup> See *application MST via Dr. G. for EV (05.06.2014)*.

only joined the coaching training group as co-trainer for the fourth seminar in November 2011.

No further details about the intimate nature of the conflicts between Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the complainant and about the allegations made in her complaint and complaint against Martina Schmidt-Tanger may be given at this point or in 2014. This is exactly what Martina Schmidt-Tanger and her "NLP-professional" colleague SM were able to achieve through their actions for injunctive relief against the complainant, which they filed with the unlawful assistance of their "NLP-professional" colleague, the chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas. With these DVNLP-sponsored actions for injunctive relief, the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Management Board succeeded in preventing the complainant from being able to present her complaints to the competent DVNLP bodies at all (see 1.2.2.1. *Misuse of the "association lawyers"*): The DVNLP muzzled the complainant in violation of its statutes - particularly with regard to her contributions, comments, objections and concerns, which are of great importance for the ecology of the DVNLP system and for the development of the NLP method.

#### 1.2.1.3.3 Place of honour among perpetrators of violence

It can be said, however, that Martina Schmidt-Tanger has decided to completely deny her co-responsibility for the complainant's difficult situation. Martina Schmidt-Tanger did not help the complainant, neither as DVNLP trainer, then in 2011 in her difficult situation in coaching training, nor in 2014 as a member of the DVNLP training commission responsible for her in her extremely distressed situation as a DVNLP association member falsely accused of false accusations.

Instead, Martina Schmidt-Tanger has attacked her former training participant and intensive conflict partner under misuse of her DVNLP office and her well-connected position in the association in a devious and devastating way. The "NLP-professional" owner Martina Schmidt-Tanger made use of the illegal assistance and shyster tricks of her "NLP-professional" employee Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who misused his office as DVNLP chairperson for this purpose.

My publicly made statement, *"For their place in the circle of perpetrators who were also psychologically extremely violent towards the complainant, the DVNLP chairman Jens Tomas and the member of the Training and Further Training Commission, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, have generously used the DVNLP offices they have been awarded - ..."*, the Regional Court of Hamburg commented with the remark that *"the members of the board [the court refers here, erroneously but intuitively correctly, to Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. Jens Tomas as a member of the board of directors] behaved towards Ms... [the complainant] in the same way as the possible perpetrators, in that Ms... [the complainant] was not given any faith, an exclusion took place... and ... the board of directors did not deal with the possible victim [the complainant], but used its power to exclude the victim and thus support the*

perpetrator [XY]. It is also undisputed that physical violence was used at the 2014 general meeting.<sup>71</sup>

#### 1.2.1.3.3.1 Psychopathologize the trainee

This place of honour has been won by Martina Schmidt-Tanger, together with Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, deserved in a first step by denouncing the complainant and me as mentally disturbed, when she wrote <sup>72</sup>to me on the extended board mailing list in May 2014 in an e-mail to me that the complainant was "*psychologically disoriented*" and that I was "*in a parallel universe*" with her, and tried to persuade the complainant on the same day on the same association's mailing list to "*I know that ... you would like to trust your own perception, but it is not as intact as you would like it to be at the moment*" and that she should "*place herself in the right hands*", preferably "*in a clinic*".<sup>73</sup> With this psychopathologization, which violates her personal rights, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, together with Cora Besser-Siegmund and her public accusation of guilt in the DVNLP, initiated a malignant stigmatization and psychiatry process against her former training participant, which then turned into a soul- and reputation-murdering perpetrator-victim-reversion process against the person of the complainant - and ultimately also against me.

#### 1.2.1.3.3.2 DVNLP office misused as "NLP-professional": Statutes annulled and committees blocked

In a second step, Martina Schmidt-Tanger had her "NLP-professional" employee Dr. jur. Jens Tomas block an internal association complaint<sup>74</sup> against herself and her common "NLP-professional" colleague SM by means contrary to the statutes. This

---

<sup>71</sup> See page 9-10 in the "Judgment on the Nazi Analogies".

<sup>72</sup> See her mails from 30.05.2014 in "*Causa DVNLP*" - *Correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014*.

<sup>73</sup> At that time I had unfortunately failed, or rather had not considered it at all, to report my colleague Martina Schmidt-Tanger, who had been a friend of mine for many years. I should have done so, because the basic structure of their defamatory and psychiatric attributions to the complainant and to me then reappears again and again in several contexts - virally: It can be found in a report of the Social Psychiatric Service to the State Criminal Police Office (LKA), which was manipulated by the influential background perpetrators in the youth and health authorities of Hamburg, in various LKA notes derived from this report which pathologize the complainant and myself, and in the false statement of the DVNLP denunciator Petra P., which was placed in the LKA file.. All of these are components of a synchronization of the perpetrator-victim-reversion process in the DVNLP with a perpetrator-victim-reversion process, which was initiated, presumably by the perpetrator system, in the authorities Social Psychiatric Service, the LKA and the public prosecutor's office (see the documentation "*Dossier Täter-Opfer-Repatriation*"). This basic pattern of Schmidt-Tanger's "initial psychopathologisation" of her former "NLP-professional" training participant and myself also reappears in the offender's pleasantness report on the complainant, as described in my article "*Psychiatric report - a gift for the paedocriminal offender system and the DVNLP*", as well as in the lying DVNLP statement to the SPIEGEL and, as a late consequence, as an effect of the effect of the effect of the effect, in the psychiatrisation of our persons by the constellation colleagues Dr. Gunthard Weber, Dagmar and Dr. Friedrich Ingwersen (see "*Psychiatry. Not funny*").

<sup>74</sup> These complaints, as well as the corresponding notifications, cannot be dealt with here, as already mentioned, because of the actions for injunctions (see the next point).

complaint concerned, on the one hand, the special and therefore difficult situation of the complainant in the coaching training before I joined her as co-trainer and, on the other hand, certain very violent details of Martina Schmidt-Tanger's personal and role-mixed involvement with the complainant.

1.2.1.3.3.3 DVNLP office misused as "NLP-professional Association internal against training participant used  
In a third step, the "NLP-professional" owner Martina Schmidt-Tanger has taken over the responsibility for the "NLP-professional" project from DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, her "NLP-professional" employee, filed a complaint against her and SM with the police in violation of the statutes and through abuse of authority,<sup>75</sup> which the complainant had submitted to the board of directors together with her internal complaint against Martina Schmidt-Tanger, used to file a temporary injunction for omission (on 5 June 2014), as well as a criminal complaint and criminal claim (on 28 July 2014) against the complainant. For this step, which was contrary to the Articles of Association, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas Martina had arranged for his "NLP-professional" colleague Schmidt-Tanger and the "NLP-professional" colleague of the two, SM, who had also been denounced by the complainant, to meet with his fellow student and lawyer Dr. jur. G., who then simultaneously acted as lawyer of DVNLP members Schmidt-Tanger and SM and as DVNLP auxiliary association lawyer (see 1.2.2.2.1. *Abuse of lawyers and association lawyers*).

For "safekeeping", the complainant had enclosed with her complaint against Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM a copy of her police report against both of them.<sup>76</sup> The DVNLP chairman and "NLP-professional" trainer Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, however, did not forward these complaints against DVNLP members Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM, his two "NLP-professional" colleagues, to the Arbitration Commission in accordance with the statutes, but "passed them on" directly to these two DVNLP members protected by him together with the complaints filed by the complainant against Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM. They immediately handed them over to Dr. jur. G., who then immediately filed injunctive relief against another DVNLP member, the complainant, on behalf of DVNLP members Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM -

---

<sup>75</sup> In her criminal complaint against Martina Schmidt-Tanger and also in her complaint filed against her in the DVNLP, the complainant names an unprofessional and unethical misconduct as a seminar leader shown to her as a participant in the seminars "Provocative Coaching", "Coaching Relationship" and "Coaching Training Block I" led by Martina Schmidt-Tanger. The complainant complains both of behaviour by Martina Schmidt-Tanger which concerns direct, personal, intimate face-to-face communication between the two of them and of behaviour which is connected with what she describes as extremely violent behaviour by other participants in these seminars, e.g. DN and SM, and which relates to their unethical behaviour, which the complainant has also reported, and which allegedly violates the complainant's right to sexual self-determination.

<sup>76</sup> In her criminal complaint against SM, and also in her complaint filed against SM in the DVNLP, the complainant accuses SM, as a participant in the coaching training with Martina Schmidt-Tanger and "NLP-professional" employees, of unethical behaviour and extremely violating her right to sexual self-determination.

initiated by DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas in violation of the statutes. The latter probably happened in agreement with DVNLP association lawyer Harms, who, like lawyer Dr. G., is also a fellow student of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and, as the author of the DVNLP statutes - together with Dr. jur. Jens Tomas - is<sup>77</sup> probably aware of the § 29 dealing with this violation of the statutes.

Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas have thus given the complainant a "DVNLP muzzle" with regard to her internal association complaints against Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM with the help of the interim injunctions of 3 June 2014 (SM) and 5 June 2014 (Martina Schmidt-Tanger<sup>78</sup>). This led to the relevant default judgments<sup>79</sup> of 17 and 18 July 2014, which finally obliged the complainant to cease and desist, so that the complainant was no longer able to present the contents of her complaints to the Association.<sup>80</sup>

Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas obviously decided on this approach in the knowledge that

- the appellant was in a difficult legal position due to the lack of evidence in court,<sup>81</sup>
- XY had already filed an action for an injunction against the complainant on 4 September 2013, stating that she was no longer allowed to assert the contents of her DVNLP complaint, in respect of which a judgment by default was delivered

---

<sup>77</sup> The DVNLP's statutes provide that in the event of conflicts within the association, before the courts are involved, an attempt must first be made to resolve them within the association. Section 29 para. 4 of the DVNLP statutes states: "*Prior to legal disputes between members on the one hand and the association on the other hand or the organs of the association, the arbitration commission is to be called upon and the arbitral award is to be awaited. Legal proceedings without the involvement of the arbitration commission and a final arbitration award are not permitted.*"

<sup>78</sup> See *proposal MST via Dr. jur. G. on EV (05.06.2014)*.

<sup>79</sup> The complainant had submitted to the court a medical certificate from her doctor dated 02.07.2014, according to which the complainant (at that time!) "*was not in a position to participate in a court hearing with perpetrator contact*", since "*perpetrator contact would lead to retraumatisation*" (see *Dr. ... f. Court (02.07.2014)*).

<sup>80</sup> She could only have spoken before a DVNLP investigative committee, which is subject to confidentiality. The complainant and I had submitted applications for the appointment of such a committee, but a vote on this matter was prevented by the manipulation and deception of the MA by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board (*1.2.2.8.3. Misuse of the general meeting*).

<sup>81</sup> Neither the children of the complainant, nor individual Masters participants or other persons involved within and outside the DVNLP were - and still are - willing to make a statement that would go beyond a defamatory psychopathologization of the complainant (as Petra P. made to the police, for example, as a false statement). The adults probably do not because they do not want to incriminate themselves, in terms of their reputation, their lack of civil courage and also their criminal situation.

on 7 July 2014<sup>82</sup>, which finally made it impossible for the complainant - for Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas certainly the basis of their exclusion strategy - to present her complaint against XY in the association,

- XY also filed an action for injunction against me on 04.09.2013 and with the dirty-hidden support of Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Cora Besser-Siegmund and Stephan Landsiedel (who disseminated precisely this view in the Association) also argued that I had "adopted" the accusations made by the complainant in the Association - with the consequence that I was no longer able to speak for the complainant in the Association and before its committees, i.e. I would no longer be able to support her, for example in an internal mediation within the Association in the event of flashbacks that left her speechless.

So Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas knew that their board strategy of suing the complainant together with her other conflicting parties instead of submitting her complaints to the competent bodies of the association for referral would probably work out - which then obviously prompted Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, together with the association lawyer Harms, to work out the mafia-like legal design of the night and fog action of the exclusion proceedings against the complainant and me from the association.

#### 1.2.1.3.3.4. setting up a criminal rope

In a next step, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, together with the "causa DVNLP" perpetrators Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Petra P. and Stephan Landsiedel, took the side of the abuser and alleged sexual offender and violent criminal XY (on which the current DVNLP financial director Leo Buchholz took a stand in the court case XY./Stahl had<sup>83</sup> been fighting against me since 05.03.2014) and supported him, hidden from the public of the association, in his "slander campaign" (= we would have slandered him), which was carried out with his injunctions in court against me and the complainant. In his reasons for the judgement, the judge in question will later (on 30.06.2017) quote from one of my e-mails<sup>84</sup> to Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas dated 13.06.2013, 20.06.2013, 24.08.2013,

---

<sup>82</sup> The complainant has also accepted all three default judgments because she was, together with me, firmly convinced that (1.) the mediation initiated in the Association would take place and (2.) the general meeting would set up a committee of inquiry (see the *complainant's CV-proposal (23 September 2014), 1st CV-proposal steel, 1st suppressed motion (steel) for the DVNLP general meeting 2014, 2nd CV-proposal steel, CV-proposals steel #3 to #5, CV-proposals of six other association members.*

<sup>83</sup> See *RA KF statement LG HH (05.03.2014).*

<sup>84</sup> All mails concerning the complainant and XY are *not* private mails, but collegial mails between her and me as instructor of the complainant and between her and me as officeholder or functionaries of the DVNLP, her as senior member of the Education and Training Commission and me as founding board member and honorary member of the DVNLP, i.e. professional and internal mails.

24.10.2013 and 10.01.2014<sup>85</sup>, which Martina Schmidt-Tanger (mediated via Petra P. and the office of the mafia lawyer Dr. jur. G.) XY had made available to me for use in court. As these e-mails would show, the judge later argued *mutatis mutandis* (see 1.2.2.5.1. *Abuse to Martina Schmidt-Tanger*), disregarding the fact that this was correspondence within the Association that was confidential, that I had made the complainant's accusations against XY my own - in the spirit of the prosecution.

With the help of this operation, which was as covert as it was dirty, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas ensured that I was no longer able to help the complainant in the association to present her complaints. This would only have been possible in the context of the referral to the respective committees, the training commission or the arbitration commission, which are then subject to the obligation of confidentiality. However, such a confidentiality agreement could then only have been contractually agreed and signed in an actual meeting of these bodies with the complainant, and Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas have successfully prevented such a meeting from taking place at all by means of their DVNLP-sponsored actions for injunctive relief - in a manner that is as much contrary to the articles of association as it is underhanded.

Unfortunately I fell for a - if so calculated by her, then also very sneaky - approach of Martina Schmidt-Tanger: After the "self-defence" publication of<sup>86</sup> the 130-page correspondence of the complainant and myself with the DVNLP association officials, which contained one or two e-mails that were only addressed to Martina Schmidt-Tanger (and not, as most of these association-internal confidential e-mails, in cc also to the distribution list of the board or to addressed to Dr. jur. Jens Tomas)<sup>87</sup>, Martina Schmidt-Tanger successfully demanded a cease-and-desist declaration on 30.06.2014, to not publish any more "private" mails from her to me. I stupidly followed this definition, i.e. this qualification of our mail exchange as a private one, by signing a corresponding cease and desist declaration. At that moment I had completely lost sight of the fact that these e-mails were a collegial exchange between psychologists about a former joint seminar participant and an internal confidential exchange within the association regarding a matter that Martina Schmidt-Tanger, as a member of the Training and Further Education Commission, and I, also on a voluntary basis, in the office of founding board member and honorary member, were concerned with.

This confidential mail exchange, which was then embezzled by Martina Schmidt-Tanger, had three levels at the same time: Martina Schmidt-Tanger was (1.) together

---

<sup>85</sup> See in each case under this date in "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014.

<sup>86</sup> See *The S.O.S. Emergency Response Publication*.

<sup>87</sup> See "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014 and "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014.

with me, the complainant's trainer, (2.) as a senior member of the Training and Further Training Commission, dealing with the complainant's most important concerns concerning her DVNLP training and (3.) as a colleague of many years' standing, between the end of 2011 and summer 2014, also a private interlocutor for me and partly also for the complainant and myself together.

It was only after the exclusion of the complainant and myself from the general meeting, which was contrary to the statutes, that I realised that these were of course not *private mails*, but rather friendly *mails from colleagues, concerning our training participant and, above all, association matters that had to be treated confidentially*. Only a few days after I<sup>88</sup> had signed Martina Schmidt-Tanger's injunction request with the priming for "private mails" which she had successfully carried out, **she** forwarded<sup>89</sup> my mails, which she had just defined as "private" and which were addressed to her and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and the board of directors together, to the abuser and alleged sex offender XY so that he could use them in his action for an injunction<sup>90</sup> against me.

Not only for Martina Schmidt-Tanger, but also for all the DVNLP perpetrators who supported XY by actively interfering in his legal proceedings against me (Cora Besser-Siegmund, Stephan Landsiedel, Petra P. and Leo Buchholz), it is instructive to consider the theoretically conceivable different presumptions under which they each supported XY against me, and thus also against the complainant. In the following, I will decline these assumptions for Martina Schmidt-Tanger as the most toxic and most serious one, representing the judicial interference of her "accomplices" which is contrary to the statutes:

---

<sup>88</sup> Martina Schmidt-Tanger knows very well how to consciously use the priming effect (see [https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Priming\\_\(Psychology\)](https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Priming_(Psychology))), I know this from conversations with her. That she obviously deliberately used it against me was less surprising than the fact that I hadn't noticed it for a long time.

<sup>89</sup> The really private parts of these not private but confidential mails between her and me, as functionaries of the association, are blackened here in "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014 and "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014.

<sup>90</sup> The presumably very deliberate calculation and strategic design of Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (and his mafiotically acting law school colleagues Harms and Dr. jur. G.) for this covert operation was probably to make these association-internal confidential mails XY available for use in his legal proceedings against me in order to present the complainant as untrustworthy. Both are hypnostrategically and also legally sufficiently well educated to know that they did not even need to mention the complainant for this purpose: It must have been clear to them that the judge would assume that if the DVNLP chairman, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, and the three - besides myself - most well-known DVNLP psychologists in the association, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Cora Besser-Siegmund and Stephan Landsiedel, were to act as character witnesses for XY, the perception of reality suggested (quasi-hypnotically by a behavioural pre-supposition) would be that the complainant was not credible. In doing so, they have abused the conflict between XY and me and his legal proceedings against me (see 1.2.2.2. DVNLP Board of Directors abuses the justice system).



Martina Schmidt-Tanger could, e.g. before a DVNLP investigative committee, state that she had assumed, when passing on internal association confidential mails to XY, that XY

- **(a)** was entirely innocent, since a sexual relationship with a client does not constitute ethical misconduct for a DVNLP coach and psychotherapist (HP) - even if the latter is demonstrably (as was the case with XY) psychologically decompensated for this relationship and since he or she would not have committed any criminal offense, but would have been seduced and mistreated by the complainant and, above all, then falsely accused by her; or
- **(b)** would have behaved unethically (because a sexual relationship with a client is certainly an ethical violation for a DVNLP coach and HP psychotherapist - especially if he himself is decompensating for this relationship with his client), but XY had not committed any crimes, but had been falsely accused by the complainant as her victim, or
- **(c)** behaved in a clearly unethical manner as a DVNLP course instructor, coach and psychotherapist *and* also committed the sexual and other offences for which he was accused

If **(a) applies**, then, apart from Martina Schmidt-Tanger's interference, as a DVNLP member, in a conflict between two other DVNLP members, one would have to speak of Martina Schmidt-Tanger's assault on XY as a form of abuse. It would certainly be an abuse, since Martina Schmidt-Tanger was not a simple DVNLP member, but the senior member of the "Training and Further Training Commission of the DVNLP" who was concerned with the most important training matters that vitally affected XY and the complainant (certificate fraud!). In this role, Martina Schmidt-Tanger has obviously decided not to deal with these internal association matters together with the other members of the Training and Further Training Commission responsible for them, but rather together with Dr. jur. Jens Tomas *by taking the law into her own hands through* the covert manipulations of deception in the court case XY./Stahl and the 2014 General Assembly to contribute to the fact that this case, which she apparently withheld from her colleagues in the Training and Further Training Commission, would be solved by itself - quasi "biologically", by "disposing" of the complainant by means of an exclusion of the association that bypasses the statutes.

A referral of conflict XY/complainant to the Training Committee would probably have put Martina Schmidt-Tanger, as a member of the Committee who was involved in serious conflicts with the complainant, in just as much of a predicament as a referral to the Conciliation Committee. In both bodies, the contents of her *very* personal and intimate conflicts with the complainant would certainly have been discussed. If pre-assumption (a) is correct, it is clear that Martina Schmidt-Tanger would have abused the complainant, XY and myself in order to increase her chances of avoiding this double adversity.

If **(b) were to** apply, it would also be an abuse, in addition to the interference in the conflict between two other DVNLP members in violation of the statutes and abuse of

office, because Martina Schmidt-Tanger, by taking legal action on behalf of XY in court for her e-mails that were confidential within the association, would have assumed the task of the DVNLP Arbitration Commission, as it were, to issue something like a conciliation award in XY's favour and to the complainant's disadvantage. Moreover, this partisanship would in any case be a clear abuse of authority both XY and me, as Martina Schmidt-Tanger certainly knew very well, that, as DVNLP association officials, her interference would reduce the chances of the two DVNLP members XY and Stahl to reach an agreement that would benefit the association either in the course of a court settlement (which did not come about because of the DVNLP backing of the abuser and alleged criminal XY) or in an internal mediation (of which she was a confidant of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas must have known at that time anyway that the board did not seriously want them and finally blocked them).

If **(c) applies**, Martina Schmidt-Tanger would, in addition to the misdemeanours listed under (a) and (b), assume judicial powers, namely to weigh up and evaluate (to my disadvantage) the legal interests of XY and me or those of the DVNLP and myself. She would abuse XY, me and her DVNLP office to rise up as a judge against me and thus indirectly above all against the complainant - so that we in the association would be condemned as false accusers - which would of course make Martina Schmidt-Tanger's (as well as that of her "accomplices" in the court case XY./Stahl and that of her "NLP-professional" colleague SM) would reduce the risk of being denounced and perhaps even convicted in court even for extremely unethical and partly justiciable misconduct.

Whatever the presumption that Martina Schmidt-Tanger had acted abusively in this case, a committee of inquiry should in any case question her about her own interest in the fact that XY had succeeded in his action for an injunction against me and that the complainant was thus defencelessly at the mercy of the destructive efforts to dispose of the waste, both by herself and by the DVNLP or other DVNLP association officials. He should also ask Martina Schmidt-Tanger whether she knew and approved of the fact that KF, the lawyer of XY who was reported together with his client, had embezzled my confidential e-mails addressed to her by her and then sent to KF via her lawyer Dr. jur. G. on 01.09.2014, and that he had also used them on 25.09.2014 to file a criminal complaint against me by his client XY.<sup>91</sup>

The clearly recognisable strategy of Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas to psychopathologise and criminalise the complainant and myself<sup>92</sup> and their calculation for their secretly dirty interference in the XY./Stahl court case has worked out: The judge in these proceedings apparently considered the "character

---

<sup>91</sup> See *criminal complaint XY Mails MST+DJT via law firm Dr. jur. G. to KF (25.09.2014)* and *notice of recruitment to XY via KF (16.12.2014)*.

<sup>92</sup> Cf. the entry from 30.05.2014 in the "*Causa DVNLP*"- *Correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014* and *Jens an Thies u. DVNLP (30.05.2014)*

witness", the DVNLP office holder and graduate psychologist Martina Schmidt-Tanger, since personally *apparently* not involved, to be more credible and therefore probably more competent than me. The fact that Martina Schmidt-Tanger left my e-mails addressed to her, in which I had informed her about the contents of the internal complaint of our training participant regarding the misdeeds of XY, to exactly this XY for judicial use against me, could - in the psychological-legal calculation of the DVNLP leadership duo Schmidt-Tanger/Dr. In the view of the judge, Martina Schmidt-Tanger can only be seen by the judge as if she was deeply convinced of XY's innocence with regard to the accusations made against him and would therefore consider the complainant to be rather implausible. The assessment of Martina Schmidt-Tanger as a graduate psychologist would in this case - as far as the experience of DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas from his time as a lawyer certainly sufficed - carry considerably more weight than mine: He will have known that my psychologist assessment and conviction regarding the complainant's credibility would carry considerably less weight due to my private relationship with her. This calculation, presumably deliberately thought through by both of them,<sup>93</sup> was then also successful.

#### 1.2.1.3.3.5 Perfidious double psychopathologisation

The perfidy of the psychopathologization strategy of Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas is that it is two-layered: There is an explicit-verbal and another level, which could be called implicit-non-verbal, behavioral.

#### **Verbal-explicit - direct and easily recognizable**

In the case of Martina Schmidt-Tanger, the verbal level consists in explicitly portraying the complainant as mentally ill, as for example on 30 May 2014, when she explicitly-verbally described the complainant in an official e-mail to the extended board of directors of the association with attributions such as "*mentally disoriented*".<sup>94</sup> psychopathologized. In the case of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, for example, it consists in instructing the association lawyer Harms, who cooperates with him in a

---

<sup>93</sup> Before passing on the e-mails, Martina Schmidt-Tanger had never, for more than two and a half years, in our discussions in a threesome, expressed any doubts whatsoever as to the credibility of the complainant's reports, either as regards those from her childhood or as regards the reports on what had happened in my Master's and in our coaching training. It was only when the complainant in the DVNLP filed a complaint against Martina Schmidt-Tanger herself that Martina Schmidt-Tanger began to point out in our conversations that there were "after all possible false memories".

<sup>94</sup> See the mails of Martina Schmidt-Tanger from 30.05.2014 to the Board of Directors in "*Causa DVNLP*"- Correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014.

mafia-like manner, to exclude her from a DVNLP event on the grounds of "psychologically unstable".<sup>95</sup>

### **Non-verbal-implicit behavioral - indirect and difficult to recognize**

The non-verbal level of the psychopathologization strategy of Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas completes the verbal level. And: It makes the verbal, and consequently itself as non-verbal, all the more effective.

*In the case of Martina Schmidt-Tanger:* With her abusive act of providing judicial assistance to the convicted abuser and alleged sex offender XY by misappropriating confidential documents within the association, Martina Schmidt-Tanger has psychopathologised the complainant's behaviour, i.e. implicitly-non-verbally: The mere fact that she provided XY with confidential e-mails within the association for use against the complainant, this behaviour alone acts as a strong hypnotic suggestion - for the court as well as for the members of the board of directors and the board of trustees, which she and Dr. jur. "She actually did it", the latter will have thought, i.e. it is true that she did it, so the pre-supposition must also be true that the complainant is an implausible false accuser. At least as long as no one accuses the "DVNLP psychologist authority" Martina Schmidt-Tanger of using the embezzlement of the association's internal documents for assistance to the abuser and alleged sex offender XY as a trick to portray her own abuse victim, the complainant, as an untrustworthy lunatic - with the aim that no one should believe her allegations of her complaints filed in the association, including those against Martina Schmidt-Tanger herself and against her "NLP-professional" colleague SM. Although the existence of these complaints was concealed by her and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas from the general meeting, which was lied to by both, Martina Schmidt-Tanger would have taken precautions in this way.

*In the case of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas:* He "hypnotized" with the help of Erickson's technique of "dog breed" hallucination<sup>96</sup>, which he induced in the 2014 general meeting by pointing to a file in front of him and saying something like "*This file is full of such abstruse texts*". When the lecturing "DVNLP-Dr. jur. Authority" Jens Tomas" with the reference to "*such abstruse texts like this one*" refers verbally and non-

---

<sup>95</sup> See the letter from Verbandsanwalt Harms to the complainant of 30 May 2014 in "*Causa DVNLP*"- Correspondence 08 May 2014 to 31 October 2014.

<sup>96</sup> The perfidy of this second level of psychopathologization quickly becomes clear to NLP practitioners trained in hypnosis techniques and the speech patterns of the "Milton Model" as a special kind of hypnotic presupposition suggestion: As an authority accepted by my counterpart as trustworthy, I do something that, in order to be perceived as true or meaningful, presupposes something else, which is then also perceived as true or meaningful. This principle originates from Milton Erickson, who once looked towards the empty floor and hypnotized his client by inducing a positive hallucination of a dog. He did not instruct him to hallucinate a dog there, but asked him, looking over there, "What breed is that?" As mentioned above: Dr. jur. Jens Tomas is quite familiar with Erickson's hypnosis techniques.

verbally both to a closed file folder actually lying there and to the text excerpt projected on the screen via beamer, he will successfully induce the hallucination in the majority of his listeners in this meeting before their mental eyes, to "see" in the opened folder many of the complainant's texts with similarly bold, sexually explicit formulations as those in the text that they see projected onto the wall at the moment - and which was presumably very deliberately selected from the complainant's correspondence for this hypnotic trick: This approach by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas is therefore highly perfidious (see 1.2.1.4.7. *Grand Inquisitor, conjurer and chief of the self- and lynch law* and 1.2.2.8.3. *abuse of the general meeting*) because the complainant's text, which is projected onto the wall and actually contains bold sexually explicit formulations, but which has been torn out of any context, is an exception both in her e-mails to the master group<sup>97</sup> and in all her correspondence with the board<sup>98</sup> and her application<sup>99</sup> to the arbitration committee: It is the *only* text of hers in which such formulations occur at all. It was deliberately taken from a very specific, very emotionally written e-mail sent by the complainant to the master group, in which she made serious accusations of gang rape against a group of fellow participants - in a language that is perfectly appropriate to the event at stake.

The indirect, *behavioural* statement of Martina Schmidt-Tanger (and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas), i.e. through her *act of* misappropriation of internal association documents to XY for its use against the complainant, is just as convincing a *behavioural* pre-supposition suggestion to present the complainant as an untrustworthy false accuser as the file folder suggestion of Dr. jur. For the *act of* Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas of actively protecting XY from the complainant also suggested, as a *behavioural* pre-supposition, to the other DVNLP association officials not directly involved in the conflicts with the complainant and, above all, to the judge in court proceedings XY/Steel quite successfully, that XY was a "poor victim" and the complainant was an "untrustworthy and highly dangerous person for blameless DVNLP members".

The design of both manoeuvres was recognisably aimed at prophylactically protecting Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the "NLP-professional" Institute, which is run jointly with Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and SM, in the event of the misconduct and role-mixed abuses of which they are accused by the complainant and which involve acts of sexual violence, by psychopathologising and criminalising the complainant.

Martina Schmidt-Tanger thus put everything on the card of her credibility in the DVNLP, which she assumed to be secure, and obviously relied on the fact that the

---

<sup>97</sup> See under the date of 03.04.2014, 20:55:08, in the *mails in the master distribution list 2013-2014*

<sup>98</sup> See the "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014 and the "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014

<sup>99</sup> See the *complainant's request to the Conciliation Committee (23 August 2013)*.

credibility of the complainant, which she, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and her rope team members XY, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Anita von Hertel, Stephan Landsiedel, Leo Buchholz and Ralf Dannemeyer had attacked on many levels, would be regarded as lost by her *actions*.

1.2.1.3.3.6. eliminating character assassinations and with Nazi or perpetrator energy

In a final step, Martina Schmidt-Tanger then participated together with Dr. jur. Jens Tomas in the inhumane "disposal" of the complainant and also in the exclusion of myself from the DVNLP: Together with the intensive conflict partners of the complainant, Petra P., AK, Anita von Hertel, Leo Buchholz and indirectly also Dr. Franz-Josef Hücker,<sup>100</sup> they manipulated and deceived the 2014 general meeting - with the (then also achieved) goal that the members present, who were jointly lied to by them, sign off on the exclusion efforts of the executive committee directed against the complainant and me.

In her perverse triangles with XY, SM, Petra P., AK, and probably also with Anita von Hertel and Leo Buchholz, Martina Schmidt-Tanger improperly formed a coalition not only against our former training participant, the complainant, but also against me. It was certainly clear to her that my obligation to compensate the complainant was so great that I would in any event comply with it: after all, our former training participant had been seriously harmed in *my* master's course by a course supervisor *I had* trained and in *our* joint coaching training by *my* co-trainer Schmidt-Tanger, who cowardly stole out of her co-responsibility, and in the association *I had* founded with the NLP method *I had* brought to Germany.

Martina Schmidt-Tanger will also have realized that in such a situation I would have needed her support as a friend and DVNLP functionary - as well as the support of our other DVNLP colleagues, especially our legally trained colleague Dr. jur. Jens Tomas. However, the latter then used his theoretical and practical legal know-how, which he had probably still acquired as lawyer Jens Tomaschewski, together with his two colleagues, the DVNLP association lawyer Harms and Dr. jur. G., who he had mediated to Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM, against the complainant and me in a manner that clearly appeared to be mafia or smear-advocative.

1.2.1.3.4 Repressed background knowledge

Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas knew that the complainant and I were under death threats<sup>101</sup> from the pedocriminal perpetrator system in Hamburg,

---

<sup>100</sup> Dr. Franz-Josef Hücker was informed in detail about the breaches of the statutes and about the degrading actions of the executive board and kept silent within the association. In 2016 he was appointed, together with the other "Causa DVNLP" perpetrators, nevertheless, or rather just because of this, as an honorary member of the DVNLP.

<sup>101</sup> Disgusting, but also not completely ineffective: *Anonymous to Thies (06.12.2012)*, *Anonymous to BF (02.01.2013)* and *Anonymous to BF (19.04.2013)*.

as documented by police records.<sup>102103</sup> And they both knew that, as the complainant's partner, I had to face some emotional challenges, including As a result, I helped her to communicate the abuses and rapes committed against her in the most brutal way during her childhood and adult life and to name them in such a way that she could both report the step-family perpetrator relatives and have them summoned to atonement trials, who had sold them as a child to paedophiles and other johns, as well as professional abusers from the psycho-scene, such as their psychology professor and youth welfare supervisor GB, DVNLP abuser XY and other DVNLP teaching trainers.

Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas were familiar with the challenges I had to deal with. Even from the little that Martina Schmidt-Tanger had learned from me about the terrible childhood experiences of our training participant, she would already have been "*tertiarily traumatized*"<sup>104</sup>. She would not be able to bear to hear more than the brief comments that I and the complainant had made on the matter. Dr. jur. Jens Tomas also stated that he would not be able to confront himself with reports about the complainant's traumatic experiences - he would then always have to think of his little daughter.<sup>105</sup>

Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas were also aware that the complainant had given the LKA a number of addresses for house searches where, according to her detailed information, child and violent pornographic material would have been found. Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his board of directors helped to prevent these house searches from taking place by supporting - as a result - together with the other "DVNLP case" perpetrators, the paedocriminal perpetrator system in presenting the complainant to the LKA and the public prosecutor's office as implausible and mentally ill. This was achieved on the one hand by Petra P. and the association lawyer Harms<sup>106</sup>, who denounced the

---

<sup>102</sup> See *Thies on PK21 by H. (14.03.2016)*.

<sup>103</sup> "*Then move to the Eifel*" was in this context the cynical advice of my long-time colleague Martina Schmidt-Tanger - who of course knew that I like living in Hamburg and especially in the immediate vicinity of the Elbe.

<sup>104</sup> She used this term after I had previously said to her, half for fun and half seriously, that I was already "secondarily traumatised" by the terrible things from her childhood that the complainant told me about as my partner - knowing full well that this is a term that trauma therapists use when they cannot maintain the professional distance necessary for their work. Important: The complainant is and has never been my client. As her love partner, of course, I do not have the means and skills available to me in the role of therapist to keep the horror experienced in listening at a distance.

<sup>105</sup> All the more astonishing that he then later apparently instrumentalised it in a questionable way for the dramaturgy of manipulation and deception of the 2014 General Assembly (see *1.2.2.8.3. Abuse of the General Assembly*).

<sup>106</sup> See the entries of 30.05.2014 and 25.06.2014 in the "*Dossier Täter-Victim-Repatriation*".

complainant in criminalising and pathologising terms, and on the other hand by Martina Schmidt-Tanger joining the complainant's opponents XY, Petra P., AK, KA, DD, DK and GA, and three months after them on 28 July 2014 - contrary to the statutes, as a case for the Arbitration Commission - also filing a criminal complaint and criminal charges against her training participant, the complainant.<sup>107</sup>

Through this support of the perpetrator system, of which Dr. jur. Jens Tomas knew early on through file inspection that the latter had succeeded in manipulating the files of the LKA and the public prosecutor's office via the Social Psychiatric Service Altona, the DVNLP association leadership, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, with her psychological and legal expertise, probably contributed to the fact that since 2014, in addition to those mentioned in the complainant's reports, which were suppressed by the DVNLP, several other children, infants and toddlers have been penetrated anally, vaginally, orally and/or tortured in other ways and even died. Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and her "Causa DVNLP" rope team, which has already been mentioned several times above by name, could presumably have spared many children if they had<sup>108</sup> consistently ensured since August 2013 that the DVNLP would not have supported the pedocriminal offender system responsible for these monstrosities - neither by psychopathologising and criminalising the complainant (as a key witness who had left this system and testified), still by supporting the abuser and alleged sex offender XY and the XY support group consisting of participants of my former Master, the coaching training of Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the Landsiedel training.

Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas have escaped the horror of images and ideas that one can hardly get out of one's head if one allows the complainant's reports about her childhood and the childhood of her children in a paedocriminal perpetrator system. Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas obviously could not stand the confrontation with these monstrosities and probably decided to quickly repress them - at the expense of the complainant: Instead of helping her, as her former coaching trainer and as her chairman of the board, to get a hearing for her complaint about the crimes committed in the DVNLP, they played a decisive role in silencing the complainant in the DVNLP by covering her up with association-sponsored injunctions and lawsuits, throwing her out of the general meeting with brutal physical violence and then expelling her from the association by treacherously staged character assassination.

---

<sup>107</sup> Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and the association lawyers Harms and Dr. jur. will have known that an increase in the pressure of charges against the complainant would increase the probability that the LKA would assume the correctness of the note in the file, which had been manipulated via the Social Psychiatric Service Altona, in which she had been declared to be implausible, mentally ill and probably even - like me - delusional (see the entries under 24 January 2014 and 27 September 2016 in the "*Dossier Täter-Opfer-Verpferkehr*").

<sup>108</sup> See the *request by the complainant to the Conciliation Commission (23.08.2013)* and the *request by Stahl to the Conciliation Commission (23.08.2013)*.



My first great horror as a prelude to the "Causa DVNLP" consisted in the fact that from 2012 onwards, I gradually witnessed more and more violent, monstrous scenes from the complainant's childhood at close quarters, as it were, which came up in her mind from repression and were gradually communicated. With the horrible images generated by these descriptions, which are difficult to process emotionally ...

***I had originally planned to publish rape photos of anal, vaginal and oral penetrated children, infants and even babies at this point in the text.***

...I had to fend for myself. And I also had to deal with my indignation - both about the "family" perpetrators of her childhood, who are no longer actionable due to the statute of limitations, and about the mutually covering perpetrators of her adult life outside and inside the DVNLP.

The imagination of the readers of this article will be enough to understand what kind of images I had to deal with. I wanted Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas to expose themselves to such cruel images, but also Anita von Hertel, with whom the complainant and I had already sat together once and all three of whom cried together over reports of the complainant's worst experiences that she had had to endure as a child. My four long-time NLP colleagues Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Cora Besser-Siegmund and Anita von Hertel, obviously against the background of their own conflicts and culpable entanglements with the complainant, left me alone with my indignation about XY and the other, cowardly perpetrators from the DVNLP seminar groups who do not stand by their actions and hide behind each other.

By publishing the worst pictures of raped children and infants, I wanted to make it harder for them and the other "causa DVNLP" perpetrators, as well as for the silent DVNLP membership, to continue at the expense of the "clean" business with the NLP through character assassination, criminalization and psychopathologization by the DVNLP of the abused complainant, that such a monstrous thing actually exists - not only in general and somewhere, but in the middle of our society and very probably even directly in her immediate environment and in her immediate area of responsibility within the DVNLP, an association that has been supporting abusers and alleged male *and* female (and female) abusers and psychopathologizers until today. a. also pedocriminals) sex offenders are hidden and protected from prosecution

The second horror, which almost left me even more stunned, was to see how my long-standing colleagues Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas then actually raped the complainant again, mentally, with the help of an underhandedly staged exclusion that trampled her dignity underfoot, first from any internal association communication and then, also physically, by the security guards, who brutally pulled the complainant by the hair out of the general meeting and threw her - literally - onto the street in front of the venue.

Since October 2016, the DVNLP has had two honorary members, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who *have "generously used the DVNLP offices they*

*have been awarded*<sup>109</sup>for a "place in the circle of perpetrators who also act with extreme psychological violence towards the complainant", i.e. for their place in the perpetrator system of the complainant.

Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and also Anita von Hertel were informed that in the association with XY and RP, at least two members, if not others, e.g. from the group of master course participants at the time, still had a direct and probably active connection with the paedocriminal offender system - and certainly still have today - from which the complainant had succeeded, with my help, in getting out. Nevertheless, they not only protected XY, together with the "causa DVNLP" perpetrators and accomplices Cora Besser-Siegmund, Stephan Landsiedel, Petra P., Leo Buchholz and Ralf Dannemeyer, but even actively supported him in his smear campaign against the complainant and me - covertly and in a dirty way. In this way, the "DVNLP cause" perpetrators have "briefly" added to the traumatizations of the complainant the retraumatizing horror of a destructive character assassination and an excommunication (yes, ultimately always) aimed at murdering the soul.

<sup>110</sup>The third horror, which left me shaking my head, was that the DVNLP members, who were informed by me several times by e-mail about the derailments in their association, apparently did not care, and even today it is still the case that in their association, which presents itself as humanistic, human rights are trampled underfoot and a DVNLP colleague, the complainant, is systematically dishonoured and her dignity is more than just touched. This apparently did not interest the opportunists in the association who looked away and anxiously focused on their sales - nor the fact that the DVNLP took the risk of becoming a sponsoring association for pedocriminals by actions contrary to its statutes.

For probably dark personal reasons, and probably also in order to no longer have to deal with the fate of the complainant and that of her and other raped children, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas took the risk of being partly responsible for crimes committed by child molesters: They decided, presumably consciously, to side with XY, her "NLP-professional" colleague SM and the pedocriminal offender system represented by XY in the DVNLP and to help XY and the other alleged criminals inside and outside the association to criminalise, pathologise and portray the complainant as untrustworthy, i.e. to silence her.

Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and their accomplices, who were presumably informed about these backgrounds, presumably very consciously accepted the risk of placing the DVNLP in the service of pedocriminals when they prepared and finally staged the dramaturgy of the members' meeting for the

---

<sup>109</sup> See page 9 in the judgment on the Nazi analogies".

<sup>110</sup> See "My mails to about 1700 DVNLP members".

association's official vigilante justice against the complainant.<sup>111</sup> They have robbed a DVNLP member of his membership and human rights by perfidious means, prejudged him and finally - probably much to the delight of the pedocriminal perpetrators inside and outside the DVNLP - got rid of them by raving mob or lynch law.<sup>112</sup>

This DVNLP attack, carried out with devastating perpetrator energy, on the integrity and dignity of the resilient complainant, who has survived the worst in her life, is, in terms of its toxicity, quite comparable to many of the traumas she has been subjected to since being penetrated anally and vaginally by family members and paid customers as a small child. The perpetrators who, according to their reports, took advantage of her as a child, probably realized their own economic and hedonistic advantage at their expense in a similarly unattractive way as the DVNLP XY and its supporters, the "causa DVNLP" perpetrators did. These DVNLP members, and also the association officials and today's DVNLP honorary members among them, are responsible for the fact that the DVNLP has fallen into disrepute for maintaining bad connections in the pedocriminal milieu. They will have to answer questions from a committee of inquiry as to the extent to which they have personally benefited, with their private heterosexual, lesbian, homosexual and paedophilic interests and needs, both private and business, from these links into the paedocriminal offender system maintained through XY and his support group.

Back to the chronology: In 2013, Martina Schmidt-Tanger said that she was already unable to sleep at all, especially in view of what the complainant additionally reported about her violent experiences as an adult, which she had had to make, for example, in our "NLP-professional" coaching training. In addition to the Hamburg participant DN, the "NLP-professional" employee SM would also have participated. The complainant also reported bad experiences in her DVNLP-Pactitioner 2008 at the former DVNLP instructor AL in Hamburg and in 2010-2011 in her DVNLP-training with Stephan Landsiedel. In the latter, XY and some of the course attendants and fellow participants influenced by him there had participated in the most violent way - approved, ignored or "simply overlooked" by Stephan Landsiedel.

Martina Schmidt-Tanger has obviously solved her presumed conflict of loyalty, either to help her training participant, the complainant, or her employee SM in the following way: Instead of strengthening the complainant's back against the abuser XY and the other alleged sexual offenders reported, the "NLP professionals" Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas preferred to hide her "NLP-professional" colleague SM, also denounced by the complainant, together with XY from the DVNLP

---

<sup>111</sup> See the *oath. Statement by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (09.12.2014)*.

<sup>112</sup> The mistreatment of two voting members, who are prevented from speaking by brute force and removed from a general meeting of members manipulated and deceived by the executive committee in the course of power-abusing vigilante justice, can be considered mob or even lynch justice (cf. *Federal Agency for Civic Education*).

members in the "Schautribunal" general meeting staged by them - together with the fact that they themselves, just like XY and SM, are among the complainants in the association, which were systematically concealed in the 2014 general meeting manipulated and deceived by them. Instead, the complainant and I were pulled out of the hat and presented to the lied DVNLP members - in our enforced absence and with a beamer presentation and other hocus-pocus - as the allegedly only members against whom there had been complaints in the association: My complaints against XY, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. Jens Tomas, as well as the complainant's complaints against XY, SM, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Stephan Landsiedel and some other DVNLP teacher trainers were completely "dropped under the table" by the board of directors to the general meeting which it had lied to.

Above all, the members were not informed about the actual conflict events in my master course at that time: The most important person of the "Causa DVNLP" core conflict, XY, was completely concealed and made invisible<sup>113</sup> - in stark contrast to the epically broad, beam-supported discussion of the complainant and the slanderous "reports" of her conflict partners Petra P., AK, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Anita von Hertel and Leo Buchholz, who were protecting and hiding XY, who remained consistently unmentioned. All these lies and slander are presented by the DVNLP board of directors to its lied members until today in the DVNLP member and internet forums for which it is responsible.

Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas have managed, with the help of a construct of lies presented at the 2014 general meeting, which they deceived, to conceal from the DVNLP members not only the complainant's internal complaints against her "NLP-professional" colleague SM, but also the complaints filed by her against herself within the association. The basis for this was, as mentioned above, that Martina Schmidt-Tanger and her "NLP-professional" colleague Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had succeeded, instead of forwarding the complainant's complaints to the Association's conciliation commission, in successfully "outsourcing" the complaints of the complainant to Hamburg courts by means of injunctions supported by the DVNLP in violation of the statutes - in order to be able to cover them up within the Association.

After what has been said so far, it should already be clear that there have been far more serious transgressions by Martina Schmidt-Tanger than those which the complainant was able to name emotionally, and above all legally, in her complaint against her DVNLP trainer 2014, which was consistently swept under the carpet in the DVNLP. The substantive allegations that are the subject of the complainant's internal complaint against Martina Schmidt-Tanger and at the same time the content of her complaint to the police against her represent only a small part of the presumably extremely unethical misconduct of Martina Schmidt-Tanger, as can be seen from the complainant's extensive records of the "Causa DVNLP" crimes to

---

<sup>113</sup> See the *judgement of the interim judgement (09.03.2017)*.

which I have access. Due to the DVNLP-sponsored injunctions of Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SMs against the complainant, this incompleteness of the content of the complaints suppressed in the association and their corresponding charges against Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM, ignored by the public prosecutor's office after mafia-like actions by DVNLP lawyers, cannot be corrected here in this article. However, in a silence-protected setting before a DVNLP investigative committee or in the course of a renewed police or judicial hearing, the complainant would be prepared to give full testimony and also to allow insight into her extensive and detailed biographical texts.

#### 1.2.1.3.5 'False memories' as a DVNLP gift for paediatric criminals

From early 2014, the complainant and I then heard Martina Schmidt-Tanger say several times and increasingly bluntly alluding to the fact that the complainant's individual reports concerning her situation in my master's and the other DVNLP seminars at the time 114 "could indeed also be 'false memories'", because "some of them are simply broken" - by which she generally meant, albeit in a non-verbally clear allusion to the complainant present in the interview, women who have to cope with complex traumatizations accumulated over long periods of time in their lives.

Such remarks were the beginning of her above-mentioned slander, which Martina Schmidt-Tanger then obviously used in the DVNLP in a manner that was as permissive as it was strategically distributed, that the complainant (and I, too, by way of a slide á deux) was mentally disturbed and would falsely accuse her. This stigmatizing psychopathologization spread almost virally - within the DVNLP and even in the neighbouring constellation world.<sup>115</sup>

Even if it were true that in some of the many cases of violent border crossings by "causa DVNLP" perpetrators, which are mentioned in her reports suppressed by the

---

<sup>114</sup> What a betrayal of the basic positions of NLP! "Nothing is broken, everything works perfectly": Of course, all people have the resources they need for their changes - even when it comes to the most severe trauma and such a major change in identity as is associated, for example, with the abandonment of loyalty to a, and the withdrawal from a, subcultural, quasi-ethnic and lifelong, deeply identity-forming reference group. In the case of the complainant, the latter was a paedocriminal perpetrator system around her mother, to which the complainant was at the mercy of until her departure as a principal witness. With her "kaput" formulation, Martina Schmidt-Tanger created the impression that she shared with the male perpetrators a view of humanity or the world that resembled the chauvinistic, stupid bourgeois double-moral stigmatizer and male man-man liberator, who think something like, "Some whores are broken anyway, that's why you can deal with them as you like" - and then sometimes even dump one of them physically violently abused woman on the garbage heap of (psychologically not integrated) bourgeois merriment. Under the burden of which guilt and in relation to which own misconduct Martina Schmidt-Tanger lacked any female solidarity here, can only be named by the complainant in a silence-protected framework provided by a DVNLP investigative committee - or in a judicial framework in which the injunctions obtained against her with dirty DVNLP-sponsored funds do not apply.

<sup>115</sup> Cf. "Psychiatry. Not funny".

DVNLP, the complainant might have confused here and there one of the many places, some detail or one of the innumerable persons involved, it would still be far from being possible to speak of false accusations. After all, these experiences of violence are only the continuation of a decades-long series of countless experiences of violence of a similar or structurally similar kind.

And: Even if it should be true that I, "blinded and addicted to her", as I am portrayed for example by Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Petra P. and SA<sup>116</sup>, believed her *everything* and *absolutely every detail*, the DVNLP executive committee would have behaved abysmally wrong in the "DVNLP case" with its crime of self- and mob-justice: It should have obliged the parties to the conflict to maintain silence about the conflicts and their contents until court decisions have been made - under the threat that those members involved in the conflict who do not comply with this obligation of silence will be excluded and publicly disclose the contents of the conflicts.

The board of directors has rejected the complainant's motion to the board of directors not to allow Dr. jur. Jens Tomas to participate in the decision-making process in the board of directors because of prejudice and his own involvement as an "NLP professional" employee of Martina Schmidt-Tanger in matters concerning the "DVNLP case", as has my motion of the same name.<sup>117</sup>

Martina Schmidt-Tanger placed the consequences of her own guilt and, above all, her cowardice on our shoulders, as did the alleged perpetrators and accomplices among the participants of my master's course at the time. All "Causa DVNLP" perpetrators involved, with the exception of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Stephan Landsiedel and Ralf Dannemeyer, are my former students. But they are not my children - and even if they were, they would be grown up enough today to admit their own guilt. With the publication of this text I expect my former NLP students XY, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Petra P., Anita von Hertel, Franz-Josef Hücker and Leo Buchholz to bear their own guilt as those responsible and co-responsible for the "DVNLP case". And also her shame.

#### *1.2.1.4 Abuse by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas*

The abuses initiated, approved or committed by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas himself, but in any case for which he is responsible, can be described as a total loss of the neutrality offered to him in his office and finally as frustration, favouritism and failure to provide assistance - a consequence of his own involvement in the "DVNLP cause" conflicts.

---

<sup>116</sup> See Petra P.'s brazen lie to the police (under 25.06.2014 in the "*Dossier Täter-Opfer-Victim-Reversal*"), the psychopathologizations in the e-mails of Martina Schmidt-Tanger of 30.05.2014 to the board in "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014 and the oblique insinuations of SA (see 1.2.2.7.5. *DVNLP self-justification as a scripted reality soap in cyberspace*).

<sup>117</sup> See under the 19.06.2014 "*Causa DVNLP*"- Correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014

#### 1.2.1.4.1. head of the Party

In the course of the "DVNLP case", DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas increasingly disregarded the requirement of neutrality applicable to the board of directors and unilaterally abandoned the presumption of innocence, which had to be maintained ex officio in the conflicts *between* complainant/XY and complainant/master participants, to the disadvantage of the complainant: The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors (pre)condemned<sup>118</sup> the complainant and orchestrated and was responsible in the association, together with Martina Schmidt-Tanger and in the form of an official self-justification of the association, for a complete conversion of perpetrator-victim to the disadvantage of the complainant and also to my own.

The loss of neutrality of the chairman, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, is particularly evident from the fact that it obviously did not seem questionable to him that the complainant's intensive conflict partner, AK, had joined the DVNLP as a new member with the explicitly stated reason for being<sup>119</sup> able to file a motion to exclude the complainant and myself. Dr. jur. Jens Tomas was obviously already so deeply involved in the conflicts of Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Cora Besser-Siegmund and the Master participants (Petra P., AK, DK, KA and Leo Buchholz) around XY with the complainant that it did not appear strange to him that the recently admitted member of this association had joined the DVNLP with the declared mission to bring about the exclusion not only of a fellow participant of his master course who was making serious accusations against him, but also that of his course instructor, i.e. the DVNLP member who had belonged to this association as its initiator for more or less the longest time. The blind spot of the chairman, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, and his partisanship in this matter were so great that neither he nor his colleagues on the board asked the complainant or me what kind of massive conflict had taken place in my master's group. Above all, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas was blind and deaf to the fact that AK openly psychopathologised the complainant, who is quite obviously his intensive conflict partner, in his application for exclusion - just like his fellow participant from the Master's programme, DK, in his letter<sup>120</sup> to the board.

AK's application for exclusion is, by its very nature, like that of OA<sup>121</sup>, one of the facilitators of the Landsiedel training course attended by the complainant and also one of her intensive conflict partners, actually a complaint of a DVNLP member against another DVNLP member and should have been brought to the attention of this other member, the complainant, by the board (1.) and (2.), as a complaint,

---

<sup>118</sup> See *Jens an Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)*.

<sup>119</sup> Cf. *exclusion motion AK (08.10.2014)*.

<sup>120</sup> See *Mail Master participant DK to DVNLP (25.04.2014)*.

<sup>121</sup> See *exclusion request OA (08.10.2014)* OA is also the author of *OA in Some shoes wear metal soles DVNLP-XING (10/07/2014)* Still visible in net: *Some shoes wear metal soles DVNLP-XING (12/14/2019)*.

should have been submitted to the Arbitration Commission for referral according to the statutes.

However, this is exactly what the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors did not do, because Dr. jur. Jens Tomas obviously pursued a different strategy: He clearly took sides<sup>122</sup> against OA, as well as Petra P., AK and the other Master's participants who were highly involved in conflicts with the complainant, and also against me. The loss of neutrality of the DVNLP chairman, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, finally culminated in the fact that he provided an absurdly one-sided stage for the complainant's conflicting partners in the general meeting, which he, together with Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Petra P., Anita von Hertel, AK and Leo Buchholz, manipulated and deceived, for the sentencing of the complainant and myself in the manner of a summary court - during our absence, which was forced upon us by brutal physical violence. And: He had his board of directors conceal the exclusion motions of AK and OA from the complainant and me for long weeks and, moreover, did not forward them to the arbitration commission, but instead handed them over to one of the mafia lawyers of the DVNLP in preparation for the "Nacht und Nebel" exclusion proceedings against the complainant and me.

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas made his partisanship very clear at an early stage, for example, when, in an e-mail to me with serious accusations against me and the complainant, he took the side of XY, Petra P. and KF, the lawyer of XY, who had been denounced by the complainant as an accomplice of XY and who also represents the complainant's other Master Intensive Conflict Partners.<sup>123</sup>

#### 1.2.1.4.2 Lord of the Perverse Triangles

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas has become entangled in the perverse triangles that Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Stephan Landsiedel, Petra P., Anita von Hertel, Cora Besser-Siegmund and the other parties to the complainant's conflict established against her and also against me and has obviously become a reliable additional coalition partner in several perverse triangles. Of particular note is his cooperation in perverse coalitions with

- the abuser and alleged sex offender XY, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Stephan Landsiedel, Petra P. and Cora Besser-Siegmund in the "covert operations" of the board of directors, who actively and secretly supported the abuser and alleged sex offender XY in his slanderous campaign, which was conducted against the complainant and me in violation of the statutes, in order to criminalize and pathologize us,
- Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Petra P., AK, Anita von Hertel and today's new board member Leo Buchholz in their action to manipulate and deceive the 2014

---

<sup>122</sup> Link: *Jens to Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)*

<sup>123</sup> See also *Jens an Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)*.



general meeting with the aim of drawing and officially disseminating a false picture of the conflict situation around XY and the complainant, which is grossly and unrecognizably distorted: XY, as the addressee of a complaint in the DVNLP, was completely eradicated, just like Dr. jur. Jens Tomas himself, his "NLP-professional" colleagues Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM, as well as Stephan Landsiedel and other DVNLP teaching trainers,

- the DVNLP-member DK, who wrote an obscene resignation-mail to the association defaming the complainant<sup>124</sup>, but who nevertheless was not allowed to defame<sup>125</sup> us in the DVNLP-members forum - and is still allowed to do so today, with the toleration and approval of the "Anja Mýrdal"-board<sup>126</sup>,
- the moderator of the DVNLP members' fake<sup>127</sup> forum responsible for the targeted misinformation and disinformation of the DVNLP membership and the NLP public, the DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks von Hallig-Oland, who chronically lies for his employer<sup>128</sup>,
- who denounced the complainant and myself to the police by false statements made by<sup>129</sup> Petra P., then spokeswoman of the DVNLP regional group for Schleswig-Holstein/Hamburg, and
- the official and unofficial association lawyers Harms and Dr. jur. G. with the aim of discrediting, criminalising and, above all, pathologising the complainant and myself before the LKA, the public prosecutor's office and the courts (see 1.2.2.2.1. *Abusive use of "association lawyers"*).

All perverse triangles where Dr. jur. Jens Tomas was and is involved, had and still has the aim that the complaint addressees XY, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, SM, Cora Besser-

---

<sup>124</sup> See DK's mail of 25.04.2014.

<sup>125</sup> See SA AK DK DD KA assassinated in Koenigsmacher (27.02.2015)/currently: *The Koenigsmacher is assassinated 1-2 DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019).

<sup>126</sup> See the posts in the DVNLP members forum then/now: SA AK DK DD KA assassinated in Koenigsmacher (27.02.2015)/*The Koenigsmacher is assassinated 1-2 DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019), SA AK in DVNLP-XING forum *The true victims* (27.02.2015)/*The true victims thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019), OA in *Some shoes wear metal soles DVNLP-XING* (07.10.2014)/*Some shoes wear metal soles DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019), AK and DD in *Stellvertreter-Konflikte* (27.02.2015)/*Stellvertreter-Konflikte DVNLP -XING* (14.12.2019) and DD in *Meine Sicht zu einer aktuellen DVNLP-XING* (26.08.2018)/*Meine Sicht zu einer aktuellen DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019)

<sup>127</sup> See *Official Look DVNLP Fake Forum* (09/26/2014).

<sup>128</sup> See *"Are you "Halligoland", Mr. DVNLP managing director Berend Henriks? and "DVNLP relies on lying managing directors"*.

<sup>129</sup> See *Jens an Thies and DVNLP* (30.05.2014) and the entry of 25.06.2014 in the *"Dossier Täter-Opfer-Repatriation"*.

Siegmund, Stephan Landsiedel and he himself, who are involved in the core conflicts of the "Causa DVNLP", can remain hidden from the DVNLP members and the NLP public and that only the complaints of the conflicting DVNLP members and former master participants against the complainant and me remain visible to the public of the association. The DVNLP board of directors has succeeded in doing this quite well until today, probably thanks to the perverse triangles in DVNLP coordinated by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger.

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas could certainly have ensured that *all* complaints were submitted to the arbitration commission for referral. However, this DVNLP committee responsible for this did not receive our complaints against our conflict partners, nor their complaints against us<sup>130</sup>. Because then the DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who is deeply involved in the "DVNLP cause" conflicts, would of course have had to refrain with his board from using the DVNLP members Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Petra P. and AK for their appearance in the "Schautribunal" of the 2014 general meeting: These three association members were abused by the Dr. jur. Jens Tomas board of directors by being used by him for the manipulation and deception of the general meeting - as well as Anita von Hertel and Leo Buchholz, who, as "causa DVNLP" accomplices who were entangled in conflict with the complainant and XY, both presumably made some effort to look the other way and remain silent in spite of clear complicity and complicity (see 1.2.1.6. *abuse by Anita von Hertel* and 1.2.1.7. *abuse by Leo Buchholz*)

#### 1.2.1.4.3. employer of the informers

Some coalitions of the perverse triangles in which Dr. jur. Jens Tomas got entangled could be called "denunciation coalitions". This applies in particular to his questionable delegations to the lawyer of the association, Harms, but also to his alleged coalitions with Petra P., who denounced the complainant and me to the police with a false statement, and with AK, DK and OA, who also denounced me to the Chamber of Psychotherapists and also to the police because of a missing reference to alternative practitioners in my professional title of psychotherapist (see 1.2.1.7. *Abuse by Leo Buchholz*).

On 28 April 2014, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had the DVNLP Association Attorney Harms present the<sup>131</sup>April statement, which criminalised me and the complainant and contained false statements, to the Hamburg public prosecutor's office. On account of his biased contact with Petra P.<sup>132</sup> and her lawyer KF, who, as the lawyer indicated by

---

<sup>130</sup> We have not been informed about the complaints directed against us with regard to their contents, their authors and partly, as in the case of OA, even their existence, despite repeated requests from our side to call us "horse and rider" (see *Jens Thies Jens* (13.03.2014)).

<sup>131</sup> See his letter to the public prosecutor's office in the "*Dossier on the conversion of perpetrators and victims*" and the "April statement without date", both dated 28.04.2014.

<sup>132</sup> See *Jens an Thies and DVNLP* (30.05.2014).

the complainant as an accomplice of his client XY, also represents the intensive master-conflict partners of the complainant AK, DK and KA against her, it can be assumed that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas was very conscious of the light that this April statement<sup>133</sup> would cast on the complainant and me in the LKA and the public prosecutor's office. After all, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had already previously, with the help of this statement, signalled to the complainant's conflicting partners from the Master's programme at that time on whose side the DVNLP board of directors would take up the complainant's conflict with the complainant - and more or less<sup>134</sup> asked the complainant's conflicting partners to take legal action against her and me.

This "April statement without date" states<sup>135</sup>: *"Following the advice of our association's lawyer, we can only urgently recommend that all parties involved make use of the legally permissible possibilities to clarify the facts of the case. We can only recommend those affected by the accusations to file criminal charges. Should the accusations made by Ms... [author] prove to be unfounded in the course of investigations by the public prosecutor's office and clarification by a criminal court, then numerous criminal offences will have been committed. These include the criminal offences of insult, defamation and slander (§185, 18, 187 StGB), but also the offence of false suspicion according to § 164 StGB".* The fact that an association "clarifies" internal conflicts by calling on the entirety of its members to take legal action against two individual members is probably unique, but happened twice in the course of the DVNLP case.<sup>136</sup>

Finally, the DVNLP Association Prosecutor offers the Hamburg Public Prosecutor's Office in his letter dated April 28, 2014 to make<sup>137</sup> available to it all internal statements and mails of two DVNLP members, ours, for use against it. When asked whether the complainant and I agree with this, attorneys at law Harms and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas did not - which is not unproblematic with regard to our personal rights.

It is as if Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had had the DVNLP say to the public prosecutor's office via the association's lawyer - and the DVNLP has not corrected this to date - essentially: *"You can see from the attached April-without-date statement of the DVNLP that we consider the complainant to be implausible. We have been informed,*

---

<sup>133</sup> Here as single document: *April-without-date statement (XX.04.2014)*.

<sup>134</sup> The April statement had been sent to the conflicting parties from the Master, as the DK's e-mail of 25.04.2014 shows. The complainant and I only got to see them after I had directly and "admonishingly" requested the managing director to do so (cf. the e-mails from 25 April and 28 April 2014 in "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 05 June 2013 to 05 May 2014).

<sup>135</sup> See *April-without-date opinion (XX.04.2014)* DVNLP Managing Director Berend Hendriks von Hallig-Oland is less known as a "man for the rough" in DVNLP for his special care in organisational and office related matters.

<sup>136</sup> See also *DVNLP Board of Directors to all members (07.10.2014)*

<sup>137</sup> See under this date in "*Victim-victim-reversion dossier*".

*through the mediation of Ms. Petra P.'s report<sup>138</sup> and after the inspection of the files, on the basis of the notes in the files, that you are already doing this on your part anyway. Therefore, if you will not initiate criminal proceedings against those accused by the complainant, you will receive from us everything that could incriminate our member, the complainant, and speak against her. We will not inform the complainant, who has in the meantime been disenfranchised by us, or our member Thies Stahl, that we have contacted you in this matter". (Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger had indeed completely concealed from the complainant and from me their correspondence with the LKA and the public prosecutor's office). "Nor will we inform our two members, who have been officially denounced by us, that we will forward their statements made to us confidentially, e.g. in the context of their applications to the DVNLP Arbitration Commission and their confidential correspondence with Martina Schmidt-Tanger and myself, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, to the public prosecutor's office. The DVNLP Board of Directors, which I represent, will not inform our two association members of this privacy violation. We will not ask these two DVNLP members for permission to pass on their confidential documents to you any more than we will ask for permission to pass on their confidential mails and documents to other members of the DVNLP, e.g. XY, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, SM and Petra P., have not done and will not do so - e.g. and also not if the DVNLP association officials Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas provide their confidential mails addressed to them to association member XY in order to silence these two DVNLP members within the association. We hope, dear LKA and dear public prosecutor's office, that you understand that in this special case, the welfare of our association clearly takes precedence over the personal protection interests of our members, the complainant and Thies Stahls, in this case".*

Knowing that her position in the LKA had been enormously weakened by the manipulation of files, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas then obviously decided to hermetically exclude the complainant from a meeting of the board of directors originally planned with her and me. For this purpose, he had the DVNLP association lawyer Harms<sup>139</sup> pathologize and criminalize the complainant with the help of one of these two DVNLP lawyers in the manner of petty criminals and mafia with a falsified content of manipulated lawyer's letters. The meeting of the Board of Management with the complainant and myself was originally to have taken place on 9 June 2014 on the fringes of the "Future Tools" event with Lucas Derks in Göttingen. Through the trick of defining the complainant as psychologically unstable enough for the Lucas-Derks-Seminar and threatening her with the use of the DVNLP's householder's rights, they were able to keep the complainant out of the entire event hotel - and thus nip in the bud any attempt by this DVNLP member to get any supportive contact with the

---

<sup>138</sup> See the investigation note of 11.04.2014 (sheet 7) in "Dossier Täter-Opfer-Repatriation" and Jens to Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014).

<sup>139</sup> See the Harms letter of 30 May 2014 in the "Dossier Täter-Opfers-Vopfer-Rkehr" and the quotation of the complainant in Jens an Thies u. DVNLP (30 May 2014) used for the Harms forgery.

members of the executive committee or with the members of the responsible committees "Education and Training Commission" and "Arbitration Commission".<sup>140</sup>

The technical background to this shyster lawyer trick: On 29 May 2014, the complainant had written to her lawyer and the official in the LKA responsible for her complaints that she had to file a complaint against Martina Schmidt-Tanger (now) (before her lawyer would return from his holiday), since otherwise she **would "endanger"** her physical and mental health. From this introductory remark, which is to be understood in a figurative sense, in the letter in which she wrote her complaint, with which the complainant, who was outraged<sup>141</sup> at the total exclusion pursued by the executive committee, revoked the agreement that had actually been reached between her, her lawyer and the LKA that further complaints should only be made through her lawyer, these two DVNLP lawyers "tinkered" with a falsification of a quotation that assumed a literal sense, with the help of which they pathologised the complainant: *"You have declared to the state criminal investigation department... that your physical and mental health is endangered."*<sup>142</sup>

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had this manipulated letter of 30.05.2014, officially pathologizing a DVNLP member, placed in the files of the LKA. He decided in favour of this defamatory denunciation presumably in spite of, or precisely *because of, the* knowledge he had gained through the inspection of the files by the association's lawyer Harms that there was no information in the LKA file, based on a manipulated communication from the Social Psychiatric Service (SpD) Altona<sup>143</sup>, in which the credibility of the complainant was openly doubted by the LKA and police officers, who were all in possession of this fake communication from the SpD initiating the victim-offender turnaround in the authorities.

---

<sup>140</sup> In this context, the risk that the planned DVNLP amendment will jeopardize the DVNLP's statutes becomes immediately apparent: The democratic structures that were disregarded in the DVNLP case, but which still exist at least formally, will have disappeared completely if it is implemented. Members threatened by expulsion will be helplessly at the mercy of the executive committee and the arbitration commission: If the executive committee does not want a discussion, no more dialogue and hearing can be demanded from this member, even by means of a motion to the general meeting. The sovereign of the association then disappeared completely behind a "photo wallpaper" simulating democratic structures. The DVNLP does not correct the fascist-totalitarian derailments, but writes them into its own genes as an association to use this epigenetics analogy.

<sup>141</sup> The executive committee had excluded her from any communication with the DVNLP and its committees and had not invited her to the crisis meeting planned with her and me: *"As far as the meeting in Göttingen offered to you [Thies] is concerned, this offer to you remains valid. ... [the complainant] is not and will not be invited by the Board to attend."* (See in *Jens an Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)*).

<sup>142</sup> See under 30.05.2014 in the *"Dossier Täter-Opfer-Repatriation"* and *Jens an Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)*.

<sup>143</sup> See under the 24.01.2014 in the *"Dossier Täter-Victim-Repatriation"*.

The extent to which Dr. jur. Jens Tomas lied to the association's lawyer Harms with regard to the falsification of quotations he announced to us and accordingly misused him as a tool should be clarified by a committee of inquiry. Against the background of his corresponding announcement<sup>144</sup> to us, however, I assume that the chairman has also brought the original quote of the complainant to the attention of his association's lawyer - which would mean, however, that attorney Harms is an accomplice to the violation of the complainant's personal rights by this *apparently* elegant but actually stupidly impudent falsification of the quote.

On 12 June 2014, DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas will have his association lawyer confirm and reinforce this official denunciation by the LKA by means of a further communication criminalising the complainant and myself: The complainant and I would, in relation to the DVNLP "*with a large e-mail distribution list*" and also via Facebook, "*make allegations that are not consistent with the facts.*"<sup>145</sup>

The two DVNLP lawyers were supported in their LKA and public prosecutor's office criminalization and psychiatricization campaign against the complainant by the "causa DVNLP" perpetrator, the then spokeswoman of the DVNLP regional group Hamburg/Schleswig-Holstein, Petra P., who, as the intensive conflict partner of the complainant, did not shy away from a false statement to the police denouncing her and myself,<sup>146</sup> and thus, among other things, secured for herself, along with the two current DVNLP honorary members Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas,<sup>147</sup> a dubious place of honour in the long line of perpetrators who acted violently towards the complainant.

#### 1.2.1.4.4 Lord of lies

*Why then the many lies of the DVNLP chairman, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, if his board of directors had had a justified and justifiable reason to initiate the association exclusion proceedings against the complainant and me?*

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas knew the legal details of a contractual penalty of € 5,000.00 which I had to pay to SF, one of the complainant's pimping ex-husbands, in May 2014.<sup>148</sup> Dr. jur. Jens Tomas used this knowledge to construct a lie, with the help of which the board discredited and criminalized me as untrustworthy in several official DVNLP statements.

---

<sup>144</sup> See again *Jens an Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)*, page 3

<sup>145</sup> See the entry of 12.06.2014 in the "*Dossier Täter-Opfers-Victim-Repatriation*".

<sup>146</sup> Amusing: Petra P. lies to the police in the same mail, in which she points out that she is a lay judge at the court after all (see under the 25.06.2014 in the "*Dossier Täter-Opfer-Verpferkehr*").

<sup>147</sup> See page 8-10 in the judgment on the "Nazi analogies".

<sup>148</sup> See page 2 in *Jens an Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)*.

The aforementioned contractual penalty was based on a punitive injunction claim against me by SF, which referred to<sup>149</sup> a Facebook Messenger message from me to the complainant's children dated 12.11.2012. Since the children's Facebook account was blocked for the complainant by the offenders after they had left the offender's system, I sent them a message at their mother's request. This concerned ways of escaping from this system and also that they would be forced to continue to shop for the perpetrators, just as the complainant would have been forced to do for SF. SF, I then, for lack of evidence against him and the other perpetrators, signed the declaration of discontinuance, which was demanded by him and which was punishable by law, no longer had to claim that his wife had to do for him.<sup>150</sup>

After SF threatened<sup>151</sup> me with murder, with police officers as witnesses, I asked my master's course assistant XY by private e-mail<sup>152</sup> whether he had known that the complainant had to buy for SF, because XY worked, I knew, with the complainant and SF together in their jointly run youth welfare organisation and training institute. XY then took this question from me as a final reason *not* to help the complainant and me, but instead to switch completely to the offender's side: He gave SF my private mails addressed to him, XY, who could then easily claim the "5,000.00 €" contractual penalty<sup>153</sup> against me with their help.

Previously, XY had pretended to me very convincingly that he, like me, was outraged by SF's behaviour when her ex-husband, who had made death threats to the complainant and to me. Probably somewhat concerned about our safety - SF states in its criminal complaint<sup>154</sup> on 10.03.2014 that after his knife attack against her, as reported by the complainant, he went into psychiatric care at his own request - I had asked XY, of whose good contacts to the milieu I knew, to get me a pistol. But then I

---

<sup>149</sup> See *Steel Children of the BF via Facebook (12/11/2012)*.

<sup>150</sup> I assume that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas has been talking about Martina Schmidt-Tanger and his fellow student, the auxiliary association lawyer Dr. jur., was very well informed that the complainant had had to purchase SF for her then husband, since he and Dr. jur. G. had helped his "NLP-professional" colleague Martina Schmidt-Tanger to keep this matter secret within the Association (see *application MST via Dr. jur. G. for EV (05.06.2014)*, see page 4 below, and *Association lawyer Unterl.-forder. Abuse Whore (09.07.2015)* and *The "You weren't a whore" bullying*).

<sup>151</sup> See *Thies on PK21 by H. (14.03.2016)*.

<sup>152</sup> See *Mails Stahl-XY (01.06.2013)*.

<sup>153</sup> Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, I had described this € 5,000.00 bitterly, but still humorously, as a "fee" to be paid to their ex-pimp husband for the complainant. So Dr. jur. Jens Tomas knew very well who SF is and also that SF was not a member of DVNLP. Dr. jur. Jens Tomas also knew that the complainant had had to buy for SF - but he did not want this to be officially announced in the association, together with Martina Schmidt-Tanger (cf. *Abuse whore (09.07.2015)*).

<sup>154</sup> See *excerpt from the criminal complaint SF in psychiatry (10.03.2014)*.

decided against armament and for a camera in front of our apartment door, because first of all SF was not allowed to come near us anyway because of a signposting and secondly I meditated over longer months while unlocking the front door every time on the question how much of it you might still notice when a bullet is shot in your chest from behind. It became clear to me that having a weapon in your pocket in the event of such an ambush is probably rather ineffective.

XY therefore knew very well that, by passing on my e-mails to SF, he had joined forces with someone who openly<sup>155</sup> and probably anonymously<sup>156</sup> threatened the complainant and me with murder - this was also known to Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas. We suspect SF to be the creative, but probably also somewhat confused spirit behind the anonymous death threats, as he was well enough versed in NLP and hypnosis to spread the idea of me as a satanic<sup>157</sup> and hypnotizing NLP sect leader in<sup>158</sup> the circle of the complainant's family (with her stepfather SP, her aunt, her siblings and children) (see also 1.2.2.10.)

Appropriately enough, SF had had its lawyer, RA B., call me a "tool" of the complainant, which the public prosecutor R. pointed out to him in his letter of recruitment to SF with regard to the<sup>159</sup> latter's complaint against me. Since it can be assumed that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas was able to obtain this information through the special, somewhat mafia-like public prosecutor's office connection<sup>160</sup> of his fellow

---

<sup>155</sup> See *Thies on PK21 by H.* (14.03.2016).

<sup>156</sup> See *Anonymous to Thies* (06.12.2012), *Anonymous to BF* (02.01.2013) and *Anonymous to BF* (19.04.2013)

<sup>157</sup> See *Anonymous to Thies* (06.12.2012).

<sup>158</sup> As my former NLP-Practitioner-participant, SF probably knew the picture of me in the *SPIEGEL* in 1993, which many people had the impression at that time that I would "come across" as magically manipulative. Presumably inspired by SF in this direction is the statement of 5 March 2014 by SP, the complainant's stepfather: "*Since* [all spelling and grammar mistakes are in the original] *my stepdaughter ... [the complainant] is a friend of Mr Thies Stahl, I have been accused of sexual offences in childhood that I have never committed; furthermore, nobody knows Mr Stahl personally, who is so fond of my deceased wife (17th birthday).4.07 such accusations, like '... [complainant] mother was a whore and wanted that ... [complainant] to become one too! And has more dirty statements ready, because the letter that ... [the complainant] wrote was written by Mr Stahl, or was dictated by him! She wrote me the Easter 2012 and thanked me for raising her and bringing her into life!* [The complainant wanted to "build a bridge" for him with the mentioned card at Easter, because she wanted to have a conversation and for SP to stand by his actions and apologize to her]. *I hereby give Mr. Stahl the full responsibility for this 'scenery' that got it all rolling! Unfortunately, no one who has read the letters wants to sign them, not even my sister-in-law, who has been visited by ... [the complainant] on several occasions and who has made statements like, ... [first name of SP] is a rapist!* (see text *Display SP against BF* (28.01.2013))

<sup>159</sup> See *notice of recruitment to SF* (16.12.2014)

<sup>160</sup> See *StA informs DVNLP lawyer Dr. jur. G.* (16.12.2014).



student, the association's lawyer Dr. jur. G., it must be assumed that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas launched the €5,000 lie of the DVNLP board of directors in the corresponding official DVNLP statements in full intention and against his better knowledge.

SF was not only known to Dr. jur. Jens Tomas through this public prosecutor's office connection of Dr. jur. G. and his close and partisan contact<sup>161</sup> with the XY Group, but also through the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas' board of directors received the complainant's application for an insolvency investigation, which<sup>162</sup> states: "*To this day, he ... [meaning XY] (known to the court and dirty) has been working with my ex-husband, who has a record of threatening me and Mr Stahl with murder* [he and the complainant had the same surname at the time]. The name SF has come across Dr. jur. Jens Tomas so often that one must assume that he has checked whether SF is a member of DVNLP or not. Had SF been a member, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas would certainly not have missed the opportunity to bring him as a performance artist<sup>163</sup> alongside Petra P., AK and Martina Schmidt-Tanger on the show stage of the 2014 general meeting which he manipulated and deceived. However, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas SF "utilised" - i.e. abused - Dr. jur. Jens Tomas SF nevertheless, by "re-converting" him for his €5,000 lie into a former participant of my master's course and even into a DVNLP member<sup>164</sup>, in order to be able to discredit the complainant and me even better.

Martina Schmidt-Tanger, who attended SF 2012 in the full knowledge that he was the complainant's husband at the time, and therefore probably Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, knew all these details. Both knew for sure that the penalty claimed by SF against me had nothing to do with the DVNLP. The fact that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had apparently exchanged information with Petra P. about SF and the contractual penalty<sup>165</sup> and that he and Petra P. then spread the same lie in unison, suggests a corresponding agreement, because shortly afterwards, Petra P., in her corresponding false statement to the police,<sup>166</sup> also refers to the court decision belonging to this contractual penalty.

---

<sup>161</sup> See again *Jens an Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)*.

<sup>162</sup> See page 2 of the *complainant's MA application (23.09.2014)*.

<sup>163</sup> His appearance in court (contractual penalty) was indeed ready for the stage: Even I almost believed him when he said that he would have had to let the White Ring take care of him as a victim because his ex-wife, the complainant, "*would have given him such a bad time with false accusations*" (cf. also *Amtsger. Altona Tel. SF (08.12.2016)*).

<sup>164</sup> See page 1 in *DVNLP statement on steel (03.05.2016)*.

<sup>165</sup> In *Jens an Thies and DVNLP (30 May 2014)*, he names, in addition to Petra P., this ex-husband of the complainant, who is clearly not a member of the DVNLP.

<sup>166</sup> See under the 25.06.2014 in "*Dossier Victim-Victim-Return*".

Also, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas will have known in what way DK, Master participant, alleged accomplice of XY and friend of Petra P., the complainant made psychopathologizing remarks not only in his letter to the board of directors<sup>167</sup>, but also in the DVNLP Fake Members' Forum<sup>168</sup>, in which he, as a "not more" or (in the termination processing mode, forcibly) "but still" member who "frowns" and terminates, obviously enjoyed certain special rights, because he defamed the complainant and me in the sense of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors.

The official "5,000.00 €" lie of the association was spread by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas in the DVNLP statement of 03.05.2016<sup>169</sup>, in which there are other lies besides this one, e.g.

- the aforementioned ex-husband, SF, is falsely presented here as a member of my former master group (he broke off my 2004 NLP practitioner shortly before testing) and as a DVNLP member. In this way, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas gave the impression that the master-conflict partners and DVNLP members had had to defend themselves against unjustified accusations from me<sup>170</sup> and that one of them, SF, had successfully managed to do so. For this misleading of its members, DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had just borrowed the 5,000€ contractual penalty from the criminal "rip-off" context concerning XY and SF,
- Following the indication that the complainant had reported a teacher trainer (in fact, she had reported XY for his extremely violent physical coercion with her ex-husband SF to<sup>171</sup>sign XY hours in adult education), the opinion states that she had also "*incorrectly certified 500 hours of training to another teacher trainer, DVNLP (her ex-husband)*". With this lie, which is particularly perfidious because of the distortion and, above all, denial of the concomitant symptoms which are extremely painful for the complainant (cf. the court scene in 2.3. "*Manifest Violence*" of DVNLP members), Dr. jur. Jens Tomas criminalised the complainant in an infamous way. It is true, according to her reports suppressed in the association, that she was forced to sign another false signature by SF, just like the one for XY and also with physical violence: Also a certificate for hours in adult education, however not, as Dr. jur. Jens Tomas lies to the members, for SF

---

<sup>167</sup> See *Mail Master participant DK to DVNLP (25.04.2014)*.

<sup>168</sup> See the entry of 13.10.2014, 14:13, in *AK DK DD KA in Koenigsmacher assassinated (27.02.2015)*

<sup>169</sup> Link: *DVNLP statement on steel (05/03/2016)*

<sup>170</sup> In my mails to the group I did not reproach individual master participants, but only asked some questions addressed to all (see the original mails to the master group).

<sup>171</sup> Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger were already informed in 2013 about this violent background of these false certificates. The relevant coercion by way of rape was described by the complainant in Proceedings XY./Complainant (see 2.3. "*Manifest Violence*" perceived by DVNLP members).

itself, but a false certificate for the Bremen DVNLP teacher trainer RP about allegedly completed hours. The complainant's corresponding complaint against RP was also dropped by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, together with the complainant's other complaints within the association: The complainant's accusation against RP of<sup>172</sup>having committed certificate fraud was not clarified in the DVNLP, nor were her corresponding accusations against XY and Stephan Landsiedel.

- *"Thies Stahl further speaks of cross-border and abusive conduct on the part of the accused. A criminal charge against the accused assistant (e.g. for sexual abuse) is not mentioned by Stahl".* This is a lie by the Dr. jur. Jens Tomas board of directors: Since 23 August 2013, the Executive Board had<sup>173</sup> known that the complainant had reported XY for rape and coercion, had withdrawn the report because of the mediation planned in the DVNLP but prevented by the Executive Board, but had then filed it again. Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who was acting criminally here, also knew that I had denounced XY for failure to provide assistance (and in the meantime had withdrawn it because of the promised mediation).<sup>174</sup>
- *"The requests will be forwarded to the spokesman for the mediation committee."* This is a bold lie: Not one of the applications submitted by the complainant and also by me to the Conciliation Committee was *"forwarded"* to this body by the Executive Board or by the management. This was confirmed to us by Henrik Andresen, the spokesman of the Arbitration Committee at the time, who was informed *by us* both in writing and orally about the complainant's requests, which had been suppressed by the Board of Directors, and by me to the Arbitration Committee. In the association, he voluntarily commented on our motions that were not forwarded to him and his committee<sup>175</sup> - and was publicly "washed up"<sup>176</sup>by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas. This lie corresponds 1:1 to the "April lie" of the DVNLP, with the help of which the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors<sup>177</sup> had already led the members to believe in April 2014 that the arbitration commission had dealt with the "DVNLP cause" conflicts (see 1.2.2.8.2. Abuse of the arbitration commission).

---

<sup>172</sup> See DVNLP to RP for certification (20.03.2014)

<sup>173</sup> See request of complainant to the Conciliation Commission (23 August 2013) and under the 06.06. and 24 October 2013 in "Causa DVNLP"- Correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014

<sup>174</sup> See Thies advertisement XY (06.06.2013), "Causa DVNLP" correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014 and "Causa DVNLP" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014.

<sup>175</sup> See Andresen arbitration award BF and Stahl (31.10.2014).

<sup>176</sup> See oath. Explanation Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (09.12.2014) and below in chapter 1.2.2.4.6. abuse to Henrik Andresen.

<sup>177</sup> See April-without-date opinion (XX.04.2014)

- "On 29 May 2014 ... [the complainant] reported to the DVNLP that she had reported two other instructors for rape, one instructor for inciting rape. It calls upon the Chairperson to resign." That's a lie because it's misquoted: The complainant, like me<sup>178</sup>, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, has suggested that, because of his personal involvement, the four other board members should deal with our complaints without letting him decide - i.e. only in this specific context and not in relation to his office in general.
- "The three individuals concerned successfully sued in court against these allegations." The term "successfully sued" probably meant Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM, who, with the help of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who was in breach of the statutes, were able to obtain a default judgment for injunction. A lie by omission: Dr. jur. Jens Tomas omits to mention, among other things, that the actions for injunctive relief were only granted by default because the complainant<sup>179</sup> had submitted a doctor's certificate from her doctor, since she could not be expected to have any contact with the perpetrator at the time due to intense flashback episodes. And Dr. jur. Jens Tomas of course knew that "I will not publicly claim anymore that XYZ happened" and "XYZ did not happen" are very different statements,
- Dr Jens Tomas writes: "*Thies Stahl has a relationship with Ms ... [the complainant], a participant in his NLP training. This person had a relationship/relationship with an "assistant" during the training.* This is a lie aimed at a vile discreditation of my person - again by omission: the important word "*former*" is missing. The complainant was a *former* participant in my master's course at the time when our relationship began. I had started the relationship with her *after* the master.

Later, in the "final declaration" of the DVNLP of 22.09.2015,<sup>180</sup> Dr. jur. Jens Tomas spread another lie regarding the Arbitration Commission: she would have "*declared the previously decided exclusion valid*". This is wrong and was by no means the case (see 1.2.2.8.2. *Misuse of the conciliation commission*).

In this final declaration, which was readable on the DVNLP website until mid-2017, there was also the lie that "*various statements on accusations against members of the association would be prohibited by court order by means of a declaration of discontinuance with penalty clause*". After an intervention of my lawyer, the DVNLP

---

<sup>178</sup> See under 19.06. and 05.07.2014 in "*Causa DVNLP*"- *Correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014*.

<sup>179</sup> See *doctor's certificate ... f. Court (02.07.2014)*.

<sup>180</sup> See the *final declaration in the members' area of dvnlp.de* and *Grandiose Lie - the "final declaration" of the DVNLP*.

board of directors had to remove this sloppy and legally absurd Dr. jur. Jens Tomas lie.<sup>181</sup>

Also in the DVNLP statement<sup>182</sup> of 13.04.2016 to SPIEGEL, for which Dr. jur. Jens Tomas is responsible, there are several other lies and misleading false allegations besides the "5,000.00 €" lie. SPIEGEL asked<sup>183</sup>, "*Mr Stahl claims that the association also reacted to the accusations of Ms... [the complainant] by excluding her because she had previously worked as a prostitute. Is this assertion correct?*" and the DVNLP replied, "*No. Neither would this be relevant, nor is this assertion understandable.*" That's a lie: This point was a very important issue in the conflicts between the complainant and the DVNLP and between Stahl and the DVNLP, and the Board of Directors found this question very relevant, as it threatened - against its better judgment<sup>184</sup> - by means of an attorney for the association and a declaration of discontinuance with the<sup>185</sup> threat of a penalty clause on 9 July 2015 that, apart from "*a participant in a master course of the DVNLP was abused*", I should not claim that "*a participant in a master course of the DVNLP offered her services as a whore*".

In fact, this issue is so relevant to Dr. jur. Jens Tomas' management board that he has for this reason at least abandoned his most important basic position in his conflict with the complainant and me: According to the latter, the complainant and I would bring something into the association that had nothing to do with the association - because, according to the false assumption, the conflicts had not taken place in a DVNLP-certified seminar but were of a private nature.<sup>186</sup>

---

<sup>181</sup> See the *before-and-after DVNLP final accounts*. (22.09.2015-16.09.2017). Regarding the (naturally) unfulfilled promise by the Board of Directors to participate in a joint declaration concluding the "DVNLP case", see the *dispute over the DVNLP final declaration in the membership area (2016-2017)* and the *DVNLP boycott. joint declaration (May 2015)*.

<sup>182</sup> In *DVNLP answers to questions of the SPIEGEL (13.04.2016)* on page 3.

<sup>183</sup> After SPIEGEL had once reported on NLP in an unusually "pro" manner for its own circumstances (see *Der Spiegel 47/1993*), one of the editors there found it interesting to learn how this method has developed in Germany. He followed the "Causa DVNLP".

<sup>184</sup> As already mentioned above: Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, through Martina Schmidt-Tanger and his fellow student, the auxiliary lawyer Dr. jur. G., was very probably informed that the complainant had had to purchase SF for her then husband. After all, he and Dr. jur. G. had helped his "NLP-professional" colleague Martina Schmidt-Tanger to keep these facts secret within the association (see page 4 in the *criminal complaint MST via Dr. jur. G. against BF (28.07.2014)*, *association lawyer Unterl.-forder. Abuse Whore (09.07.2015)* and *The "You weren't a whore" bullying*).

<sup>185</sup> See *Association Attorney sub-demand. Abuse Whore (09.07.2015)* and *The "You weren't a whore" bullying*.

<sup>186</sup> See in *DVNLP-answers to questions of the SPIEGEL (13.04.2016)*: "*Mr. Stahl has tried to abuse the association in a [so in the original] conflict, which is a conflict between him, his partner and the accused assistant.* And in the *causa statement DVNLP (26 September 2014)*: "*The complainant*

In the aforementioned injunction demand of 9 July 2015, however, the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Directors then abandoned this position and had the association's lawyer Dr. jur. G. explicitly acknowledge that my master course, in which the "DVNLP case" conflicts began and to which both the complainant's DVNLP complaint and mine refer, was indeed and very definitely a DVNLP seminar: Dr. jur. G. writes, "*As you report **from one of our client's training courses** [emphasis added by me]: There were as many perverse triangles in said master group as there were, according to the reports of the participant in question, questionable covert interactions, transactions and activities of these assistants in the background of the group in which she was involved in the role of a whore and said assistant and other course participants in various roles complementary to or otherwise related to her.* Derived from this text of mine, the DVNLP lawyer then demanded the omission of, among other things, the two allegations: "*In a master course of the DVNLP a participant offered her services as a whore*" and "*In a master course of the DVNLP a participant was abused.* The DVNLP thus confirmed that the disputed incidents took place in a DVNLP seminar.

The "*Declaration on the exclusion of Thies Stahl and ... (the complainant)*" published in the members' area of the DVNLP website<sup>187</sup> which was decided on at the 2014 general meeting, which he manipulated and deceived, the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors had several lied remarks made: "*The exclusion of the members ... [the complainant] and Thies Stahl was carried out in accordance with the statutes. Neither had the right to exercise their membership rights at the MV. As the organizers had initially excluded the public upon request, they could not participate in the event as guests. Both tried to gain access to the MV by force. ... [the complainant] and Thies Stahl grossly insulted employees of the office. Both were banned from the house and asked to leave the rooms. The venue security staff had to escort both of them out of the premises. ... [the complainant] became massively violent against members of the security staff and injured several of them. According to their own statements, the employees concerned filed criminal charges against them for bodily injury.*

The latter is an interesting lie, since it is both a double and self-referring lie: (1.) no exclusion was "*carried out*" and certainly not "*in accordance with the statutes*", but the board of trustees has made the decision to initiate the exclusion procedure (whereby according to § 11 Paragraph 4. of the DVNLP's statutes, however, an exclusion only becomes effective when the arbitration commission called upon

---

*and Thies Stahl are attempting, in a legally inadmissible manner and with repeated deliberate violation of personal rights, to place private matters in the context of the association.*

<sup>187</sup> See *MV-Web-Info in the members area (November 6th, 2014)* and partly - as "Declaration of Authorization" (page 10/11 in the Nazi-Analogien Urteil)- still visible in the DVNLP-Fake member forum (see *Declaration of the DVNLP MV 2014 DVNLP-XING (December 14th, 2019)/Online-Link*). One day later, the "Halligoland" managing director of the DVNLP refers to the Dannemeyer ModInfo (see *Wikipedia "Halligoland" reference to Dannemeyer ModInfo in the XING NLP forum (November 5, 2014)*) in order to avoid sanctions against himself on Wikipedia for vandalism (see *1.2.2.6. The executive board abuses his branch office employees*).

confirms it), and (2.) the statutes do not provide for the possibility of excluding members from the general meeting.<sup>188</sup> So the rule is: A membership is like a pregnancy: Either you are a member or you are not. But in no case one is not member enough not to be allowed to attend the general meeting. This legal "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" construction is so abstruse and ludicrous that my lawyer and I did not really notice it, and certainly not as a joke. The judge at the Berlin Regional Court obviously did not either when she quoted these remarks by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas.<sup>189</sup>

<sup>190</sup>These lies are repeated by Ralf Dannemeyer, member of the board for press and public relations, in the NLP-Internet-Forum until today, even in an intensified form by a trick of Mr. Dannemeyer (see 1.2.2.7.2 *Lies in Dannemeyer's "dirty corner forum"*). They are also the basis for the identical lie propaganda, which Ralf Dannemeyer previously spread elsewhere<sup>191</sup> and then also Ekkehart Padberg<sup>192</sup> on the Internet - both "gentlemen lie spreaders" were emotionally seductive in the "Schautribunal" general meeting, which they described in their contributions on the net, since boldly, but lyingly wrongly, not at all present.

In the statement "*Dispute with Thies Stahl and ...* [complainant]" for the DVNLP association functionaries of 16 June 2014,<sup>193</sup> the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board member lies brazenly that we had not answered the questions addressed to us. We received the questions of the DVNLP on 08.05.2014 and were answered in *detail* on 18.05.2014.<sup>194</sup>

This statement also lies, "*To date, the DVNLP has no knowledge that investigations have been initiated against the accused by ...* [the complainant]. Apart from the fact that the legally trained Dr. jur. Jens Tomas is of course aware that preliminary

---

<sup>188</sup> According to the new statutes, however, it does (see *amendments to the statutes of the board of directors' motion (18.09.2018)* and the *statutes of the DVNLP* or <https://www.dvnlp.de/der-dvnlp/verbandsportrat/satzung/>). Cf. my comments in 2.5 *Restoring the separation of powers within the association*.

<sup>189</sup> See the *Berlin Regional Court settlement (06.01.2015)* and also *the remarks of the Berlin Regional Court in its order on the fixing of costs (01.07.2015)*.

<sup>190</sup> See *XING-NLP ModInfo settlement in litigation (January 14, 2015)* (current link: <https://www.xing.com/communities/posts/vergleich-im-rechtsstreit-thies-stahl-strich-dvnlp-1009137409>)

<sup>191</sup> See *ModInfo TS excluded (04.11.2014)*

<sup>192</sup> See *Facebook lie Padberg (01.11.2014)*

<sup>193</sup> See *DVNLP dispute part 1 of 2 (16.06.2014)*.

<sup>194</sup> Both letters can be found under the respective date in the "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014.

proceedings are<sup>195</sup> "initiated" by the LKA or the public prosecutor's office and not by private individuals, the Board of Executive Directors was of course very well aware that the complainant tried in vain to persuade the LKA and the public prosecutor's office to act, as my e-mails of 4 April 2014 and 16 June 2014 addressed to Dr. jur.<sup>196</sup> And: Dr. jur. Jens Tomas also knew, of course, that the complainant had reported not only XY and other DVNLP members but also several other alleged criminals from 38 years of experience with violence.

Furthermore, this statement dated 16 June 2014 states that "*Members of the Board of Management have not yet been affected by the accusations...*" With the word "*so far*", the board of directors draws a suggestive picture of a notorious false accuser by pre-supposition, of whom it is naturally to be expected that she will denounce all people who do not "*act in your sense*"<sup>197</sup>. Apart from this somewhat hidden hypnotic suggestion, this sentence also contains a genuine lie: Of course, members of the board are affected by the "accusations", because on 29 May 2014 the complainant reported the board of the DVNLP and also Martina Schmidt-Tanger to the LKA because of its psychopathologizations of her person and on 19 June and 5 July 2014 I filed a corresponding complaint against Dr. jur. Jens Tomas in the DVNLP.<sup>198</sup>

In the DVNLP statement "*Disputes with Thies Stahl and ... [Complainant] Part 2*" of 09.07.2014,<sup>199</sup> the lying continues - and these lies are not corrected by the DVNLP board of directors until today, but are simply repeated in a blunt and brazen manner.<sup>200</sup> It states that "*Woman ... [the complainant] has made serious accusations against several members for sexual abuse, various violent offences, threats, failure to provide assistance [as in the original], etc. In a letter to us, among others, dated 26 June 2014, she writes that she had decided to file all the complaints she had filed, as she had no evidence other than herself. On behalf of the association, Ms... [the complainant] has thus clarified that the allegations and accusations she [so in the*

---

<sup>195</sup> I imply that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas deliberately formulated this passage with a view to a corresponding hypnotic-suggestive effect in such a way that readers are to think that the complainant is a false accuser who is furious within the DVNLP and who has not made any accusations outside the association, e.g. through police reports, because she herself would quasi "know exactly" that she is a false accuser. But maybe Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who sometimes tends to work sloppily himself, overlooked that his managing director had worked sloppily - or maybe he noticed exactly that, but did not let his occasionally also sloppily working Halligoland managing director correct the above quoted, legally more than questionable sentence on purpose.

<sup>196</sup> See in "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014

<sup>197</sup> See again *Jens an Thies and DVNLP* (30.05.2014).

<sup>198</sup> See under the respective date in "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014.

<sup>199</sup> See *DVNLP dispute part 2 of 2* (09.07.2014).

<sup>200</sup> See *Hendriks to member* (24.01.2018), "*DVNLP relies on lying managing director*" and "*DVNLP lies. Chronic*".



original] *made cannot be proven. The Association takes note of the "lifting of the charges".*" It's a lie by omission: What is not disclosed is what Dr. jur. Jens Tomas knew very well that the complainant had temporarily withdrawn the charges on the advice of her lawyer, so that mediation with the respective addressees of the complaint could take place in the DVNLP, but had then, after the board of directors had prevented mediation, again submitted her charges. And what is concealed above all is the fact that the complainant's complaints containing "*serious allegations*" were suppressed and kept under lock and key by the board of directors - and are still being suppressed today.

The two sentences that follow apparently serve solely to criminalise the complainant and myself: "*Legally, from the point of view of the association, the matter is not closed. As things stand at present, there are numerous injunctions against both Thies Stahl and ... [the complainant]. The Hamburg public prosecutor's office has also brought charges against Ms. ... [the complainant] for libel, slander and other offences. Mr. Stahl is under investigation for various crimes.*"<sup>201</sup> Big lie: There is not a single "injunction" against me, especially not a "judicial" one, as Dr. jur. Jens Tomas should know. And that the investigations against me were then discontinued in December 2014<sup>202</sup>, as Dr. jur. G., who presumably unofficially and officially acts as an association lawyer, was also informed<sup>203</sup>, the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors had obviously "forgotten" to inform its members. Above all, the fact that the proceedings between the public prosecutor's office and the complainant were<sup>204</sup> discontinued in November 2017 has not been and will not be disclosed to the members. To this day, the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors perpetuates the lies of her predecessor and thus cultivates this form of gentle forgetting in conformity with the authorities as a constitutive characteristic of the mafia-naziid association of injustice DVNLP.<sup>205</sup>

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas has used his lies in all these official association statements to discredit and defame me and the complainant - with the clearly recognisable aim of not endangering the DVNLP association's official defamatory portrayal of the complainant as an untrustworthy and crazy false accuser, but of cementing it in the minds of the members. This criminal tradition of lies of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas"

---

<sup>201</sup> See *DVNLP dispute part 2 of 2 (09.07.2014)*.

<sup>202</sup> See *notice of recruitment to DD and KA (16.12.2014)*, *notice of recruitment to XY via KF (16.12.2014)*, *notice of recruitment to P.P. (16.12.2014)*, *notice of recruitment to SF (16.12.2014)* and *notice of recruitment to AK (16.12.2014)*.

<sup>203</sup> See *StA informs DVNLP lawyer Dr. jur. G. (16.12.2014)*.

<sup>204</sup> See *decision AG-Altona (17.11.2017)*.

<sup>205</sup> Unfortunately one must assume that all members inquiring at the DVNLP are lied to in this way until today: *Hendriks to member (24.01.2018)*.

board of directors is being continued by his unjust successor, the current "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors.

1.2.1.4.5. abuser, thwarting and instigator

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas thwarted urgently necessary internal measures of the association in the course of his abusive exercise of his office as DVNLP chairman by concealing from the sovereign of the association, the general meeting, the accusations and complaints made against his two "NLP-professional" colleagues and also against himself in the association.

Likewise, the fact that<sup>206</sup> Dr. jur. Jens Tomas

1. concealed the deeds and conduct of DVNLP member XY, who abused a participant and client, from the responsible bodies of the association and from the general meeting which was lied to by him: He knowingly and consciously misappropriated person XY and the core conflict of the "Causa DVNLP" centred around him by hiding member XY from the other DVNLP members and making him disappear completely,
2. actively supported member XY in relation to two other members, the complainant and myself, by suppressing their complaints against this member - a situation which must be described as thwarting the right of appeal within the Association and, in addition, as favouritism in office,
3. obstructed the LKA's investigations against association member XY and a paedocriminal offender system by having the lawyer for the association, Harms,<sup>207</sup> denounce the complainant to the LKA as mentally disturbed and by not preventing or correcting the member Petra P. from denouncing the complainant (and also me) to the police with the help of a pathologising and criminalising false accusation<sup>208</sup>

---

<sup>206</sup> Whether §§ 258 (obstruction of punishment, here rather in the form of obstruction of an investigation), 323c (failure to provide assistance to the complainant; disability of persons providing assistance, me) or 257 StGB (preferential treatment: If the results are actually applicable to another person who has committed an illegal act ... rendering assistance to another person who has committed an illegal act), which is used here quasi amateurishly only as an analogy, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his presumed study colleagues involved in the DVNLP for his benefit, Dr. jur. G. and the association lawyer Harms, should do their own research.

<sup>207</sup> See the letter of 30.05.2014 in the LKA file commissioned by him from the association lawyer Harms: "*Dossier Täter-Opfer-Anber-Rkehr*".

<sup>208</sup> Dr. jur. Jens Tomas certainly knew about this, because in his *e-mail of 30 May 2014* to me, he accused me and the complainant - while completely renouncing the neutrality offered to him - that we had also accused Petra P. and also KF, the lawyer of XY, who also represented her and was reported as his accomplice. Thus, it can be assumed that the proceedings of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas were synchronized or coordinated with Petra P., XY and the joint lawyer KF (see *DVNLP lawyer collaborates with KF Dr. jur. G. (23.09.2014)*), i.e. also that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas knew about

4. denied the complainant, who had been abused within the association, any kind of assistance by blocking communication with the executive committee and the competent association bodies, and instead (pre)condemned her publicly in the association as a false accuser, completely renouncing the neutrality offered to him, and thus brought about a complete conversion of perpetrators and victims within the DVNLP by means of vigilante justice.

In his "affidavit"<sup>209</sup> of 09.12.2014 submitted to the Berlin Regional Court, it becomes clear how far Dr. jur. Jens Tomas would have gone in order to be able to let the self-justice, which has degenerated into mob justice, happen in any case at<sup>210</sup> the general meeting on 31.10.2014. He emphasizes: "Even in the case of a court decision that we, the complainant and myself, brought along to the general meeting that we as members with voting rights (which we were of course also without such a decision<sup>211</sup>) were entitled to participate in the MA, i.e. even if the legal situation had been doubly in our favour, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas would have issued a ban on entering the house - and would have enforced this ban with the security service that had been specially appointed for our coming. In his obviously carelessly "slipshod" affidavit, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas quasi announced to the Berlin Regional Court that he would have acted in any case in violation of the statutes and the law - and that even if the formal legal trick used by him and the Mafia lawyer Harms had not worked.

This trick consisted in the fact that the DVNLP took the legal view that the service of our requests for arbitration hearings on the DVNLP lawyer RA Harms cannot be regarded as formal service, since it<sup>212</sup> should have been made to the office only.

---

the false accusations made by the police against Petra P. and certainly approved of them - instead of forwarding Petra P.'s complaint to the competent arbitration commission.

<sup>209</sup> Link: *lieu of an oath Declaration Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (09.12.2014)*

<sup>210</sup> Dr. jur. Jens Tomas in his affidavit: "*We expected a decision on interim relief, as announced. And: "At this point in time [when the complainant and I wanted to be let into the room], the behaviour of ... [complainant] and Thies Stahl in a very moving, tearful [meaning probably the crocodile tears of Martina Schmidt-Tanger] general meeting was the subject of discussion. I had explained all the measures to be taken, including the one to ban them from the house in case of an emergency, in case the two of them, contrary to expectations, have a court decision [emphasis added].*

<sup>211</sup> See the *remarks of the Berlin Regional Court in the order fixing the costs (01.07.2015)*. His strategy should be here, in his *oath. (09.12.2014): "Thus, the ban was no longer a ban on the board of directors or the chairperson of the meeting, but also on the general meeting, which ratified it shortly after **Mr. Stahl** [emphasis added by me] was **expelled from the house**".* Cosmic Joke: There was a mobjust-like, security-safety squadron-supported riot by board member Sebastian Mauritz and other DVNLP members against me and the petite complainant!

<sup>212</sup> In his statement, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas submitted to the Regional Court of Berlin: "*The request for arbitration was not submitted in accordance with the statutes, but was addressed to RA Harms. In our view, the application was thus formally unlawful. We were expecting a decision on interim relief [meaning the court decision mentioned in the penultimate footnote, which we*

However, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas forgot to mention at the Regional Court of Berlin that our many requests for referral to the Arbitration Commission had previously been addressed to the office, where they were then, as I assume, thrown into the "*Waste Paper Basket - Does the Board of Directors Collect Anyway*" file by DVNLP Managing Director Berend Hendriks von Hallig-Oland. Nor did Dr. jur. Jens Tomas mention that our request, which was addressed directly and in the form of an urgent appeal to the spokesman of the Arbitration Commission, Henrik Andresen, was certainly received by the Arbitration Commission (see 1.2.2.5.6 *Abuse of Henrik Andresen* and 1.2.2.8.2 *Abuse of the Arbitration Commission*).

To this trick another one was added: The exclusion notices of the association lawyer Harms, which contained the criminalizing threat to use the right of residence against us on the MV, had been sent to us only 23 hours before the beginning of the general meeting. Thus (1.) the time was clearly too short for the hurdle of judicial steps to be taken by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and RA Harms, which had been specifically built in for us, and (2.) the office in Berlin (for the required formal legal submission of our applications for referral to the arbitration commission in accordance with the local legal situation) was no longer accessible.<sup>213</sup>

#### 1.2.1.4.6. traitors to the presumption of innocence

Until court decisions have been made, "*the constitutional presumption of innocence applies in every direction*", Dr. jur. Jens Tomas has already announced in the April-2014 statement<sup>214</sup> of the DVNLP. The following official statements of the association do not tire of pointing this out either.

However, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his board of directors have repeatedly and continuously violated this principle, e.g. by the fact that the board of directors officially but secretly approved the embezzlement of association-internal confidential mails in the court case XY./Stahl by the forwarding of association-internal confidential correspondence by association officials, not by mistake, but according to a very conscious strategic calculation hidden from the DVNLP membership: That Dr. jur. The fact that Jens Tomas very consciously pursued the violation of the executive board against the precept of the presumption of innocence by the executive board is due, among other things, to the fact that he coordinated the strategy of judicial support of the abuser and alleged sex offender XY, which is contrary to the statutes, by providing him with confidential e-mails within the association about his old fellow students, the association lawyers acting in a mafia-like manner (cf. the chapters 1.2.1.3.3. *Place of honour in the circle of perpetrators of violence* and 1.2.2.2.1. *Abusive use of "associate lawyers"*)

---

should have had], *as announced*. In its *comments in the order fixing the costs (01.07.2015)* (page 3), the Regional Court of Berlin did not agree with this erroneous view.

<sup>213</sup> At that time, as the DVNLP answering machine reported, the employees were already "*on their way to Bochum for the general meeting*".

<sup>214</sup> See *April-without-date opinion (XX.04.2014)*

The DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas has perverted the presumption of innocence into a clear association-official presumption and attribution of guilt and made it the basis of a perpetrator-victim-reversion and an association-official betrayal of two DVNLP-members - by banal-violent instructions and delegations to the DVNLP- or perpetrator-lawyers responsible for the legal implementation of the association crimes and conspiring with him.

#### 1.2.1.4.7 Chief of double standards and double standards

In the expulsion proceedings initiated against us by the mafia-like lawyers of the DVNLP,<sup>215</sup> the most important reason in each case was that both the complainant and I had allegedly issued false certificates: The complainant had signed both XY and RP - forcibly<sup>216</sup> coerced by them - false certificates for hours of adult education. And I had, in order that the complaints against him could finally be dealt with in the association, withdrawn a certificate of supervision hours issued by me from XY - on the grounds that<sup>217</sup> it would have been more of a certificate of courtesy, as I had given him hours too generously (by which I had admitted that I had overlooked his problem of violence in the form of clients sexually abused by him).

Here, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his Management Board clearly applied double standards: The issuing of inappropriate or false certificates should be a reason for exclusion in the case of the complainant and myself - in each case completely without consideration of the context - and the deliberate and deliberate submission of false certificates for obtaining the teaching trainer certificate in the case of XY and RP should not.

On the contrary: Although the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board knew that it was a gross misconduct of XY and RP to submit false certificates and above all, although it knew that both had obtained them by violent criminal means, the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board did not think of excluding XY and RP from the DVNLP, but kept them in office and dignity in the association as "teaching trainers".

This is a manifestation of the bourgeois double standards lived in the DVNLP that is recognizable for all. To put it boldly: The DVNLP member, who has been badly abused by the party society of the DVNLP women and men of this association in their role as party girl, is "thrown out" with brute force<sup>218</sup> and the DVNLP teaching

---

<sup>215</sup> This refers to the association lawyer Harms and the chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas.

<sup>216</sup> Violence by SF in both cases. Regarding the violence of XY and SF, the complainant see my report from the court hearing Public Prosecutor's Office / complainant in Chapter 2.3 "*Manifest Violence*" perceived by DVNLPers .

<sup>217</sup> See *withdrawal of supervision certificate for XY*.

<sup>218</sup> To be taken literally: When we were ejected from the general meeting by the security service, the complainant actually flew onto the pavement in front of the entrance to the event building.

coaches, who have fallen out of their roles as instructors, psychotherapists and coaches and have acted violently against them as their suitors, cover for each other. The multiple abuses were only "misdemeanours". The cavaliers remain respected members of society and their first courted and then humiliated participant and client is disposed of like an abused whore in the garbage of bourgeois history.

Oh, oh, XY, Jens Tomas, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Anita von Hertel, Leo Buchholz, AK, Stephan Landsiedel and OA, how do you want to make up for this?

1.2.1.4.8. grand inquisitor, conjurer and chief of the self- and lynch law

The fact that the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors is responsible for the vigilante justice in the DVNLP committed against the complainant has already become clear from the above - and it becomes even clearer below (see 1.2.2. *Abuses by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors*).

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his board of directors are above all responsible for the fact that this vigilante justice has turned into mob and lynch law in the general meeting, which he manipulated and deceived. When the complainant and I wanted to attend the general meeting twenty minutes after the beginning of the meeting as members with voting rights, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Petra P. and AK had prevented the members present from attending the meeting by means of their mendacious, demagogically used beamer presentation (see 1.2.2.8.3. *abuse of the general meeting*) to such an extent that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas was later able to say of this influence before the Berlin Regional Court: *"At that time, the conduct of ... [the complainant] and Thies Stahl was the subject of discussion in a very moving, tearful general meeting."*<sup>219</sup>

This statement by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas can only be understood in this way from my point of view: Whatever he and his accomplices, all of whom were conflicting partners of the (absent!) complainant, told about her person during the beamer presentation of some of her out-of-context text fragments, this act of the vigilante justice of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, which violated her personal rights, has turned into mob and lynch law. This consisted in the fact that the complainant and I were prevented from speaking by brutal physical force and were forcibly - as voting members - executed from the general meeting. None of the eighty DVNLP members present intervened and helped us, i.e. all DVNLP members present supported this mob and lynch law for which the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board was responsible.

In earlier publications I have already used the "witches and heretics" analogy from the time of the Inquisition to name this monstrous event, in addition to those of the "empowerment", the "final solution" and the "summary court in absentia" from the

---

<sup>219</sup> See *oath. Statement by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (09.12.2014)*.

Nazi period and those of the "decomposition" from the GDR-MfS period:<sup>220</sup> The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors has not only transformed the 2014 DVNLP general meeting into a fascist-totalitarian show tribunal, but also into a dark medieval inquisition tribunal. As the "Grand Inquisitor" of the DVNLP, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas denied the complainant, the "witch", and me, the "heretic", any hearing in this tribunal - before he had us, in order to continue speaking in a language that was spoken in Germany a few hundred years later in a similarly dark time, "pass sentence in absentia".<sup>221</sup>

DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas has thus assumed the tasks and powers of a criminal prosecution authority as well as of a court and a court-appointed psychological-psychiatric expert.<sup>222</sup> He himself named the vigilante justice for which he and the DVNLP board (until today) are responsible to the DVNLP members present in this general meeting, who "authorized" him to do exactly this, when he explained to them<sup>223</sup>, that the complainant's complaints *"were unproven and, above all, highly implausible allegations"* and that he and Martina Schmidt-Tanger *"after an initially benevolent examination of the allegations, increasingly came to the conclusion that the allegations were absolutely untenable"* and that they *"belonged in the realm of fantasy"*.

The JR present at this meeting, referring to the statements of DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas at this general meeting, stated in his sworn statement: *"I can say, however, that it was about accusations of sexual offences against her in the context of any sexual activities. It was often pointed out by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas during the individual allegations that further allegations of this kind were made by ... [the complainant]. Reference was made to a thick file folder, which lay in front of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and which, if desired, would be made available for inspection. Whether DVNLP members accepted the offer of inspection, I don't think so, but I can't rule it out."*<sup>224</sup>

---

<sup>220</sup> See *"DVNLP deserted by all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control"*, *"Täterverband DVNLP - Silence, denial and repression"* and *"My beautiful delinquent German Verband! DVNLP completes perpetrator-victim-reversion"*.

<sup>221</sup> See the *"Nazi Analogies" court decision (09.03.2017)* on my article *"DVNLP deserted by all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control"*.

<sup>222</sup> As for the "rescue of honour" of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, it must be said that he was "authorised" by Hitler a long time ago: Also the DVNLP leadership, the DVNLP members present at the "Enabling General Meeting", and ultimately the entire "DVNLP people" have "appropriated" this power to him (see under 1.2.2.5.2. *Abuse to Dr. jur. Jens Tomas*).

<sup>223</sup> Testified by affidavit by the former DVNLP member JR, who was present at this general meeting (see *affidavit. Declaration JR on the MV (08.09.2017)*).

<sup>224</sup> See also: *Oath. Declaration JR on the MV (08.09.2017)*.

Whatever was actually in this file and whether a member went forward at all to browse through it: virtually hallucinatively displayed<sup>225</sup> were "*further accusations*" of the kind shown by beamer. Even if not a single member actually looked at the further texts "*of this kind*" (because they did not exist) which were interpreted for inspection by the suggested hallucination of the hypnosis-linguistically well-trained<sup>226</sup> hypnotist Dr. jur. Jens Tomas in the general meeting (because they did not exist): It can certainly be said that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas here has brought exactly what should have taken place *in the protected framework of a conciliation commission*, grossly violating the rights of the complainant and as the basis of mob and lynch law into the *public domain of the general meeting*. Throwing passages from the complainant's private e-mail correspondence with a closed group of addressees onto the wall in public at the general meeting by beamer is a gross violation of the right of personality, as is the entire correspondence of the complainant that has been made available for inspection - even if this correspondence existed only as a hypnotically generated image in the heads of the members present between two file covers that remained closed.<sup>227</sup>

Thus, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas presented himself in this degenerated general meeting as a tribunal not only through his behavior, but also explicitly-verbally in this indirect public self-description as the master of the vigilante justice - as a kind of modern NLP Grand Inquisitor.<sup>228</sup> If one renounces the analogy "Grand Inquisitor" and instead, again as an analogy, uses the official title "judge", with which Dr. jur. Jens Tomas apparently confused his office as DVNLP chairman, one can say

*The "Judge of the Vigilante Court" of the DVNLP, DVNLP Chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, together with his "assessor", Martina Schmidt-Tanger, a senior member of the DVNLP's Training and Further Training Commission, had initially "sympathetically examined" the allegations made by the complainant, but then unfortunately had to come to the conclusion, that*

---

<sup>225</sup> See also my comments on the hypnotic technique of presupposition suggestions used by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas as well as Martina Schmidt-Tanger under 1.2.1.3.3.5 *Perfidious double psychopathologization*.

<sup>226</sup> See *MILTON!: Linguistic brilliance for professional communicators. Practical training "Hypnotic speech patterns"*, by Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger.

<sup>227</sup> Witnesses who have looked into the said file and who have testified that it contained other documents from the complainant's correspondence and applications - or only waste paper from the DVNLP office - may come forward. In the one case, the DVNLP board would have to try to justify a gross violation of the complainant's personal rights, in the other case, the DVNLP board would have to try to justify the sleight of hand and the honorary membership of a Dr. jur.

<sup>228</sup> "*In the present, the word Grand Inquisitor is predominantly a political, media or literary metaphor, which aims at collective clichéd associations in connection with the Inquisition or the persecution of witches, which have in common the negative notion [emphasis added by me:] of a person who, on a public commission, persecutes dissenters in bad faith or blindness, and is guided by cruelty and abuse of power.* See <https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Großinquisitor>.



*the complainant, accused by them in personal union as the "prosecutor" herself, had to be described as implausible <sup>229</sup>according to the convincing expertise of the "experts" heard by this state court and that "her accusations belonged in the realm of imagination", in other words, that she was crazy.*

This "craziness hypothesis" was then accepted and confirmed as correct by the "Inquisition and Status Court" of the DVNLP, consisting of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Petra P., AK, Leo Buchholz and Anita von Hertel, as well as an audience of almost eighty DVNLP members who were "sold for stupid" by this leadership: The Vigilante Justice Court of the 2014 DVNLP General Assembly declared the complainant to be implausible and insane - perfidiously indirect and after the "witch and the heretic", she and I, had already been excommunicated and sent into exile: *"The terms 'mentally ill', 'insane' or similar were not expressed directly, but they were expressed indirectly and in a circumscribed form, such as 'witch' and 'heretic'.B. in phrases like 'abstruse', 'lunatic' and she would have a 'very vivid imagination'."*<sup>230</sup>

Even without the analogies from the time of the Inquisition and the Nazi era, one can say: The vigilante justice practiced by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and the DVNLP executive board, transformed into mob and lynch law, is a crime against humanity - uncorrected <sup>231</sup>by the DVNLP, which presents itself as a humanistic method and even as an association representing "love" itself, until today.

#### 1.2.1.4.9 Master bullying as a matter of priority

When he lost his neutrality and began to act in a biased manner on behalf of the conflict partners from the then master course and against the complainant, the DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas took part in the group bullying by participants of my then master course, which was presumably committed by the abuser and alleged perpetrator of violence XY and his supporters Leo Buchholz, Petra P., AK, KA, DK, DD and GA against the complainant: Dr. jur. Jens Tomas took over this mobbing directed against the complainant and, as the complainant reports, mobbing of the master participants, fueled by course instructor XY, against her as a matter for the boss and brought it to an end as an official *final mobbing of the DVNLP association* - with the

---

<sup>229</sup> They were, in personal union, the "judge" who was also active as "chief prosecutor" and his "assessor" Martina Schmidt-Tanger who was also active in personal union as "psychiatric expert", as well as the master bullying officer Petra P., AK, Leo Buchholz, and Anita von Hertel, who was well informed about the hidden violations of the statutes and dirty operations in the association, but, like Leo Buchholz, remained in deep silence.

<sup>230</sup> Again from the *oath. Declaration JR on the MV (08.09.2017)*.

<sup>231</sup> Cf. the *"love" motto of the 2018 DVNLP congress*. Against the background of the "Causa DVNLP" it is as ridiculous as it is cynical.

help of a perpetrator-victim-victim reversal directed against the complainant and its removal from the association by means of "Final Solution Elimination"<sup>232</sup>.

#### 1.2.1.4.10. Upper stigmatiser

In his "affidavit" to the Regional Court of Berlin,<sup>233</sup> Dr. jur. Jens Tomas reveals himself to be the chief stigmatizer of the DVNLP through skillful and indirect psychopathologizing. He achieves an intensely stigmatizing effect through a combination of psychiatry and criminalization, which results from these two statements in his statement: *"A participation of Thies Stahl would have led to a commotion after the events in the run-up, the decision we made to hire a security service was logical, as has been shown".* And: *"We, the board and management, had feared that there would be riots due to the aggressiveness of the two."*

How could a presentation of my critical and thoughtful remarks, as the board knew them from my correspondence with him and from my motions to the arbitration committee and to the general meeting, have led to a tumult? The DVNLP association founder and longest serving German representative of the NLP method had to be physically (!) kept in check with the help of a security service?

What kind of commotion should be prevented here? It is as if Dr. jur. Jens Tomas wanted to say to the Berlin Regional Court: *"We have treated the complainant and Mr Stahl like criminal, mentally disturbed people who - since they are 'aggressive' by nature - could be expected to cause a 'riot'. In our strategy, we assumed that as voting members they would not put up with this, would demand entry and would articulate their opposition loud and clear, i.e. "cause" a riot. We were then well prepared for such an expected "contingency", actually provoked by us, with a total of six security personnel"*.

On the logic of this kind of self-reinforcing pathologizing stigmatization: Two people are placed in the psychiatric and criminal corner (according to the motto "They endanger others through their<sup>234</sup> inherent aggressiveness") by the power of their own abused office and by means of the executable force belonging to this office, and then their planned reaction to this violently excluding special treatment is taken as evidence for the correctness of the attribution of aggressiveness and disorder.

---

<sup>232</sup> On this usage of language see again the "Nazi Analogies" judgement (on the article "DVNLP deserted by all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control").

<sup>233</sup> See oath. Statement by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (09.12.2014).

<sup>234</sup> Here, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas was obviously advised by Martina Schmidt-Tanger with a less exemplary application of her knowledge of trait and state psychology, which she gave to all new DVNLP instructors in an obligatory DVNLP event.

Exactly this self-contained and self-immunizing figure of thought and argumentation was also found in the false report of<sup>235</sup> November 4, 2014, which the current Director of Public Relations and Press, Ralf Dannemeyer, had already submitted to Dr. jur. Jens Tomas board of directors loyal DVNLP court reporter for eight months<sup>236</sup> in his 12.000 people NLP forum and also in the lie spread by Mr. Ekkehart Padberg on Facebook<sup>237</sup> (see 1.2.2.7.2 *Lies in Dannemeyer's "dirty corner forum"*).

#### 1.2.1.4.11. Chief of the false accusers

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas must allow himself to be accused of having, in connection with the covert and official support of XY, incited or, by tacit approval, encouraged several members to commit misdemeanours which are clearly contrary to the statutes and morals and probably also to acts which are possibly criminally relevant, e.g.

- Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Petra P., Stephan Landsiedel on their undercover operations in the context of the XY./Stahl court case,
- Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Petra P., AK, today's CFO Leo Buchholz, Anita von Hertel and today's Director of Press and Public Relations, Ralf Dannemeyer, who to this day reports in his Internet NLP forum in a suitably tendentious manner and disseminates false reports, on their complicity in lying and cheating at the 2014 general meeting manipulated and deceived by the board of directors,
- the then spokeswoman of the DVNLP regional group Hamburg/Schleswig-Holstein, Petra P., on a false statement to the police,
- Stephan Landsiedel, Carlos Salgado, XY and PR on certificate fraud,
- AK and OA on their false accusations denouncing the complainant and myself in their applications for exclusion from our association, which were kept secret from us in name and content, and finally
- XY himself, who was killed by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas was presumably advised to stick to his statement that his relationship with the complainant had been "consensual" and in no way to admit that in his case there was any sexual abuse or violent coercion, neither with regard to the relationship between companion and participant (in which intimate relationships are regarded as "unproblematic" by the DVNLP), and also not with regard to the relationship of an HP psychotherapist to his patient (which is justiciable according to § 174 c, but is not sanctioned in the DVNLP) or of a coach to his client (which, depending on the judge, could be justiciable, but is still regarded and tolerated by the DVNLP as neither unethical nor unprofessional).

---

<sup>235</sup> Link: *ModInfo TS excluded (04.11.2014)*

<sup>236</sup> See *Dannemeyer Stahl (08.07.2015)*

<sup>237</sup> See the *Facebook lie Padberg (01.11.2014)*.

XY made a stupid mistake when he submitted to the Court of First Instance an invoice with the professional title of psychotherapist(HP) concerning coaching sessions with the complainant in order to "prove" to the latter that he had not also been active as her psychotherapist, which, according to the extensive and detailed statement of the complainant, was the case.

The DVNLP chairman, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, had thus fought against the other (the complainant), with the complete loss of the neutrality offered to him and in cooperation with the DVNLP members who, like himself, were involved in intensive conflicts with the complainant on the side of one (XY) of two DVNLP members accusing each other of criminal offences. Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, together with his board and the other "DVNLP case" perpetrators, has taken the risk that the devastating pre-conviction in the association will cause enormous damage to the probably *more "innocent"* member, the complainant, in the context of the "DVNLP case", and to help the obviously much *more "guilty"* member, XY, not to be legally harassed and prosecuted for criminal offences and also not to have to bear the consequences of his unprofessional and clearly unethical actions in the association.

They did so *by explicitly, verbally*<sup>238</sup> or *implicitly, adopting the accusations of DVNLP member XY* against the complainant, who is also a member of the DVNLP,<sup>239</sup> by means of acts speaking louder than words and eloquent silence<sup>240</sup>. In so doing, they have done precisely what they accuse the complainant of doing: They all falsely accused the complainant, conducted by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, in this case of false accusation.

---

<sup>238</sup> Thus, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas repeated to the complainant and to me the questionable consensual statement of XY, although the complainant had made a consensual statement to the Board (see under 10 September 2013 in "Causa DVNLP" - Correspondence 05 June 2013 to 05 May 2013.2014): "*Due to this muzzle ... [the cease-and-desist declarations sponsored by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas] I would have had little to oppose his account that it was a voluntary, consensual relationship. I strongly disagree with that account.*" Dr. jur. Jens Tomas explicitly doubted (cf. *Jens an Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)*) the credibility of the complainant and Cora Besser-Siegmund accused the complainant, or her relationship to him, of having caused the psychological decompensation of her abuser XY - in a telephone conversation, as the complainant reports, even more clearly than in her e-mail to me (see *e-mails Cora Thies (28.03.2014)*).

<sup>239</sup> These are, besides the crocodile tears of Martina Schmidt-Tanger in the general meeting she lied to and, above all, the passing on of my internal confidential mails by her and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas to XY. Neither of them was required to tell the court explicitly that they considered the complainant to be an implausible false accuser: You could be sure that this message will reach the judge solely because of this action. The withdrawal of the DVNLP certificate by Stephan Landsiedel as an assistance for XY also belongs to this category as a "speaking deed".

<sup>240</sup> The intensive conflict partners of the complainant Anita von Hertel and Leo Buchholz certainly had good reasons to remain silent at the 2014 general meeting and thus "ennobled" the lies that were being told about us there. The same probably applies to Barbara Knuth, a member of the Arbitration Commission.

*"Owned!"* Exactly this was the central accusation of the complaint of XY against me, supported by the DVNLP executive committee. Dr. jur. Jens Tomas is obviously so deeply involved in the conflicts with Martina Schmidt-Tanger, XY and the complainant that he has not noticed that the DVNLP leadership, for its part, has now, in unison with the other DVNLP perpetrators, "adopted" precisely this accusation that XY has made against me. And this after he had repeatedly pointed out, in accordance with the DVNLP-backed complaint filed by XY against me in court, that I had "adopted" the complainant's accusations against XY and other DVNLP members.

The relevant court case XY./Stahl concerned the fact that I had addressed four people to the allegations made by the complainant against XY and allegedly made them my own. These were

- two of her then conflicting partners from my then master group, BE and GA, who were reported by the complainant. Together with the complainant, I sought to talk to both of them. We wanted to persuade them to no longer side with XY in the group conflict but with the complainant and, if necessary, to testify in her favour, and to
- Martina Schmidt-Tanger, who was still a colleague of mine at that time, and her trainer colleagues, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, chairman of the DVNLP board of directors. As the "background authority" of the DVNLP requested by the complainant for mediation, and as I was probably still regarded at the time as its founding board member and honorary member who had initiated it, I had a confidential exchange of ideas within the Association on how the DVNLP should deal appropriately with the serious allegations made by the complainant against XY, who was trained by me, and against other members of the Association.

Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas must have known, due to the mafia-like, conspiratorial collaboration of the association and auxiliary association lawyers Harms, Dr. G and KF in the background, that<sup>241</sup> my application to Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his board of directors addressed confidential e-mails within the association, in the court case XY./Stahl of KF, the lawyer of XY, who had been charged as his accomplice, as "proof" that I had *"made my own"* the accusations made against XY by the complainant. So Martina Schmidt-Tanger forwarded these e-mails, which she had embezzled from within the association, via her lawyer Dr. jur. G., who was also an auxiliary lawyer of the DVNLP, to the lawyer KF, who had been charged together with his client XY, for use against me in court - mediated by Petra P., who, as a conflict partner of the complainant from the then Master's degree, as well as AK and KA, is represented by KF as a lawyer against the complainant and me.

---

<sup>241</sup> See DVNLP association lawyer collaborates with KF Dr. jur. G. (23.09.2014).

The responsibility for this betrayal has obviously been transferred by Martina Schmidt-Tanger to the lawyers Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his fellow student Dr. jur. G.: She told Petra P., the official of the association who had set up this dirty, covert DVNLP operation in the Hamburg court, that she first had to ask her lawyer whether she could leave the confidential e-mails addressed by me to her and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas for improper use against me.<sup>242</sup> Thus Martina Schmidt-Tanger abused her DVNLP office just as Petra P. abused hers as spokeswoman of the DVNLP regional group Hamburg/Schleswig-Holstein.

Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas will in any case have been clear that they have qualified the court's accusation of "appropriation" of his action for injunctive relief filed against me as being correct by this misappropriation of documents confidential to XY within the association: As the leading false accusers of the DVNLP, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (1.) have overly clearly "adopted" XY's accusation of "making it their own" through this action and (2.) then abused their DVNLP offices to influence several other DVNLP members and the relevant DVNLP bodies, including the General Assembly, by their lies and misrepresentations to the effect that ultimately the whole association "adopted" XY's accusation against me: To this day, the DVNLP has publicly falsely accused me of having "adopted" the complainant's alleged false accusations.

With the help of its lies in the general meeting, in its statements and in the DVNLP forums, the DVNLP executive board "adopted" the accusation of false accusation made first by XY and then by the XY support group against the complainant to such an extent that this accusation has finally become the unquestioned basis of the DVNLP's official self-justice and mob justice. Consequently, XY is no longer mentioned in the 2014 general meeting, which was manipulated and deceived by the board of directors, and afterwards, his name no longer appears in the DVNLP's appropriately "lied about" messages or statements.

What a ludicrous postponement!?! The XY who falsely accuses the complainant and myself - with the knowledge of the DVNLP executive board - of false accusations of false accusation, after his accusation of false accusation was completely hidden behind the false accusations of false accusation of the XY support group, which were sponsored by the DVNLP in a lie and presented to its members as true, under the protection of the perpetrator lobbying association DVNLP, as DVNLP and GNLC teaching trainer, unchecked and unchecked, following his dubious inclinations - and the complainant and I were crucified as "convicted" wrongdoers in absentia in a DVNLP state court.

The accusation of falsely accusing XYs against the complainant and me was completely hidden in an elaborate design by the head of the DVNLP false accusers, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, behind the accusations of falsely accusing XY supporters Petra P.,

---

<sup>242</sup> See *KF to LG MST and P.P. (18.09.2014)* and *KF to LG MST u. P.P. (18.09.2014)*.

AK, DK, Leo Buchholz, DD, KA and GA, which defamed the complainant and me and were presented at the 2014 general meeting and to this day in the DVNLP Internet forums.

The complainant has not withdrawn her charges against her DVNLP-intensive conflict partners, nor those against the perpetrators outside the DVNLP. At the moment all perpetrators can feel safe, because the LKA and the public prosecutor's office have stopped all investigations. Both authorities leave it at that, to continue and uncorrected psychopathologizing the complainant<sup>243</sup> - until today and with the help of the DVNLP, the association of false accusers.

1.2.1.4.12. "NLP-professional" as a helper of collegial deviousness

Martina Schmidt-Tanger acted collegially underhandedly and her "NLP-professional" colleague Dr. jur. Jens Tomas supported her to the best of his ability and his DVNLP office abusively. Both knew and had experienced at close quarters that I had to cope with various personal challenges in early 2012:

(1) My new relationship partner, the complainant, confided to me several details of her story of having been severely abused and sexually exploited as a child - and gave me insight into her extensive biographical notes and texts of her workup. The descriptions of the violent abuses to which she was subjected as a child were difficult for me to bear and emotionally difficult to process. Secondary trauma attacks on me as a listening partner (not as her therapist, which I was not) were not absent.

(2.) My compassion for her and my intense feelings against her perpetrators who exploited her as a child commercially and sexually in pedophile circles, besides her mother who died in 2007, especially her stepfather, SP, who is already over 80 years old in 2012, and his even older brother, I could neither use it to help my new partner to get into conversation with the perpetrators of her childhood, nor could I direct my emotions, sometimes as great anger, towards their punishment. Because of the statute of limitations on their atrocities, these two senior perpetrators of the pedocriminal offender system could no longer be brought to justice.<sup>244</sup> In 2012 I had - what Martina Schmidt-Tanger heard "up close" from me as her colleague who was a friend of hers at the time - scratched myself with unjustifiable anger in such a way that a dermatologist immediately said "neurodermatitis" and did not want to believe that I never had such a disease. This phase, thank God, only lasted a few months.

---

<sup>243</sup> See the "*dossier on victim-offender conversion*".

<sup>244</sup> With one of them, a reconciliation hearing took place, in which this old man seemed to me like one of the hardened denying Nazi perpetrators I sometimes encountered in constellations: far from being able to open up emotionally for their victim and to stand up for their own guilt. The other one, SP, could not be obliged to meet the complainant in a "reconciliation hearing", because the legal framework for him as a citizen of Hamburg was different from that for his brother outside Hamburg.

(3.) In addition, in 2012 and 2013 I failed in my attempt to help the complainant to prevent a Hamburg family court from transferring parental custody of her then 13-year-old daughter and 15-year-old son to the father of the two, VF, one of her pimping ex-husbands - and thus the power of disposal over her two children who, in the complainant's view, continue to be exploited in this paedocriminal offender system. This failure had, as Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas were also informed, among other things to do with the fact that VF was represented by an accomplice from the paedocriminal environment as a lawyer<sup>245</sup> who had skillfully and maliciously psychopathologised the complainant before the Family Court.<sup>246</sup> With its help, VF, together with SF, her second pimping ex-husband, who was trained by me in NLP in 2004/2005, had additionally succeeded in portraying<sup>247</sup> me as a dubious sect psychologist who "permanently hypnotized" the complainant and used and represented the "dubious NLP method", which would have cast a devilish spell on the complainant and which her children would have to be afraid of.

My DVNLP and "NLP-professional" colleagues Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas not only did not help me to protect the complainant, a member of the association, from psychopathologization, but on the contrary they helped the perpetrators to psychiatrize the complainant even more, among other things by allowing me, as a DVNLP representative, to be publicly discredited by the perpetrators as an exposed representative of the association's method.

Of course I would have needed the support of the DVNLP here, since the NLP method represented by this association was discredited with me, in order to make me appear as a monster manipulating with NLP and thus to discredit me irrevocably (see 1.2.2.10. *The board of directors abuses the reputation of NLP*). The latter was particularly true because this discrediting of the NLP method and of myself drew wider circles: I argued for the rights of the complainant with the head of the institution appointed by the competent youth welfare office to supervise the family court proceedings in question - I knew him from a training event I had once organised for his institution. I have criticised the poor professional conduct of his colleague dealing with the complainant's case. Unfortunately, this did not lead to a

---

<sup>245</sup> In the lawyers of VF and XY, the complainant and I were dealing with two lawyers who have been part of the paedocriminal offender system in the background for many years. I had underestimated the impact of a total of five lawyers (including Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Dr. jur. G. and DVNLP lawyer Harms) working for the perpetrator system. It has kept me busy (voluntarily) for the last six years - and cost me several hundred thousand euros.

<sup>246</sup> In this respect, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, with her DVNLP-official psychopathologisation of the complainant, which abused her office (see under 18 June 2014 in "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 8 May 2014 to 31 October 2014), was not the first "*psychologically violent*" (cf. page 9/10 in the judgement on the "Nazi analogies") perpetrator in her life.

<sup>247</sup> Cf. the anonymous letters mentioned above, which can probably be attributed to SF in cooperation with SP (especially *Anonymous to Thies* (06.12.2012)) and the testimony of SP (see *text Anzeige SP gegen BF* (28.01.2013) ).



qualitative improvement in the work of the employee in question, who for her part had great reservations about NLP - and thus also about me. But it led to another of his colleagues leaving his NLP training currently attended by me and his boss delegating the complainant's case back to the Youth Welfare Office. The psychologist in charge there, who is not exactly NLP enthusiastic either, was successfully convinced by VF and the complainant's children, who were probably well trained for this case, that "of course" no abuse had taken place or was currently taking place.<sup>248</sup> The complainant's convincingly presented indication that she herself, as an abused child already and above all as an abused teenager, had perfectly mastered such "everything is all right" appearances went unheard in the corridors of the Youth Welfare Office and the Family Court.

(4.) In the emotionally stirring time then, according to the reports of the complainant suppressed in the DVNLP, an NLP course instructor, XY, who was trained and protected by me, turned out to be a sexual offender who abused his clients and was allegedly beating and raping them - which I, as his instructor and as the greatest professional disaster of my life as a psychologist<sup>249</sup>, have to admit is due to a "Jekyll & Hyde" dissociation had apparently overlooked. Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas were well informed about this from our e-mail correspondence and Martina Schmidt-Tanger from conversations with me and the complainant - as well as about the actions of XY and his criminal activities in the background of my Master's thesis.

(5.) In addition, this course companion I recommended to my group participants for therapy and coaching sessions, again according to the reports suppressed in the DVNLP, apparently not only allowed several violations of the right to sexual self-determination of one of the course participants, the complainant, by other course participants, but also promoted and organized them - of course for a commission. This meant for me, the surprised and blue-eyedly astonished NLP "messenger of the gods", that in the background of *my* master course the first (and then also by me of all people!) well psycho trained and DVNLP certified pimp had done business with NLP, hypnosis and wing-wave based coercion and forced prostitution. The fact that Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had obviously already decided - to my horror - to let our complaints against XY in the association fall under the table,

---

<sup>248</sup> Presumably he had the help of SF, who cooperated with the key staff in Hamburg's youth welfare offices on several (sometimes probably rather dark) levels. He and also his co-pimp, Prof. GB, are extremely well networked in Hamburg and his offices (above all with the business partners of the pedocriminal offender system) - which also led to the manipulated note of the Social Psychiatric Service Altona, which was decisive for the psychiatry attack (see 1.2.3.2. *Psychiatrisation and the consequences*) (see in the "*Dossier Täter-Opfer-Zopfer-Rkehr*" under 24.01.2014 and 05.09.2016).

<sup>249</sup> See my letter to the Board of Directors regarding the *withdrawal of the supervision certificate for XY*.

led to the cancellation of<sup>250</sup> my participation in the DVNLP congress on the topic of "Values"! in October 2013, which was painful for me.

(6.) Just as every relationship partner in a new relationship has to deal emotionally with the previous relationships and relationship partners of his new love, so I had to deal with the complainant's stepfather who made her his wife when she was seven years old, with her violent pimp husbands and of course also with the many clients, customers and clients that my new partner had to serve as a child and teenager and, until she left in 2011, also as an adult. Because of the deep-seated double standards in most of us bourgeois men, and also in me, it is "naturally something different" for me as a man than for my partner, to have had many wives and lovers and occasionally to have taken a "love servant" home with me. If "one's own wife" has done something similar, even if it is clear that she had been forced to do so in a nasty way, this is a real opportunity for the double-moral "trivial offence" citizen, who deep down in me has not yet been overcome, to grow beyond his own narrow and implicitly misogynist boundaries. And this is of course all the more the case when the number of all these virtual rivals from the past goes into the tens of thousands. The latter makes the emotional coping with this task even more power-intensive than it might be at the beginning of a new relationship anyway. And this task was not exactly made easier by the fact that I knew many of their clients and customers since 2004 from my seminars and also from my DVNLP colleagues. I even knew her psychology professor, who examined and abused her as a student, from my Gestalt therapy training in 1977, for example, or other of her premium clients and sex coaching clients were known to me from "radio and television", at least as far as Hamburg's political and rock celebrities are concerned.

(7.) And somehow I also had to digest that many of her clients, who remain anonymous to me, but also some of her clients, who are well known to me and who come from the circle of my students and DVNLP colleagues and the celebrity world, have fallen out of *any* role, especially that of a DVNLP teacher trainer or a DVNLP coach. To learn that NLP, Wing-Wave and hypnosis, in combination with drugs and clumsy violence, could actually be used by XY and some other DVNLP teacher trainers to make so much foolish mistakes, led in a painful way to an extension of my until then, at least with regard to NLP, perhaps a little too naive image of man.

(8.) Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas also knew in 2013 that my daughter and the complainant's daughter lived together with us for a while and went to school together and that her son and my daughter were quite a sweet couple for a while (unfortunately much too short) - *until the* time when the complainant's children had to break off contact with my children and especially with their mother and remain under the spell of the perpetrators. As mentioned above, this was the case after a blind family court awarded custody of her to the pedocriminal offender

---

<sup>250</sup> Compare my mail from 24.10.2013 in "*Causa DVNLP*"- Correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014

system. Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas knew that for the complainant and also for me, it was emotionally very stressful not being able to help her children and not being able to prevent their path as victims and then also as future perpetrators in this system from being marked out in this way. The DVNLP has played an active role in making their exit from the program possible by supporting XY and the other perpetrators inside and outside the DVNLP.

(9) Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas also knew that, because the complainant had no other way to reach them, I had sent<sup>251</sup> a message to their children via Facebook, with a note from their mother telling them where they should turn for help. And they also knew that this news was the basis for a cease-and-desist declaration by me to SF, the complainant's ex-husband-pimp (not to claim again that he forced the complainant to buy). And of course Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas also knew that this in turn was the basis for the already mentioned 5.000€ contractual penalty I had to pay to SF. And they knew that this contractual penalty only came about because XY, who was later officially supported by them in the association, had passed on my<sup>252</sup> private mails to the complainant's ex-husband's pimp SF with the aim that SF could then successfully prove to me, with their help, a violation of the cease-and-desist declaration signed by me which was lucrative for XY and SF.

In spite of all this, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who were not only voluntary functionaries of the DVNLP, as I was, but also colleagues who were friends of mine, pervertedly formed a coalition with XY, SF and the other perpetrators inside and outside the DVNLP and collaborated against the complainant and me - although they had known since the beginning of 2012 that, in view of the relational and professional, z.B. would have needed her support rather than her "friendly fire" for the challenges posed by XY's activities in my courses.

Although Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had already managed to silence the complainant with regard to her complaints in the DVNLP concerning Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM at the beginning of June 2014 by acting in breach of the statutes, and although XY had also already managed to silence the complainant at the beginning of July 2014 by means of an injunction default judgment, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. jur. Jens Tomas in July 2014 with her association-official dirty-covered actions in the court case XY./Stahl everything was done to muzzle me in the association in court<sup>253</sup>. This was very important for XY, as he needed a

---

<sup>251</sup> See *Steel Children of the BF via Facebook (12/11/2012)*.

<sup>252</sup> See *Mails Stahl-XY (01.06.2013)*. These mails are from the time when I was only fragmentarily informed about the misconduct of XY, and even if we were not informed at all about that of Cora Besser-Siegmund, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the other "Causa DVNLP" perpetrators.

<sup>253</sup> Perhaps I myself was the one who gave Dr. jur. Jens Tomas the idea of the muzzle by means of injunctions of XY, SM and Martina Schmidt-Tanger against the complainant. I wrote to him and Martina Schmidt-Tanger: "*Martina had suggested that I should come to your meeting on 17.03. If*

document "proving his innocence" for his employer and also for the Heilpraktikerbehörde. For Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, too, this muzzle given to me was obviously very important, since they, despite their private knowledge as colleagues who were friends of mine at the time, misused the influence and power of their DVNLP offices in a risky way in order to fight against the complainant and me alongside XY and the other addressees of the complaint.

At this, as probably at many other places in this article, many readers will surely ask themselves: What did Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, but also Cora Besser-Siegmund, Leo Buchholz, Stephan Landsiedel and Anita von Hertel are actually guilty of taking the big risk of having helped an abuser and presumed perpetrator of violence with XY in the DVNLP "at the end of the day", to "get away" unhindered, uncorrected and without sanctions? Out of what "necessity" did they decide to put everything on the card "XY is innocent" or "XY will certainly be perceived as innocent (sufficiently for our strategy)" in their fight for destruction against the complainant - and thus also against me? Knowing that XY cooperates with a system of violent criminals and has *proven to have*<sup>254</sup> abused the complainant? Probably only a DVNLP investigative committee or a court dealing with these questions could bring to light the answers that the complainant and I have known for years. Only in these two contexts could the complainant speak freely.

#### 1.2.1.4.13. Architect of victim-offender conversion and the 'Final Solution

The main perpetrators of the DVNLP's conversion of the complainant to a perpetrator-victim relationship are probably Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas. They were supported by the "Causa DVNLP"-members Cora Besser-Siegmund, Anita von Hertel, Franz-Josef Hücker, Leo Buchholz, Ralf Dannemeyer, Stephan Landsiedel, Petra P., AK, OA and RP. The fact that six of these "perpetrator-victim-reversion" perpetrators have been made DVNLP honorary members or prize

---

*you and the other board members want that, I would have to find out once again from a legal point of view whether BF and I would then be able to speak freely at all, or whether that would bring us (!) injunctions again. I have to find out the same thing for statements of BF and of me before the arbitration commission." (See under the 04.03.2014 in "Causa DVNLP"-Correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014) How naive I was: Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his colleagues Harms and Dr. jur. G. were the ones with the legal know-how. But at that time, I did not know how deeply Martina Schmidt-Tanger, SM and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas were actually involved in conflicts with the complainant regarding the contents of the accusations of guilt against both of them, nor to what extent the legal intelligence of the DVNLP was already busy working *against* the complainant and me.*

<sup>254</sup> XY admitted before the Regional Court of Hamburg that, as an alternative practitioner for psychotherapy, he had carried out coaching sessions with the complainant - *at the same time as* he was decompensated for his abusive and intimate relationship with her in need of treatment. The DVNLP Board of Directors still withholds this information from its members. But of course he has to, because there is no abuser XY for the DVNLP members "hypnotized" by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors: The NLP- and Wing-Wave Teaching Trainer XY is protected by the DVNLP and the GNLC until today.

winners in 2016 and that two of them were newly elected to the board in 2018 does not in any way diminish their misdeeds committed in the DVNLP.

This crime, I think this perpetrator-victim-reversion must be called, consists in the fact that a woman, who has been living in the country until she is 38 years old, has been forced to give up her job.<sup>255</sup> They have been proven to have been abused in therapeutic and educational contexts by one, but presumably several other DVNLP teacher trainers, as well as to have been exposed to the violence of jointly committed sexual crimes within DVNLP seminar contexts, then finally by "her federation", the DVNLP, in which she hoped to be protected from her perpetrators, she was again and "once again *so properly*" abused - both deeply mentally and, with the help of violent, muscular security guards who were especially engaged for her, physically.

This association-official, complex and multiple abuse of her person consisted (and still exists today) in the fact that (1.) her complaints of abuse were not heard, let alone treated, (2.) the DVNLP instructor who abused her in the association not only did not bother and hide her, but (3.) the DVNLP instructor who abused her was not even aware of her abuse.) is still actively supported by his association to this day in continuing to commit a kind of abuser "hit and run", whereby (4.) the complainant is simultaneously (to this day) criminalised and pathologised and (5.) was excluded from the association unheard and with physical violence first from the general meeting and then, raped by perfidious character assassination, and has not been rehabilitated to this day.

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas can be regarded as the chief designer of the DVNLP's victim-offender-reversion, which was synchronized with the LKA and the public prosecutor's office, together with his psychopathologizing "NLP-professional" colleague Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the author of the DVNLP statutes, his fellow student RA Harms, who was supported by the unofficial DVNLP association lawyers KF and Dr. jur.

The same probably also applies to the "Final Solution of character assassination and association exclusion of the complainant", for which Dr. jur. Jens Tomas together with the author of the DVNLP statutes, association lawyer Harms, has developed the legal design with a strategy for our association exclusion: skilfully placed temporal bottlenecks and deadlines, deliberately confusingly designed graphic-tabular "preparation" of my statement on the DVNLP's exclusion statement and an "invitation", actually a non-invitation<sup>256</sup> to the general meeting, which was then

---

<sup>255</sup> The management of the association around Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas knew this ("*Causa DVNLP*"- *Correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014*) and the approx. 1.700 members informed by me (*my mails to the DVNLP members*) also knew this - or could have known it, mediated by my publications.

<sup>256</sup> As an "invitation", it was actually an extra invitation to the general meeting - in the sense that we were specially and extra invited to fall into the "security service trap" set up especially for

manipulated and deceived by the board of directors, whose participants in our absence were hypnotized in a kind of group trance with an artfully emotionalized, beamer- and crocodile tear-supported building of lies into the willingness to "empower" the board for its perfidiously planned "final solution by elimination".

Thus, the Board of Trustees, which finally advised on the exclusion of the complainant and myself, did not receive my response<sup>257</sup> to the reasons for my exclusion put forward by him until 24 October 2014, as the DVNLP lawyer<sup>258</sup> explained to the Berlin Regional Court on 9 December 2014. According to the plans of the lawyers Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and the lawyer of the association Harms, the members of the Board of Trustees should not have had 24 hours to deal with my 139-page reply (18 pages of opinion plus 111 pages of annexes) and, in addition, with an almost equally extensive opinion of the complainant.<sup>259</sup>

But because RA Harms did not immediately forward my well readable formatted statement sent to him by e-mail on 22.10.2014, but had to<sup>260</sup> deface it first with his "formatting wolf" and into a completely confusing table with sparse, irrelevant comments, the association lawyers Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Torsten Harms ultimately did not leave the board of trustees any time to deal with the texts and justifications I submitted: The "Kraut-und-Rüben" table presentation by RA Harms was not given to the Board of Trustees, which met on 24.10.2014, until the beginning of the meeting, i.e. the members of the Board of Trustees had perhaps just a few minutes to skim over it.<sup>261</sup>

1.2.1.4.14. Defendant in the event of a claim

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had his fellow students, not only his "NLP-professional" colleagues Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM, but then also the DVNLP as association

---

us by the board of directors, where we could then be presented by the board of directors as "causing a riot" aggressors (see 1.2.1.4.7. *Chief Stigmatizer*, 1.2.2.8.3. *Abuse of the general meeting* and 1.2.2.9.2. *Wasted resources: three days five security guards*). It is interesting that the complainant and I were still "enough members" to receive the minutes after the general meeting (see *MV protocol yellowPost (09.12.2014)*).

<sup>257</sup> See *opinion Thies Exclusion procedure (22.10.2014)*.

<sup>258</sup> That the board of trustees would meet on 24.10.2014, virtually without time to read anything at all, was of course known to the lawyers Harms and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, but not to me. See also: *Alternative facts DVNLP LG Berlin*.

<sup>259</sup> See *opinion BF exclusion procedure (22.10.2014)*.

<sup>260</sup> He did not submit to the board of trustees the text that I had formatted for good readability, but rather a continuous text that was difficult to read and forced unformatted into an incomprehensible table (see also *Alternative Facts DVNLP LG Berlin*).

<sup>261</sup> Even if the members of the Board of Trustees had already received my well legible text with the enclosed documents the day before, as the association's lawyers obviously intended, they would not have had sufficient time to read it before their momentous decision in this matter.

attorneys representing RA Dr. jur. G., file a trademark lawsuit<sup>262</sup> against me, which referred to a data garbage of the magazine "Praxis Kommunikation" on the Internet, which could only be found under a very specific search query and was not publicly accessible via its website. It was an old trainer portrait of me from earlier years, still with the designation DVNLP Teaching Trainer, which was objected by the board.

For their ghostly existence in cyberspace the Junfermann-Verlag has accepted full and sole responsibility in writing.<sup>263</sup> Although Dr. Stephan Dietrich of Junfermann Verlag did so kindly, my lawyer believes that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas could have been successful with this trademark lawsuit and could have caused me considerable damage. His approach of "kaputt-suiting" was based on a legal know-how that was as expert as it was abusive.

The new Anja Mýrdal board of directors wisely did not pursue this embarrassing approach of the "kaputt-suit" for the DVNLP, the Association of Communicators and Mediators, and withdrew this suit. Unfortunately, he did so without any comment of regret - neither about the derailments in the association, nor about the inappropriate use of the legal competence of her predecessor.

1.2.1.4.15. Lord of the "disappearances"

With the combination of illegal and unlawful activities inside and outside of the DVNLP, the DVNLP leadership duo Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger succeeded in "making the complainant and me disappear" - behind official association lies and an equally official association character assassination.

For the description of the monstrosities in the DVNLP I had already used various analogies, for example from the time of the Inquisition, the Nazis and the MfS "decomposition" in the GDR.<sup>264</sup> Now I would like to add a no less drastic analogy, that of the "disappearance of people", which dates from the times of military dictatorships<sup>265</sup>.

---

<sup>262</sup> See *DVNLP action trademark law (August 26, 2016)*.

<sup>263</sup> See *note. Junfermann trademark lawsuit (August 29, 2016)*.

<sup>264</sup> Compare: *"DVNLP deserted by all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control"* (see: *"Nazi Analogies" court decision*) and *"My beautiful delinquent German Verband! DVNLP completes perpetrator-victim-reversion"*.

<sup>265</sup> As I have already said in connection with the victims of the Nazi and GDR/MfS era, I am aware that the endless suffering of the tortured and killed and their surviving dependents in the respective dictatorships actually forbids the use of this analogy. But: To describe the atrocities committed in the DVNLP adequately without using these analogies is not possible for me. At least not for the time being, since the complainant and I cannot yet freely speak about the actions of individual DVNLP instructors due to DVNLP-sponsored injunctions that have become legally binding by default verdicts. This may change when the LKA and the public prosecutor's office

In the DVNLP, the management of the association succeeded in "making members disappear"<sup>266</sup> - until today - in several ways: First, the complainant and I disappeared in the two DVNLP-controlled internet forums<sup>267</sup> and then, as voting members, from the manipulated and deceived 2014 general meeting. DVNLP member XY was also completely "spirited away" from this meeting, the central conflict partner of the complainant in the multiple "cause DVNLP" conflict, which was artfully and "tearfully" veiled in this meeting for more than two hours.

This disappearance in the general meeting happened at the same time that the board of directors in this manipulated and deceived meeting pulled another DVNLP member, the complainant "like a rabbit" out of his hat, namely virtually: The "hypnotized" audience probably hallucinated her acting bodily and clearly on stage when she was presented to the members present (in her absence !!) was presented as a crazy sexual neurotic and untrustworthy false accuser - by the board of directors in cooperation with the complainant's conflict partners Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Anita von Hertel, Leo Buchholz, Petra P., AK and OA.

It is almost amusing: Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, together with Petra P., AK, Anita von Hertel, Leo Buchholz, but also Cora Besser-Siegmund, Stephan Landsiedel and Ralf Dannemeyer, succeeded in making the abuser and alleged sex offender XY, who had been psychologically decompensated for his proven unethical abuse relationship with the complainant, disappear completely from the focus of the "hypnotized" members as DVNLP teacher trainer and then to make him disappear, although hallucinated away (negatively hallucinated) in the perception of the members, but still physically present, to remain hidden in the association in "office and dignity".<sup>268</sup> The resourceful, family-based abuse survivors, on the other hand, let them appear virtually on stage for the hypnotized members in a garish sex and horror show (hallucinating positively from them), both before and after they made this association member physically disappear not only in the short term through the DVNLP protection squadron, but in the long term through elimination and tabooing.

While XY, protected from the accusations of his victim and the investigations of the public prosecutor's office, may well have experienced the hiding of his person more

---

begin their investigations against the perpetrators reported by the complainant, which have been overdue since 2012.

<sup>266</sup> I have put aside my reservations about using this analogy here due to the amendment to the Articles of Association currently decided in the DVNLP (see *amendments to the Articles of Association Executive Board motion (18.09.2018)* and <https://www.dvnlp.de/news/vorstand-des-dvnlp-wieder-komplett-nach-nachwahl/>). This will make it much easier for future DVNLP officials to "disappear" as described here.

<sup>267</sup> To be more precise: we "disappeared" (see *1.2.2.7. The board of directors misuses its communication channels*).

<sup>268</sup> One could almost believe that the DVNLP had copied this "species-appropriate", but hiding of abusers who continue to practice without correction from the Catholic Church.



as a confirmation (not unusual among criminals or even among abusive Catholic clergymen) of his own affiliation "to their association", in the case of the complainant and myself the disappearance had a rather negative effect, accompanied by the complete loss of affiliation. This loss of affiliation affects me differently from the complainant after three and a half decades of affiliation - but it was painful for both of us. For the complainant, as a young member of the association, a young dream has been shattered that the NLPists are, in terms of their method, mentally and heart-wise more educated people, i.e. fundamentally different from the abusers and violent criminals with whom she had previously dealt in her life - including in the psycho world. And in my "disappointment", I had to say goodbye to the somewhat arrogant deception that all people who have learned NLP with me, automatically and solely due to my person as a model, are not only technically well-trained, but also humanly advanced NLP users with civil courage, who have the courage to stand by their mistakes. I thought erroneously that my students had already made it into their flesh and blood in my seminars to reflexively respect and protect the boundaries and ecology of their clients and participants.<sup>269</sup>

The very specific "disappearances of people" practiced in the DVNLP case is one that manifests itself on a social and psychological level through labelling and stigmatisation rather than, as in the corresponding dictatorships and military regimes, a physical disappearance, i.e. one in which the disappeared cannot even be found as corpses. The "disappearance of members as people and persons", for which the leadership of the DVNLP is responsible, is in the case of myself and the complainant rather a psychosocial one, consisting of a combination of character assassination and DVNLP-, LKA- and prosecutor-supported psychiatry, as well as a multi-layered campaign of gagging, conducted with mafia-abused legal means. The disappearance, for which the DVNLP executive committee is responsible until today, is not a murder, but rather a (thank God not successful) soul murder (by excommunication).

As regards the complainant, this disappearance by malignant stigmatisation is accompanied by a discrediting and humiliation of her person, with an attempted erasure of her identity, integrity and dignity. This perfidiously launched stigma is a total attack on their healthy pride, professional honour and the integrity of their relationship with their children. As far as I am concerned, this disappearance (in addition to the psychological-emotional and health burdens, which the complainant must of course also deal with as a result of the XXL mobbing against us by the DVNLP) is above all accompanied by the negative economic consequences of my "having disappeared" from the market of the DVNLP-certifying NLP seminar providers, a direct consequence of the attempt by the Dr. jur. The psychopathologisation of my person is still being pursued by the new board of

---

<sup>269</sup> This refers to Cora Besser-Siegmund, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Anita von Hertel, Leo Buchholz, Franz-Josef Hücker, XY, Petra P., RP and AK. All of them, spread over four decades, were participants in my NLP trainings.

directors to this day, clearly visible in the false and disinformation defaming the complainant and myself, which the Director of Press and Public Relations, Ralf Dannemeyer, continues to provide in the two<sup>270</sup> DVNLP Internet forums controlled by the board of directors (see 1.2.2.7. *The board of directors misuses its communication channels*).

The association-official abuse of the complainant, which is accompanied by the complete victim-offender conversion in the DVNLP, can perhaps be illustrated by this fictitious address of the board to her: *"We are not only taking away your honour and your dignity. We will also take away your justified pride in everything that has made and still makes you and your life, in everything that in your personal history has been identity-forming for you and is the basis for your further development. And above all, we deprive you of all private and professional growth and development opportunities in which you have already invested a lot emotionally and economically during your membership in NLP and DVNLP. We place you with radical violence in the corner of shame and shame on you and then let you completely disappear behind the stigmatization and psychiatry that we decisively initiated and set in motion. We leave you nothing to build your life on."* The DVNLP board of directors would then perhaps, just as fictitiously, add, *"We are also destroying your helper and supporter, Thies Stahl, socially and economically, by removing the economic basis of the NLP certification from him and also 'kaput-suing' him. And you have the added burden of feeling guilty for it."*

In the case of the complainant, DVNLP teacher trainers and association officials have similarly severely violated the dignity of a human being and the honour of a woman and her pride in having survived decades of abuse and evil violence, as she had already experienced it from the violent "husband" pimps belonging to her family and perpetrator system or from clients or clients who had an affinity for violence. However, they still showed the complainant the minimum of recognition and respect, which was related to her professional role as a social pedagogue, group worker, NLP trainer and systemic coach and at the same time a well-trained and experienced whore, for not only having survived this difficult dual profession but also for having done well - with a professional ethic that was supported by a love for human beings that had not been lost despite all the violence. The DVNLP trainers and male and female DVNLP members, who practice hypocritical double standards and are "unencumbered" by any civil courage, are light years away from the greatness of such a professional ethic. This also applies to the sincerity with which the complainant deals with the facts of her own history and her traumas, and in doing so repeatedly confronts her own guilt and does not deny and impose it on others, as her extremely cowardly conflict partners in the DVNLP do.

---

<sup>270</sup> The NLP guerrilla forum run by Ralf Dannemeyer must probably be regarded - also officially - as a controlled DVNLP forum since his election to the executive committee for press and public relations.

This pride and honour was what the DVNLP tried to take away from the complainant. This also includes the recognition that she deserves for the fact that she - out of fairness towards the DVNLP members she had denounced for their violent actions<sup>271</sup> and also in order to make an internal mediation within the association possible in the first place - had revealed herself in the DVNLP as a love service provider who was partly research-acquiring and experienced in psycho-methods. And above all, the DVNLP board of directors has also withheld the recognition and appreciation that she deserves for having<sup>272</sup> dared, mastered and survived until today the life-threatening exit from a pedocriminal perpetrator system as a testifying key witness.

The "causa DVNLP" perpetrators, among them both the DVNLP association officials, who were knowingly guilty, and those who were presumably lied to and acted according to blind prejudices, have denied the complainant any basic human respect for the special nature of her life and biography. In their stupid and arrogant attitude, which is characterised by masterly and double-moral thinking, they do not recognise that they should actually bow down before the complainant. For, as she reports, this particularity and the challenges which the complainant has overcome and survived are part of her identity, personal integrity and resilience, which she attacked with extreme energy of destruction in the DVNLP,

- having learned the art and the honourable "whore's trade" from her mother - from the bottom up, with a strict code of honour and, above all, a love for mankind that has remained intact<sup>273</sup>,
- to have contributed significantly and mainly responsible to the family maintenance already as a toddler, child and teenager whore, just as later as adults, as the respective "marriage whore" of their pimping husbands, and above

---

<sup>271</sup> Again and again we heard people say that the complainant was not credible in her claim to have been abused by so many DVNLP members. It was easier for them to believe it when they realized how many DVNLP members and trainers were clients of the complainant as clients and how little these DVNLP members had learned to deal with the stress of their unethical double role as trainer/coach/therapist and client/subscriber/pimp in any other way than by a regression to psychologically and physically violent communication and action (see 5.2.6.2. *interlocking, individual trauma re-staging*). A regression which, as obviously happened with XY, includes psychological decompensation as an attempt to cope with an abusive relationship entered into through personal guilt.

<sup>272</sup> Recently, we had a visit from one of the first Heidelberg systemicists, who has already experienced a lot in his decades of consulting practice and therefore knows a lot about systems of criminal-organized violence. It was good that we made everything public, he said, which would certainly have a certain survival advantage in view of their perpetrator system at the time, which was networked into the highest pedocriminal circles.

<sup>273</sup> Against this background, the "love" motto of the 2018 DVNLP congress was as ridiculous as it was cynical. In view of the hypocritical double standards and the fascist aberrations in the DVNLP, the following motto would have been more appropriate: "*Living double standards, prejudice and hatred. Exclude otherness and destroy diversity!*"

all as their children under most difficult conditions de facto single and caring mother,

- to have already learned as a child and teenage whore to deal with adults in groups of paedophile, other and often violent clients in such a way that she herself not only survived, but also had the certainty that she had given her male and female admirers (and often enough also tormentors) something special and something beautiful and important for their lives and made it possible to experience it - in the sense of a precursor competence, quasi as an "early form" of the social work with groups that she then practiced<sup>274</sup>,
- despite the continuous pressure to be forced to prostitute herself in her pimp marriages arranged by the perpetrator system, and despite the care of her two children, who were instrumentalised by the perpetrators for the purpose of disciplining, blackmailing and steering their mother, and whose parallel paedocriminal-commercial "exploitation" by the perpetrator system she could not prevent,
- to have finished her studies and started her doctorate, despite the difficult conditions mentioned above and despite a professor and Gestalt therapist who got involved in the already existing system of exploitation, who arranged for her and this system up to the Hamburg Senate high-ranking and solvent special freelancers (and thereby forced her together with the other offenders to generate additional income for his gambling debts),
- to have learned and worked out effective strategies, to protect herself and to deal effectively with great, above all physical, but also mental pain - and at the same time, through communication, NLP and coaching training, to have acquired the ability to do even better, in terms of social competence, communication and flexibility, exactly what she had already learned over decades as a whore trained at the highest level until she left the company,
- despite the extreme stress associated with their work and their tasks, also the ability to have learned to dissociate themselves quickly and completely from the terrible things they have experienced and suffered on a daily basis - depending on the changing demands of the situation - in the respective transitions to "normal-bourgeois" social contexts, e.g. during daily school attendance as a child, during studies as a student or in social work, social education and other professional environments as an entrepreneur and in domestic contexts as a mother and housewife. This was achieved by means of an admittedly energy-intensive, special and artful way of displacement as part of a multidimensional

---

<sup>274</sup> The complainant had developed her own approach in group work with aggressively conspicuous and violent delinquent youths and developed this further in training and further training in systemic work, also with adults, on the topics of conflict management, mediation and personality development, among others.

competence<sup>275</sup> of the complainant that was and is variably learned in many contexts and that is interesting for modelling projects.<sup>276</sup> This special competence has enabled her, in addition to the high number of freelancers and sex jobs imposed on her, to still manage to pass her Abitur, to finish her studies with "very good", to be a housewife, wife and mother and to do her complex job as a qualified social worker/pedagogue, entrepreneur, head of a further education institute, university lecturer, trainer and coach well until she left the offender system,

- having dared and managed to get out of a highly networked system of violence and perpetrators, out of a family and sectarian loyalty system with adolescent children who remain in the system and who are threatened like themselves. Such an exit is certainly not easy to achieve, especially if you are a key witness. The skills used by the complainant for this purpose would also be worth a modelling project in the DVNLP or could have been contributed by the complainant in a special expert group,<sup>277</sup>
- to have completed NLP training at all levels - although apparently some DVNLP instructors had hooked into the perpetrator system surrounding them and had also forced them into prostitution,
- to have kept the very worst away from her children - although she could not spare them a fate essentially similar to her own, and
- their children under the special circumstances that the perpetrators had trained them from an early age to inflict emotional, physical and even sexual violence on their mothers, to be a present and a good mother under these difficult conditions until their departure in 2011 - even in the hardest of times and also after repeated, evil punishment by the group of perpetrators, in which their children were forced to participate in the perpetrator system.

This justified pride in not having been broken, lost or even multiplied by this socialization, despite the violence that pervades everything in this particular culture<sup>278</sup> in which she grew up, and despite the never-absence of the need to be

---

<sup>275</sup> This special competence consists above all in using dissociations in an integrating manner in such a way that the development of a "dissociative identity disorder" (formerly: multiple personality) is not prevented, but a uniform, healthy experience of identity is maintained.

<sup>276</sup> Modelling is an important term for NLP readers: NLP has evolved from modelling the competencies of highly skilled therapists and other professional communicators.

<sup>277</sup> What a waste of competence and NLP development possibilities of DVNLP has been achieved here!

<sup>278</sup> She was born into a culture, a family and a sectarian social environment in which it was almost as natural as breathing and standing on two legs that infants and even babies were trained

physically and psychologically abused, and despite all this, to have a clear ethical code, to have received human-faithful basic values<sup>279</sup>, to have been a good mother, engaged educationist, good trainer, coach and seminar leader and to have been a good boss for her employees, the DVNLP executive committee wanted to take her away with its mobbing, which had obviously been taken over from her conflict partners in the master at that time and is now *officially final in the association*. And there is another, even greater pride: according to reports suppressed by the DVNLP, the complainant has managed to free herself from the close-meshed and extensive sectarian social network of violence and forced prostitution in which she was trapped with her children. She managed the exit - despite the most fierce conflicts of loyalty (after all, it was an exit from her own family of origin *and* present family, as daughter, sister and mother), despite evil and nasty violent death threats and despite a DVNLP-sponsored drumfire of injunctions and libel suits by perpetrators inside and outside the DVNLP.

She had brought up her complaints in the DVNLP in the hope that this association with its "great" NLP method and its communication culture, which she assumed to be well developed, would be able to contribute something to the fact that the DVNLP teacher trainers concerned, who allegedly abused her violently, would see their injustice and apologize to her. She wanted no financial compensation, an apology - and to experience that even violent criminals can turn back and learn in their social behaviour, also thanks to NLP.

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, together with his board of directors and Martina Schmidt-Tanger, launched an attack on the identity, humanity and honour of the complainant - above all on her human right to be someone special<sup>280</sup> and on her identity-creating Something-Totally-Owned-Self. Strictly speaking, the DVNLP has denied the complainant's humanity - with murderous and destructive energy - as explained primarily by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger. This already happened when Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, with the help of his Mafia-like lawyer, excluded the complainant from association life in Göttingen<sup>281</sup><sup>282</sup> and drove her out of all

---

vaginally and anally to be available to the sexual desires of adult men and women without physical limitations, at the latest as four or five-year-old girls and boys.

<sup>279</sup> In contrast to the DVNLP, one has to say - at least as this association is shamefully represented today by its current "honorary members" among the honorary members and prize winners and by its two new board members Leo Buchholz and Ralf Dannemeyer. These "Causa DVNLP" perpetrators, who - mediated through their wrongdoings - rose above the complainant (and me), have betrayed all basic values with their fascist-totalitarian actions, all values that are the basis of a humanistically oriented NLP and at the same time also of human rights.

<sup>280</sup> Virginia Satir, important ancestor of NLP, never tired of pointing out this basic human right to be special, not only "to be allowed to be", but "to be allowed to be someone special". She would be horrified if she had to witness how little of what was important to her is lived in the DVNLP today.

<sup>281</sup> See *DVNLP lawyer to BF (30.05.2014)*.

communication, i.e. excommunicated her by virtue of the power of his office, which he abused.

In the case of the complainant, the "disappearance of a human being", a DVNLP member, consists in the attempt to completely<sup>283</sup> destroy her social identity: Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger knew in 2014 exactly that the complainant would not be able or willing to return there after she had left the paedocriminal perpetrator system as a principal witness in 2011. This would not have been possible even if she had perhaps chosen to do so because she was recognised and respected there - in a certain sense, after all - as a human being, as a person with her special abilities and special ties of loyalty, i.e. she had a socially validated identity. At least she had a different and more respected identity than that of an unbelievable and probably somehow crazy "person", who the DVNLP wanted to "give her" by stigmatizing, pathologizing, criminalizing, criminalizing, psychiatrizing and officially slandering her.

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas' board of directors, "extended" by his advisor Martina Schmidt-Tanger, thus knew that the complainant, after her outing, having purchased in the DVNLP, and after the subsequent, identity-destroying DVNLP special treatment "disposal by elimination", could neither return to her old life nor wanted to return there. The DVNLP board of directors knew as early as 2013 that the complainant and I had been in a relationship since the end of 2011 and also that we were threatened with murder from the offender system.

To this day, the DVNLP board of directors has made the complainant disappear in a kind of no-man's-land - from where it is difficult to go on professionally and privately: There is no going back, and no going forward either, because a "forward" is very difficult for them to imagine as stigmatized and psychopathologized by the DVNLP "feathered and tarred" and the still existing threat from their old perpetrator system.<sup>284</sup>

Under these conditions, something new is not easily accessible for the complainant, let alone already developed. And the still existing alternative to go back to the old life is and remains absurd - even if she was perhaps granted there, in contrast to the double-moral-perfid and hostile-brown stupidity that the DVNLP showed her, at least professionally, a portion of respect, recognition and appreciation that

---

<sup>282</sup> See page 2 in *Jens an Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)*.

<sup>283</sup> Which will certainly not succeed - to which this text probably contributes.

<sup>284</sup> The cynical "*Move to the Eifel*" by Martina Schmidt-Tanger, i.e. a "disappear from the scene" would have been an option - especially against the background that our situation has of course become even more threatening than it already was due to the treacherous betrayal in and by the DVNLP. Thus, the support of the DVNLP provided the perpetrators with good starting conditions for their attempt to psychiatrise the complainant (see "*Psychiatric Opinion - a Gift for the Paedocriminal Offender System and the DVNLP*").

"fundamentally secured" her spiritual existence. For the perpetrator system knew about her value and left her at least a minimum of honour<sup>285</sup> and dignity - in contrast to the toxic, fascistoid inhuman treatment of her by the DVNLP and its functionaries and their special way of non-communication.

The Dr. jur. Jens Tomas board of directors has extended the offender system of the complainant to itself and ultimately to the entire DVNLP. In doing so, he made the conditions for her exit and, above all, for its psychological processing, as well as for the necessary transformation of her social identity and her experience of identity, more difficult in a nasty way: the complainant now had to cope not only with an emotional, psychologically elaborate detachment from a violent, familial pedocriminal offender system, but also with a banishment and excommunication from the DVNLP - a Nazi professional association of violent communicators in which she had placed great hopes.<sup>286</sup>

The DVNLP has - thanks to the efforts of Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Anita von Hertel, Franz-Josef Hücker, Petra P., AK, OA, Stephan Landsiedel, and the current board members Leo Buchholz and Ralf Dannemeyer - reduced the complainant to the symbol or cliché of a crazy, mentally disturbed, implausible and moreover criminal woman.

For these DVNLP protagonists, who are acting from a kind of master human mentality, the complainant was and is obviously not worthy of (association) life as a human being with her own dignity and greatness. The DVNLP perpetrators, accomplices and followers have all agreed in unison that she was metaphorically speaking thrown out of the moving DVNLP tour bus onto the street as a maltreated and raped but "only" whore. Such a fascistoid, inhuman basic attitude has been handed down by the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors of the DVNLP until today - which will probably not change with the new board colleagues and "Causa DVNLP"-offenders Leo Buchholz and Ralf Dannemeyer: One of them is one of the complainant's intensive conflict partners who is being concealed from the DVNLP

---

<sup>285</sup> The competences I have just listed - and many more - were precisely those on the basis of which she was recognised and respected in her old, above all professional environment, and which honoured her there.

<sup>286</sup> She recently said that she could well regard these more difficult conditions as a gift from the DVNLP. It would make it clear that the transformation of their identity does not simply take place under luxury conditions at the side of the respected DVNLP founding board member and honorary member Thies Stahl, but completely without such a social support that protects the desired change. Then I said: Nobody could say any more that she had "slept herself up" to change into a new life without any effort. Her and my new role as stigmatized and foil á deux psychiatrists do not necessarily make it easier for her to come to terms with her personal traumas and her psychological integration work as a whole, but they may enable her to learn more thoroughly under difficult conditions. We then agreed with a wink: The retransformation from the "saint to the whore", that is to say the transformation from the "whore to the saint", now perhaps needs altogether more time, but then it is probably also more profound and lasting.



membership and the other, as the new executive board for press and public relations, has for years been granting the XY supporters AK, DD, DK, GA, OA and KA, the husband of Petra P., who are presumed to have acted quite violently, a perfidiously unilateral right to speak in the Internet forums controlled by him for their defamations, which we cannot correct.

The destruction of their social identity attempted in and by the DVNLP through the actions of the aforementioned protagonists is ultimately an attack on all their currently possible identities: Her previous professional identity, from which she has left, as well as her new professional identity to be built up, which ideally includes the historical becoming of her previous identity and makes use of all acquired skills. The identity as a proud dropout, because she is recognized in the professional and private field, will be a new one and it will build on the competencies of the old identity.

Through the DVNLP attack also on my identity as a respected authority in the NLP and constellation field (at least in front of the foil á deux psychiatry attack on me), the possibility of a new development of her professional-private identity was made even more difficult for the complainant: To move as a recognized, courageous and competent woman at the side of a man recognized in the common professional field and to be able to work professionally satisfyingly is, for the time being, probably a dream of the future for her. The stigmatization, psychopathologization and criminalization not only of her but also of me, for which the DVNLP is responsible,<sup>287</sup> has made her<sup>288</sup> appear as a "crazy former whore" on the side of a foil á deux-blinded and confused "old man".

Neither the complainant nor I have disappeared physically or mentally. I live and am also booked as a seminar leader, coach and psychotherapist (HP), only drastically less often than before I left the DVNLP. As before, those NLP training interested parties who are deceived and lied to by the DVNLP still want to acquire the DVNLP certificate - after all, it is the standard that I, Cosmic Joke, played a major role in developing, but now, in contrast to e.g. the abuser and alleged sex offender XY hidden in the association, was no longer allowed to award it from one day to the next: The economic loss was great, since I had been working in the last four years on

---

<sup>287</sup> See "Psychiatry. Not funny." and "holy cow" - psychiatrist in court under species protection.

<sup>288</sup> This seems to be the case, if one assumes that the remark of the DVNLP-Hallig-Oland managing director Berend Hendriks, "Poor old man" (see *Hallig-Oland in the XING-NLP "Poor old man" (January 20, 2015)*), is representative for what the DVNLP wants to make credible to its members and the NLP public - among other things by communicating the lies of its managing director Hendriks. Berend Hendriks from Halligoland, in keeping with his reputation as the "man for the rough stuff in DVNLP", obviously didn't quite understand - or didn't want to understand - the joke of the "Second official DVNLP Fake Member Forum" that I opened on XING (as a satire!) for fun. See also *The Second DVNLP Fake Members Forum (23.01.2015)*, *Blog post Franchisor DVNLP (22.01.2015)* and *"Are you "halligoland", Mr. DVNLP Managing Director Berend Henriks?*

a quasi-voluntary basis, with the help of articles that were difficult to write<sup>289</sup> and the legal proceedings associated with them, to make clear which misdemeanours and criminal machinations in the DVNLP had led to the criminalization and psychiatricization of the complainant and also of myself. Hopefully I am just succeeding in this, so that I will soon have time to realign my seminar offer.

For a while the DVNLP board of directors also let me disappear: behind the speechlessness of a muzzle, which was given to me by the mafia-like acting DVNLP association leadership, i.e. essentially by Martina Schmidt-Tanger and by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, psychologically and legally rather cleverly. I could not, and still cannot, speak or write freely in public about what the abusers and alleged criminals inside and outside the DVNLP, which I founded, have obviously done - and probably still do today - with the NLP in training groups and individual sessions, which I have valued for decades. The complainant's extensive texts contain innumerable descriptions of NLP-, hypnosis- and wing-wave-supported "interventions" by some DVNLP- and GNLC-certified psycho- and sociopaths, which are often hilarious in style, but also terrible because of the violence expressed in them.

Fortunately, however, I have succeeded in denouncing the criminal machinations in the DVNLP in several articles successively, and after judicial successes in each case also more completely - up to the present article, my "Causa DVNLP" final report.

#### *1.2.1.5 Abuse by Stephan Landsiedel*

The DVNLP trainer Stephan Landsiedel, bypassing the responsible DVNLP committees "Training and Further Training Commission" and "Arbitration Commission", as well as approved in the background by the chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, declared a DVNLP trainer certificate of<sup>290</sup> the complainant issued by him and his partner Carlos Salgado invalid - after five years and allegedly because of an "*office mistake*"<sup>291</sup>.

In a perverted triangle Landsiedel/XY/Steel, together with Carlos Salgado and hidden from the DVNLP-board from the DVNLP-public, he has formed a coalition with his former participant XY against me: With this DVNLP association-official certificate

---

<sup>289</sup> Not only were these articles not easy to write, they are not easy to read either. In order to remain under the radar of the lawyers of the DVNLP and the pedocriminal perpetrators, I had to formulate consistently syntacticating (thank you, Matthias Varga von Kibéd for this term), i.e. to describe facts again and again anew and differently with the help of terms of higher levels of the logical pyramid of terms. This did not necessarily bring me as an author any compliments, but it was necessary in order to fend off the psychiatric attack against us by the DVNLP in cooperation with the pedocriminal perpetrator system - which has meanwhile succeeded (cf. "*Psychiatric report - a gift for the pedocriminal perpetrator system and the DVNLP*", "*Holy cow*" - *psychiatrist in court under species protection and "psychiatrisation. Not funny."*).

<sup>290</sup> See the *complainant's trainer certificate*.

<sup>291</sup> This statement has something of a stupidly audacious Pennäler excuse: Stephan Landsiedel apparently "forgot" that the complainant, after completing her training with him at the beginning of 2011, still accompanied an NLP practitioner of his as an assistant.

fraud of invalidating his Landsiedel certificate, he helped his former student, the abuser and alleged sex offender XY, to present the complainant in court, who appeared as a witness in the proceedings XY./Stahl against him, as implausible and mentally disturbed. For this disregard of the complainant's rights, which was apparently tolerated by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Management, Stephan Landsiedel - again apparently tolerated by the DVNLP - abused the XY./Stahl trial.<sup>292</sup>

Previously, in 2014, the Landsiedel course instructor OA, who she denounced as an accomplice of XY, had accused the complainant, in a motion<sup>293</sup> for exclusion of the complainant from the DVNLP, which was concealed from her and from me by the Board, of having obtained her Landsiedel trainer certificate too early, i.e. without observing the required time span between the master and trainer training. OA, the intensive conflict partner of the complainant, has obviously allowed itself to be misused here for the benefit of XY, Stephan Landsiedel and the DVNLP board for this denunciation criminalising the complainant. Indirectly, however, he accused his course instructor Stephan Landsiedel - probably inadvertently - of having sold his Landsiedel training to his seminar customer, the complainant, too early, contrary to the rules: Stephan Landsiedel deceived and cheated the complainant with this sale, since she was unable to use her Landsiedel trainer certificate for her next training step, i.e. recognition as a teaching trainer, due to this infringement of the DVNLP training guidelines.

The Executive Board has not forwarded your complaint in this regard to the responsible committees, the Training and Further Training Commission and the Arbitration Commission, for processing. There, OA should have acted and been heard as a complainant against the complainant and also against Stephan Landsiedel for the irregularity complained of by him and committed jointly by both. But OA was taken into service elsewhere by the criminal acting executive committee, behind the scenes and hidden from the complainant and me: as an undercover informer, OA, just like the informer AK, who also acted undercover for the executive committee, with whom he then lied to the general meeting, submitted a request for the exclusion of the complainant. In this application, OA, like AK, defamed the complainant in a perfidious way - which both are still doing<sup>294</sup> in the DVNLP fake members' forum.

Very well informed about this irregularity, neither the Board of Directors nor Stephan Landsiedel himself apparently had the idea of declaring the complainant's Landsiedel trainer certificate invalid in September 2014, when the DVNLP committees "Board" and "Training and Further Training Commission" had to deal

---

<sup>292</sup> See 20190917 KF to LG HH Landsiedel C.-Rechng.(09.06.2016)

<sup>293</sup> Link: OA's request to exclude the complainant from the DVNLP

<sup>294</sup> See OA in Manche Schuhe tragen Metallsohlen DVNLP-XING (07.10.2014)/current: Manche Schuhe tragen Metallsohlen DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019).

with this issue together with Stephan Landsiedel in the hot "Causa DVNLP" conflict phase. On 3 September 2014, the complainant had requested that Stephan Landsiedel be summoned before the Conciliation Commission in order to assert her rights of recourse against him: Because of the rule violation for which he was responsible, she would have had difficulties to get her Landsiedel "DVNLP" trainer certificate recognized in the "DVNLP", because Stephan Landsiedel would have sold her his NLP trainer training in the full knowledge that she had not yet completed the master.

Neither the board of directors, which had already (pre)condemned the complainant, nor Martina Schmidt-Tanger, the member of the DVNLP Training and Further Education Commission who had fought the complainant with nazoid energy of destruction, nor Stephan Landsiedel himself, came up with the idea, which was actually in keeping with all their efforts to dispose of and exclude the complainant, of withdrawing the complainant's DVNLP trainer certificate. Instead, her complaint regarding Stephan Landsiedel was ignored by the Board of Directors and was not forwarded for referral to the Training and Further Training Commission<sup>295</sup>, which was primarily responsible for this complaint, or to the Conciliation Commission, which was also responsible for this complaint. No decision at all was made on how to deal with this questionable business conduct of Stephan Landsiedel, neither the decision to withdraw the certificate definitively nor the decision to temporarily withdraw it, e.g. until the fulfilment of any tasks that may still have to be imposed on her or until additional proof of suitability is submitted, which may then still have to be determined: Her complaint against Stephan Landsiedel, among other things because of improper sales methods and unethical behaviour as a course instructor, was, together with her complaints against the other "Causa DVNLP" perpetrators, consistently blocked by the board of directors and completely dropped. The DVNLP board wanted to sit out the "Causa Stephan Landsiedel", just like the "Causae XY, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Anita von Hertel, SM, AK and RP". And he has, apparently, managed that until today.

The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors has decided not to hold the perpetrator Stephan Landsiedel accountable for his violation of the DVNLP training guidelines, but instead to unilaterally burden his DVNLP seminar customer, who was cheated and financially damaged by him, with the consequences of his fraudulent seminar sale, since the "disposal" of the complainant by exclusion from the association at the time of the complaint brought by her against Stephan Landsiedel was obviously already decided by the board of directors.

---

<sup>295</sup> Its senior member, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, was, after all, at the time and in secret from the DVNLP members, busy pathologizing and criminalizing the complainant together with Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who was also abusing his office, as well as Leo Buchholz, Stephan Landsiedel and Petra P. in court proceedings XY/Steel.

Stephan Landsiedel and the board of directors fraternizing with him in a perverse triangle saved themselves the fraudulent invalidation of the Landsiedel DVNLP trainer certificate of the complainant for the trial of the DVNLP-backed, protected and hidden abuse and alleged sex offender XY: As one of the XY "clean" DVNLP character witnesses provided by the association, Stephan Landsiedel pulls this annulment out of his hat in the summer of 2016 as an aid for his buddy XY to avoid the charges that were raised in the proceedings XY./Stahl as a witness against XY testifying complainant, not only as implausible and mentally ill, but also to criminalise her as a certificate fraud.

This assistance to XY was obviously done with the approval and very much in the spirit of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, who obviously had to ensure in any event that I would be sentenced in court proceedings XY./Stahl not to mention or even to quote the complainant's allegations anywhere. After all, the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Management had twice before not shied away from interfering in Procedure XY/Steel in violation of the Articles of Association and supporting XY in muzzling me and the complainant with his action for an injunction against me with regard to the accusations made against him in the association when he

- In mid-2014, secretly and covertly - sent association officials Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Petra P., and also Cora Besser-Siegmund as "clean" character witnesses against me and the complainant in court proceedings XY./Stahl and approved their interference in these proceedings, which was damaging to the complainant and to me, and
- At the beginning of November 2014, the lawyer of XY, KF, via the association lawyer Harms, received my confidential statement from the association<sup>296</sup>, with which I had answered the board of directors on the exclusion proceedings initiated by the board of directors against me. Passages of this confidential document, which had been <sup>297</sup>embezzled by the DVNLP executive committee, could be used by XY as ammunition against me in these proceedings (see also "1.2.2.1. DVNLP executive committee commits treason against DVNLP members"). By this misappropriation of my statement, the Board obviously wanted to ensure that XY would in any event be successful in its action for an injunction against me, so that, after our expulsion from the General Assembly in violation of the Statutes, I would also be reliably condemned to silence vis-à-vis the Arbitration Committee I had called upon with regard to<sup>298</sup> the complainant's

---

<sup>296</sup> See *opinion Thies Exclusion procedure (22.10.2014)*.

<sup>297</sup> See *attorney KF to LG HH (06.11.2014)*

<sup>298</sup> As being above the executive board in such a case, the latter ultimately had to decide on the appropriateness or correctness of the exclusion proceedings initiated by the executive board against the complainant and myself (cf. Section 11 (4) of the *DVNLP's statutes*).

accusations against XY, which had been completely swept under the carpet within the Association (see 1.2.2.8.2. *Abuse of the Arbitration Committee*).

You can contact Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who is also involved through the association lawyers Harms and Dr. G. with KF, lawyer XYs, who was accused by the complainant of being an accomplice, and also with the complainant's other conflicting parties among the DVNLP members<sup>299</sup>, probably assume that he knew about Stephan Landsiedel's declaration of invalidity of a DVNLP certificate before the Hamburg Regional Court in 2016 and - as a matter of priority, bypassing the competent bodies of the association - also approved it.

Due to his previous wrongful decisions for which he is responsible in the association, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas was certainly also interested in the success of XY's lawsuit against me, because he finally had his Hallig Oland managing director announce<sup>300</sup> this "success" even *before* the board staging of the "Standing Court in Absence" tribunal general meeting at the end of October on 07.10.2014. It thus appears that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had already invested quite a lot in his strategy of preventing me from continuing to support the complainant in the association. The revocation of the complainant's Landsiedel certificate was certainly intended to contribute to this: If with her help the complainant were successfully discredited as a witness testifying in these proceedings, the Hamburg Regional Court could finally muzzle me in relation to her complaints in the association as hoped for.<sup>301</sup>

Nothing may be said here about the motives of Stephan Landsiedel, Carlos Salgado and their helpers, the Landsiedel course instructor OA, who is allegedly involved in crimes against sexual self-determination in this Landsiedel training. The complainant's detailed reports, which have been suppressed in the association, on the incidents in this DVNLP-Landsiedel training, in which Stephan Landsiedel himself, together with XY and OA, was probably involved in a rather inglorious manner, cannot be named here. A committee of inquiry to be set up by the DVNLP was to deal with these reports and to question XY, Stephan Landsiedel, Carlos Salgado, OA and the other participants involved in the apparently highly unpleasant and probably also painful events in the Landsiedel training course, which had apparently taken place for the complainant.

---

<sup>299</sup> See *Jens an Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)*, lawyer KF an LG HH (06.11.2014) and DVNLP association lawyer collaborates with KF Dr. G. (23.09.2014).

<sup>300</sup> In his mail to all DVNLP members, in which he quasi asks them to take legal action against the two members, the complainant and me! DVNLP Board of Directors to all members (07.10.2014).

<sup>301</sup> What has worked, at least within the association, e.g. before the arbitration commission. It did not work out as far as my criticism outside the DVNLP in my publications is concerned.

The DVNLP should also clarify the unprofessional handling by the DVNLP board of directors of the application for<sup>302</sup> the exclusion of the complainant submitted by the Landsiedel helper OA. With this application, the complainant was<sup>303</sup> denounced as in a totalitarian system practicing "methods of decomposition", whereby the decision of the board of directors to withhold these and also the other applications for exclusion created a Kafkaesque climate of threat for the complainant and me. The nature of the questionable cooperation of this Landsiedel course attendant OA with XY and Stephan Landsiedel against their joint intensive conflict partner, the complainant, in the background of the Landsiedel training course should also be dealt with by a committee of inquiry to be set up in the DVNLP, as should the perverse coalitions Landsiedel/OA/Complainant, Landsiedel/XY/Complainant, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas/OA/Complainant, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas/OA/Stahl AND Dr. jur. Jens Tomas/Stephan Landsiedel/Stahl.

A certificate misuse committed by a DVNLP certifier is thus not corrected by the DVNLP board of directors to this day, but continues to be misused for dark purposes: The DVNLP Board of Directors abused (and continues to abuse to this day) the abuse of one DVNLP member (Stephan Landsiedel) against two other DVNLP members (the complainant and XY) to take action against another DVNLP member (Thies Stahl). This event deserves, like many others in the "Causa DVNLP", the designation "Meta-Missbrauch"<sup>304</sup>: An official abuse of an abuse by the association and an abuse of the abuser by association officials, a genuine culture of abuse.

Stephan Landsiedel has abused both his former training participant, XY, and his former training participant, the complainant, to fight against me - on the side of the DVNLP board of directors, which is acting illegally and probably also in violation of the statutes - with clear energy of exclusion and destruction. What other "good" reasons Stephan Landsiedel had for this dirty action besides the motivation to better position himself in the profiling and competition within the DVNLP, which could for example concern his personal libidinous needs or his risk of sanctions and prosecution, should be found out by a DVNLP investigative committee.

The certificate fraud of the DVNLP instructors Stephan Landsiedel and Carlos Salgado, which was obviously approved by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, is not only an officially covered and underhanded attack against the complainant, but also an abuse of the association by its members Stephan Landsiedel and Carlos Salgado, which is covered by the board of directors. Here too,

---

<sup>302</sup> See *exclusion request OA (08.10.2014)*

<sup>303</sup> About the GDR-MfS methods in the DVNLP see *"My beautiful delinquent German Association!" DVNLP completes perpetrator-victim-reversion"*.

<sup>304</sup> "Meta" is a cult term for NLP people. NLP is a meta model, a model about the formation of models. The DVNLP members must now come to terms with the fact that they are members of an association with a culture of abuse in which many abusers - officially and still today - are abused.

one can speak of reciprocal or multiple networked abuse: By withdrawing a DVNLP certificate five years after its issuance and bypassing the competent association committees, Stephan Landsiedel and Carlos Salgado (obviously for dark personal motives, because the complainant in the DVNLP had made the most serious accusations against both of them, and both had reported them for various criminal offences), they are corrupting the quality guarantee of the DVNLP certificates, covered by the board of directors until today.<sup>305</sup>

Stephan Landsiedel and Carlos Salgado, themselves abused by the Board of Directors for their certificate recognition campaign, are thus abusing the DVNLP, because not only "Landsiedel" DVNLP certificates, but DVNLP certificates in general have lost the credibility of their quality promise: They can be arbitrarily revoked at any time - on the quiet and criminally covered by the association. This loss of credibility of its certificates hits the DVNLP all the harder because the quality guarantee for DVNLP certificates was ultimately already corrupted by the fact that in two further cases of obvious certification fraud, DVNLP certificates were generously awarded despite fraudulently submitted, forged certificates: in the case of the alleged sex offender XY who was reported but generously supported by the DVNLP, and in the case of the certificate fraud by RP.<sup>306</sup>

The connection between Stephan Landsiedel and his NLP buddy XY is probably still an intense one to this day: In the summer of 2018, Stephan Landsiedel gave XY a copy of the complaint filed against him<sup>307</sup> by the complainant at the Forum for Value Orientation (FWW). In this complaint, in which the complainant, Stephan Landsiedel, and XY accuse Stephan Landsiedel and XY of various allegedly jointly<sup>308</sup> committed misdemeanours, the name XYs was found as a clear name only once in addition to

---

<sup>305</sup> Stephan Landsiedel and Carlos Salgado have not declared the IN-Trainer certificate presented to the complainant invalid by the International Association of NLP Institutes (IN), on whose behalf they also certified the complainant as an NLP trainer.

<sup>306</sup> See *DVNLP to RP for certification (20.03.2014)*.

<sup>307</sup> Every trainer organized in the DVNLP can become a seal bearer of the FWW. The complainant's FWW complaint is still pending.

<sup>308</sup> The day after the complainant had faxed this complaint to the FWW office, I asked FWW President Ralf Besser, who I know personally as a former seminar participant, by e-mail and on behalf of the complainant, to have his office blacken the clear name of XY in this Landsiedel complaint. Ralf Besser had obviously forgotten this - which enabled Stephan Landsiedel to help his buddy XY by passing on the said complaint (in the original, i.e. without the blackening I had urgently requested) to file applications for the imposition of administrative fines against the complainant and me in court: By this inadvertent use of the plain name, the complainant would have infringed the judgment in the injunction proceedings brought against her (and also against me) by XY. The corresponding action against me was dismissed and the action against the complainant resulted in an administrative fine of € 200. The court acknowledged quite correctly that the infringement was due to carelessness - I too had not noticed the clear name in the complaint text.



twelve corresponding anonymisation abbreviations, which is why XY had his lawyer KF file an application for an administrative fine against the complainant for an alleged violation of the default judgment XY/Complainant, which the complainant had issued against himself. After this ruling, she is no longer allowed to speak of very specific crimes with a clear reference to XY - which she did in the FWW complaint against Stephan Landsiedel.

Stephan Landsiedel, XY and his lawyer KF, who was charged with being an accomplice, thus - for probably their "good" reasons, which cannot be named here - to this day still form a community that is firmly united against the complainant. One cannot avoid the impression that Stephan Landsiedel, as well as Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Petra P. and Leo Buchholz, acted (and still act) according to the motto "caught up, caught up! If things get tight for XY or he tips over, they can get uncomfortable for us too."<sup>309</sup>

#### *1.2.1.6 Abuse by Anita von Hertel and Dr. Franz-Josef Hücker*

Just like Anita von Hertel, Dr. Franz-Josef Hücker was privy to all details of the statutory violations, misconduct and derailments of the "Causa DVNLP". However, he has obviously decided to become an honorary member of the DVNLP rather than to stand up for the moral integrity of his association and to contribute to the correction of the fascist-totalitarian aberrations in the DVNLP. I see this as an abuse of the DVNLP and its members: Franz-Josef Hücker has obviously taken the "right side" in the "Causa DVNLP"-conflicts, as he now belongs as honorary member to the questionable dignitaries of the perpetrator association DVNLP.

The lawyer and mediator Anita von Hertel was at that time together with me spokesperson of the "Specialist Group Mediation" in the DVNLP and trainer for mediation. In her mediation trainings I was, as her NLP trainer at that time, a guest trainer for many years.

Anita von Hertel and I jointly considered which professionally qualified mediator known to her and me would be suitable for the multiple-party mediation necessary in the context of the "DVNLP case". In an extensive preliminary talk on 04.06.2014, protected by a confidentiality agreement, I had informed Anita von Hertel in detail and in great detail about the violations of the statutes, the lapses of the DVNLP board of directors towards the complainant and also about the essential contents of her and my complaints suppressed in the association.

After the board had agreed that the professional mediator proposed by Anita von Hertel and me (though defined by the DVNLP as "*my companion*", *i.e.* I should pay his fee!) *could "be present"* at a meeting, my refusal to pay for the mistakes of the

---

<sup>309</sup> Which may well happen after the discontinuation of the proceedings of the Public Prosecutor's Office / complainant on account of alleged libel and slander against XY (see AG-*Altona resolution (17 November 2017)*) and also after the publication of this "Causa DVNLP" final report.

board by paying the necessary and not quite cheap mediator had at least led to the board proposing that the DVNLP pay the mediator proportionally for the participating boards. But it remained: I should still pay <sup>310</sup>him privately on a pro rata basis.

Anita von Hertel recommended that I *"go along with this demand of the board of directors"*, since *"so many people would have invested so much money in their NLP trainings"* and therefore I should take over the costs for the mediation to save the DVNLP. By iMessage I answered her on 06.09.2014: *"Dear Anita, at the moment I am working non-stop as a volunteer on the consequences of the serious mistakes of the board and ... [the complainant] investments of thousands of Euros in her teacher trainer are more than endangered. / The mediation would have to be a multiple party mediation, with the 5 board members, XY, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Thies Stahl, ... [complainant] and possibly five other people. If these at least 10 people are willing to share the costs (privately), maybe I would start to think about it [also paying a private share]. However, I do not want to spend (even more) money (I have high legal fees as a result of the board's mistakes) and time to instruct the board on the minimum requirements for respectful treatment of members. Such a course correction, if the executive committee does not accept it from me (he has not answered<sup>311</sup> several letters in this direction), then belongs rather in the hands of the general meeting. HG Thies / P.S.: This is one of the places where Jens Tomas took advantage of the powers of the public prosecutor and expert witnesses when he lost his neutrality. Which can be expensive for him, since this is the basis for thousands of damages for me and also for ... [the complainant]."*

At that time it was not yet clear to me why Anita von Hertel lost her otherwise clear view of the situation here, as she had pretended to be completely uninvolved in the "DVNLP cause" conflicts at the time of her mediation efforts and her preliminary mediation discussion with me. Today I know that Anita von Hertel knew my course mate XY longer and better than I knew at that time - and together with him also the complainant. Both of them obviously knew Anita von Hertel in a way that - to put it mildly - corresponded with the fact that she thought it right to watch the 2014 general meeting when the complainant (with her hair pulled) and I (with her arm turned on her back) were illegally and forcibly removed from the meeting - after she herself had been removed, together with Leo Buchholz, had, through appropriate silence of his own, actively contributed to the fact that XY as a person and with him also the actual "Causa DVNLP"-conflicts around him and the complainant, as well as

---

<sup>310</sup> As DVNLP founding board member and honorary member, I was, just like the board, involved in the handling of the "Causa DVNLP" on a voluntary basis. In addition, I was the injured party and had a claim to conciliation negotiations or professional mediation: My complaint against XY was not considered in the association and was not treated in any way (see *Mail Jens to Thies* (29.05.2014)).

<sup>311</sup> See *"Causa DVNLP" correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014* and *"Causa DVNLP" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014*.

the violations of the statutes and other misconduct of the executive committee in this general meeting were completely concealed.

Anita von Hertel obviously had an "audiatur et altera pars" amnesia<sup>312</sup> in this meeting - and probably also forgot some of her professional knowledge as a mediator: If one would start a mediation under a contentious initial condition, e.g. impose the (or higher) costs of mediation unilaterally on one of the medians, then the framework for a mediation result that would probably unilaterally disadvantage this median would probably already have been established - at least the scope for the development of satisfactory results in this mediation would probably be significantly limited.<sup>313</sup>

A DVNLP investigative committee could ask Anita von Hertel how it came about that she did not use her mediation knowledge and skills for the benefit of the complainant and why she did not help her, and also me, her long-standing colleague, in the general meeting of members which she lied about together with the other "DVNLP case" perpetrators. The starting point for the investigating committee's question to Anita von Hertel could be that the complainant was not informed of the decision of the arbitration committee (again and again as a fake!) until March 2015, when she was informed by the arbitration committee (again and again as a fake! *Since Anita von Hertel and Cora Besser-Siegmund were also active for me in an advisory capacity, and since I suffered great damage due to their respective interference in my difficult life situation at the time, which has not yet been made public, I request that these two DVNLP members also have a hearing before the DVNLP Arbitration Commission - with the aim of having my need for compensation acknowledged to both of them, as well as appropriate compensation.*<sup>314</sup>

#### 1.2.1.7. abuse by Leo Buchholz

I heard from DVNLP-members that a new board of directors would be a good new beginning, since the "DVNLP cause" would not need to be worked through anymore. The fact that two people, who are mentally and physically and personally different, but both involved in the "DVNLP causa" conflicts, were elected to the board shows that the idea of a new beginning without coming to terms with the past is an

---

<sup>312</sup> Women lawyers and especially mediators rarely forget the old principle that "the other side should also be heard" - or develop a complete deafness for their own inner voice, which demands that this principle be respected.

<sup>313</sup> This is probably true for any mediation: the number of achievable results based on an imposed and then mutually "accepted" presumption of unequal guilt would be significantly limited. See also my letters to the board, Anita von Hertel and the mediator from 14.07. and 11.09.2014 in "*Causa DVNLP*"- correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014.

<sup>314</sup> See this addition in the *bias motion H.A. SK (26.03.2015)*.

illusion<sup>315</sup>. jur. Jens Tomas board of directors of this most important committee of the DVNLP, it is completed by the new entry of the two "causa DVNLP" perpetrators Leo Buchholz and Ralf Dannemeyer in the spirit of the previous perpetrator board. Thus, the acting DVNLP board remains highly entangled in the crimes of the past.

In addition to Anita von Hertel, Leo Buchholz had a full knowledge of the manipulation and deception of the 2014 general meeting. As one of the main perpetrators of this manipulation and deception, he is one of the most important "causa DVNLP" perpetrators: He knew, according to the reports of the complainant suppressed in the DVNLP, about the machinations of abuser XY in the background of my Master's degree, conveyed through his own direct experience, up close and quasi first-hand, because as a Master's participant and intensive conflict partner of the complainant, he himself was highly involved in the conflicts of XY with the complainant and her other Master's conflict partners, e.g. Petra P., KA, DK, AK, DD, GA and BE.

Leo Buchholz has contributed by active silence in the general meeting to the fact that firstly the person XY and his deeds and questionable activities and secondly the multiple conflict and bullying incidents that have arisen around XY in the Master could be completely concealed from the members by the executive committee. DVNLP member Leo Buchholz was, just like Anita von Hertel, a silent, well-informed confidant in the manipulated and deceived 2014 general meeting, in which he had himself elected as cash auditor at that time, when today he is the newly appointed board member for finances.

Leo Buchholz, together with the other "Causa DVNLP" perpetrators, has protected the abuser and alleged sex offender XY from being prosecuted in the DVNLP or from being harassed at all: Through their active and probably also energy-intensive mastery of keeping their very special knowledge to themselves, Leo Buchholz and Anita von Hertel helped the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors to lie to the DVNLP members about the actual conflict events around XY and the complainant by every trick in the book, and above all by deliberately erasing information.

Already half a year before the 2014 general meeting, which he also lied to, Leo Buchholz had made himself available to the court in the court case XY/Steel as a character witness<sup>316</sup> for the credibility of XY, together with the master participants Petra P., her husband KA, TH, GA, DK, AK, LJ and BE, who were also presumably dirty involved in the conflicts concerning XY and the complainant, as witnesses: They had

---

<sup>315</sup> In the phase in which communication with the Dr. jur. Jens Tomas board of directors was still possible, Thomas Pech had given himself up to threaten me as DVNLP board of directors with an action for injunction if I, founding board member and honorary member of the DVNLP, would contact him and the other members of my board again by mail (see *DVNLP had bad luck again (21.10.2014)*).

<sup>316</sup> See *KF Statement LG HH P.P., L.B., AK, KA, GA et. al (05.03.2014)*.

all expressed to the Hamburg court their willingness to testify to XY and, through his lawyer KF, who had been charged with being an accomplice, that XY had *"behaved correctly"* in the master's course and that the complainant had *"made an effort" to "look after him"*.<sup>317</sup>

Leo Buchholz was very closely informed about the situation of the complainant in the joint master course, as the reports of the complainant suppressed in the DVNLP suggest. Leo Buchholz said to me in a telephone conversation on 06.07.14<sup>318</sup>: *"The fact that there was a lot going on between ... [complainant] and XY was quite obvious to us participants<sup>319</sup>, because it was also discussed during breaks. So I'm more than surprised you, of all people, haven't noticed."* He would have *"made no observation towards the hooker, only that... ...was always after XY and caressing him."* He added that he had the *"impression of a personal smear campaign [emanating from me].* Turning away from the subject of *"power-asymmetrical relationship"* with regard to XY and diverting it to me, he finally moralised me, referring to my later relationship with the complainant, by saying that *"a relationship with a dependent person even after completing an education is actually taboo"*. At that time, Leo Buchholz probably still hoped that I would not believe everything the complainant had told me - or would still tell me - about the participation of hetero- and homosexual master's students and of female and also male minors in certain ethically and criminally questionable events in the background of my master's degree.<sup>320</sup>

---

<sup>317</sup> This is not surprising, given the emergency situation in which the complainant found herself, according to her reports, vis-à-vis XY and the rest of the offender system: she should have acted voluntarily. Nobody should have noticed that she and her children were also forced by XY and SF to make purchases in my master's group (see *"Background of the abuse complaints"* and the *complainant's application to the Arbitration Commission*. The complainant provides further insight into her life situation at that time and into her history by agreeing to the publication of the *psychiatric report on culpability* and my essay on it entitled *"Psychiatric report - a gift for the paedocriminal offender system and the DVNLP"*.

<sup>318</sup> Immediately after this phone call I created a conversation note.

<sup>319</sup> A committee of inquiry to be set up in the DVNLP was to question the character witnesses KA, Petra P., TH, GA, DK, AK, LJ and BE, who had been appointed by XY through his lawyer KF to the court, about their relationship to XY and the complainant and about the activities in the informal part of the master's course at the time, in which they had participated and of which they had knowledge.

<sup>320</sup> Leo Buchholz, XY and the other participants in the Master's programme at the time appear so often on the well over 3000 closely printed pages of biographical and reappraisal texts about the situation of the complainant and her children at the time that it would be enough for a book of their own. It would probably grant a DVNLP investigative committee access to these texts, assuming a legally secure confidentiality agreement. The latter is particularly important because of their now grown-up children who still live in the perpetrator system and have not been allowed to see their mother for over seven years.

Leo Buchholz, who personally and secretly supported the abuser and alleged sex offender XY and now also protected him ex officio in the association as the board member for finances, who, according to the reports of the complainant suppressed in the DVNLP, was married to XY, Petra P., AK, DK, DD, GA and KA was very personal and very involved and entangled in the machinations of the then Master's course attendant XY, will presumably spread in the DVNLP to this day the image of the complainant's "actual abuser", which defames me (since after all I would not have observed the one-year abstinence period applicable to psychotherapists after the end of the Master's course).

Leo Buchholz was not only part of the group of witnesses called by XY's lawyer KF for the XY/Steel court case against the complainant and myself, but also part of the group of witnesses presumably consisting of the same persons (KA, Petra P., TH, GA, DK, AK, LJ and BE), for in their name or with their approval and knowledge the master participant conflict partner DK<sup>321</sup> had denounced me to the Psychotherapists' Association (and GA to the police) because of a missing HP reference to my professional title "Psychotherapist (HP)" on my website.

#### *1.2.1.8 Misuse by Petra P., AK, DK, KA and OA*

The intensive conflict partners of the complainant from her then Master, Petra P., AK and Leo Buchholz, together with Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Anita von Hertel, abused the 2014 general meeting which had been manipulated and deceived by the DVNLP board (see *1.2.2.8.3. Abuse of the general meeting*). Together with OA, a course attendant from their Landsiedel training, and the non-members DK, DD and GA, AK and KA abuse AK and KA, approved and supported by the DVNLP board, especially by Ralf Dannemeyer, board member for press and public relations, until today the DVNLP internet forums.

These DVNLP members Petra P., AK, DK, KA and OA together with Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Anita von Hertel, Leo Buchholz, Stephan Landsiedel and Ralf Dannemeyer abused and continue to abuse the DVNLP, its general meeting and its communication channels (see *1.2.2.7.1 Lies in the "faked" DVNLP members' forum* and *1.2.2.7.2 lies in Dannemeyer's "dirty lick forum"*) for her private war against the complainant and me They did (and continue to do so to this day) for their protection: either in order to get themselves out of the line of fire of concrete accusations made by the complainant in the internal complaints and police reports of the association and mentioning her name (such as Petra P., AK and Leo Buchholz), or in order not to get further into the line of fire of mostly general, justiciable accusations made against the whole master group without mentioning her name. In both cases these attacks have the effect of "first strike warfare", like prophylactic large-scale attacks in a war - which is not a private one because it is

---

<sup>321</sup> This e-mail from DK was sent on the master group's "conspiratorial" mailing list, i.e. excluding the complainant and myself: *DK to Chamber (11.04.2014)*). Cf. also my *explanation steel to the HP (24.09.2014)*.

carried out in the context of DVNLP seminars, association committees and communication channels, protected by the DVNLP board.

One could say that all these intensive conflict partners of the complainant did exactly what the DVNLP board of directors accused the complainant and me of doing when, at the beginning of 2014, we pointed out to Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas that the DVNLP conciliation commission should deal with our complaints against XY: This would mean, as Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas assumed at the beginning of the "DVNLP case", *"that we would abuse the DVNLP as a forum for something private, which would not go to court"*.

However, the impression of a private war is more given by the attacks of the complainant's intensive conflict partners, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Petra P. and AK, which were carried out on a broad front and in criminal one-sidedness against the complainant and myself at the 2014 general meeting and are still being carried out today in the DVNLP Internet forums. All of them give the impression of being part of a private conflict: One might think that the complainant's conflicting parties are abusing the DVNLP intensively and extensively for carrying out their private conflicts with the complainant, which they started in the DVNLP seminars.

But that is of course nonsense, just as it is nonsense to talk about a private war between the complainant and myself: In both directions it is not a private war. Unless one defines the kind of violent misconduct that the complainant reports about in connection with the abuse by her *DVNLP coach* and *DVNLP course instructor* XY from my then *DVNLP Master*, from her *DVNLP coaching training* with Martina Schmidt-Tanger and her *DVNLP training* with Stephan Landsiedel as something "private".

Abusive DVNLP teacher trainers, course facilitators, psychotherapists and coaches are not a private problem, but primarily a problem of DVNLP - both in terms of the guilt of DVNLP members and the harm of DVNLP members. Only secondarily, the events in the DVNLP are to be regarded as a private matter of the members concerned - by them before their own conscience and/or before judges who may still have to deal with these abuses and crimes in the DVNLP.

Cosmic Joke, again: The complainant and I were excluded from the association because we had used the DVNLP "as a forum for private matters" with our official complaints against an extremely unethical abuser and alleged sex offender. And the XY supporters Petra P., her husband KA, her boyfriend DK, as well as AK, SM and OA are waging a "private" war of extermination against the complainant and me in the association under highly abusive use and rape of the general meeting, the DVNLP internet forums and all "Causa DVNLP" statements, i.e. on all communication channels and committee levels of the association which, however, was "adopted" by the DVNLP executive committee as an association matter and was made a matter for the boss as a mobbing taken over officially from a master seminar and whose dirty "successes" were published by the propaganda minister of the DVNLP, Ralf Dannemeyer, into all NLP-interested public spheres and still are today.

The accusations of one party to a multiple internal association conflict are defined as something that cannot be negotiated or mediated in the association, as they are supposedly of a private nature, and the accusations of the other party to the conflict are defined as an association matter and are dealt with in a perfidious one-sided manner in a biased manner against the first. All DVNLP association officials and members, including the lying sovereign of the association, are still being clamped, lied to and: abused by the association leadership around Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the DVNLP board of directors.

Of course, this was and is only possible because this abuse was and is a reciprocal and networked one - part of a complex culture of abuse: The XY support group was founded by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors in the 2014 general meeting for the performance of a just as gruesome as perfidious show tribunal of those who are then sentenced in absentia by the standing court, as well as for dirty-covered operations in the Hamburg district court and for us defamatory contributions in the DVNLP forums, abused to enable the elimination of two critics who are unpopular with the association's leadership. And: The XY support group also abused the board and the DVNLP at all other levels in order to get rid of the complainant and myself - for example, by the DVNLP officially criminalizing, psychopathologizing and psychiatrizing us. The XY support group has done and continues to do so to this day, presumably in the hope of minimising the risk of not being further harassed or even prosecuted under criminal law in relation to the entirety of its ethical misconduct and its infringements of the sexual self-determination of the complainant and her children, the majority of which are probably also justiciable.

This multiple, reciprocally networked abuse essentially arose because the DVNLP Board of Directors did not forward the complaints of the complainant and myself, nor the complaints of their addressees, the DVNLP members Petra P., AK, OA, OA, DK, KA, OA and Stephan Landsiedel, to the statutory competent association bodies "Training and Further Training Commission" and "Arbitration Commission". And because the board of directors did not allow the conflicts to be resolved in a multi-party mediation organized within the association, even after they had escalated due to its incompetent and poor decisions.

Petra P., of all people, whose husband KA, together with AK, DK, DD, GA and OA, "bullied" the complainant and me in the Internet forums, as we were unable to correct this, wrote to the Hamburg public prosecutor's office on 27 October 2014<sup>322</sup> that *"the cyberbullying of the persons I have reported... [complainant] and Thies Stahl is still continuing.* Petra P. very appropriately remarks to the police, to whom she hands over the<sup>323</sup> manipulated LKA note of 24.01.2014, which was passed to her by XY's mafia lawyer KF, on the occasion of her witness hearing on 24.08.2016,

---

<sup>322</sup> See Petra P. to prosecutor's office Cyberbullying (27.10.2014).

<sup>323</sup> See RA KF gives P. P. manipul. LKA note (24.08.2016).



*"The problem in this matter is, of course, that the things that have once been put on the net remain there. Of course, she also briefly emphasises her supposed innocence as a cyberbullying victim: It is important for her "... as an alderman that not just any lawyer hears about these slanders".*

Petra P. should tell her board member for press and public relations, Ralf Dannemeyer. And of course her husband KA and her friends from my former Master, AK, DK, DD and GA. Because their defamations, which are publicly accessible worldwide, are not exactly conducive to my work as an instructor, coach and psychotherapist. After all, they have been online for five years now, not anonymously but with our and their clear names, and cannot be commented on or deleted by us, generously sponsored by the DVNLP (see 1.2.2.7. *The Board of Directors misuses its communication channels*).

DVNLP association official Petra P., presumably in agreement with the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, supplied the public prosecutor's office Hamburg with the DVNLP false information spread by the board of directors: On November 17, 2014, she submitted to the public prosecutor's office two internal documents<sup>324</sup> from the DVNLP website's member area, which is not accessible to the general public, containing many false allegations and lies: the "Causa DVNLP" statement<sup>325</sup> and the DVNLP fake minutes of the 2014 general meeting<sup>326</sup>. The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors had to remove this protocol of lies from the internet after an intervention of my lawyer because of the misrepresentations contained under the item "comments"<sup>327</sup> - which Petra P., however, completely forgot to tell the police in her testimony as a poor cyberbullied lay judge on 24.08.2016.

On 24.08.2016, Petra P. receives from KF, XY and her lawyer (and together with her and XY from the complainant) the lawyer's note of 24.01., which is demonstrably manipulated, extremely psychopathologizing the complainant and me.2014<sup>328</sup> on the "Assessment of the Social Psychiatric Service on the mental situation of ... [the complainant] and Thies"<sup>329</sup>, which the spokeswoman of the DVNLP regional group Hamburg/Schleswig-Holstein passed on to Petra P. on the same day to the Norderstedt Criminal Investigation Department in the course of her "examination of

---

<sup>324</sup> See *Petra P. gives internal association information to the public prosecutor's office* (17.11.2014).

<sup>325</sup> As a single document: *Causa Opinion DVNLP* (26.09.2014). Comments: *Counterattack steel DVNLP-Causa-Stelln.* (06.10.2014).

<sup>326</sup> See *The Fake Protocol of the MV* (06.11.2014) and the corrections.

<sup>327</sup> Cf. also the remarks of the Berlin Regional Court in its order on the determination of costs (01.07.2015).

<sup>328</sup> Cf. the "Dossier Täter-Opfer-Anber-Rkehr" (sheet 69 of a file).

<sup>329</sup> See *RA KF gives P. P. manipul. LKA note* (24.08.2016).

witnesses". From there, this poisonous note gets into the file of the public prosecutor's office (Volume III, Sheet 286), into which the stigmatization and psychiatrisation practiced by DVNLP association officials is continued in a disastrous way.

Already in the hot phase of the "Causa DVNLP", the complainant's intensive conflict partner, Petra P., was quite obviously pulling the strings in the background and initiated the unholy alliance of XY and Martina Schmidt-Tanger: In the statement<sup>330</sup> of September 18, 2014, XY's lawyer KF writes, "*In an e-mail of July 12, 2014, Ms. Schmidt-Tanger told Petra P., DVNLP member and spokeswoman of the DVNLP regional group Hamburg/Schleswig-Holstein, that she would look for e-mails that could help the plaintiff [XY], **but that she still had to discuss this with her lawyer** [=Dr. jur. G.]. [Emphasis added by me] Moreover, she stated in this mail that she knew the details of the story from the beginning and that this might become important in court.*

The investigations against me on the basis of the complaint filed by Petra P. against me for insulting her person in my DVNLP publications, called "cyberbullying" by her, were stopped by the public prosecutor's office in Hamburg on 06.03.2019.

The DVNLP members AK and OA additionally abused the DVNLP's arbitration commission by agreeing that their applications for exclusion<sup>331</sup> against us, which actually constituted complaints, were not submitted to the arbitration commission for referral, but were used by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas behind our backs to exclude our persons from the DVNLP in violation of the statutes. This also applies to the complaints of Petra P., which she had also not submitted to the Arbitration Commission, but which she had presented as the main actor abused by the DVNLP Executive Board on its behalf to the 2014 Members' Meeting, which they had lied to together (see 1.2.2.5.3. *Abuse to Petra P. and AK* and 1.2.2.8.3. *Abuse of the Members' Meeting*).

The DVNLP member DK, also an intensive conflict partner of the complainant from my master's degree at the time, abused the board of directors<sup>332</sup> and, with the approval of the DVNLP board of directors, the Internet forums and,<sup>333</sup> together with

---

<sup>330</sup> KF to LG MST and P.P. (18.09.2014)

<sup>331</sup> See exclusion request AK (08.10.2014) and exclusion request OA (08.10.2014)

<sup>332</sup> See Mail Master participant DK to DVNLP (25.04.2014)

<sup>333</sup> See AK DK DD KA assassinated in Koenigsmacher (27.02.2015), and DK Thread "Urteil und Vorurteil" XING-NLP /current link "Urteil und Vorurteil".

AK, Leo Buchholz and Petra P., the court case XY/Steel<sup>334</sup> for his slander and psychiatric campaign against the complainant and me.

#### *1.2.1.9 Misuse by the DVNLP instructor SA*

The important role of the DVNLP Teaching Trainer SA as abuser and abused person in the network of mutual abuse and being abused is described in an extra chapter (see 1.2.2.7.5. *DVNLP self-justification as Scripted Reality Soap in cyberspace*).

#### *1.2.1.10. Abuse by Ralf Dannemeyer*

The improper use of the NLP-Forum, firstly moderated by Ralf Dannemeyer, the simple DVNLP-member who is clearly working in a pleasing journalistic way for his board, and then by Ralf Dannemeyer, the DVNLP-board member for press and public relations (see 1.2.2.7.2 *Lying in Dannemeyer's "Schmuddelecken-Forum"*) and the DVNLP Fake Members Forum, for which he will also be responsible as a member of the board from April 2018 on, is described in detail below (see 1.2.2.7.1 *Lying in the "faked" DVNLP Members Forum*).

### **1.2.2 Abuses by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Directors**

Above, I have already described several lies, wrong decisions and misconduct of DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (see under "1.2.1.4. *Abuse by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas*"). These are, of course, in part identical to the abuses for which he may be responsible as a person in his own right rather than as a chairman who has a say for his fellow board members. It is certainly difficult to draw the line: What responsibility and co-responsibility the colleagues on the board of directors of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz, Thomas Pech and the succeeding Ilka Voigt have to bear, would have to be found out by a committee of inquiry to be appointed in the DVNLP: He would have to clarify to what extent and with what knowledge of facts and assumptions the board colleagues Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech either clearly and consciously supported the individual decisions of the "NLP professionals" Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger, which then led to vigilante justice and to a complete conversion of perpetrator-victim victims in the DVNLP, or (in a kind of lie-induced trance ideomotorically) only nodded off. This means that a DVNLP investigative committee should find out whether, and if so, to what extent Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas lied to their colleagues on the board.

It is important to note, however, that the misconduct of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas can be seen both as abuses of DVNLP member Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and as misconduct of the entire DVNLP board of directors, which supported the decisions and actions of its chairman and was jointly responsible for them - at least to the extent that he (1.) was informed about them and the background facts and (2.) fulfilled his duty of care to deal seriously and in adequate depth with the background and probable consequences of the decisions of the chairman before any nodding off of the

---

<sup>334</sup> See also RA KF brief LG HH (05.03.2014).

decisions. In dubio, the manifold misconduct of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas can of course also be attributed to his colleagues on the board of directors who are jointly liable for them.

The decisions and covert operations of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors concerning the complainant and myself were all high-risk to openly violating human rights. In the still unresolved "Causa DVNLP", the board of directors was involved in abusive activities on several levels, whereby Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his colleagues on the board of directors concealed and camouflaged their responsibility and accountability for the wrongdoing in the DVNLP to varying degrees and with different methods and helpers - and the new board members of the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors continue to this day with this concealment of the guilt of their predecessors.

#### *1.2.2.1 DVNLP board of directors commits treason against DVNLP members*

The treason committed by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors against two members, the complainant and myself, can be discussed on the concrete level of the provable actions of the board members and/or its chairman - but also on the more abstract level of a hypothesis regarding the strategy of the (current) DVNLP board of directors (also) clearly discernible behind its actions and, above all, its inaction.

##### *1.2.2.1.1 The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Management Board and its Chairman*

The covert operation of the DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas described above to support the abuser and alleged sex offender XY in the court case XY./Stahl (cf. "1.2.1.4.6. *Traitor of the presumption of innocence*") is possibly one that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas did not carry out and is not responsible for on behalf of his colleagues on the board, but he is responsible as a separate individual. Finally, together with Martina Schmidt-Tanger, he had himself named as a witness by KF, the lawyer of XY, for the confidential e-mails she used in his statement<sup>335</sup> against me.

The operation of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors in the court case XY./Stahl, which was also concealed from the DVNLP members, took place before and parallel to, as well as after the 2014 general meeting manipulated and deceived by the board of directors: For this betrayal of members of the association, obviously all board members, plus the association's lawyer Harms, took full responsibility when they allowed themselves to be named by XY and KF as witnesses to the court for the abuse of authority committed by themselves.

In his written statement of 6 November 2014 to the Hamburg Regional Court,<sup>336</sup> KF quotes five passages from my internal association statement<sup>337</sup> of 22 October 2014

---

<sup>335</sup> See lawyer KF to LG HH (05.03.2015) .

<sup>336</sup> See attorney KF to LG HH (06.11.2014)

<sup>337</sup> See opinion Thies Exclusion procedure (22.10.2014).

on the exclusion efforts of the Executive Board. The Dr. jur. Jens Tomas board of directors has passed these statements as a confidential document within the association to member XY and his lawyer KF, who cooperates with the association's lawyers in a mafia-like manner, contrary to the statutes and unlawfully. The five directors and the lawyer of the association, Harms, have not deluded themselves into offering themselves explicitly and by name as witnesses to the judge in court proceedings XY./Stahl with the help of these passages from the document they embezzled, in order to prove that I had "made the complainant's accusations against XY my own". KF quotes in this pleading from my unlawfully used statement:

- *"insofar as I have made declarations of discontinuance, these have been made expressly without recognition of a legal obligation and only for the purpose of avoiding disputes".*
- *In my letter I say: "I suggest that he [XY] should take the coaching sessions still to be completed for his admission as a teacher trainer with someone - verifiable for the DVNLP - who is well enough trained to work with him psychotherapeutically, primarily on topics such as power and abuse of power, violence and sexual violence in relationships and on his own image of women..."*
- ***"The accusation of abuse in a dependent relationship is true."*** [highlighted in original]
- *But there was something that the board of directors should have done before the court results and failed to do: to take a stand against XY because of his unethical behaviour ... [KF has been shortened here: "...and treat [the complainant] like a human being (e.g. answer her complaint, talk to her and not exclude her)."*

Then KF executes:

- *"The defendant thus unequivocally expresses that he also only made the declaration of discontinuance [with regard to the power-asymmetrical and intimate abuse relationship of XY with the complainant, no longer to be referred to as "sexual abuse"]<sup>338</sup> of 30 September 2014 to the plaintiff in order to avoid a dispute [I wanted to make possible both a settlement with XY and mediation with him].<sup>339</sup>not because he considers them to be justified in substance.*

---

<sup>338</sup> See 20190918 UE Thies XY (30.09.2014).

<sup>339</sup> Like me, the complainant did not want to resolve the conflict publicly and certainly not in court, but within the association. However, the DVNLP Board had, in my view, fundamentally wrongly decided to completely ignore the power asymmetry between XY and the complainant and to believe his account of a "consensual relationship" with her. At that time (XY only submitted the coaching invoice to the Hamburg Regional Court later), she was not yet able to prove that XY, who was hierarchically superior to her, as well as psychotherapist and coach, had worked with the complainant.

*Conversely, this means that he considers the allegations made by Ms... [the complainant] to be justified and continues to support her action.*

- *"To the extent that he even states again that the accusation of abuse in a dependent relationship would be true, this is a direct accusation of committing sexual and violent offences, which in any case ... again substantiates the claim for damages".*
- *"For the sake of completeness, it is pointed out that **the DVNLP board of directors also unequivocally assumed in the exclusion proceedings conducted against the defendant and at the last general meeting that the defendant had fully accepted the accusations of his partner** [emphasis by me] and, moreover, at least a (partial) joint commission of the crime [the alleged false accusation of XYs] can be assumed.*

More essential than the lie of a *"meanwhile successfully concluded exclusion procedure"* served to the Regional Court of Hamburg in this written statement of 6 November 2014 <sup>340</sup>is that the DVNLP should never have left this statement to XY and his lawyer KF, who was charged as an accomplice, since it was an internal confidential document of the association: My statement was the subject of an ongoing, internal association procedure, namely the referral to the arbitration commission regarding the legality of the exclusion efforts of the board of directors directed against me. The handing over of this document to one member for use in court against another member constitutes a gross abuse of office and a presumably also justifiable betrayal of two DVNLP members by the DVNLP board.

For the correctness of the passages quoted from this confidential DVNLP document, which RA KF then submitted to the court as additional "evidence" for his client XY that I had "made my own" the accusations made against him by the complainant, XY's lawyer KF, who is working here for the DVNLP in a Mafia-like manner, thus names all DVNLP board members and also DVNLP association lawyer Harms, who is also acting in a Mafia-like manner, as witnesses to the court. The allegedly impartial board, which never tired of emphasizing how important the presumption of innocence would be for its actions, "celebrates" this here in a highly questionable and probably also criminal way: Closed and by abuse of office, the DVNLP Board of Directors is acting as a witness for a DVNLP member, XY, in whose legal proceedings against another DVNLP member, me, with the clearly recognizable intent to participate on the side of member XY, to cause serious damage to a third DVNLP member, the complainant. In so doing, he has violated the requirement of neutrality imposed on him and the presumption of innocence which he himself has repeatedly proclaimed and which must be upheld on all sides. With this misappropriation of an

---

<sup>340</sup> The exclusion procedure conducted against me in the DVNLP was by no means completed, but I was a DVNLP member until my resignation on April 11, 2015 (see 1.2.2.8.2. *Abuse of the Arbitration Commission*).

association-internal confidential document, the DVNLP executive board unlawfully helped XY and the other perpetrators to portray the complainant before the Hamburg Regional Court as a mentally ill and criminally acting false accuser.<sup>341</sup> The DVNLP executive board abused me, as their supporter, and, above all, the XY./Stahl trial for this active help for perpetrators.<sup>342</sup>

The second covert operation of the DVNLP executive board in the court case XY./Stahl is, just like the first one (embezzlement of internal association mails with the help of the mafia-like lawyers and Martina Schmidt-Tanger who abused her office), a double, doubly perfidious betrayal of association members: The DVNLP executive committee, alongside a member fighting against another member, XY, inflicts great damage on this other member, me, but in a covert manner, in order to be able to inflict even greater damage on a third member, the complainant, namely to destroy her socially by calumny in the association.

For the strategy of the DVNLP association leadership around Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger to exclude the complainant from the DVNLP as a mentally ill false accuser, this covert operation before the Hamburg Regional Court was an important element: "It was quite obviously necessary for the DVNLP board of directors to prevent me from standing up for the member and human rights of the complainant. To achieve this, XY had to succeed with his injunction against me. For if I were no longer allowed to freely address the contents of the complainant's complaint, which is concealed and suppressed in the DVNLP, I would firstly no longer be able to effectively help the complainant in the association and secondly I would also not be able to adequately present my position before the Conciliation Commission. Shortly after the<sup>343</sup> removal of the complainant and myself from the general meeting in violation of the statutes and the law, and at the time of this covert operation by the DVNLP board of directors in court case XY/Steel, the latter still had to decide on the legality of the case and on the continuation of my membership.

Presumably in agreement with Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and in accordance with the already established pattern of total exclusion of the complainant from communication with all association bodies, the Arbitration Commission insisted on summoning only me and not the complainant. The consequence of this was, of

---

<sup>341</sup> The lawyer of XY, KF, has on several occasions explicitly requested the court in the XY/Steel court proceedings to regard the complainant as mentally ill (see *Lawyer KF to LG HH (20.07.2016)* and *Lawyer KF to LG HH (03.02.2017)*).

<sup>342</sup> However, a reverse view also makes sense: The DVNLP board of directors, above all the management of the association consisting of the "NLP professionals" Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, abused the complainant in the "DVNLP case" in order to get rid of me (see my comments on the "Hellinger debate" in 1.2.2.5.2. *Abuse of Dr. jur.*

<sup>343</sup> See the *remarks of the Berlin Regional Court in the order fixing the costs (01.07.2015)* and the *"Nazi analogies" court decision (09.03.2017)*.

course, that I would have had to represent the complainant's position before the Arbitration Commission, but this would not have been possible due to the muzzle I had been given in Court Proceedings XY/Steel, which had been abused by the DVNLP: Before I - which would have been unavoidable - spoke for the complainant again and referred or quoted her accusations against XY before the Arbitration Commission, and then again association officials in Court Proceedings XY./Stahl would testify against me and in the sense of the DVNLP-supported lawsuit XYs would testify against me, I ended this inextricably paradoxical and crazy situation (see 1.2.2.8.2. *Abuse of the Arbitration Commission*) by leaving the association: It would have been foreseeable that what I had said in confidence within the association would then be repeated once again about the mafia-like lawyers of the DVNLP, the DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, the DVNLP association lawyer Harms and the auxiliary association lawyers KF and Dr. jur, had been passed on to DVNLP member XY<sup>344</sup> - who would then use it as further "proof"<sup>345</sup> that I had "adopted" the complainant's allegations against him. In this case, since it would have been a violation of the judgment in case XY./Stahl, I would have had to expect a fine of up to € 250,000.00.

#### 1.2.2.1.2 The perfidious dual strategy of the Executive Board

The strategy of the DVNLP board of directors to get rid of an abuse complaint together with the complainant obviously consisted of the strategy to psychopathologize the complainant and, parallel to this, to criminalize her - both procedures are as illegal, immoral and illegal as they are criminal.

Already the exclusion of the complainant from the DVNLP event 2014 in Göttingen (cf. 1.2.1.4.3. *Dienstherr der Denunzianten*) happened through a combination of psychopathologization and criminalization, as well as the presentation of her person in absentia in the DVNLP-Standgericht (see 1.2.2.8.3. *Missbrauch der Mitgliederversammlung*). This dual strategy is also evident from the articles in the Internet forums controlled by the DVNLP (cf. 1.2.2.7. *The Board of Directors abuses its communication channels*), which were appropriately censored and truncated and defamed the complainant and myself by the DVNLP's leadership and its propaganda department for press and public relations work headed by Ralf Dannemeyer. It becomes particularly clear in the contributions of our colleague SA, which have been "displayed" by the board of directors in a prominent place in the DVNLP Fake Members' Forum (cf. 1.2.2.7.5. *DVNLP self-justice as a scripted reality soap in cyberspace*).

This double strategy of the DVNLP was probably designed by the board of directors as a two-stage strategy, since the focus can be shifted depending on the

---

<sup>344</sup> See the note from KF on page 7 below in *Anwalt KF an LG HH (06.11.2014)*.

<sup>345</sup> The judge in these proceedings then actually attached an absurdly large weight to the statements of the DVNLP association officials, without adequately considering the DVNLP context (see 1.2.1.3.3. *Place of honour in the circle of perpetrators of violence*).



development of events. If further psychopathologisation no longer seems appropriate, e.g. if her children or DVNLP members involved in violent events testify in support of the complainant's reports or if my successful exposure of the psychiatric attack carried out against her by the DVNLP in cooperation with the paedocriminal offender system (cf. 1.2.3.2 *Psychiatry and its consequences*) is taken more seriously within the Association, the emphasis can easily be placed on further criminalisation of the complainant.

The DVNLP board of directors has already set the course in its DVNLP fake members' forum, above all with the help of the apparently level-headed and at first sight reasonable and informed contributions of my trainer colleague SA, who not only tried to infantilize the complainant in the most assaultive and cheeky way, thereby psychopathologizing her by a presumptuous psychiatric remote diagnosis, but also criminalizing her (until today<sup>346</sup>).

In the event that the DVNLP board of directors is asked to refrain from further attempts at psychopathologization and psychiatry due to unexpectedly revealed testimonies, the DVNLP leadership will be able to rely on the DVNLP members who have been successfully "de-solidarized" by the DVNLP board of directors to follow the complainant, if he would then no longer defend himself by presenting the complainant as a madwoman who is falsely accused, but simply as a criminal.

The DVNLP board of directors would not even need to do this itself, as it has already dirtily delegated exactly this in 2014 to the complainant's conflicting parties and, above all, to the smart-aleck and arrogant colleague SA. To this day, they relieve the DVNLP executive board of the "dirty work" of discrediting, defaming and stigmatizing the complainant and myself - both in the DVNLP fake members' forum, which was misused for this purpose, and in the NLP Internet forum, which is run by DVNLP Propaganda Minister Dannemeyer.

The self-revelation of the complainant, as a testifying key witness, that she had left a paedocriminal offender system, is not only abused in both forums to *prejudge* her person as a criminal, but is also used for a *manifest* vigilante condemnation of her person as a criminal: she is portrayed, in a perfidious manner and above all by SA, as a mother who acts criminally in relation to her children. For this dirty job SA let himself be taken into service by the DVNLP board of directors, as shown by his clear name contributions in the DVNLP Fake Members Forum<sup>347348</sup> and the NLP Internet Forum, which have been held under the members' noses until today.

---

<sup>346</sup> See exchange SA steel in nlp4all (25.04.2019) and 1.2.2.7.5. DVNLP self-justification as a scripted reality soap in cyberspace.

<sup>347</sup> See the posts in the DVNLP members forum then/now: SA AK DK DD KA assassinated in Koenigsmacher (27.02.2015)/The Koenigsmacher is assassinated 1-2 DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019), SA AK in DVNLP-XING forum The true victims (27.02.2015)/The true victims thread 1-4 DVNLP-

The management of the association around Martina Schmidt-Tanger and also the current "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors probably thinks it is possible to simply continue to keep silence and to sit it all out, after all the complainant and I have been publicly pilloried for years, especially in the DVNLP internet forums by the Hetz contributions of SA. However, they would then have to continue to hope and pray that the DVNLP members who will be exposed as criminals in the future in the course of new testimonies are not themselves.

#### *1.2.2.2 DVNLP Board abuses the judiciary*

The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Directors must be reproached for having improperly involved the judiciary when it decided to boycott the internal mediation that had been initiated and to instruct its official association lawyer together with its unofficial auxiliary association lawyers to take legal action against two parties to this multi-party mediation, which has already been initiated, the complainant and myself, and in parallel to initiate the association exclusion proceedings.

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas thus misused the justice system in that he "outsourced" internal association tasks. After he and Martina Schmidt-Tanger had accused the complainant and me of abusing the association for something that would "*not work*" in police or judicial terms<sup>349</sup>, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, together with his board of directors, must now be accused of abusing lawyers and courts for something that he and his "NLP-professional" boss Martina Schmidt-Tanger did not *want to "work"* and succeed in the association through communication and mediation: The mediative establishment of a settlement with XY and the other DVNLP perpetrators. Which actually did not work - but only because he himself, together with the "DVNLP cause" perpetrators and his executive committee, blocked and made it impossible by acting contrary to the statutes.

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas knew that the complainant did not have sufficient solid evidence in court in relation to XY and his supporters, their conflicting parties in my master's thesis at the time. And he also knew that their children had remained in the perpetrator system and would therefore not testify against the allegedly not only ethical but also - together with XY - criminal offenders outside and inside the DVNLP. In addition, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, and thus probably also Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, knew that the complainant's children were condemned to remain under the spell of the perpetrators as a result of a family court decision which was partly due to XY's involvement in the offender system.

---

*XING (14.12.2019), OA in Some shoes wear metal soles DVNLP-XING (07.10.2014)/Some shoes wear metal soles DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019), AK and DD in Stellvertreter-Konflikte (27.02.2015)/Stellvertreter-Konflikte DVNLP -XING (14.12.2019) and DD in Meine Sicht zu einer aktuellen DVNLP-XING (26.08.2018)/Meine Sicht zu einer aktuellen DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019)*

<sup>348</sup> See 1.2.2.7.5. *DVNLP self-justification as a scripted reality soap in cyberspace* and 1.2.2.7.4. *Mr Dannemeyer's "grubby lick" content*.

<sup>349</sup> See my mail from 24.08.2013 in "*Causa DVNLP*"- *Correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014*

Against the background of this situation, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, after the complainant had filed a complaint not only against his "NLP-professional" colleagues Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM, but also against him as DVNLP chairman of the board in the association, could be sure that the complainant would not really have a chance to defend herself against the association's public and board-supported psychopathologisation and criminalisation by Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Cora Besser-Siegmund and Petra P. and to defend against the exclusion that is practised in the association on their basis. It was probably clear to all of them: If they were to fight on XY's side - and even secretly in court - against the complainant and me, the complainant would have "very bad cards".

Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas knew that the complainant had not only been accused of libel against XY by the public prosecutor's office, but also by SF, her second ex-hubby pimp cooperating with XY, who was finally supported actively and officially by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (cf. 1.2.1.4.4.) XY itself also sued the complainant for libel, as did Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM, the "NLP-professional" colleague of Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. In addition, the XY-supporting master participants Petra P., KA, AK and DK, and the DVNLP teaching trainer RP, who were in turn supported by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, were able to obtain injunctive relief against the complainant and me.

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas was and is a lawyer enough to know what would happen in the event of such pressure from advertisements and lawsuits. He obviously had his fellow students, the official lawyer of the association Harms, the auxiliary lawyer Dr. jur. G. and also RA KF, the lawyer of XY who collaborated conspiratorily with the lawyers of the association, and the XY supporters Petra P., KA, AK and DK, in their mafia-legal network in the background, which he certainly managed and promoted.

The abusive use of lawyers is subsumed here under "abuse of justice", as threats made by lawyers (e.g. the enforcement of domestic law at the DVNLP venue) or the use of lawyers for claims for injunctive relief with the threat of criminal prosecution can be added to the institutions, forms of contact and traffic involved in the legal system. Unfortunately, this also applies to the mafia-secret legal cooperation with the other parties to the conflict, which took place conspiratorially and underground in the background and below the radar of the judges involved - and also to the possibilities of perception of the complainant and myself: It was only at the beginning of January 2019, through a further, more complete inspection of the files, that we learned of the mafia-secret underground networking of the perpetrator lawyers involved in the DVNLP case.

An abuse of justice by the DVNLP is also present because both the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors and the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors published the results of the abusive claiming of the courts and the public prosecutor's office against its members only unilaterally: To this day, the DVNLP board of directors

reports - lying throughout<sup>350</sup> - without exception about legal activities of the association directed against the complainant and me, but nowhere and in no way about

- the court rulings to the disadvantage of the DVNLP<sup>351</sup>,
- the notices of recruitment concerning the criminal charges brought against me by the XY supporters<sup>352</sup>,
- the discontinuation of the proceedings between the public prosecutor's office and the complainant for libel and slander against XY<sup>353</sup>,
- of the already filed and then withdrawn trademark right "kaputt-suit" of the DVNLP against me (see 1.2.1.4.11. *Kaputt-Kläger*).

Had the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors informed the DVNLP members about these results of their demands on the judiciary, the members would have realized that the questionable board strategy of "outsourcing" internal association conflicts to the judiciary had failed. Their board of directors and his lawyers would have appeared to them - quite rightly so - as criminal and mafia-like.

#### 1.2.2.2.1 Abusive use of 'associate lawyers

The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board has employed three lawyers: The official association lawyer Harms and the auxiliary association lawyers Dr. jur. G. and KF, whereby the unofficial association lawyers simultaneously represent individual DVNLP members. Martina Schmidt-Tanger and her "NLP-professional" colleague SM are represented by Dr. jur. G. and XY, Petra P., AK, DK and KA of RA KF. All three conspiratorially acting lawyers in the background worked together with Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his board of directors and were obviously sure that in case of an investigation by the DVNLP or by their bar association they would be able to answer questions regarding a possible multiple conflict of interests.<sup>354</sup>

---

<sup>350</sup> See "DVNLP relies on lying managers" and "DVNLP lies. Chronic".

<sup>351</sup> See the *judgement of the interim court (09.03.2017)* and the "Nazi Analogies" court judgement (09.03.2017).

<sup>352</sup> See the recruitment notices to DD and KA (16.12.2014), to XY via KF (16.12.2014), to P.P. (16.12.2014), to SF (16.12.2014) and AK (16.12.2014).

<sup>353</sup> See the *AG-Altona decision (17.11.2017)*

<sup>354</sup> "The *Rechtsanwalt* may not act if he **has** already advised **or represented** another party in the same case in the conflicting interest [emphasis added by me] or if he has been professionally involved with this case in any other way within the meaning of §§ 45, 46 of the Federal Lawyers' Act". (§ 3 para. 1 BORA; see <https://dejure.org/gesetze/BORA/3.html>).

The lawyers working for the DVNLP, RA Harms, and the lawyer Dr. jur. G., who also represents the members Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM at the same time against the complainant and me, supplied KF, the lawyer of XY, with confidential association documents for use to the advantage of one member, XY, against two other members, the complainant and me. This happened on 23.09.2014, when the association's lawyer Harms passed on an internal confidential document to the lawyers of XY, KF, and of Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM, Dr. jur. G., namely the complainant's motion to the 2014 general meeting, which had been collected and suppressed by the DVNLP board.

The "lawyer for the association" Harms sent this document, which he and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had embezzled, to his "*Dear colleagues* [Dr. jur. G. and RA KF with the text], *enclosed is a request from Mrs. ...* [the complainant], *who continues to make the old allegations with regard to Mr. ...* [XY] *and Mrs. Schmidt-Tanger - although anonymously - but in a recognisably clear manner. I guess there's still something to be done here.* [Emphasis on me]"<sup>355</sup>.

RA KF followed this "something to do" request of the association lawyer Harms on 25.09.2014 and filed a criminal complaint<sup>356</sup> against me for his client XY. For this criminal complaint, KF immediately used the aforementioned embezzled document, which had been passed on to him by the association's lawyer Harms and which was confidential within the association. In addition, attorney KF used for this criminal complaint my e-mails, which were passed on to him on 01.09.2014 by Dr. jur. G. via his law office and which were embezzled from Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and which KF had previously used for his client XY against me in his statement of 18.09.2014<sup>357</sup> in the court case XY/Steel. Dr. jur. G., who is the private lawyer of DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and, as well as lawyer Harms, his fellow student, had previously consulted with his lawyer colleague KF and announced to him with "*wir haben da was*"<sup>358</sup> the passing on of confidential association correspondence embezzled by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger through abuse of office.

This was both an intra-association and a collegial-confidential e-mail exchange: When the DVNLP founding board member and honorary member asked by the complainant for mediation, I initially wanted to try to resolve the dispute between the complainant and the DVNLP.XY in a small circle with the colleagues Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who were friends at the time, so that the

---

<sup>355</sup> See DVNLP association lawyer collaborates with KF Dr. jur. G. (23.09.2014). This referred to the complainant's application for an MA (23 September 2014).

<sup>356</sup> See criminal complaint XY Mails MST+DJT via law firm Dr. jur. G. to KF (25.09.2014) and notice of recruitment to XY via KF (16.12.2014).

<sup>357</sup> See KF to LG MST and P.P. (18.09.2014).

<sup>358</sup> See KF to LG "we have something there" (09.09.2014)

committees that might have to deal with this matter, the Training and Arbitration Commission and thus ultimately all members of the association would not have to be involved. I wanted to avoid the risk of a scandal detrimental to the NLP method<sup>359</sup> and wrote very clearly in the e-mail of 13.06.2013 to Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, which was used against the complainant and me one year later, "*But let's keep this between us for the time being*".<sup>360</sup>

This attempt to resolve "case XY" quietly ended when the complainant and I sent our applications to the Conciliation Committee on 23 August 2013.<sup>361</sup> The next day I wrote a mail to Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, in which I announced, "*the letters [meant were these applications] are signed and sent by mail. There is no going back, so please forward the PDFs to your colleagues on the board and the AfK*" and concluded with "*thank you again for your effort to take the whole thing into consideration*".<sup>362</sup>

This attempt by the complainant and myself to clarify the conflict within the Association and not to carry its issues into the wider public of the Association was probably not seen as such by Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas. They accused the complainant and me of exactly the opposite<sup>363</sup>, and the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors took the complainant's decision to seek the arbitration commission, i.e. the internal jurisdiction of the DVNLP, as an opportunity to violate the requirement of the presumption of innocence and to fraternise with the complainant's conflicting parties, as well as to publicly psychopathologise, criminalise and prejudice the complainant.

---

<sup>359</sup> That is what Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas wanted, at least as far as the misconduct and crimes in the background of my master's degree were concerned. But they wanted to avoid at all costs that the various misdemeanours and crimes of several DVNLP teacher trainers come to light - neither those of others nor their own. And that was only possible for you by criminally silencing the complainant and myself.

<sup>360</sup> See page 11 in "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014.

<sup>361</sup> See request by complainant to the Conciliation Commission (23.08.2013) and request by Stahl to the Conciliation Commission (23.08.2013)

<sup>362</sup> All mails in "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014 and "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014. At that time, I was not yet aware of essential details of the culpable involvement of Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, about which the complainant was only able to report to me later. It took several months before the complainant had enough confidence that my loyalty to my long-standing colleague Martina Schmidt-Tanger would not prevent me from continuing to stand up for her membership and human rights in the DVNLP.

<sup>363</sup> As a result of the complainant's complaints submitted to the administrative office by the complainant, "*the entire association*", together with the DVNLP staff there, would now know about her accusations, including, for example, details of her childhood and the awkward life situation at the time, which were "*unreasonably burdensome*" for the "*staff of the administrative office*".

*Officially*, until my withdrawal from the DVNLP on 11.04.2015, only RA Harms was the association lawyer of the DVNLP. Dr. jur. G., previously only unofficially and "conspiratorially" active for DVNLP, represented the association of his fellow student and private client Dr. jur. Jens Tomas officially first in the two proceedings DVNLP./Stahl before the Regional Court of Hamburg<sup>364</sup> and then in the trademark lawsuit (cf. 1.2.1.4.11. *Kaputt-Kläger*) against me, which was then laid down by the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors. *Unofficially*, however, the lawyer Dr. jur. G., representing DVNLP members Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM, as well as the DVNLP member XY and the XY supporter Petra P., AK, DK and KA representing lawyer KF mafia-like network of<sup>365</sup> DVNLP association or auxiliary association lawyers: In addition to the analogy "decomposition" from the GDR-MfS era, which I have already used before,<sup>366367</sup> one could speak of "unofficial employees" (IMs), more precisely of "unofficial legal employees" of the "DVNLP Ministry for Association Security" headed by its chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas. IM Dr. jur. G. was involved in the background as an obviously undercover DVNLP auxiliary lawyer at least from 01.09.2014, when he allowed the IM KF to receive my internal association-confidential mails, embezzled by Martina Schmidt-Tanger, for the use of XY in his criminal complaint against me and in the court proceedings XY/Steel. KF, the lawyer of XY, also acted unofficially, just like Dr. jur. G., as a conspiratorial collegial contact person of DVNLP association lawyer Harms and thus of the DVNLP board of directors for auxiliary legal services<sup>368</sup> in its fight against two unpopular DVNLP members, the complainant and myself.

The commissioning of DVNLP association lawyer Harms by DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, a DVNLP member, to exclude the complainant from a crisis meeting with the board in Göttingen at the beginning of June 2014<sup>369</sup> with the help of an official fake lawyer's letter prepared by his fellow student RA Harms and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas himself (see 1.2.1.4.3. *employer of the informers*), one has to mention an extremely

---

<sup>364</sup> See the *judgement of the interim court (09.03.2017)* and the "*Nazi Analogies*" court judgement (09.03.2017).

<sup>365</sup> See *DVNLP association lawyer collaborates with KF Dr. G. (23.09.2014)*.

<sup>366</sup> See "*My beautiful delinquent German Association!*" *DVNLP completes perpetrator-victim-reversion*" and [https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zersetzung\(MinisteriumfürStaatssicherheit\)](https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zersetzung(MinisteriumfürStaatssicherheit)) .

<sup>367</sup> See *KF to LG "we have something" (09.09.2014)*, *KF to LG MST and P.P. (18.09.2014)*, *criminal complaint XY Mails MST+DJT via law firm Dr. jur. G. to KF (25.09.2014)*, *DVNLP association lawyer collaborates with KF Dr. jur. G. (23.09.2014)* and *public prosecutor's office informs DVNLP lawyer Dr. jur. G. (16.12.2014)*.

<sup>368</sup> See the supply of KF with my association-internal confidential mails to Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (compare *criminal complaint XY MST+DJT mails via law firm Dr. jur. G. to KF (25.09.2014)*, *KF to LG "wir haben da was" (09.09.2014)* and *KF to LG MST u. P.P. (18.09.2014)*).

<sup>369</sup> See the Harms letter of 30.05.2014 in "*Dossier Täter-Opfer-Repatriation*" and the mail *Jens sent to Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)*.

abusive use of the association's lawyer on account of the falsification of a quotation of the complainant contained in this letter, at least by Dr. jur. Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and RA Harms could certainly not easily justify this kind of legal threat scenario through the misuse of a lawyer's letter, if they were questioned by her, to the bar association.

Lawyer Harms had already been abused by the DVNLP board of directors in that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas instructed him to act as a mediator of communication between the board of directors and me - i.e. to implement a legal-communicative bottleneck between the board of directors and the complainant and me: Because I had rejected him in this function because of his just mentioned procedure of excluding the complainant from the DVNLP event and the board crisis meeting in Göttingen at the beginning of June 2014. I wrote to the board of directors, RA Harms *"is acting as a contract agent, paid by the association to turn off his thinking and do the dirty work for you, in relation to which you, or your chairman, are stealing away from responsibility"*.<sup>370</sup> RA Harms obviously also willingly allowed himself to be abused, one assumes firstly that my criticism of his actions was not withheld from him by the board, and secondly that he was economically welcome to be commissioned by the DVNLP.

Here, too, a reciprocal abuse is presumably present, since it must have been clear to RA Harms that he had already sold the DVNLP a legally more than questionable service with the aforementioned "faked" lawyer's letter of 30.05.2014.<sup>371</sup> He could have woken up and turned back, but obviously decided to continue his work as a mafia lawyer for the DVNLP. In moments of self-critical reflection, it must have occurred to RA Harms, who recommends himself as a mediator on his stationery, that this use of his person as an impossible communicator is not compatible with the idea of mediation, which he knew we in the association were already in the process of initiating.

But perhaps RA Harms preferred a legal mandate for the culture of dispute, certainly given by the DVNLP, rather than assistance in mediation, which would then take place with another mediator. He himself had already more than disqualified himself for this office with his mafia-like approach. In my professional opinion, Mr. Harms, together with the board of the DVNLP Communicators and Mediators Association and Anita von Hertel, spokeswoman of the DVNLP Mediation Section, revealed an astonishing lack of mediation-communication-related expertise during the mediation initiation phase sabotaged by the DVNLP board.<sup>372</sup>

---

<sup>370</sup> See under the 18.06.2014 in *"Causa DVNLP"- Correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014*

<sup>371</sup> See *Jens to Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)* and *DVNLP lawyer to BF (30.05.2014)*.

<sup>372</sup> See under the 14.07.2014 in *"Causa DVNLP" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014* and also *SMS exchange steel mediator (22.07.2014)*.



The idea that Mr. Harms himself might have disqualified himself for a further mandate by the DVNLP by threatening to use his domiciliary rights in the context of a manipulated lawyer's letter may not have occurred to him - perhaps not even after he had started to cooperate as a DVNLP association lawyer in an underground conspiratorial manner with two fellow lawyers<sup>373</sup> whose clients are DVNLP members who are in breach of the DVNLP statutes (Mr. Harms is the co-author of the DVNLP statutes!) take legal action against other DVNLP members and thus clearly violate the interests of the association. It is not known whether the term "conflict of interests"<sup>374</sup>, or at least the consideration of conflicting interests, came to his mind in this context, perhaps in a professional discussion with Dr. jur. Jens Tomas. However, both DVNLP lawyers, RA Harms and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, will have been very aware of the fact that he clearly violated his obligation of legal secrecy with this action of passing on a confidential document within the association.

In some light moments, it must have been clear to him that mediation is not the same as helping to escalate conflicts in the DVNLP, i.e. his client, by abusively and conspiratorially using legal contributions to the culture of dispute - especially since these would irreversibly intensify the already existing escalation and thus make the internal association conflicts insoluble. Perhaps not of a collision of interests, but of the risk of a representation of conflicting interests, one must probably speak here of the fact that such an escalation is certainly less in the interest of his client DVNLP than in the interest of DVNLP members Martina Schmidt-Tanger, SM and XY. The escalation of disputes between members cannot be in the interest of the association, since the DVNLP must be concerned with the representation of a moral claim and an ethos, which in any case includes the board's requirement of neutrality towards the members.

That this risk of a moral conflict of interests was considerable becomes clear from the fact that this representation of conflicting interests by the "association lawyer" Harms in the DVNLP has led in a straight line to a situation in which the DVNLP finds itself today, precisely also as a consequence of its questionable actions<sup>375</sup>: to be publicly denounced by its founding board member and former honorary member as an association of perpetrators, injustices and criminals.

---

<sup>373</sup> See *DVNLP association lawyer collaborates with KF Dr. jur. G. (23.09.2014)*.

<sup>374</sup> See <https://www.juraforum.de/lexikon/interessenkollision>.

<sup>375</sup> The dubiousness of RA Harms' contribution to the exclusion of the complainant and myself as members (so-called by the DVNLP board of directors) who "harm the association" becomes particularly clear when one thinks of the Nazi analogies which I have already used for an appropriate presentation of the monstrosities of the "cause DVNLP" (cf. *"DVNLP deserted by all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control"* and *"Nazi analogies" court decision (09.03.2017)*). Then it is difficult not to think of the participation of Nazi lawyers in the "elimination" of "people's pests".

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas will have difficulty convincingly demonstrating to a DVNLP investigative committee that he was unaware that his two fellow students commissioned by him for the DVNLP, RAe Harms and Dr. jur. G., had already cooperated secretly and underground with KF, the lawyer of XY who was charged as an accomplice, against the complainant and me during the exclusion proceedings in September 2014, which were conducted with the help of the "association lawyer" Harms against the complainant and me.

KF, the lawyer of the DVNLP abuser and alleged sex offender XY, was abused by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors as an "auxiliary association lawyer" to the extent that, as mentioned, he let<sup>376</sup> himself be called upon by the DVNLP "association lawyer" Harms on 23.09.2014 to act in the sense of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors and then actually promptly filed a criminal complaint against me on behalf of XY on 25.09.2014<sup>377</sup>. Whether RA KF subjectively felt abused as well is not known. Presumably he will have gladly accepted the assistance of the association lawyers Harms and Dr. jur. G. as generous and covert DVNLP sponsoring for his client XY. The fact that the DVNLP actively supported him in his efforts to succeed with the lawsuit brought against me by his client XY and thus to silence me and the complainant in the association was certainly more than a welcome assistance for his legal work for XY: after all, the complainant had reported KF as an accomplice of his client XY.

<sup>378</sup>Dr. jur. Jens Tomas was aware that the CF supported by the DVNLP is also the lawyer of Petra P., her husband KA, AK, DD and KA, i.e. the XY supporting conflict partners from the master course at that time - and thus also known to the association lawyer Harms and the "unofficially official" DVNLP association lawyer Dr. jur.<sup>379</sup>. Certainly Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Dr. jur. G. and RA Harms also knew that XY, SF, Petra P., KA, AK and DD had reported me in April 2014 because they<sup>380</sup> felt "*defamed*

---

<sup>376</sup> See again *DVNLP association lawyer collaborates with KF Dr. jur. G. (23.09.2014)*.

<sup>377</sup> See *criminal complaint XY Mails MST+DJT via law firm Dr. jur. G. to KF (25.09.2014)*. It is interesting that attorney KF in no way conceals this conspiratorial support of his client XY by the DVNLP: In his statement he names RA Harms by name and in his function as an association lawyer of the DVNLP. He also mentions, with somewhat childlike pride, Dr. jur. G., who offered to help his client XY (see *KF to LG "wir haben da was" (09.09.2014)*).

<sup>378</sup> See *Jens an Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)*.

<sup>379</sup> As the legal representative of one of the master participants XY, Petra P., AK, KA and DD, who were informed about the discontinuation of their criminal charges against me, the "*usual notification to RA Dr. jur. Public prosecutor's office informs DVNLP lawyer Dr. jur. G. (16.12.2014)*". An investigative committee to be set up in the DVNLP learns about the nature of the "special connection" to the Hamburg public prosecutor's office maintained by Dr. jur. G. by interviewing Dr. jur. Jens Tomas.

<sup>380</sup> Someone from this mailing list (Berend Hendriks, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. Jens Tomas, Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz, Thomas Pech, Frank Goeremar, A. von Hubatius

*and falsely accused*" as master participants by my e-mails<sup>381</sup> to the master group at that time and, in the case of SF, the pimping ex-husband of the complainant, by my e-mails to the DVNLP board.<sup>382</sup> The investigations against me resulting from these charges were stopped on 16 December 2014<sup>383</sup>, about which Dr. jur. G. was informed via his mafia-secret "Special Connection" to the public prosecutor's office<sup>384</sup> on the same day. The lawyer and the auxiliary lawyers of the DVNLP and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas of course preferred to keep this information to themselves<sup>385</sup>, instead of passing it on to us members, the conflict partners in the association - as a measure that could have made de-escalation and mediation possible.

XY and the XY support group consisting of Petra P., AK, DD, KA and GA, are all represented by the lawyer KF. KF has represented XY since the beginning of the "DVNLP case" and was denounced by the complainant as an accomplice of XY at an early stage. Behind the scenes, KF, presumably as a lawyer of the XY Group, has been working closely with the two DVNLP lawyers Harms and Dr. jur. G. of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors for quite some time, as<sup>386</sup> suggested by the mail of 23.09.2014<sup>387</sup>, as well as the KF briefs of 09.09.2014 and 18.09.2014 - Dr. jur. G. in the dual role of legal representative of two individual DVNLP members *and* obviously<sup>388</sup> also as legal representative of the DVNLP association.

In the background, KF distributed among its clients from the XY group important information, clearly originating from dubious sources and misappropriated for use as

---

and Henrik Andresen), to whom this mail (*Thies to the DVNLP committees (28.04.2014)*) was sent, has passed it on to SF - probably via XY - so that he too can report me. Finally, it would appear that the "DVNLP case" clearly concerned the increase in the pressure to be built up against the complainant and myself.

<sup>381</sup> See in *mails in the master distribution list 2013-2014*

<sup>382</sup> See *Thies to DVNLP (09.09.2013)* and *Thies to the DVNLP committees (28.04.2014)*

<sup>383</sup> See *notice of recruitment to P.P. (16.12.2014)*, *recruitment notice to XY via KF (16.12.2014)*, *recruitment notice to SF (16.12.2014)*, *recruitment notice AK (16.12.2014)* and *recruitment notice to DD and KA (16.12.2014)*.

<sup>384</sup> See again *public prosecutor's office informs DVNLP lawyer Dr. jur. G. (16.12.2014)*.

<sup>385</sup> A DVNLP investigative committee would certainly be interested to know whether Dr. jur. Jens Tomas passed this information, which is important for his exclusion strategy, on to his colleagues on the board of directors or whether he kept this information, which exonerates me and the complainant, for himself, especially the *notice of recruitment to XY via KF (16.12.2014)*, which endangers the basis of his exclusion strategy.

<sup>386</sup> See *KF to LG "wir haben da was" (09.09.2014)* and *KF to LG MST & P.P. (18.09.2014)*.

<sup>387</sup> See *DVNLP association lawyer collaborates with KF Dr. jur. G. (23.09.2014)*.

<sup>388</sup> How else this ominous "Special Connection" note of the Hamburg public prosecutor's office can be explained, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas should explain to a committee of inquiry or Dr. jur. *Public prosecutor's office informs DVNLP lawyer Dr. jur. G. (16.12.2014)*.

ammunition, against the complainant and me. Thus, he passed on <sup>389</sup>the manipulated LKA note<sup>390</sup> of 24 January 2014, which was extremely pathologizing for the complainant and for me, to Petra P., who then made a false statement to the police on 25 June 2014 based on this LKA note, which psychopathologized the complainant. This consisted essentially in the fact that Petra P. gave details that were untrue but that supported her psychopathologisation of the complainant in the judgment concerning the "€5,000 contractual penalty" (see also 1.2.1.4.4. *Lord of Lies*). Petra P. had probably been informed of the existence of this contractual penalty by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas<sup>391</sup>, her and XY's lawyer KF, as well as by SF itself. Whether the execution of the detail that the judge allegedly "*established that it was a case of joint action with Ms. ... [the complainant]*" is attributable to Petra P. herself, KF, XY or SF will probably remain the secret of the XY support group. What is clear, however, is that this false statement by "Petra P.", together with the other statements and notes in the files of the LKA and the Public Prosecutor's Office that psychopathologized the complainant, contributed to the officially approved and executed psychopathologization of<sup>392</sup> her and my person.

It can be assumed that the cooperation of DVNLP association lawyers Harms and Dr. jur. G., who is also the lawyer of Martina Schmidt-Tanger, with KF, the lawyer of XY, was coordinated by Dr. jur. It may therefore be assumed that this cooperation had the primary objective of preventing the public prosecutor's decision to hire XY from 16.12.2014 onwards from becoming known within the DVNLP and, above all, in the Hamburg "muzzle" court case XY./Stahl.

This would also be an abuse of justice, namely to the extent that the clear words of the Hamburg public prosecutor R. of 16 December 2014 in his notice of appointment<sup>393</sup> to XY are consistently kept under lock and key by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors and his four underground communicating and conspiring lawyers (Harms, Dr. jur. G., KF and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas): This assessment by the Hamburg public prosecutor's office, which in my view was correct, did not fit in well with the board's strategy of totally and radically excluding the complainant and

---

<sup>389</sup> See RA KF gives P. P. manipul. LKA note (24.08.2016). KF had previously "stuck" this note initiating the psychiatric treatment in the LKA to the judge in the court case XY./Stahl, see lawyer KF at LG HH (20.07.2016).

<sup>390</sup> See the note of 24.01.2014 and the entry of 27.09.2016 and under the 05.09.2016 the statement of the head of the Social Psychiatric Service Altona, all in the "*Dossier Täter-Opfer-Anber-Rkehr*".

<sup>391</sup> See the entry of 25.06.2014 in the "*Dossier Täter-Opfer-Repatriation*" and Jens an Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014).

<sup>392</sup> See "*Psychiatric opinion - a gift for the pedocriminal offender system and the DVNLP*", "*Holy cow*" - psychiatrist in court under species protection and "*Psychiatrisation. Not funny.*".

<sup>393</sup> See notice of recruitment to XY via KF (16.12.2014)

myself: The public prosecutor R. Dismisses XY's <sup>394</sup>criminal complaint against me that I accused him, against my better knowledge, of various crimes with a sexual background to the detriment of the complainant, on the grounds that, *with my complaint against XY, I have a legitimate interest in the DVNLP in the clarification of the complainant's allegations in the association and, above all, in the establishment of a conciliation meeting between XY and the complainant.*

Obviously, the DVNLP board of directors has never wanted and still does not want this notice of termination to be published in the association, since the Hamburg public prosecutor's office, together with the DVNLP board of directors, at the same time and very clearly rejects the accusation made against me by KF and the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors in unison that I have "adopted" the complainant's accusations against XY. The elaborate, and for the board of directors also risky, beamer-supported character assassination show of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors at the 2014 general meeting would have been in vain, as these and the other<sup>395</sup> important recruitment notices would have virtually exculpated me in the eyes of the members manipulated, deceived, lied to and hypnotized by the board of directors. This under-classification of important notifications could be described as a secondary abuse of justice by the DVNLP board. He primarily abused them by outsourcing the conflicts that should have been resolved within the association to the courts in the first place.

The second DVNLP association lawyer will be Dr. jur. G. from July 2015, at least as far as his *official* appearance as such in the "DVNLP case" is concerned. However, Dr. jur. G. had probably already been regarded as an unofficial, at least advisory DVNLP association lawyer before that, due to the fact that in May 2014, he was appointed as DVNLP association lawyer to the DVNLP members Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM by his fellow student, who was his client as a private person, the DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, for whose legal and judicial representation in the matter of the DVNLP-relevant action for an injunction against the complainant was mediated: "One can probably assume that Dr. jur. the DVNLP context of the Schmidt-Tanger and SM mandate was not only communicated in a general and overview-like manner by his fellow student Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, but that DVNLP's chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had informed his fellow student and private lawyer Dr. jur. also conveyed his own, personal opinion about the function that the mandate he had mediated for Dr. jur. G. by the two DVNLP members, his "NLP-professional"

---

<sup>394</sup> Also for this criminal complaint against me filed by KF under the acclamation of Verbandsanwalt Harms (see *DVNLP-Verbandsanwalt kollaboriert mit KF Dr. jur. G. (23.09.2014)*) (see *criminal complaint XY Mails MST+DJT via Kanzlei Dr. jur. G. to KF (25.09.2014)*), KF used my confidential e-mails addressed to Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, which KF received via the law firm of Dr. jur.

<sup>395</sup> See *notice of recruitment to P.P. (16.12.2014)*, *notice of recruitment to SF (16.12.2014)*, *notice of recruitment to DD and KA (16.12.2014)* and *notice of recruitment to AK (16.12.2014)*.

colleagues Schmidt-Tanger and SM, would fulfil for the DVNLP association from his private "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and NLP-professional" executive board viewpoint.

It can also probably be assumed that Dr. jur. G. and Dr. jur. Tomas have made joint strategic legal considerations which, among other things, also concerned the overlapping of the interests of DVNLP members Schmidt-Tanger and SM as private individuals with the interests of DVNLP - at least with the interests of the association from the perspective of the three "NLP-professional" colleagues Dr. jur. This presumably intensive cooperation with regard to the development of an effective legal strategy with regard to the mandates Schmidt-Tanger- and SM will not have been the first of the two Dres. and Studienkollegs G. and Jens Tomas, since Dr. jur. G., as the private lawyer of DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, has already been the winning legal representative in his private court proceedings.

The highest priority for the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Directors in the summer of 2014 and thereafter certainly had the goal of silencing the complainant and, as her supporter, also myself, with regard to her complaints submitted to the DVNLP - as the instruction of the official association lawyer Harms to his "unofficial employees" Dr. G and RA KF<sup>396</sup> more than makes clear.

Dr. jur. G. was aware of the connection between the Schmidt-Tanger and SM mandate and the "Causa DVNLP" conflicts surrounding XY and the complainant not only from briefings presumably held by his client and fellow student Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, but also because of the association's internal events to which he refers in his "Application for a temporary injunction" against the complainant of 5 June 2014.<sup>397</sup> Furthermore, he was aware of the association's internal confidential mail exchange "Stahl/Schmidt-Tanger/Dr. jur. Jens Tomas", which was embezzled by his client Martina Schmidt-Tanger as an official of the association and which he uses in his writings, as well as the mail of 30.05.2014<sup>398</sup> (not of 31.05. as he writes) sent by the DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas to me, his fellow board members and Martina Schmidt-Tanger. The DVNLP context of the Schmidt Tangier mandate is probably also made very clear to Dr. jur. G. by the fact that he was clearly and unambiguously called upon by the lawyer of the association Harms, which was passed on to him and KF of lawyer Harms by gross violation of the lawyer's duty of confidentiality and which was suppressed by the board of directors in the complainant's motion of 23 January 2006.09.2014 to the general meeting - a confidential document within the association - for further ("*There is still something to be done here.*"<sup>399</sup>) legal measures against this DVNLP member.

---

<sup>396</sup> See again DVNLP association lawyer collaborates with KF Dr. jur. G. (23.09.2014).

<sup>397</sup> See the application MST via Dr. jur. G. for EV (05.06.2014).

<sup>398</sup> See Jens an Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014).

<sup>399</sup> See again DVNLP association lawyer collaborates with KF Dr. jur. G. (23.09.2014).

Also his preferential treatment by the Hamburg public prosecutor's office ("*Usual notification to Dr. jur. G.*"<sup>400</sup>), which obviously kept him up to date with regard to the legal developments concerning the complainant and myself that were of interest to the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Managing Directors in an underground mafia-like manner, indicates that Dr. jur. G. was not only aware of the DVNLP context of his Schmidt-Tanger mandate, but that he had quite explicitly focused his efforts on it as an obviously covert parallel mandate.

Dr. jur. G. has his client Schmidt-Tanger - quite in keeping with his parallel mandate - take on the task of psychopathologising the complainant and write in his application for a temporary injunction of 5 June 2014 that the complainant "*obviously has health problems, in particular psychological problems.*" The DVNLP chief psychologist, who in her DVNLP events for the new DVNLP teacher trainers never tires of admonishing them to practice NLP not as "trait-" but - in good systemic tradition - as "State-Psychology"<sup>401</sup>, did not disengage herself, to openly psychopathologize a person, a graduate of her training, certified by her in her institute "NLP-professional" as a "Certified Systemic Coach", once again<sup>402</sup> - which is probably more likely to be done by followers of trait psychology than of state psychology Dr. jur. G. psychopathologised the complainant in striking agreement with the exclusionary policy of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors towards the complainant, which was based on the perfidious means of psychopathologisation.<sup>403</sup>

The subject of Dr. jur. G.'s application of 5 June 2014 is a list of ten statements made by the complainant<sup>404</sup>, compiled by Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. This list refers to the association's internal complaint against Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the complainant's police report against her. The complainant had indeed handed both documents over to the DVNLP board of directors for information with regard to the mediation that had been initiated, and both Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, embezzling these documents in the course of an abuse of confidence in the complainant, had

---

<sup>400</sup> See *public prosecutor's office informs DVNLP lawyer Dr. jur. G. (16.12.2014)*.

<sup>401</sup> In her corresponding DVNLP event Martina Schmidt-Tanger tells the new DVNLP teacher trainers and candidates - rightly so - that NLP is a psychological approach, which is more about "states", about situation and relationship dependent states, than about "traits", about fixed traits of character (see <https://portal.hogrefe.com/dorsch/state/> and <https://portal.hogrefe.com/dorsch/trait/>).

<sup>402</sup> See already in her mails of 30.05.2014 in "*Causa DVNLP*"- *Correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014*. My long-time colleague and student Martina Schmidt-Tanger seems to have forgotten that psychopathologizing is an even greater "systemic sin" than the occasional falling back into thinking in character traits instead of systems of relationship peculiarities.

<sup>403</sup> See the psychopathologization of the DVNLP "association lawyer" Harms and the DVNLP chief lawyer Dr. jur. Jens Tomas: *Jens to Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)* and *DVNLP lawyer to BF (30.05.2014)*

<sup>404</sup> See *application MST via Dr. jur. G. on EV (05.06.2014)*.

passed them on to Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. G. for judicial use against the complainant.

The ten items on this list were a number of quotations from her trainer and personal conflict partner Martina Schmidt-Tanger, recalled by the complainant, which refer to certain events mentioned by the complainant in her complaint and allegation against her, which had happened on the fringes of Martina Schmidt-Tanger's seminars and of which Martina Schmidt-Tanger would also have been aware.

Against the background of all the meticulously listed statements that the complainant was to refrain from making in future with regard to what Martina Schmidt-Tanger had known and said about the complainant's situation, it is striking that Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. did not also allow<sup>405</sup> the complainant to rely on the omission of another allegation made in her complaint against Martina Schmidt-Tanger, namely that Martina Schmidt-Tanger "*knew that the defendant ... [the complainant] had to purchase for her husband at the time and that his auxiliary pimp was also present at the seminar*" (see also 1.2.1.3.2.)

The fact that Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. G. did not include this statement by the complainant, which referred to a presumed knowledge of Martina Schmidt-Tanger, as eleventh and twelfth items in the extensive list of statements to be omitted, must probably be interpreted as meaning that Martina Schmidt-Tanger tacitly concedes, or at least does not reject it by way of a cease-and-desist letter, that she had been informed by the complainant that she had had to work for her husband and that her husband's auxiliary pimp was present at her seminar. If one were to assume that he had exercised a certain degree of legal diligence, this would mean that her lawyer Dr. jur. G. had also been aware of these facts. And due to his collegial cooperation with the DVNLP association lawyer Harms<sup>406</sup> and the presumed cooperation with his fellow student and private client Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, it can be assumed that DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his board of directors were also *officially* aware of this fact. Unofficially, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, and certainly through her also Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, had already been aware of these facts from talks with the complainant and myself since summer 2013 at the latest.

Despite these possible complications connected with his Schmidt-Tanger mandate, Dr. jur. G. then had himself instructed by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors on 09.07.2015 - this time as official DVNLP association lawyer - to take recourse to injunctive relief, with the threat of punishment, to no longer claim that "*in a master course of the DVNLP, a participant had offered her services as a whore*". If<sup>407</sup> one

---

<sup>405</sup> See page 5 of the *application MST via Dr. jur. G. on EV (05.06.2014)*.

<sup>406</sup> See *DVNLP association lawyer collaborates with KF Dr. jur. G. (23.09.2014)*.

<sup>407</sup> See *Association Attorney sub-demand. Abuse whore (09.07.2015)*. See my rather satirical blog post *The "You weren't a whore" bullying*.



assumes on the basis of his underground cooperation with the DVNLP association lawyer Harms and the DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, as well as on the basis of his "Special-Connection" to the public prosecutor's office in Hamburg,<sup>408</sup> that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, as well as his client Martina Schmidt-Tanger, who had been informed throughout about the legal circumstances and developments concerning the complainant and myself, can be assumed that it was clear to him that "*a participant [would have] offered her services as a whore*" is not really so far removed from "*had to purchase for her husband at the time, whose auxiliary pimp is said to have been in the seminar*".

It can therefore be assumed that Dr. jur. G. knew that he had been abused for the cover-up policy of the DVNLP board of directors. Finally, he will not have forgotten that, after the appeal by the association lawyer Harms to him and lawyer KF, "*There is probably still something to be done here [in the fight against the complainant]*", he passed on to KF via his office the confidential e-mails embezzled by Martina Schmidt-Tanger, which KF then misused for the criminal complaint of<sup>409</sup> his client XY against me. And Dr. jur. G. will also have been aware that, before the DVNLP granted the mandate, he had not only supported DVNLP members Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM, but also another DVNLP member, XY, in taking legal action against me and the complainant, two DVNLP members. All mandates ultimately in the context of the same case and with the same goal, which he now had to pursue as officially appointed DVNLP association lawyer: to silence me and the complainant. This means that Dr. jur. G. has clearly taken the risk that a genuine conflict of interests<sup>410</sup> has arisen from recognisably conflicting interests when taking over the DVNLP mandate - and thus ultimately a breach of fiduciary duty.

If, if he were to be asked about this, Dr. jur. G. would claim that he had completely forgotten the Schmidt-Tanger and SM mandate when he later took over the official DVNLP mandate (on July 9, 2015 with the demand for a cease-and-desist declaration from me and on August 26, 2016 with the trademark lawsuit<sup>411</sup> against me), a corresponding indication from him that he could not remember these "insignificant" details would probably be just credible. But since it was certainly clear to him that the takeover of the DVNLP mandate might not be entirely unproblematic due to the implicit conflicts of interest affecting the neutrality requirement applicable to the DVNLP board of directors, he certainly took a closer look at the respective

---

<sup>408</sup> See the entry "usual notification to Dr. G" *Public prosecutor's office informs DVNLP lawyer Dr. jur. G. (16.12.2014)*.

<sup>409</sup> See *criminal complaint XY Mails MST+DJT via law firm Dr. jur. G. to KF (25.09.2014)*.

<sup>410</sup> "*The Rechtsanwalt may not act if he has already advised or represented another party in the same case in the conflicting interest or if he has been professionally involved with this case in any other way within the meaning of §§ 45, 46 of the Federal Lawyers' Act*". (§ 3 para. 1 BORA, (see <https://dejure.org/gesetze/BORA/3.html>))

<sup>411</sup> See *DVNLP action trademark law (August 26, 2016)*.

particularities of the mandates "Schmidt-Tanger./Complainant" and "DVNLP./Stahl" and certainly discussed them again with his fellow student, private client and DVNLP client, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, as well as with his "associate lawyer" Harms. After all, his two colleagues from law school and university are also his cooperation partners in the background activities coordinated by the Mafia lawyers in the DVNLP's legal battle against the complainant and me, which seems to be a joint and deliberately synchronized effort of the DVNLP lawyers and, in part in personal union, the lawyers of individual DVNLP members. As Dr. jur. G. will also have known, the interests of the latter are not automatically identical with the interests of the association. They tend to be conflicting and even conflicting interests.

Dr. jur. G. could not have ruled out a conflict of interests due to the fact that he was not only mediated through the documents provided to him for his Schmidt-Tanger writings<sup>412</sup> by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger, who had misappropriated confidential documents within the Association, but also, through an exchange of e-mails<sup>413</sup> between him and myself, was aware that I, together with the complainant, was a relevant partner in the conflict with his client Martina Schmidt-Tanger - in other words, a member of the Association who, in his DVNLP complaint against her, made similar or identical accusations against her, as did the complainant, who was also a member of the Association. The possible mixing of the interests of Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the DVNLP, which is committed to neutrality, could have prompted Dr. jur. G. to refuse the mandate to act against me as a DVNLP lawyer - especially also because he had previously provided another DVNLP member, XY, with embezzled confidential documents<sup>414</sup> for use against me.

It will have been clear to Dr. jur. G. that the interests of XY, about whose legal battle against me Dr. jur. G. was well informed<sup>415</sup>, just as those of his client Martina Schmidt-Tanger are not necessarily identical with those of his new client DVNLP. After all, Dr. jur. G. had already previously fought against me, together with the association lawyer Harms and RA KF, on the side of another DVNLP member, XYs, with the same objective as that of his clients Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM and also that of XY, who he "auxiliary" supported by him. These sequential and partly well concealed simultaneous mandates, which were kept secret from DVNLP membership, clearly raise some questions of professional law.

---

<sup>412</sup> See *application MST via Dr. jur. G. to EV (05.06.2014)* and *criminal complaint MST via Dr. jur. G. against BF (28.07.2014)*.

<sup>413</sup> See our mail exchange on 09.07.2014 in "*Causa DVNLP*"- *Correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014*

<sup>414</sup> See *criminal complaint XY Mails MST+DJT via law firm Dr. jur. G. to KF (25.09.2014)*.

<sup>415</sup> See *DVNLP association lawyer collaborates with KF Dr. jur. G. (23.09.2014)* and *public prosecutor's office informs DVNLP lawyer Dr. jur. G. (16.12.2014)*

His threat, expressed as - in the meantime official - lawyer of the DVNLP against me by means of a cease-and-desist declaration on 09.07.2015 with the threat of punishment, that I should not claim that "*in a master course of the DVNLP a participant offered her services as a whore*"<sup>416</sup> is in clear contradiction to the statement made in his application for a temporary injunction of 06.05.2014,<sup>417</sup> according to which Martina Schmidt-Tanger "*is alleged to have known that ... [the complainant] had to purchase for her husband at the time and that his auxiliary pimp was also present at the seminar*". Dr. jur. G., together with his client Martina Schmidt-Tanger, therefore knew that in their DVNLP training seminar a participant was sitting together with her auxiliary pimp who had to purchase SF for him on behalf of her pimping husband. This means that Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas were not mediated by our semi-private and semi-official discussions and by all our correspondence<sup>418</sup> with them, but also as *association officials*, mediated by their meanwhile official "association lawyer" Dr. jur. G., were well informed about this fact - but they wanted to prevent this fact from becoming known to the association's public with the injunction demand of 09.07.2015 against me.<sup>419</sup>

Since the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board members and the - official and unofficial - lawyers of the association knew that the complainant had had to purchase SF for her husband and also that it had happened that one of his auxiliary pimps had also attended the DVNLP seminars she had attended, it should have been clear that the DVNLP board should actually have taken the content of her complaint against XY very seriously and dealt with this and her other complaints in the association. Finally, they give clear indications that both DN was in our coaching training and XY was in exactly this auxiliary pimp function in my DVNLP Master and in the Landsiedel DVNLP trainer training, i.e. the content of these complaints can be considered plausible.

On the one hand, where it was useful to her, she increased the plausibility of the content of the complainant's complaint against XY with the nature of her legal attack

---

<sup>416</sup> See *Association Attorney sub-demand. Abuse whore (09.07.2015)*. See also *The "You weren't a whore" bullying*.

<sup>417</sup> The passages made illegible in the *application MST via Dr. jur. G. for EV (05.06.2014)* are not really important for an understanding of the Schmidt-Tanger./ complainant conflict. They only concern the verbal level of the much more complex way in which Martina Schmidt-Tanger, according to the complainant's reports suppressed by the DVNLP, got entangled in her conflicts with participants in her coaching training who were reported by her. What is important in this document is only what I have not made unrecognizable.

<sup>418</sup> See "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014 and "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014.

<sup>419</sup> I have already shown to what extent the strategy of disposing of the complainant was based on the fact that word of this had of course got around unofficially in the association and - as stigmatisation goes - will continue to get around (see under 1.2.1.3 *Abuse by Martina Schmidt-Tanger*, 1.2.1.4 *Abuse by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas* and 1.2.2.1.2 *The perfidious double strategy of the board*).

against the complainant, thus increasing the credibility of the complainant with this attack, and on the other hand she tried to undermine her credibility with her dirty support of XY in court - congruent with her official psychopathologisations of the complainant in the DVNLP.

The injunction demand by Dr. jur. G. of 09.07.2015 in the name of the DVNLP naturally also contained the threat to have it enforced in court, which the DVNLP then did not have its association lawyer implement. It shows that the DVNLP board of directors had and probably still has the strategy to officially deny that it had<sup>420</sup> and has knowledge concerning the specific situation of the complainant in DVNLP seminars. He would probably have gladly taken the risk of having this injunction demand enforced in court, in order to be able to substantiate the "truth" officially proclaimed by the association, according to which nobody would have been abused in DVNLP seminars and nobody would have bought anything. In doing so, the dishonest DVNLP executive board would probably have gotten involved in deep contradictions, because XY did not and does not officially exist as a conflict partner of the complainant among the DVNLP members: He was officially spirited away from the association in front of the eyes of the general meeting which was deceived and lied to by the executive board.

But Dr. jur. G. did use this special knowledge. In fact, he did so together with Martina Schmidt-Tanger and perfidiously directed at the complainant: The fact that he and Martina Schmidt-Tanger decided not to include the relevant statements from the complaint and the complaint as eleventh and twelfth items in the list of statements to be omitted by the complainant in the above-mentioned application for a "temporary injunction"<sup>421</sup> of 5 June 2014 probably had a different strategic function. This statement by the complainant about the relevant knowledge of her trainer Martina Schmidt-Tanger regarding the complainant's situation of coercion at the time obviously served in this application exclusively to make her appear as an obscene and untrustworthy person in the eyes of the judge in these interim injunction proceedings. This kind of judicial use of the complainant's statement is an overly clear indication that Dr. jur. G., the other DVNLP lawyers acting in a mafia-like manner, the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas' advisor Martina Schmidt-Tanger knew that it happened that a woman had to purchase a woman in DVNLP seminars and also that one of her auxiliary pimps sat with her in the DVNLP seminar. Finally, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors also knew that the Hamburg DVNLP teacher trainer of her

---

<sup>420</sup> See from page 6 in the *DVNLP answers to questions of the SPIEGEL (13.04.2016)*.

<sup>421</sup> See *proposal MST via Dr. jur. G. on EV (05.06.2014)*.

practitioner, AL<sup>422</sup>, together with her husband SF, had been pimping with regard to the complainant both within and outside the DVNLP practitioner training.

In any case, the DVNLP had not appreciated the outing of the complainant, which was very fair to the opponents of the conflict, and had in some cases acquired her for their company as a freelancer or, also through sex, as a sponsor and employee. Instead of a bonus in this regard, the DVNLP has its auxiliary lawyer Dr. jur. G. here before the Local Court Altona, which is deciding this application, distribute a corresponding malus to the complainant. The effect of this was presumably calculated for the certainly planned bourgeois double standards of the court: Who would believe a woman who goes shopping for her husband in a psycho-method training seminar?! These are probably only very few, and then probably only those members who knew the background of the complainant's personal history and her life situation at the time. And Dr. jur. G. did not inform the court about the current awkward life situation and the biographical background of the complainant in his application of 5 June 2014 - obviously in agreement with Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur.

Martina Schmidt-Tanger was thus well aware that in her seminar "Provocative Coaching" with AZ, an employee of the complainant's company and her pimp ex-husband, and in the subsequent seminars of coaching training with DN, a participant from her Hamburg master group had sat with her as an auxiliary pimp. This emerges not only from the complainant's reports, which have been suppressed in the DVNLP, but also from conversations that the complainant, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and I, and sometimes also together with Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, have had. At the time when Dr. jur. Jens Tomas decided to let the "association lawyer" Harms isolate the complainant from all communication in the association with the help of the dirty trick of a falsification of a quotation, he wrote, just quite lawyer, on 30.05.2014, **"Purely as a precaution: The Board of Directors, all affected organs and the staff of the office do not hold any National Socialist ideas, do not judge people on the basis of their past history (as alleged prostitutes) [emphasis added by me] and respect the rights of victims."**<sup>423</sup> At this point in time, the strategy of the board of directors (see 1.2.2.3.1 *The DVNLP board of directors abuses the abused one*) was already clearly defined. It is as if he said: We know that she was forced to buy, but we let her run into the open knife of her outing in this regard!

The main task of the association lawyers was obviously *not* to help the DVNLP cultivate values such as humanity, helpfulness, solidarity, trust, fairness, minimizing violence and maximizing creativity in communication, recognizing and appreciating differences in individual life stories and forms, constantly striving for mindfulness

---

<sup>422</sup> AL has meanwhile left the DVNLP. He does not need to fear a committee of inquiry to be set up in the DVNLP - at most a court hearing if the LKA should decide to deal with the complainant's complaints.

<sup>423</sup> See *Jens an Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)*

and communicating at eye level. Ultimately and most importantly, they were clearly not concerned with maintaining communication, but rather with preventing communication and even violently excluding DVNLP members completely *from* communication, i.e. excommunication. The task of the officially and unofficially active DVNLP association lawyers was clearly more to help this association to "keep up appearances", i.e. to keep up the appearance that there is *no* need for learning and training among the DVNLP association members, which in the "DVNLP case" has meanwhile become clear to all those DVNLP members and external observers who have read my publications about the derailments in this association.

The Schmidt-Tanger mandate for Dr. jur. G. was therefore probably a covert DVNLP mandate. It is not known whether Dr. jur. G., in addition to his invoices to the DVNLP to Martina Schmidt-Tanger to SM, submitted separate invoices for his efforts. A DVNLP investigative committee could ask more detailed questions here.

It is also not known whether there have been discussions between Dr. jur. G., Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas about the fact that the information and documents used in the application for a "temporary injunction"<sup>424</sup> against the complainant, which are confidential within the association, should actually have remained within the DVNLP and should have been dealt with there by the Arbitration Commission, since it was an internal DVNLP complaint. And of course, it is not known whether there was any discussion whether, if the complainant had actually been a mentally ill<sup>425</sup> former trainee, as declared by the DVNLP Board of Directors within the association, but had been certified by Martina Schmidt-Tanger with a DVNLP diploma, the association would not have appointed its *official* DVNLP association lawyer (either the association lawyer Harms or a newly appointed Dr. jur, who would then have had to resign from his Schmidt-Tanger and SM mandates) to protect Martina Schmidt-Tanger as a DVNLP teacher trainer threatened by (alleged) false accusations.

The DVNLP would actually have to provide such a care and protection service to its members - especially since, as in the case of Martina Schmidt-Tanger, she was a renowned and deserving member of the association, who was also the senior member of the training and further training commission dealing with important matters of the complainant. A DVNLP association official is entitled to legal protection and assistance by the board of directors and the association's lawyer if he is exposed to attacks, e.g. false accusations, from petitioners due to his official duties, e.g. in the training and further training commission, which is equipped with some power for the solution of certification conflicts. This is certainly the case if, as

---

<sup>424</sup> See again *proposal MST via Dr. jur. G. for EV (05.06.2014)*.

<sup>425</sup> See my essay on the psychiatric attack against the complainant initiated by Martina Schmidt-Tanger in "*Psychiatric Report - a gift for the pedocriminal offender system and the DVNLP*". On this, see the *psychiatric report on the ability to commit guilt*, which was clearly produced with the help of the DVNLP, and on the effects on her and also on my life: "*Psychiatrisation. Not funny.*".

in the present case, the complainant is acting as a (stigmatised) petitioner who has been identified by the senior member of the Training and Further Training Commission as mentally disoriented and in need of treatment. However: Had the DVNLP board of directors so decided, the Schmidt Tanger mandate would have had to be given to an official DVNLP lawyer on behalf of the DVNLP, not, as was obviously the case, as an unofficially concealed mandate, but as an official mandate in the name of the DVNLP.

Officially, Dr. jur. G. as her lawyer, on the recommendation of his client, the private individual Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, represented the private individual Martina Schmidt-Tanger, i.e. neither the association official Martina Schmidt-Tanger, nor the DVNLP. Unofficially, however, Dr. jur. G. obviously represented the DVNLP - on behalf of his fellow student, the DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who as DVNLP leader had given himself the task of protecting his confidante, board advisor and "NLP-professional" employer, the DVNLP teaching trainer and DVNLP association representative Martina Schmidt-Tanger from the complainant, by declaring her, together with her and the other "causa DVNLP" perpetrators, to be psychologically impaired to crazy false accusers. Only Dr. jur. G. Martina Schmidt-Tanger did not officially protect her members from the special dangers of their profession in the same way as a professional association as a community of solidarity would protect its members, but unofficially and covertly by providing her lawyer colleague, who<sup>426</sup> officially represents a DVNLP member *as a private person*, with all necessary *confidential* information and documents *within the association*, so that the latter, officially as a private lawyer of a DVNLP member, silences another DVNLP member convicted within the association by the association's internal vigilante justice system and under an abusive use of justice.

Dr. jur. G. has obviously acted for the interests of the DVNLP - at least for what the "NLP professionals" Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger thought were the interests of the DVNLP. His commitment to these interests of the DVNLP, which have been distorted in a selfish manner by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, is particularly evident in the covert cooperation between the DVNLP association lawyer Harms and the two lawyers KF and Dr. jur. G., who represent a total of seven DVNLP members<sup>427</sup>, each as private individuals, against the complainant and myself: In each case with the clearly recognisable aim of "finally resolving" the conflicts between these six DVNLP members and one DVNLP member, the complainant, within the association with their exclusion from the DVNLP. It is as if the official, but in the background mafia-like acting association lawyer Harms had issued the slogan to his "unofficial legal staff" with the request to the likewise mafia-like acting DVNLP auxiliary association lawyers Dr. jur. G. and KF (*"There is probably*

---

<sup>426</sup> They were probably colleagues at the time when DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas was still lawyer Jens Tomaschewski.

<sup>427</sup> KF is the lawyer of XY, Petra P., AK, DK and KA and Dr. jur. G. is the lawyer of Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM.

*still something to be done then!"), "There are probably still a few covert operative measures to decompose the enemies of the DVNLP association people, the complainant and Thies Stahl, due!*

It also emerges from the criminal complaint (simultaneously criminal complaint) by Martina Schmidt-Tanger against the complainant formulated by Dr. jur. G. on 28 July 2014 that<sup>428</sup> Dr. jur. G. knew very well about the DVNLP context of this complaint by his client. This criminal complaint by a DVNLP office holder against the complainant as a DVNLP member, which she had to deal with in the exercise and as an obligation of her DVNLP office, was presumably only an additional disruptive measure<sup>429</sup> - but actually a superfluous one, since it was redundant: The senior member of the DVNLP's Training and Further Training Commission, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, was, after all, in no way in conflict with her temporary injunction of 5 May 2006.06.2014<sup>430</sup> and the fine of € 1,000.00 imposed on the complainant by the court after the infringement of this fine, the complainant was already sufficiently "successful" in silencing the complainant as a petitioner of the Training and Further Training Commission with regard to the accusations made against herself as a senior member of this Commission.

But this success has subjectively not yet given Martina Schmidt-Tanger the necessary security. It is to be assumed that she joined the other "causa DVNLP" perpetrators, also (with the mafia support of the DVNLP association lawyer Harms) supported by Dr. jur. G. and KF, in order to increase the chances that the criminalisation and psychopathologisation of the complainant within the association, which she and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas have already successfully carried out, can be turned into *actual* psychiatry by filing as many complaints as possible. Therefore, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, as the other parties to the conflict represented by KF had also previously done for the complainant at the beginning of April 2014, had Dr. jur. G. file criminal charges against the complainant on 28 July 2014. All of the complainants, including, of course, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, who is already experienced in this field, have defamatory psychopathologised the complainant in their reports. They all had the clearly discernible common interest in muzzling the complainant in order not to be prosecuted for their own ethical misconduct or alleged criminal offences as alleged by the complainant.

From her conversations with the complainant and myself between the beginning of 2012 and mid-2014, Martina Schmidt-Tanger has been certain that, with her injunction and her criminal complaint against the complainant, she is taking the side

---

<sup>428</sup> See *criminal complaint MST via Dr. jur. G. against BF (28.07.2014)*.

<sup>429</sup> In my article "*My beautiful delinquent German Verband!*" *DVNLP completes perpetrator-victim-reversion*" (pages 21-28), I describe 25 (!) decomposition measures used by the DVNLP board against the complainant and myself.

<sup>430</sup> Here again the *application MST via Dr. jur. G. for EV (05.06.2014)*.



of people about whose crimes she knew of which the complainant had accused her. These are essentially the complainant's conflict partners from her family (such as her ex-husband SF and her stepfather SP) and from her DVNLP-certified seminars (XY, Petra P., AK, DD, DK, GA, KA, SM and OA). Martina Schmidt-Tanger has decided, as the *tenth* complainant, to increase the pressure on the<sup>431</sup> complainant by increasing the number of charges against her, so that the employees of the LKA and the public prosecutor's office are given the impression that the complainant is a mentally ill woman. Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the other XY-supporters<sup>432</sup> managed to do this, given the fatal outcome of the complainant's disciplinary complaint<sup>433434</sup> and the consequences of the guilty party report resulting from the manipulated file.

The "association lawyer" Harms, who was misused by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, and his equally misused auxiliary association lawyers Dr. jur. G. and KF thus contributed to the escalation of the conflict by having DVNLP members file criminal charges against DVNLP members: Dr. jur. G. was responsible for Martina Schmidt-Tanger's criminal complaint against the complainant<sup>435</sup> - and this although the complainant had appealed to Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. G. by e-mail<sup>436</sup> on 3 June 2014 and I on 9 July 2014, still wanting to de-escalate, not to file a complaint with the court, but to accept a corresponding declaration of discontinuance by the complainant. But then the "association lawyer" Harms XY's lawyer KF obviously encouraged his client

---

<sup>431</sup> The police officer in charge of handling all these complaints against the complainant had previously heard from nine people that the complainant was "probably mentally disturbed". And now the DVNLP chief psychologist Martina Schmidt-Tanger came and let her lawyer psychopathologize the complainant "so correctly", so professionally seeming. (On 9 September 2013, Martina, the complainant and I sat in Café Uferlos in Münster and Martina Schmidt-Tanger said that she would "finish off" the complainant and me if we brought the abuse complaints in the DVNLP before the Arbitration Commission, i.e. if we made them public. She almost made it!)

<sup>432</sup> This group of criminally acting XY supporters, which, in addition to XY made invisible in the DVNLP, mainly consists of Petra P., AK, DD, DK, GA and KA, is - depending on the camp affiliation - either considered guilty (XY and KF perpetrators) or innocent (being poor wrongly accused). To the latter camp belongs the new director for press and public relations, Ralf Dannemeyer, who supports the XY supporters in his NLP Internet forum with a large and poisonous broad effect as a victim to be comforted by the complainant and myself (see 1.2.2.7.2 *Lies in Dannemeyer's "dirty lick forum"*).

<sup>433</sup> See, as the culmination of the chain of psychopathologizations in the LKA and the public prosecutor's office, which started from a manipulated note, the last document in the "*Dossier Täter-Victim-Repatriation*".

<sup>434</sup> See expert opinion Dr. Q.-S. (11.06.2017), "*Psychiatric opinion - a gift for the pedocriminal offender system and the DVNLP*", "*Psychiatisation. Not funny.*" and "*holy cow*" - *psychiatrist in court under species protection*.

<sup>435</sup> See *criminal complaint MST via Dr. jur. G. against BF* (28.07.2014).

<sup>436</sup> See in "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014

XY to file a criminal complaint against me, which also came about with the dirty assistance of Dr. jur.<sup>437</sup>

This involvement of lawyers by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas was in accordance with the association policy of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, which included, probably as a rarity in the world of associations and organizations, that on October 7, 2014 the DVNLP board of directors advised all DVNLP members to take legal action against me.<sup>438</sup>

Dr. jur. G. was certainly aware that his client Schmidt-Tanger was not, like his second DVNLP client SM, a simple DVNLP member, but the senior member of the DVNLP's Training and Further Education Commission (AFK). And his fellow student and private client Dr. jur. Jens Tomas will also have informed him of the fact that Martina Schmidt-Tanger, as a DVNLP functionary who was a senior member of this commission, had been involved in a conflict between member XY and the member complainant, in respect of which the Training and Further Training Commission - and thus also Martina Schmidt-Tanger to a large extent - had to take serious decisions with consequences for the complainant: Finally, it concerned the handling of allegedly falsified certificates in the case of XY (hours in adult education, supervision certificate) and RP (hours in adult education), which the complainant stated, under coercion and physically brutal coercion (cf. 2.3 "*Manifest Violence*" by DVNLP members) and also the complainant's claim for financial compensation from Stephan Landsiedel for the seminar sales fraud committed against her. It can be assumed that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger have passed on to Dr. jur. G. confidential information concerning precisely these conflicts, which should have been resolved within the association by Martina Schmidt-Tanger's training commission and the DVNLP arbitration commission.

At that time, Dr. jur. G. was *officially* only the private lawyer of DVNLP members Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM and not a DVNLP association lawyer<sup>439</sup>. However, it must be assumed that Dr. jur. G. was very well informed that he was suing a DVNLP member, the complainant, on behalf of Martina Schmidt-Tanger, for her rights vis-à-

---

<sup>437</sup> See DVNLP association lawyer collaborates with KF Dr. jur. G. (23.09.2014) and criminal complaint XY Mails MST+DJT via law firm Dr. jur. G. to KF (25.09.2014).

<sup>438</sup> See DVNLP Board to all members (07.10.2014). He had already done this before in the April-without-date statement (XX.04.2014).

<sup>439</sup> On 16.12.2014, notices of appointment were sent to DD, Petra P., AK, KA, SF via his lawyer, XY via KF. And: The "*usual notification* was sent to Dr. jur. who did not represent any of these persons as a lawyer, but was informed (not legitimized in the file, but obviously as an association lawyer of the DVNLP) (see *public prosecutor's office informs DVNLP lawyer Dr. jur. G.* (16.12.2014)) Thus, Dr. jur. G. seems to have represented *two DVNLP members* (the "NLP-professional" colleague of the DVNLP chairman and "NLP-professional" employee Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, SM, and the DVNLP functionary and "NLP-professional" owner, Martina Schmidt-Tanger) and *at the same time* the DVNLP association.

vis other DVNLP members (the complainant's conflicting parties with whom his client Martina Schmidt-Tanger was allied). The fact that Martina Schmidt-Tanger wanted to silence this DVNLP member as petitioner of the DVNLP committee, which was chaired by Martina Schmidt-Tanger, by means of a legal enforcement of private injunctive relief with regard to the association's internal complaints, which her committee had actually had to deal with, should have given Dr. jur.

And: As a legal representative of two DVNLP members against another DVNLP member, Dr. jur. G. - due to the considerable risk of violating the DVNLP's ethos of neutrality towards members in dispute with the board of directors - should never have been allowed to work for the DVNLP as an association at the same time, which was probably the case with the Hamburg public prosecutor's office<sup>440</sup> as early as 2014: From the latter, Dr. jur. G. received information for the DVNLP about the status of the investigations conducted against me. However, since he was not the legal representative of one of the XY supporters who reported me, it can be assumed that he requested and received this information on behalf of the DVNLP. It can also be assumed that he passed on this confidential information received from the public prosecutor's office via a dark, mafia-like channel to his fellow student and private client Dr. jur. Jens Tomas. Dr. jur. G. would then have represented clearly conflicting interests.

In the unlikely event that Dr. jur. G., despite his fellow student recommendation relationship with his client, DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, wants to claim not to have had any knowledge of Martina Schmidt-Tanger's DVNLP "functionary being", Martina Schmidt-Tanger must prove to be a client of Dr. jur., together with Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, allege that she did not sufficiently inform her lawyer of her special involvement in the DVNLP and the associated breaches of her official duties - especially with regard to her office as a senior member of the Training and Further Training Commission, which dealt with essential concerns of the complainant. Martina Schmidt-Tanger would then have hidden from Dr. jur. G., together with her "NLP-professional" employee Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who is closely related to Dr. jur. G., the fact that she had Dr. jur. G. file a lawsuit against a DVNLP member<sup>441</sup> with whom, as petitioner, she had to deal "ex officio" as a member of the training and further training commission.

Martina Schmidt-Tanger should have declared herself to be biased or had herself declared biased by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Directors at the moment she filed her complaint against the complainant and should have temporarily resigned from her office in the Education and Training Commission of the DVNLP - at least as far as the causae complainant against XY, RP and Stephan Landsiedel are concerned. This was precisely what the complainant and I had requested.

---

<sup>440</sup> See *public prosecutor's office informs DVNLP lawyer Dr. jur. G. (16.12.2014)*.

<sup>441</sup> See both *application MST via Dr. jur. G. against EV (05.06.2014)* and *criminal complaint MST via Dr. jur. G. against BF (28.07.2014)*.

Also Dr. jur. Jens Tomas must at this point be reproached for a cardinal abuse of his office as DVNLP chairman: It would have been his duty to temporarily suspend his former life partner and current "NLP-professional" head and advisor Martina Schmidt-Tanger from her office as a member of the Training and Further Education Commission due to bias - whereby it must be clearly stated that Martina Schmidt-Tanger has in any case clearly violated the duties concerning her office, regardless of whether she had informed Dr. jur. G. about the particularities of her mandate concerning her office or not.

If Dr. jur. G. insists in the case of an investigation of the "DVNLP case" that he did not know about the involvement of his client Martina Schmidt-Tanger in a DVNLP office, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas must be asked whether he knew that his fellow student and private lawyer Dr. jur. G. was not aware of the DVNLP context of the Schmidt-Tanger mandate. Even if Dr. jur. Jens Tomas wanted to or could claim to have assumed that Dr. jur. G. had no such knowledge, he would have had to either prevent the senior member of the DVNLP's Training and Further Education Commission from suing a member of the association whose claims he is dealing with "ex officio", or he would have had to ensure that the commissioner Schmidt-Tanger temporarily resigned from his office, as we requested. For a DVNLP office holder can hardly deal with a DVNLP member as petitioner and at the same time sue this member as a private person outside the office. But that is exactly what Martina Schmidt-Tanger did - obviously as part or element of a private war against the complainant, by whom she, as her DVNLP trainer, was accused of extremely unethical and in various ways unprofessionally abusive behaviour (see 1.2.1.3. *Abuse by Martina Schmidt-Tanger*).

It can be assumed that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas not only generously overlooked these subtleties of role confusions and conflicts of interest in the case of his fellow student and personal legal advisor Dr. jur. G., but also, together with him, the association lawyer Harms and his advisor and close friend Martina Schmidt-Tanger, deliberately designed and also set up the system. As chairman of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, which has already become entangled in the strategy of exclusion and disposal of the complainant, he will probably have considered it unavoidable to ask his study buddy Dr. jur. G. to put himself in danger by taking professionally risky legal steps himself by first taking over the Schmidt-Tanger mandate against the complainant in 2014 and then the DVNLP mandate against me<sup>442</sup> in 2015. After all, the complainant in the DVNLP had to be silenced with regard to the content of her internal complaint - and so had I, as her supporter. Probably also for this reason, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas tried in 2016 to "kaputzuklage"<sup>443</sup> me with the help of a trademark lawsuit (see 1.2.1.4.11. *Kaputt-Kläger*).

---

<sup>442</sup> See *Association Attorney sub-demand. Abuse whore (09.07.2015)*.

<sup>443</sup> See *DVNLP action trademark law (August 26, 2016)* and *Erklg. Junfermann trademark lawsuit (August 29, 2016)*.

However, the lawyer Dr. jur. Jens Tomas must have known all this and also that in the "DVNLP case" there was a conflict of interests, a discrepancy of interests, and probably also a concrete conflict of interests: The interest of an association member in conflict with another association member can never be identical with the interest of the association, which he represents towards *all* his members.

In 2014, the discrepancy of interests consisted in the simultaneous official mandate by two DVNLP members and the obviously covert DVNLP mandate by the DVNLP chairman. In 2014/2015, this consisted in the form of a sequential mandate by DVNLP member Martina Schmidt-Tanger against the complainant (and also myself, who was clearly recognisable to Dr. G. as a conflict partner of his client Martina Schmidt-Tanger together with the complainant) and then by the DVNLP, which mandated Dr. G. in almost the same case (both cases<sup>444</sup> concerned the question of whether a woman appeared as a whore in DVNLP seminars).

As a result of the action for an injunction brought by Martina Schmidt-Tanger against the complainant, which was decided by default, what Martina Schmidt-Tanger said to the complainant after her reports suppressed by the DVNLP in the context of her seminar "Provocative Coaching" and the first seminars she conducted alone cannot be reproduced here. The muzzle legally imposed on her by the DVNLP through an abusive claim by lawyers and courts does not allow this, just as it did not allow the complainant to exercise her right of membership in the association and to present her complaints to the competent bodies "Training and Further Training Commission", "Conciliation Commission" and "General Assembly". Only in a secure environment of a DVNLP investigative committee or a court hearing, protected by professional secrecy, could the complainant still express her criticism of Martina Schmidt-Tanger's actions. At present, the complainant is not able to name what she accuses in detail, according to her reports suppressed in the DVNLP, her DVNLP trainer Martina Schmidt-Tanger, her "NLP-professional" colleague, the DVNLP teacher trainer SM and the participant DN of the coaching training - nor is it possible to name what she accuses in detail, according to her reports suppressed in the DVNLP, her DVNLP trainer Martina Schmidt-Tanger, her "NLP-professional" colleague, the DVNLP teacher trainer SM and the participant DN of the coaching training - nor is it possible to name what she accuses DVNLP teacher trainer XY of

The concrete accusations against Martina Schmidt-Tanger - and this much may be said here despite injunctions by the court - relate less to something that Martina Schmidt-Tanger did, but rather to something that she did *not* do, but which, according to the ethical understanding of the complainant - and also mine - should have been done by all means. Above all, the complainant's allegations relate to some of the things that Martina Schmidt-Tanger is said to have said in her seminar "Provocative Coaching" and in the first seminars of the coaching training and in the

---

<sup>444</sup> See application MST via Dr. G. for EV (05.06.2014) and association lawyer sub-claim. Abuse whore (09.07.2015).

respective seminar group and in two-way contact with the complainant - both used in a targeted, "therapeutic-provocative" way and probably "just slipped out".

It is to be assumed that the court injunction filed with the help of her "NLP-professional" employee Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his fellow student and private lawyer Dr. jur. G., which was in breach of the statutes and abused by the authorities, was intended to protect the "NLP-professional" owner Martina Schmidt-Tanger - e.g. from the following consequences

- her verbal interactions with the complainant, which are difficult to distinguish both legally and psychologically as reasonable interventions of a "Provocative Coaching"<sup>445</sup> training seminar from more personal-emotional interactions of a training leader personally involved with her participant,
- of the error of conduct committed by the complainant, together with myself, to the detriment of the complainant as the responsible head of the coaching training (see above under *1.2.1.3. Misuse by Martina Schmidt-Tanger*)
- an impairment of the reputation of her institute "NLP-professional" by the public disclosure of this management error and her obviously failed demo with the complainant in her "Provo" seminar
- the public disclosure of the complainant's allegations against her 'NLP-professional' employee SM, and
- of the publication of certain additional allegations concerning Martina Schmidt-Tanger's conduct, described by the complainant as unethical, which the complainant has so far not fully and comprehensively named either in her internal DVNLP complaint or in her complaint against Martina Schmidt-Tanger.

The "private" claim of the lawyer Dr. jur. G. by Martina Schmidt-Tanger - if it was one privately financed by her and not one financed by DVNLP at all - is not only a gross abuse of authority by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, but also one of that of the senior member of the Training and Further Education Commission, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, herself: She should, of course, have informed her colleagues in the Training Committee that, as a member of this DVNLP body, she would unfortunately have to step down as a member of the DVNLP body with regard to its handling of the complainant's complaint concerning XY, RP and Stephan Landsiedel on the grounds of bias towards the complainant. She should have let her

---

<sup>445</sup> This was the title of the first coaching training seminar that Martina Schmidt-Tanger conducted without me, which I joined as co-trainer. Provocative coaching is a procedure based on the "Provocative Therapy" founded by Frank Farelly. It is a major challenge for the therapist to leave the provocative utterances within an emotionally controlled framework and to prevent them from mixing with interactions that are more influenced by his own personal emotional needs.

AfK colleagues decide on all matters concerning the complainant's claims without her or should have ensured that a substitute member of the Training Committee was elected.

Martina Schmidt-Tanger then no longer needed all of this, because Dr. jur. Jens Tomas then probably prepared with her the strategy of the board of directors to exclude the complainant without further ado and thus to make the referral of her complaints to the Training and Further Training Commission and the Conciliation Committee obsolete.

It is quite obvious that Martina Schmidt-Tanger's bias, which has already become clear due to her legal dispute with the complainant - although this was concealed in the DVNLP - has not been noticed or somehow taken into account by the Training and Further Training Commission, the board of directors or the board of trustees of the DVNLP. This could not be perceived at all, since the DVNLP Board of Directors did *not* instruct *any of the* bodies of the association that it had obviously lied to to refer to the complainant's justified applications, claims or concerns.

#### 1.2.2.2.2 Misuse of courts

Inseparably linked to the abusive use of lawyers is the abusive use of courts. The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors abused the courts primarily as provider of muzzles to be worn inside and outside of the DVNLP and as execution instance of an economic destructive blow against me with the help of a trademark lawsuit clearly designed as a "kaput lawsuit".

An abuse of justice is also given by the consistent ignoring of judicial decisions by the DVNLP board.

#### 1.2.2.2.2.1 Muzzle provider for the complainant

The Managing Board of "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" did not, as requested by the complainant, forward her corresponding complaints to the Arbitration Commission for referral, but instead passed them on directly to SM and Martina Schmidt-Tanger by way of private contact in abuse of office. These two "NLP-professional" colleagues of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas passed these documents, which were confidential within the association, on to Dr. jur. G., who then immediately filed injunctive relief against the DVNLP complainant on behalf of Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM.

The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Directors has thus allowed and encouraged the Altona District Court to be misused by Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM, supported by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, essentially for the suppression and suppression of DVNLP-internal complaints.

On 09.07.2014 I made a last attempt in an email<sup>446</sup> to Martina Schmidt-Tanger, SM and Dr. jur. G. to prevent her, SM, Dr. jur. G. and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas from

---

<sup>446</sup> See under the 09.07.2014 in "*Causa DVNLP*" - Correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014

outsourcing the handling of the DVNLP-internal complaint to a court and to bring the conflicts back to the level of a mediation in the association.

I had perhaps not advised the complainant well enough to ensure that, despite the trauma flashbacks that were to be expected in view of the court hearing, as<sup>447</sup>certified by her doctor, she would in any event attend the hearing and not risk a default judgment. At that time, we assumed that the complainant and I would in any case be heard in the general meeting and could not expect to be removed by a DVNLP-committed Schutz-Staffel in violation of the statutes and by force. For this reason, the complainant and her lawyer have not appealed against the default judgments - according to which she is no longer allowed to repeat in public the statements of Martina Schmidt-Tanger to which she objected, i.e. she was also no longer able to address other members and the participants of her coaching training group.<sup>448</sup>

If one reads the statements which the complainant is to refrain from making in public (and not before a committee of inquiry or in court) in future (the corresponding judgment by default is legally binding) and if one is also familiar with Frank Farelly's approach to Provocative Therapy and the way Martina Schmidt-Tanger uses this approach in her "Provocative Coaching", it becomes clear that the statements by Martina Schmidt-Tanger reported by the complainant probably almost all originate from the context of her seminar "Provocative Coaching". It seems as if Martina Schmidt-Tanger had done a demo session with the complainant in her seminar "Provocative Coaching" in which she methodically failed - which can happen, I have also witnessed sessions with Frank Farelly where he hardened due to transference-countertransference dynamics and the session in question then became toxic for the client and unpleasant for the audience.

This interesting session is lost for learning about the connection between NLP, Provocative Therapy and constellation work, because it can no longer be discussed or supervised due to the missing report of the complainant, i.e. due to the outsourcing of the internal association complaint, which among other things also contains a criticism of this session, to a court hearing that is at most unsuitable for this type of complaint.

---

<sup>447</sup> See *doctor's certificate ... f. Court (02.07.2014)*.

<sup>448</sup> We therefore wanted the general meeting to set up a committee of inquiry to investigate all the much more serious misconduct of Martina Schmidt-Tanger, even those not yet mentioned in the complaint and the denunciation. Furthermore, in the months prior to the 2014 general meeting, the complainant and I were firmly convinced that the DVNLP would be able to bring about a mediation in which the complainant would be able to speak freely. I could not have expected that the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors would succeed in sweeping under the carpet the complaints against XY, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM, which are so relevant for the NLP and the handling of ethical questions in the DVNLP, by turning the general meeting into a lying fascist-totalitarian show tribunal.



The reports of the complainant suppressed in the DVNLP suggest that terms and words from the overall context of prostitution and sexuality were used in this session, thereby crossing the fine line that is decisive for this therapeutic approach, which separates "clean", therapeutically valuable provocations from toxic-looking insults and insults that result from a transmission-countertransmission dynamic that has got out of control rather than being consciously used therapeutic interventions. If this hypothesis is correct, Martina Schmidt-Tanger was not able to end the demo session in front of the group due to an emotional involvement or even entanglement with the complainant, without leaving remnants in her relationship that were emotionally difficult to handle for both sides.

To seek a court to deal with this situation is an abusive use of the justice system. These difficult issues and interpersonal peculiarities, which belong to professional fields whose interactions are more likely to be attributed to psychotherapy than to other interpersonal relationship areas in private or business life, are not an appropriate subject for court proceedings in which the parties involved would otherwise be more likely to deal with cases of insult or defamation from areas in which the peculiarities of psychotherapeutic or coaching sessions are more likely not to be an issue.

The issues at stake in the conflict between Martina Schmidt-Tanger and her trainee for coaching, the complainant, should and should have been the subject, initially and exclusively, of an internal conciliation or mediation procedure within the Association, which should have been carried out by professionals professionally dealing with this type of issue or with the assistance of such persons who had been trained and educated in psychological and psychotherapeutic matters. The graduate psychologist Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who is not only legally but also psychologically well-trained, have certainly known this. A mediation should have taken place in the DVNLP, conducted and/or accompanied by people who are familiar with terms such as "provocative therapy", "provocative coaching", "transference-countertransference dynamics", "accidental constellations" and similar psychotherapy-related technical terms.

#### 1.2.2.2.2 Muzzle provider for the volunteer

The DVNLP has also abused the Hamburg Regional Court for the suppression and suppression of DVNLP-internal complaints: Instead of making public in the association the notice of discontinuance of<sup>449</sup> the investigations concerning the criminal complaint of XY against me<sup>450</sup>, which legally clarifies my position very clearly, the "Dr. Jens Tomas" Board of Management had kept this notification under lock and key within the DVNLP - after he had received it via his Mafia-like public prosecutor's office connection of Dr. G., which excluded the complainant, myself and

---

<sup>449</sup> Not having "adopted" the complainant's allegations, but having demanded that she be treated correctly in the association (see *letter of engagement to XY via KF (16 December 2014)*).

<sup>450</sup> See *criminal complaint XY Mails MST+DJT via law firm Dr. jur. G. to KF (25.09.2014)*.

our lawyers. This also applies to the rejection of the complaint of the CF against this recruitment by the General State Authority v. S. on 22 June 2015.<sup>451</sup>

In his letter of appointment<sup>452</sup> to KF for his client XY, the Senior Public Prosecutor R. stated: *"In his statement of case, the accused [Thies Stahl] rejects the accusations. He submits that at no time did he claim against third parties as a fact that your client [XY] had violated the witness ... [the complainant]. In his email to ... [the members of the executive committee, training commission and arbitration commission] in the DVNLP of 28.04.2014,<sup>453</sup> the accused expressed the view that he would leave the conviction and the determination of the facts regarding the accusations made against your client to the court. The accused was primarily concerned with clarifying the incidents. The attempt to set up a mediation meeting between your client and the witness ... [complainant], as can be seen from the email of 09.09.2013<sup>454</sup> to the DVNLP board, confirms that this intention to clarify the matter actually existed.*

Also very clearly in this sense, the State Secretary General v. S. expressed himself on 22 June 2015 in his final rejection of the complaint filed by KF against the termination of the proceedings against me. He submitted that it was clear from the context of my *"statements in question"* that it was not I who had described XY as a pimp, but that I had *"reproduced relevant statements"*<sup>455</sup>

The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Directors presumably received these documents and the notices of engagement to the other<sup>456</sup>XY supporters who had also reported me via the then unofficially official DVNLP association lawyer Dr. jur. G. and his dark channel<sup>457</sup> to the Hamburg public prosecutor's office and did not disclose them to the Arbitration Commission, to me and the complainant, nor to the other association members who had been lied to by him.

With the notices of appointment, the judiciary, into which the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors abusively delegated the clarification of the association's internal conflicts, clearly reports that it was my duty and my right to demand clarification of the conflicts in the DVNLP and that the Arbitration Commission be informed about

---

<sup>451</sup> See *GStA v. S. an KF (22.06.2015)*.

<sup>452</sup> See *notice of recruitment to XY via KF (16.12.2014)*

<sup>453</sup> See *Thies to the DVNLP committees (28.04.2014)*

<sup>454</sup> See *Thies to DVNLP (09.09.2013)*.

<sup>455</sup> See *GStA v. S. an KF (22.06.2015)*.

<sup>456</sup> See *notice of recruitment to P.P. (16.12.2014), recruitment notice to XY via KF (16.12.2014), recruitment notice to SF (16.12.2014), recruitment notice AK (16.12.2014) and recruitment notice to DD and KA (16.12.2014)*.

<sup>457</sup> See *public prosecutor's office informs DVNLP lawyer Dr. jur. G. (16.12.2014)*.

them and the corresponding complaints: The complainant had submitted a claim for damages and this should have been investigated within the association, as should the damage I complained about.

My complaint should therefore have been dealt with in the Association in any event, irrespective of whether or not the complainant's justiciable allegations against XY, in addition to those concerning XY's manifestly unethical behaviour, could have been proved by her. And this should have been done at the latest after the notices of appointment of<sup>458</sup> the senior public prosecutor R., which were presented to the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors in December 2014, since I was still a member of the association after the 2014 general meeting and the arbitration commission still had the last word to say about my remaining in the DVNLP. Of course - who would have thought it - the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Managing Directors did not hand over the present notices of engagement to the Arbitration Committee, which at the turn of the year 2014/15 was preparing to arbitrate the conflict between the Board of Managing Directors and Stahl that had arisen due to the exclusion proceedings initiated by the Board of Managing Directors against the complainant and myself.

With regard to the conflict between DVNLP member XY and the complainant, instead of (pre-)sentencing the complainant unlawfully and by means of a presumptuous vigilante justice, the Executive Committee should have imposed a news blackout, stating that it would remain in place until the relevant court decisions had been made. As regards the complainant, the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors should have said to her: "At the moment, the conciliation committee is not working because of the justiciable parts of your complaint. Please wait and see". Instead, the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors psychopathologized and criminalized the complainant and eliminated her by means of a complete perpetrator-victim-reversion process.<sup>459</sup>

The complainant and I first saw these important documents at the beginning of January 2019, when my lawyer was offered access to the file on the basis of a new complaint by Petra P. against the complainant and me. Had we been able to present them in case XY./Stahl, the judge, obviously impressed by the high-ranking DVNLP character witnesses and also by the full-blown lawyer GA who was charged as an accomplice, would probably not have agreed with their unison statement that I had "adopted" the complainant's accusations against XY. He could have recognized that he had been hoodwinked by the witness GA and the DVNLP representatives Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Stephan Landsiedel, and he could perhaps have recognized and appreciated the fact that my sole concern was to ensure that

---

<sup>458</sup> See *notice of recruitment to P.P. (16.12.2014), recruitment notice to XY via KF (16.12.2014), recruitment notice to SF (16.12.2014), recruitment notice AK (16.12.2014) and recruitment notice to DD and KA (16.12.2014).*

<sup>459</sup> See the Hamburg Regional Court in the *"Nazi Analogies" ruling (09.03.2017)*, on page 8/9.

the DVNLP Arbitration Commission dealt appropriately with the allegations - mine against XY and those of the complainant against XY and other DVNLP members.

It was the DVNLP-supported aim of XY and his lawyer KF, who had been denounced by the complainant together with him as an accomplice, that the Hamburg Regional Court sentence me to cease and desist (I would have made the complainant's accusations my own). The settlement proposed by the judge, which would have included a simple cease-and-desist declaration by me (I had not said anything in public about the contents of the complaint anyway, and did not intend to do so in the future) was rejected by XY and his lawyer KF, who was also denounced. XY and KF, together with Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, had a vital interest in obtaining an injunction against me: With an administrative fine of € 250,000.00, I am now not allowed to quote any of the accusations made by the complainant against XY, except in court or in the carefully silence-protected framework of<sup>460</sup> a committee of inquiry - which virtually ruled out any further support for the complainant by me in the association.

The DVNLP clearly had the same goal as the DVNLP abuser and alleged DVNLP sex offender XY and his lawyer buddy KF who was reported together with him. The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors allied itself with XY and KF and misused the court proceedings XY/Steel to muzzle me regarding the complainant's complaints in the association. He clearly did not want me to continue to work for the preservation of the complainant's membership and human rights and therefore, at an early stage, he himself *completely* accepted XY's accusation that I had "adopted" the complainant's accusations against him.

Therefore, the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors had the DVNLP association lawyer Harms XY and KF, via the law firm of Dr. jur. G., supplied with documents that were confidential to the association and that KF could use in court proceedings XY/Steel in order to "prove" with their help<sup>461</sup> that I had taken over the complainant's allegations and made them my own (see 1.2.2.2.1. *Abuse of lawyers and association lawyers*).

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas presumably instructed the DVNLP association lawyer, RA Harms, to request his lawyer colleagues Dr. jur. G. and KF, representing DVNLP members XY and Schmidt-Tanger, to make further efforts to take legal action against the

---

<sup>460</sup> The unprofessionally acting conciliation commission, which was overtaxed with itself, probably in the spirit of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, not only did not want to speak to the complainant, but also refused to draw up and sign a declaration of confidentiality (see 1.2.2.8.2 *Abuse of the conciliation commission*).

<sup>461</sup> KF and Dr. jur. G. obviously reacted to the call of the DVNLP association lawyer Harms by extending the criminal complaint (see *criminal complaint XY MST+DJT via the law firm Dr. jur. G. to KF (25.09.2014)*).

complainant - which RA Harms then <sup>462</sup>did with *"There is probably still something to be done here.* KF reacted promptly to the corresponding information from the association's attorney, with explicit reference to the mail from the association's attorney Harms dated 23.09.2014: On 25.09.2014, KF presented *additions to*<sup>463</sup> his client XY's *criminal complaint* of 30.07.2014 against me at the Hamburg public prosecutor's office (to which the above-mentioned notice of appointment<sup>464</sup> refers).

The basis for this addition to the criminal complaint were the five confidential e-mails within the association which I addressed to Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and which Martina Schmidt-Tanger forwarded to KF via the law firm of Dr. jur. G. on 01.09.2014 (from 13.06.2013, 20.06.2013, 24.08.2013, 24.10.2013, 10.01.2014)<sup>465</sup>, which KF also submitted to the judge on 18.09.2014 in court proceedings XY/Steel as "evidence" that I had "adopted" the complainant's accusations against XY (see *1.2.1.3. Abuse by Martina Schmidt-Tanger*).

A further aspect of the abuse of the XY./Stahl court case by DVNLP is that the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors apparently approved of the fact that DVNLP association officials and NLP celebrities Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Stephan Landsiedel (see *1.2.1.2. Abuse by Cora Besser-Siegmund*, *1.2.1.5. Abuse by Stephan Landsiedel* and *1.2.1.4. abuse by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas*) and Leo Buchholz ( *1.2.1.7. abuse by Leo Buchholz*), as well as DVNLP members AK, DK, and KA cooperated in misleading the Hamburg judge in trial XY./Stahl as DVNLP officials and celebrities, i.e. as "honourable and respectable" character witnesses, and in untying a bear.

The judge in trial XY/Steel had completely lost sight of the comments of the Hamburg public prosecutor R. on the notice of recruitment to XY<sup>466</sup>, probably in view of these many "clean" DVNLP character witnesses. And to the fact that GA, probably

---

<sup>462</sup> This reads as if DVNLP association lawyer Harms were to say to the complainant lawyers KF and Dr. jur. G., who are collaborating with him, *"The complainant and Thies Stahl still do not have enough. Here, another operative measure ["GDR-MfS" analogy: decomposition] is probably necessary.* For this purpose, the complainant had to be eliminated as a witness in the court proceedings XY/Steel, which the Team XY, KF, DVNLP Board and Association Attorney in cooperation with the perpetrators of the background perpetrator system then succeeded in doing (cf. the letter of the Public Prosecutor Ms. T. of 1 June 2016 and that of the First Senior Public Prosecutor Ms. O. of 28 August 2018 in the *"Dossier Täter-Opfer-Repatriation"*. See also the *psychiatric report on criminal liability* and *"Psychiatric report - a gift for the pedocriminal offender system and the DVNLP"*, *"Psychiatisierung. Not funny."*, as well as *"Holy cow" - psychiatrist in court under species protection*)

<sup>463</sup> See *criminal complaint XY Mails MST+DJT via law firm Dr. jur. G. to KF (25.09.2014)*. It concerned my Facebook reference to the complainant's *"S.O.S. Emergency Defence" publication*.

<sup>464</sup> See *notice of recruitment to XY via KF (16.12.2014)*

<sup>465</sup> See under the respective date in *"Causa DVNLP"- Correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014*

<sup>466</sup> See *notice of recruitment to XY via KF (16.12.2014)*

the most important witness for him, apart from Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, is<sup>467</sup> also one of the complainant's intensive conflict partners from the Master, my lawyer and I did not point out in these proceedings as clearly as we certainly would have done, due to the lack of legally binding evidence against him, XY and the other Master conflict partners of the complainant, if we had known that GA was one of those XY supporters from the Master who had reported the complainant at the beginning of April 2014.<sup>468</sup> The complainant had reported GA for alleged violent violations of sexual self-determination. If the judge had been able to include the mutual advertisement reimbursements of GA and the complainant, he would probably have been less impressed by the witness GA - GA, himself a lawyer, knew what was important for an exemplary witness statement.

And since we also only learned after the second inspection of the files<sup>469</sup> in January 2019 that Martina Schmidt-Tanger had filed a criminal complaint and criminal charges against the complainant on 28 July 2014 with the help of the lawyer of the auxiliary association Dr. jur. G. and the confidential and collegial-friendship e-mails she had used within the association, we were able to review the statements of fact made in court proceedings XY./Stahl by the DVNLP as a clean character witness Martina Schmidt-Tanger as an abuser of her DVNLP office who is involved with the complainant. The judge was obviously convinced of the "integrity" of the character witnesses Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas as well as of the "integrity" of the character witnesses Cora Besser-Siegmunds, Stephan Landsiedels and the alleged XY-employee GA.

#### 1.2.2.2.3 Abuse by actions for damages

The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors had filed a trademark lawsuit<sup>471</sup> against me on 26.08.2016 via the - in the meantime also official - association lawyer Dr. jur. This abuse of the Hamburg Regional Court, which has already begun and which can

---

<sup>467</sup> The GA, himself a lawyer and a tax official, who had been denounced by the complainant as an accused of having taken a master's course, knew exactly how to appear in court and what he had to say. The judge found his report on this to be downright laudably credible: In the summer of 2014, in a conversation with him and the complainant, I would have repeated the complainant's accusations against XY allegedly "without any distancing", i.e. in the sense of the prosecution. In his presence, the complainant had asked me to step in if she was sometimes unable to speak spontaneously about her experiences of violence due to flashbacks.

<sup>468</sup> Previously, only the reports of Petra P. and DD (see under 11.04.2014 in "*Dossier Täter-Opfer-Repatriation*") were known to us from an inspection of files in 2016, not those of AK and GA from the beginning of April.

<sup>469</sup> This criminal complaint, as well as that of GA and the notices of discontinuation of the criminal charges against me, was not part of the file that we were able to inspect in 2016 in connection with the proceedings of the public prosecutor's office / complainant (for libel and slander against XY).

<sup>470</sup> See *criminal complaint MST via Dr. jur. G. against BF (28.07.2014)*.

<sup>471</sup> See *DVNLP action trademark law (August 26, 2016)*.

also be seen as an abuse of the court in question by the abuse of special legal expertise of DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his mafia-like commissioned fellow students RA Dr. jur. G. and RA Harm (see 1.2.1.4.11. *Kaputt-Klaeger*), has been reasonably stopped by the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors, which follows the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors.

#### 1.2.2.2.3 Abuse through failure to comply with judgments

The DVNLP executive board has abusively claimed the courts for something that would have been its task to clarify within the association. This applies in particular to the above-discussed actions brought against the complainant by the members Martina Schmidt-Tanger, SM and XY, sponsored by the DVNLP via Dr. jur.

Another aspect of the abusive use of justice and courts is the "under-the-table dropping" of important court decisions affecting the DVNLP and the ethical questionability of its policies. This applies in particular to two lawsuits that the DVNLP had filed against me at the Hamburg Regional Court.

These lawsuits can probably be seen as the desperate attempt of "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors to limit a damage which he himself has caused by wrong decisions and incompetent actions: the fascist-totalitarian derailments for which he is responsible in connection with the exclusion of two members in violation of the statutes, with the covert and official abuse of the legal proceedings of DVNLP members XY and Stahl and with his clearly more than semi-criminal, arrogant action to manipulate and deceive the DVNLP general meeting.

In both Hamburg court cases, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his followers on the board of directors left the impression that, as a wet research lawyer trained in all hypnotic, NLP and other communication tricks, he had wanted to try out how far he could get if he simply "went for it" in a somewhat pubescent and arrogant manner and without respect for all those involved: The<sup>472</sup> DVNLP Board of Directors has recognized the two judgments resulting from the lawsuits filed and lost in this type of "Beautiful delinquent sons" or "Halbstarken" attitude<sup>473</sup> as legally binding:

#### 1.2.2.2.3.1 The "Members' Meeting Manipulation" Judgment

With its decision of 18 October 2016, which was not available in written form<sup>474</sup> until the beginning of March 2017, the Hamburg Regional Court revoked the interim injunction of 13 January 2016 obtained by the DVNLP Board of Directors, with the help of which the Board wanted to prevent me from claiming that it had deceived

---

<sup>472</sup> Cf. the trademark lawsuit of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors against me: *DVNLP trademark lawsuit (August 26, 2016)* and *Erklg. Junfermann trademark lawsuit (August 29, 2016)*. See also 1.2.1.4.11. *Broken-down plaintiff*.

<sup>473</sup> See my article *"My beautiful delinquent German Verband!" DVNLP completes perpetrator-victim-reversion*.

<sup>474</sup> See the *judgment of the provisional court (09.03.2017)*.

and manipulated the 2014 General Meeting with "incomplete and false information".

The board members responsible for the manipulation and deception of the 2014 general meeting, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Conny Lindner, Thomas Pech and Sebastian Mauritz, as well as the co-responsible board member Ilka Voigt, who follows the fully responsible board member Thomas Biniasz and may "*knowingly*" be less responsible, presented these only minimally differing affidavits to the court:

*"At no time with regard to the DVNLP's General Assembly 2014 have I provided false or incomplete [Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Thomas Pech and Conny Lindner], false [Sebastian Mauritz], deliberately false [Ilka Voigt] information to the members and thereby deceived and manipulated the members to vote for the exclusion of Mr Thies Stahl from the DVNLP.<sup>475</sup>*

The presiding judge comments on these five affidavits with a wink, saying, "*Nice try.*" In the reasons for the judgement she writes: "*... the affidavits of the board of directors ... are not very meaningful and unproductive in content, whereby it is also noticeable that the affidavits of the five board members are identical in wording. In the context of the comparison of the means of credibility of the claimant and respondent, it can be stated that the monotonous colourlessness of the affidavits [of the directors] is clearly surpassed by the richness of detail of the affidavits [of the witness present at the 2014 general meeting] with regard to the events at the general meeting.*

This is a friendly paraphrase of the obvious fact that these "It wasn't me" statements are lies in so far as they have been skilfully worded around the disputed facts, to my accusation that they as chairmen *deceived and manipulated* the general meeting with "*incomplete and false information*": In all five statements, the board members stated that they had "*not provided false or incomplete information to the members with regard to **the** [emphasis added by me] general meeting*", i.e. they were formulated from a dissociated perspective, "*with regard to*". In their statements, the board members thus refer to something that they have or could have communicated *to the association members outside the general meeting in general with regard to the general meeting*. In these proceedings, however, it was about my accusation that they, as the board of directors *within* the general meeting, i.e. spatio-temporally associated on 31.10.2014 in the general meeting in Bochum, had provided false or incomplete information to the *members present at this meeting* - and in the opinion of the Hamburg Regional Court they had done so. This cheap trick of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors was immediately recognized by the judge, obviously with a wink, as what it is: A disrespectful, arrogant and presumptuous appearance, an abuse of the judiciary, the Hamburg Regional Court and also of her working hours as a judge.

---

<sup>475</sup> See *EidesstVersicher Board of Management (11.12.2015)*.



When I looked at these "affidavits" once more, the cold breath of the German Nazi past struck me once again, as it had done before in the dull-brown violent faces of the five-member DVNLP protection squadron in the general meeting, which had been rededicated to a summary court in absentia. In order to be able to put the incomprehensible into words at all, even in front of myself, I needed another Nazi analogy in addition to the many I had already used: the DVNLP conceded itself the right to administrative acts of desk perpetrators "à la Eichmann"<sup>476</sup> and, with its official "deportation and elimination practice" committed against the complainant and me by the association, shaped a very special DVNSNLP form of appearance<sup>477</sup> of the "*banality of evil*"<sup>478</sup>.

This fascist-totalitarian practice of deportation and elimination becomes clear in the facts that the DVNLP board of directors, or more precisely: the functionaries forming it, Conny Lindner, Ilka Voigt, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz, Thomas Pech (Dr. jur. Jens Tomas excluded because of a short telephone conversation with the complainant) acted impersonally and inhumanely "*from a desk*". They were desk clerks. By agreeing to the criminal "Causa DVNLP" board resolutions and (in the case of their affidavits, verbatim) by signing them, they have incurred serious guilt. They had no direct oral or written contact of any kind with the complainant and me, the "deportees and eliminated" - just as Eichmann had no such contact with the victims of his desk crimes. The DVNLP-board members obviously relied just as blindly on the correctness and appropriateness of the directives of their federation leader as Mr. Eichmann relied on "the Führer" at that time.

The agreement of the DVNLP board members to the measures of "special treatment" of the complainant and also of myself, whom we were officially called "*association-harming*" by the<sup>479</sup> board, is also a fascist and Nazi phenomenon. One can speak of a deeply Nazi-like structure in both conceivable cases: If the DVNLP board members have followed their chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas either in the opinion that

- XY is probably guilty of having acted unethically or even as a criminal towards the complainant, but he must be protected in the DVNLP for reasons of association and power politics and the complainant must be excluded for the same reasons, or that

---

<sup>476</sup> I have taken the liberty of additionally publishing these historical documents of the affidavits of the board members in a slightly satirical form (see *EidesstVersicher-Vorstand (11.12.2015) Satire*).

<sup>477</sup> DVNSNLP stands here, as the readers have probably already suspected, for "*German Association for Non-Systemic Neuro-Linguistic Pathologizing*".

<sup>478</sup> See <https://www.ndr.de/info/Woher-kommt-der-Begriff-Die-Banalitaet-des-Boesen,audio142761.html>.

<sup>479</sup> See the quote on page 3 in *Grandiose Lie - the "Final Declaration" of the DVNLP and before-after DVNLP Final Declaration. (22.09.2015-16.09.2017)*.

- XY was innocent, but the complainant was a mentally ill false accuser.

In the first case this agreement of the DVNLP executive committee would be one to our "deportation" (as voting members from the general meeting) and to a fascist-totalitarian "DVNLP-Standgericht in Absence", as well as to the Nazi practice of "elimination of association pests".

In the second case, it would be consent to a kind of "psychological euthanasia" of the complainant through character assassination, psychopathologisation, psychiatrisation and excommunication - with a simultaneous amnesty granted for reasons of power politics for the DVNLP abuse trainer and psychotherapist XY, who is hidden in the DVNLP and also in the GNLC, who then, despite his own psychotherapeutic need for treatment, which has been officially diagnosed on several occasions, is likely to continue to practise unchecked and commit sexual misdemeanours on mentally ill clients.

In both cases the approval of the DVNLP-board members to the monstrosities of the "Causa DVNLP" was in itself a monstrosity: The signatures of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Ilka Voigt under their affidavits, with which they expressed this approval, had here degenerated to a mere, banal and evil, because cowardly "Eichmann's administrative act": Neither Dr. jur. Jens Tomas nor any of the other "desk offenders" of the DVNLP board of directors had the courage to face the complainant and me in the three decisive court hearings in Hamburg and Berlin. In Berlin, they sent an administrative employee and the lawyer of the DVNLP - two "Eichmänner" who were involved in the crimes of the "Causa DVNLP" in a subordinate function, who had appeared with their thin briefcases and lunchboxes in the Berlin court for external service in an official, service-minded manner and unencumbered by any critical reflection. In each of the two Hamburg proceedings, only one poorly prepared DVNLP lawyer from the Dr. jur. G. law firm appeared - both of them, perhaps like Eichmann's grandchildren, completely unencumbered by any (detailed) knowledge of the history of the crimes of the DVNLP organization, for which both were working blindly.

The fact that after this judgement I<sup>480</sup> may very well say publicly that the DVNLP board of directors manipulated, deceived and also lied to the 2014 general meeting was and is of course kept secret in the DVNLP.

#### 1.2.2.2.3.2 The "ghost article" judgment

On February 10, 2017, the Regional Court in Hamburg dismissed the DVNLP's claim against me that I had to refrain from doing the eleven in my article "*DVNLP left by all*"

---

<sup>480</sup> See the *judgment of the provisional court (09.03.2017)*.

*good spirits? Sollbruchstelle fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control*<sup>481</sup> | used Nazi analogies, because they were criticized by the "Dr. jur.

The court assessed all eleven statements as admissible expressions of opinion - although all of them, and this is a novelty in German jurisprudence, are ultimately - albeit well-founded - Nazi comparisons. Of course, the DVNLP has not informed its members about this judgement either, neither about its house publications and its DVNLP fake members' forum, nor about the NLP internet forum of its court rapporteur and propaganda minister Ralf Dannemeyer.

Here, the DVNLP lawyers Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Dr. jur. G. and RA Harms probably felt very confident of victory and probably meant that they had made a wise choice of court location in order to be able to use the NoGo judgment practice in German courts with regard to Nazi settlements for themselves. However, the Hamburg Press Chamber<sup>482</sup> then probably disappointed the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors in this respect: The fact that all attacked Nazi analogies for the fascist-totalitarian "slips" in the DVNLP were evaluated as a legitimate expression of opinion, has surprised even a long-time observer of the judgment practice of this chamber of the Hamburg Regional Court very much. Now there is a precedent judgement and one knows: If they are justified in a comprehensible and provable way, Nazi comparisons are quite possible.

#### 1.2.2.2.4 Abuse through contempt of justice

The following passages have been added to the DVNLP's register by the Regional Court of Hamburg. They should induce the DVNLP board of directors to turn around - by clearing up the semi- to fully criminal machinations committed in the association and by recognizing a compensation obligation towards the victims.

##### 1.2.2.2.4.1. disregard of the "members' meeting - manipulated" judgement<sup>483</sup>

On page 8/9, the court, the witness statement says: *"... indicates that, although the conflict taken up by the defendant in connection with the allegations of ... [the complainant] was raised at that general meeting at the end of October 2014, at least one name - XY - was not mentioned at all, although it played a significant role in that conflict. The suppression of this information alone would already bear the attacked accusation."*

*"For even the exclusion of the defendant, who subsequently was not allowed to speak at that general meeting, could be taken up by the defendant to the effect that the information of the general meeting was incomplete and that the executive committee - by the illegal exclusion - had deceived and manipulated the general*

---

<sup>481</sup> See *"DVNLP deserted by all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control"*.

<sup>482</sup> See my explanation under 2.2.3. *de-tabooing the fascist-totalitarian derailment*.

<sup>483</sup> See the *judgment of the provisional court (09.03.2017)*.

meeting. Especially against the background that the accusation raised by the defendant refers to the decision of the general meeting regarding his exclusion from the association, the refusal to participate in that meeting may be critically questioned not only with regard to his membership rights, but also with regard to a necessary opportunity to comment on the exclusion procedure". And: "A decision that was brought about by incomplete and false information can be considered deception and manipulation."

1.2.2.2.4.2. disregard of the 'Nazi analogy judgment'<sup>484</sup>

Here the court says (page 8): "It is to be assumed that the association did not investigate the allegations made and excluded the victim - Mrs. ... [the complainant] - from the general meeting without hearing her position. At the same time, Mrs... [the complainant] was presented as untrustworthy and lying.

And further (pp. 9-10): " ... since, on the basis of the circumstances already described under ..., it is reasonable to take the view that the members of the board of directors behaved towards Ms... [the complainant] in the same way as the possible perpetrators, in that Ms... [the complainant] was not believed, was excluded and it can be assumed procedurally that this is not based on a careful investigation by the plaintiff or on the results of external investigations. In this respect, it is admissible that **the Board** did not deal with the potential victim, but **used the power due to it to exclude the victim and thus support the offender**".

"For the board of directors had the decision taken by it on the exclusion of the defendant, bypassing its own statutes, confirmed by the general meeting. The defendant was not only unlawfully but also violently prevented from attending the general meeting..."

As well as (page 11 above): "In the present case, the exclusion of the defendant was confirmed at the 2014 general meeting, while he [Thies Stahl] was **forcibly prevented from participating, although he had a right to participate and thus to present his position due to the suspensive effect of his challenge**. In addition, from a procedural point of view, it must be assumed that no opposing positions were heard during the discussion of the exclusion. This provides the necessary points of reference for 'summary judgment' - in absentia".

And (pages 11 - 12): "'Final Solution' - With this subheading the defendant [Thies Stahl] admissibly expresses that the plaintiff [DVNLP] has **moved far away from its theoretical/scientific foundation in the context of conflict resolution** and has found an arbitrary and undemocratic solution for dealing with uncomfortable critics. ... **The association has indisputably acted against its own statutes with regard to the exclusion of the plaintiff and has disregarded essential procedural principles.** "

---

<sup>484</sup> See the judgment on ghost articles (09.03.2017) .

And (page 13): *"Forgery of history' - Because the 'Final Declaration on the Expulsion of Thies Stahl' does not reflect the view of the Berlin Regional Court that the expulsion was unlawful. Furthermore, it is not mentioned that the defendant was granted membership rights until his voluntary resignation and that the resolution in the general meeting was passed illegally and contrary to the statutes [all emphasis by me]. "*

#### *1.2.2.3 DVNLP Board abuses abusers and abusers*

The culture of abuse created by the questionable handling of internal association complaints in the DVNLP can also be described as meta-abuse, as already indicated above: The DVNLP Board of Directors has abused and continues to abuse both the abused complainant and her proven and alleged abusers.

##### *1.2.2.3.1 The DVNLP board abuses the abused*

The DVNLP Board of Directors has been guilty of an official abuse of a participant who was proven to have been abused in a DVNLP-certified seminar. Influenced and presumably lied to by its chairman, the "NLP professional" trainer Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his advisor, the "NLP professional" owner Martina Schmidt-Tanger, the DVNLP board of directors lost its neutrality to an astonishing extent at the beginning of 2014 and participated both indirectly and directly in what was presumably the "NLP professional" project initiated by XY, Petra P., AK, KA, DK, DD, GA and also Leo Buchholz against the complainant committed group bullying against the participants of my master's course at the time.

Together with Martina Schmidt-Tanger, who as her former trainer was also involved in intensive conflicts with the complainant, and Cora Besser-Siegmund, who fought with her against the complainant, also on the side of her patient XY, the DVNLP Board of Directors was completely<sup>485</sup> on the side of XY and the complainant's other conflict partners, who were essentially course participants from her master's course with me, from her coaching training with Martina Schmidt-Tanger and me and from her trainer training with Stephan Landsiedel. Together with the latter and Petra P., these conflict partners, as a rope team of functionaries and members of the DVNLP hidden from the public, supported Association member XY by secretly making themselves available to him as "clean" character witnesses for his judicial slander campaign against me and the complainant. In the case of Petra P., this support of XY even happened with the help of a lie denouncing us to the police.

All of them, together with the former participants involved in the conflicts and group bullying in the master course around XY, Petra P., AK and Leo Buchholz, participated in the criminalization, pathologization and "elimination" of the complainant and me - with the approval and participation of the DVNLP board.

---

<sup>485</sup> Apart from Dr. jur. Jens Tomas once on the telephone, none of the board members spoke to the complainant or to me. Nor did Conny Lindner, although the complainant had urgently requested to be able to talk to the board - preferably to the only female member of the board.

The board colleagues of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas seem to have completely trusted their Dr. jur. chairman, blindly followed him and Martina Schmidt-Tanger and obviously grossly neglected their duty of care. Perhaps they simply succumbed to the tendency towards prejudice that I have<sup>486</sup> described several times, which goes hand in hand with bourgeois double standards, and they "didn't want to know for sure", what had happened around the complainant and the course leader XY - similar to the fact that in the NLP community there was no longer any particular interest in Corine Christensen, who had been harmed in the vicinity of NLP co-founder Richard Bandler, and who, as a murder victim, was simply and without much thought or questioning put into the category of "prostitutes" - and so was forgotten.

The colleagues of DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech have known and tolerated that the 2016 newly appointed DVNLP honorary members and honorary prize winners Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Franz-Josef Hücker, Stephan Landsiedel and Ralf Dannemeyer in the association had taken part in the staging of a complete perpetrator-victim-reversion trial to the disadvantage of the complainant. It was clear to them, or it could have been clear to them in the event of a brief inquiry with Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, the complainant or myself, that this perpetrator-victim-reversion trial of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, together with the lawyer of the association Harms and the denunciator Petra P., was synchronized with a perpetrator-victim-reversion process already initiated against the complainant outside the DVNLP by the pedocriminal background perpetrators of the complainant around XY in the authorities Social Psychiatric Service, LKA and public prosecutor's office.<sup>487</sup>

It would be an interesting task for a DVNLP investigative committee to find out how it could have come about that Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech apparently were not interested in what extremely unethical and absurdly unprofessional role mixes, blind transference-countertransference dynamics and "accidental constellations", connected with which different kinds of sexual and power abuses<sup>488</sup> so many DVNLP teaching trainers could get entangled in intense conflicts with the complainant. Such a committee would have to clarify to what extent the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board members either did not even want to

---

<sup>486</sup> See for example in *"Violence, abuse, double standards and the return of the repressed in the DVNLP"*.

<sup>487</sup> See 1.2.1.4.3. *employer of the informers* and the *"dossier perpetrator-victim-reversion"*.

<sup>488</sup> The extensive and very detailed biographical notes of the complainant about her DVNLP and other experiences from her "active time" as an all-round escort and sex coach for groups and individuals can certainly help a committee of inquiry to be set up in the DVNLP. Their services were, according to their complaints suppressed in the DVNLP, used by numerous exposed male *and female* DVNLP members and association officials - in all cases abusive, as unethical-role-mixed and taking advantage of their DVNLP office and accompanied by unclean billing modes regarding the mutually provided training, coaching, psychotherapy and sexual services.

know all this or were simply lied to by their chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his advisor Martina Schmidt-Tanger.

Knowingly or negligently: Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech have seriously abused the complainant's trust. They allowed or actively participated in the fact that the honesty and fairness of the complainant was perfidiously exploited by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger: The complainant, confidentially pointing out that she had been forced by her perpetrator system, which had grown up around XY, to prostitute herself in the context of DVNLP seminars, had included this special contextual reference of her accusations against XY in order to ensure that her complaints were dealt with appropriately and fairly towards the perpetrators. In so doing, it has taken the risk that this honesty could be very damaging to its reputation in the event of abuse of the trust placed in the Board of Directors by a stigmatization which the Board of Directors might tolerate or even pursue itself. Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech were obviously blind and unsympathetic to the fact that, by honestly stating this contextual reference of her allegations against XY and the other DVNLP teaching trainers and members, the complainant wanted to help to establish realistic conditions for initiating and starting arbitration negotiations or multiple-party mediation within the association. The complainant, just as little as I did, did not reckon with the bourgeois double standards deeply rooted in NLP members and, as has now been shown, especially in DVNLP members, and with the cowardice of her male and female conflict partners corresponding to this emotional-cognitive limitation of the association officials and especially of the DVNLP perpetrators.

As their trainer colleague and instructor, some of whom had been with them for many years, I was deeply outraged at how little my male and female teacher-trainer colleagues and master seminar participants could or would stand by their respective ethically and criminally questionable actions in the context of the activities of XY and the complainant. I was extremely shocked by the exclusion and annihilation of the alliance, to which these DVNLP teachers and seminar participants, most of whom I know personally from training contexts, have joined together.

The DVNLP leadership around Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who were both themselves too deeply involved in role-mixing conflicts with the complainant and in their conflicts with XY, thoroughly abused the trust placed in them by the complainant - as the basis for their perfidious strategy of getting rid of the complainant and also of me. This strategy, for which the DVNLP board of directors is still responsible today, reveals the following components:

1. Dr. jur. Jens Tomas' executive board tacitly and initially without comment accepted the complainant's internal disclosure that she had been forced into

prostitution in DVNLP seminars.<sup>489</sup> He did so in the knowledge that the addressees of the complaint, XY, SM and Martina Schmidt-Tanger, who were supported by him as parties, had sued the complainant for injunctive relief<sup>490</sup> and that, as a result of these actions, especially after the three default judgments in June and early July 2014, the complainant was not allowed to repeat her accusations within the association - i.e. not even in the association committees responsible for her. She could only have spoken in the protected framework of a hearing before the conciliation commission or a mediation, which, however, in order to establish the duty of confidentiality necessary for naming the content of the complaints, would have had to come about in the first place.

2. It was precisely this silence-protected framework that the complainant's management board consistently refused to provide. He was not interested in clearing up the allegations within the association, although he knew that the complainant had even withdrawn her charges against her conflicting parties in order to allow mediation within the association. It was probably clear to the Chairman of the Management Board, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, that he was mixing up two separable legal issues: (1.) *"The DVNLP member, the complainant, agrees not to raise certain allegations against another member of the Union, XY, in public outside the internal jurisdiction of the Union"* with (2.) *"This DVNLP member waives an internal review of her allegations before the Arbitration Commission, which deal with further unethical behaviour of XY. Or even worse: (1.) "The complainant refrains from making her allegations public outside the association" with (2.) "The complainant is implausible and has made false accusations.* It must be assumed that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas very deliberately used this confusion as the basis for the vigilante justice in the DVNLP that he had initiated and that his colleagues on the board of directors and the board of trustees obviously followed his argumentation with which he probably concealed this confusion of legal facts - either with the deliberate intention of getting rid of the complainant or in the simple-good faith in the legal competence of its chairman and without even having noticed the resulting fallacy.

---

<sup>489</sup> To date, there has been no written acknowledgement of receipt of her complaint (see *request by complainant to the Conciliation Commission (23 August 2013)*). Neither the complainant nor I initially requested one, as we could not have foreseen the criminal means to which my long-standing DVNLP colleagues and the entire Dr. jur.

<sup>490</sup> DVNLP members XY, SM and Martina Schmidt-Tanger had filed a complaint against the complainant, also a DVNLP member, for the omission of certain statements - on the advice of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who recommended his alleged study colleague RA Dr. G. to them. Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his colleagues used the three default judgments resulting from this procedure, which was contrary to the Statutes, to silence and prejudge the complainant within the association, including vis-à-vis the competent bodies.



3. The DVNLP Board of Directors allowed its Dr. jur. chairman to argue to the complainant and to me that it was not legally possible, against the background of the allegations of serious criminal offences, to oblige XY on the part of the association to participate in an internal hearing before the Arbitration Commission. That this was a highly questionable argumentation<sup>491</sup> should have been noticed by his colleagues on the board, because it was untenable, both with regard to my complaint against XY, which was also suppressed in the association, and with regard to the complainant's complaint against him: My complaint did not contain any allegations of serious sexual offences, but "only" reproaches regarding ethical misconduct, such as his relationship with a course participant, which was hidden from me as a course instructor, and his questionable background activities<sup>492</sup> with her in my DVNLP Master. The complainant's complaint against XY also contained, in addition to the allegations concerning alleged sexual offences which could be excluded in the internal referral to the Arbitration Commission, quite enough misconduct by XY which could be dealt with by the Arbitration Commission, especially with regard to his unethical and abusive conduct towards her as a coach and psychotherapist, which he had proven to be unethical and abusive towards her - even decompensated by the abusive relationship with her.
4. The board of directors, obviously "hypnotized" by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, should have woken up when it became clear that its chairman was DVNLP member XY together with Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Stephan Landsiedel, Leo Buchholz and Petra P. secretly supported me, the DVNLP Honorary and Founding Board Member who stood up for the rights of the complainant, by means of an action for an injunction outside and above all also within the association. Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and

---

<sup>491</sup> Today, XY would have to explain to a DVNLP investigative committee how it came about that he is decompensated in the abuse relationship with his client, the complainant, and how he wants to ensure that this will not happen to him with future clients. And Cora Besser-Siegmund and the current DVNLP board of directors would have to explain why they obviously do not consider this danger to be given anymore.

<sup>492</sup> Of course, a course instructor in a DVNLP-certified master course can offer the course participants his or her own products or services, or even services of third parties - *if* he or she agrees with the course instructor. For the latter must be able to keep an eye on the influence of such a parallel offer on the group dynamics and on his own position in the group. Transactions with the course participants, of whatever kind, should therefore only be allowed to take place in consensus with the course instructor. This also does not exclude flexible and morally sounding out sexual services mediated against commission, even though they may not be morally approved of by all participants of the DVNLP training groups concerned, by all members of their own association or by all NLP interested persons observing such a development in DVNLP training groups. Interesting in this context are the absurd accusations of my long-time colleague SA in the NLP-Internet-Forum that I had "spied out" the sex life of my participants (see under the 16.10.2014, 10:18, in *SA AK in DVNLP-XING-Forum Die wahren Opfer (27.02.2015)/Online-Link*. Today still censored and shortened visible there: *SA AK in DVNLP-XING-Forum The true victims (27/02/2015)/The true victims thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING (14/12/2019)*).

Thomas Pech should have asked me or the complainant when KF, the lawyer of XY, who had also been denounced as his accomplice, had succeeded in having me arrested with the unlawful support of the members Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Anita von Hertel, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Stephan Landsiedel, Petra P., AK and Leo Buchholz to cease and desist from speaking of<sup>493</sup> "sexual abuse" in relation to XY. This XY-supporting alliance of DVNLP association officials and members could actually have attracted the attention of the board colleagues Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech, who were not personally involved in conflicts with the complainant, as an unholy one. At the latest, when they deceived about the fact that their association lawyer Dr. G. threatened me, against their better knowledge but on their behalf, with a high contractual penalty by injunction dated July 9, 2015,<sup>494</sup> I could no longer claim that a participant in a master course of the DVNLP had been abused and - also against their better knowledge - also not that a participant had offered her sexual services in a master course of the DVNLP.<sup>495</sup>

5. Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech were asked to explain to the investigating committee how it came about that the complainant, with her communication, which then became public beyond the association's internal communication, that she had also been forced into prostitution in DVNLP seminars, "stood in the rain" or, to use another metaphor, "ran into an open knife". Because her outing to be a dropout from a paedocriminal perpetrator system and a testifying key witness was not treated by the board of directors in a framework protected by confidentiality, the complainant was at the mercy of the feelings and resentments aroused in the members like an open knife (see below the defamatory forum contributions in *1.2.2.7. the executive committee misuses its channels of communication*) How did it come about that they all agreed that the executive committee should stop all communication with the complainant and that she should be informed by the

---

<sup>493</sup> However, this only succeeded temporarily: in the meantime, the sexual abuse has been recorded in court: XY, as an HP psychotherapist, had sessions with the complainant during the period when he was decompensated in his intimately abusive relationship with her. Of those, however, he claims that it was coaching and not psychotherapy. However, according to the complainant's reports, which were suppressed in the DVNLP, they were sold to her as psychotherapy(HP). Strictly speaking, they were probably neither one thing nor the other: In her "coaching" or "psychotherapy" sessions with XY, she has consistently involved sexual abuse dressed in NLP, wing-wave and hypnosis work - rituals of submission with the insane pretense of being an effective therapeutic procedure. In her extensive reappraisal texts, there are true stylistic blossoms of bizarre interventions that are amusing to read - if one ignores the violence that accompanies them.

<sup>494</sup> See *Association Attorney sub-demand. Abuse whore (09.07.2015)*.

<sup>495</sup> See *Association Attorney sub-demand. Abuse whore (09.07.2015)*. On this Cosmic Joke, see also *The "You weren't a whore" bullying*.

complainant with the help of Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Stephan Landsiedel, Anita von Hertel, Ralf Dannemeyer, Leo Buchholz, Petra P., AK, DK, as well as the DVNLP managing director and the association's lawyer inside and outside the DVNLP? And why did they not intervene when the association's lawyer Harms and the association's official Petra P. denounced the complainant with genuine lies to the LKA and the public prosecutor's office? Was this kept from them?

6. The colleagues on the board of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas will also have to explain whether they knew about the fact that there was already a chain of pathologies and attempted psychiatrisations placed by the perpetrator system through the Social Psychiatric Service Altona in the LKA and the public prosecutor's office.<sup>496</sup> It would also be interesting to know how Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech decided to let their chairperson take over the bullying against the complainant, which had begun in the master group at the time, as a matter for the boss and to continue it undercover and in an official manner. And why they did not ask themselves whether it was right to bring this group bullying against the complainant, which was presumably carried out by XY, Petra P., AK, DK and Leo Buchholz<sup>497</sup> in the master group, to an end as a perfidiously staged character assassination at the general meeting of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Petra P., AK, and with the help of Anita von Hertel and Leo Buchholz, which they manipulated and deceived for this purpose. It would also be interesting to know whether they had reservations when they had the complainant and me "deported" by summary court order and then "eliminated" during our absence, which was contrary to our statutes and forced by physical force.

The complainant had relied on the fact that her complaint would be mediated within the association in the protected, non-public setting of a hearing before the Conciliation Commission. Therefore, in consultation with her lawyer, she had in the meantime withdrawn her charges against XY and the other perpetrators. As a member of this association expecting protection from its executive committee, she could not have expected that the latter would present her as an unbelievable madwoman in the 2014 general meeting manipulated with deliberate intent to deceive, in her enforced absence and without the opportunity to comment.

Even I could not have imagined such a derailment. On 4 March 2014, I had submitted<sup>498a</sup> a proposal to Dr. jur. Jens Tomas' Management Board for a letter to the complainant that I considered appropriate - of which I am not sure whether Dr. jur.

---

<sup>496</sup> See the *"dossier on victim-offender conversion"*.

<sup>497</sup> Already in 2014, the XY supporter Leo Buchholz took the view that not XY but I was the abuser (see above under *"Abuse by Leo Buchholz"*).

<sup>498</sup> See under "4 March 2014" in "Causa DVNLP" correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014.

Jens Tomas had even shown it to Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech:

*"First of all, we assume that your accusations against Mr. XY are based on truth, i.e. we assume a presumption of innocence towards you and not that you are guilty precisely by false accusation. In the same way, we must also grant Mr. XY this right to the presumption of innocence and will therefore remain neutral on the matter and impartial towards you and Mr. XY - at least for the time being and as long as there are no legal results regarding your conflict with Mr. XY.*

*But we, as the board of the NLP Association, also assume that it was a difficult way for you to name and make public something you experienced as wrong. We assume that this has cost you some effort and energy and we respect your decision. We also do this in the sense of the method and values that we, like you, represent as NLP representatives and as DVNLP members. In this sense, we very much hope that something good will come out of your conflict with Mr. XY in terms of their personal and professional development as human beings and as users of the NLP method.*

*We will do our best to be able to help you as a contact person within the scope of our possibilities and hope that your conflict with Mr. XY can be resolved well in your and also in his interest. If we can contribute something to the solution, alongside and parallel to the legal developments, we would like to do so."*

At that time, however, I did not yet know essential dimensions and details regarding the depth of the conflict entanglement of Martina Schmidt-Tanger, SM, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Leo Buchholz, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Anita von Hertel and Stephan Landsiedel. Unfortunately, these cannot be discussed here and should therefore be the subject of a DVNLP investigative committee. It was then also to be clarified there to what extent Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech had concrete knowledge or only an inkling of the involvement of their chairman, his adviser Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the other "causa DVNLP" perpetrators in the conflicts between XY and the complainant.

It should also be asked whether Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech deliberately took part in the decision that the complaints of other association members against the complainant and myself were concealed from us and that these complaints were not passed on to the Arbitration Commission for referral, i.e. for internal association arbitration or mediation, in violation of the statutes, but were misused for the dramaturgy of the staging of our association exclusion. Did you know that several members, according to Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, had "massively" complained about us, but that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas<sup>499</sup> did not comply with our request to provide us with the contents of the complaints and to name "horse

---

<sup>499</sup> See *Jens Thies Jens* (13.03.2014) and *Jens to Thies and DVNLP* (30.05.2014).

*and rider*"? Did they know that Petra P. Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had made<sup>500</sup> the complaint (which was not passed on to the Arbitration Commission for referral) to the complainant that she and I had been bullied and falsely accused? In this regard, did they inquire, if not from the complainant and myself, then at least from Petra P. how she could have come to this perception of reality? And why did they not request Petra P. to file a request for referral to the arbitration commission with regard to her complaint containing these allegations? How is it that they did not become suspicious when Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, instead of leaving it to the Arbitration Commission for referral according to the provisions of the statutes, made the complaint of Petra P. together with the complaint of AK a matter for the Board of Directors?

And did Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech wonder about the type and style of the mail from master course participant DK to the board of directors on 25 April 2014<sup>501</sup>, in which he pathologised the complainant and me in a way that violated my personal rights? Did you even know this e-mail? Was it forwarded by the managing director Berend Hendriks of Halligoland not only to Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, but also to her? And how did they decide to grant DK the right to slanderously insult<sup>502</sup> the complainant and me (until today, dear "Anja Mýrdal" board member!) in the DVNLP "Fake" members' forum and in the NLP-XING forum (also until today, dear press and public relations board member Ralf Dannemeyer, who is under your supervision), despite his accusations, which are also quite scurrilous, and his termination of membership accompanying these accusations?

Did Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech know, when they left the board, that the defamations of DK<sup>503</sup>, as well as those of AK, DD and KA, could still be read publicly in both forums<sup>504</sup> and still are today? And did they know that Petra P.'s complaint against the complainant, which

---

<sup>500</sup> See *Jens an Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)*.

<sup>501</sup> Link: *Mail Master participant DK to DVNLP*

<sup>502</sup> *DK in XING-NLP* (archive link), here the *online link* and *DD in XING-NLP* (archive link), here the *online link*.

<sup>503</sup> See the posts in the DVNLP members forum then/now: *SA AK DK DD KA assassinated in Koenigsmacher (27.02.2015)/The Koenigsmacher is assassinated 1-2 DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019)*, *SA AK in DVNLP-XING forum The true victims (27.02.2015)/The true victims thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019)*, *OA in Some shoes wear metal soles DVNLP-XING (07.10.2014)/Some shoes wear metal soles DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019)*, *AK and DD in Stellvertreter-Konflikte (27.02.2015)/Stellvertreter-Konflikte DVNLP -XING (14.12.2019)* and *DD in Meine Sicht zu einer aktuellen DVNLP-XING (26.08.2018)/Meine Sicht zu einer aktuellen DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019)*

<sup>504</sup> See the current *screenshots XING-DVNLP*. On the NLP forum: *DK in XING-NLP* (Archive link; here *Online*) and *DD in XING-NLP* (Archive link; here *Online*).

had obviously only been submitted <sup>505</sup>orally to the DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, was expanded in detail by her husband KA and by AK, DD and DK - and is still being expanded today? Did and does the DVNLP board know that the complainant and I cannot correct the defamatory contributions from her intensive conflict partners?

Did the colleagues of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas ever suspect that the extensive publications of the pathologizing and criminalizing attacks on the complainant and myself in the DVNLP-controlled Internet forums were and still are covert delegations of the board of directors to both the DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks von Hallig-Oland and to Ralf Dannemeyer, who is loyal to the board and is now the new board member for press and public relations. Were they aware that these slanderous and pathologising contributions were entirely in line with the biased approach of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas to question the credibility of the complainant? And did Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech actually overlook the fact that Martina Schmidt-Tanger had already started to<sup>506</sup>psychopathologise the complainant in an e-mail to her in May 2014? Did they overlook the relevant mail in the board mailing list or were they so appropriately lied to by Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas that they took the side of the psychopathologist Schmidt-Tanger and kept silent on the outside?

Did Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech all jointly decide that the applications for exclusion from the association made against us by AK<sup>507</sup> and OA<sup>508</sup>, just like the other complaints made against the complainant and myself in the association, were concealed from us, the persons concerned, and that neither their existence nor their substantive reasons were brought to our attention or disclosed to us? And were they aware that both motions were complaints in nature and that they should therefore have been submitted by them as the executive committee to the arbitration commission for referral?

Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech should have suspected that they had been instrumentalised by their chairman, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, and his advisor Martina Schmidt-Tanger, i.e. that they had been quasi abused to help them "dispose of" the complainant. Perhaps they only realized this, if at all, after the DVNLP had been exposed as an association of perpetrators in two central court decisions<sup>509</sup>, i.e. these proceedings ended differently from what their Dr. jur. chairman had probably planned and legally assessed. But at the time of the

---

<sup>505</sup> Compare again: *Jens an Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)*.

<sup>506</sup> See under the 18.06.2014 in "*Causa DVNLP*" - *Correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014*

<sup>507</sup> Link: *Exclusion request Master participant AK (08.10.2014)*

<sup>508</sup> Link: *Request for exclusion OA (08/10/2014)*

<sup>509</sup> See the *judgement of the interim court (09.03.2017)* and "*Nazi analogies*" court judgement.

sentencing at the beginning of 2017, all but the Chief Financial Officer Thomas Pech had already left the DVNLP board of perpetrators - certainly until today in the hope that they would no longer be prosecuted for their crimes, which they had either consciously or only inexperiencedly and scatterbrainedly helped to commit.

1.2.2.3.2 The DVNLP board abuses the abuser XY

Also the handling of the DVNLP with its member XY, who is still hidden from the public and protected from prosecution by the DVNLP and Cora Besser-Siegmund's new association GNLC<sup>510</sup>, deserves the term meta-abuse. This special member protection obviously serves rather the selfish, hedonistic and economic interests of the DVNLP and GNLC association officials involved in the "DVNLP cause" conflicts than being an act of merciful charity.

However, this type of protection also represents a manifest abuse of the person XYs: The abusive coach and psychotherapist XY is himself a victim of abuse to the extent that he has been deprived by the DVNLP and the GNLC of the learning and correction possibilities necessary for his professional development and also for his personal salvation - and continues to be deprived in both associations. The leaderships of the DVNLP and the GNLC seem to still work together unwell in making it impossible for XY to regret and learn anew: They arrest XY in his being a perpetrator and cement his guilt, which is now hardly removable.

If one realizes that real repentance, metanoia, is about conversion in thinking, acting, feeling and in the orientation of one's whole being, and if one makes it clear that this conversion usually begins with standing by one's own guilt and facing the victim of one's misdeeds - and in a deeper way ends with it again - it becomes obvious that exactly this kind of conversion will hardly be possible for XY now: The possibility to come to peace with the complainant in his soul, XY has probably sacrificed to his psychotherapist and Wing-Wave trainer Cora Besser-Siegmund and apparently "sold" for the "lentil dish" to be able to become an NLC teaching trainer in her new GNLC<sup>511</sup> NLC and to stay in the DVNLP NLP teaching trainer. The new honorary members of the DVNLP, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Jens Tomas and the DVNLP honorary prize winners Stephan Landsiedel and Ralf Dannemeyer, together with Petra P., AK, the new Chief Financial Officer Leo Buchholz and Anita von Hertel, prevented XY from apologising to the complainant and asking for forgiveness - which, apart from the wish to prevent further abuses by XY, was their main concern in the DVNLP.

Not to mention the future victims that will probably still exist due to the cover-up and non-enlightenment of the sexual and (power) abuses in the DVNLP and GNLC. In that the DVNLP "burned" the complainant in the association like a witch,

---

<sup>510</sup> See *"DVNLP + GNLC hide suspected sex offender"*.

<sup>511</sup> See *GNLC teaching institutes*.

"eliminated" a Jewess, "disintegrated" a "hostile negative" person<sup>512</sup> or "disposed" of a maltreated whore in the garbage of history, the DVNLP ultimately also committed an offence against the soul of XY and the other abusers of power and authority and alleged perpetrators of violence in the DVNLP and in the DVNLP seminars who had remained unchecked: How are they supposed to be able to repent as perpetrators if they are prevented from the outset from asking the victim of their violence for forgiveness?

This path is obstructed by the fact that the DVNLP was and still is significantly involved in declaring the complainant - in the spirit of the perpetrators inside and outside the DVNLP - to be implausible and insane. The perpetrator-victim-reversion process directed against the complainant, supported and actively promoted by the DVNLP board of directors in the authorities Social Psychiatric Service, the LKA and the public prosecutor's office in the form of psychopathologization and psychiatrization<sup>513</sup>, and also her "communication-free and violent" exclusion from the DVNLP vaporized the complainant as its victim in the soul of the perpetrators, where she virtually went up in smoke: The "pervertedly abusive" support of the perpetrators by the DVNLP board leaves them without the decisive counterpart. From whom, then, should forgiveness and with it the peace of mind of the perpetrators come, if not from their victim? It certainly does not come from her shoulder-smashing accomplices and silent accomplices inside and outside the DVNLP.

The questionable indulgence of the DVNLP with its perpetrators makes them deaf and blind and shuts them off, so that they do not receive necessary feedback: As a consequence of the lack of communication imposed on them and the complainant by the DVNLP board of directors by its prevention of internal arbitration, the perpetrators could not even perceive the complainant's fundamental willingness to forgive them in the event of a successful settlement.

---

<sup>512</sup> This is a term that was used in the MfS of the GDR. The drastic analogies from dark German and medieval times used in this sentence can be found in my articles *"Violence, abuse, double standards and the return of the repressed in the DVNLP"*, *"DVNLP abandoned by all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control"*, *"My beautiful delinquent German Association! DVNLP completes perpetrator-victim-reversion"* and *"Täterverband DVNLP - silence, denial and repression"*. See the *"Nazi analogies" court ruling*.

<sup>513</sup> The final result of the psychiatric attack against the complainant, for which the DVNLP is partly responsible, is a psychiatric report of culpability that is based on the manipulated official files (cf. the *"Dossier Täter-Opfer-Report"*) and is clearly pleasing to the perpetrator, and which, after the discontinuation of the proceedings by the public prosecutor's office and the complainant in November 2017 (see *AG-Altona decision of 17 November 2017*), lies in the basement of Altona Local Court. In my communication-theoretical and hypnosis-linguistic analysis *"Psychiatric expertise - a gift for the pedocriminal perpetrator system and the DVNLP"* I prove that this expertise is either just stupid or quite intelligently "made stupid".



To put it less dramatically: The rift of stigmatization of the complainant within and outside the DVNLP by the association's official internal and by the association's external criminalization and psychiatricization of the complainant has become so insurmountable for the perpetrators that they can no longer take a step towards her as their victim. Such a step would in any case have presupposed a great deal of trust in the complainant on her side because of the offenses of the perpetrators, some of which clearly cross the border into criminal offences, e.g. that she would permanently waive her charges against her in the event of a successful victim-offender mediation - which she had in fact already done temporarily in 2014 in order to enable mediation in the association. However, the incompetent DVNLP leadership, which was entangled in the "DVNLP case", had already sabotaged the mediation in the initiation phase for its own good, i.e. dark personal reasons - exactly at the time when the DVNLP board of directors had its chairman, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, together with the association lawyer Harms, set the course for the "final solution" of the disposal of the complainant.

For denying their guilt and complicity in the emotional and sexual role-mixing abuses and the alleged rapes actually committed, as well as in the violence, hypnosis, wing-wave and NLP-supported sexual exploitation described by the complainant, the perpetrators are only rewarded in the DVNLP for a short period of time. In the long run, they have to live with their guilt and compensate for it in their own personal way - probably by incurring new guilt towards new clients and trainees.

XY said several times - especially at the time of his probably worst hidden activities in the background of my Master - he *"actually urgently needs"* a coaching from me, because he would have *"always such existential fears"*. At that time I could not see that it was about more than his (materially well secured) existence. XY surely knew already then that he was doing something fundamentally wrong, and probably he also knew that his soul was threatened - which it probably still is today due to the continued silence of the DVNLP board.

The new honorary members and laureates of the DVNLP, together with Petra P., AK and the two new board members Leo Buchholz and Ralf Dannemeyer, who were involved in the "DVNLP case", together cemented his soul - metaphorically speaking - with their feet in the murky flow of the events contrary to the statutes around the good NLP sales in the association, which were paid for with the suffering of others, and above all also his own, unintegrated and unprofessionally acting out striving for pleasure and submission.

XY has obviously sacrificed the chance of a new beginning with a debt repaid to my students who abuse him for their own good reasons: His psychotherapist and Wing-Wave trainer, Cora Besser-Siegmund, the training and further education commission member Martina Schmidt-Tanger, who did not bother him, Anita von Hertel, the spokeswoman of the mediation group, who remained silent for his protection, and Petra P., the spokeswoman of the DVNLP regional group Hamburg/Schleswig-Holstein, who took XY under her wing at the time, were all taken under her wing., as well as the other DVNLP perpetrators who do not abuse him or her less for their own purposes.

All of them, but interestingly enough especially the women among them, have ensured that XY must continue to try to heal his obvious women and violence problem himself - presumably with the help of selected future clients and seminar participants. They will probably continue to trust him as a DVNLP and GNLC trainer, coach and psychotherapist (no longer by me, but, for their own questionable reasons, by my students, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Anita von Hertel).

#### *1.2.2.4 The board of directors abuses the DVNLP membership*

The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors and also the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors, who is taking over his inheritance, abuses the DVNLP membership - according to the double meaning of "membership" in a double sense.

##### *1.2.2.4.1 Misuse of DVNLP overall membership*

In addition to many individual members of the DVNLP, the board of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas has also abused the overall membership of the DVNLP. This fact is most obvious in the circular mail<sup>514</sup> which the DVNLP board sent to the members of the association on October 7, 2014. In this mail he actually recommended *all* DVNLP members to take legal action against two DVNLP members, the complainant and myself. He made this recommendation, which in its effect was equivalent to a request, after having previously<sup>515</sup> referred to the lying '*Statement of the Management Board on the Thies Stahl and ... [the complainant] case*'.

Instead of clarifying the conflicts that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had with two DVNLP members, with the support of his colleagues on the board Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech directly in a meeting of the board with these two members, or having the existing conflicts between these two and him and also with the other members clarified by the arbitration commission or with the help of multiple party mediation, the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors of the DVNLP by turning them into spectators of a kind of Circus Maximus, which he asked by criminalizing propaganda to let the two members disappear from the scene by "thumbs down".

This mail falls into the phase of the "Causa DVNLP", in which the DVNLP lawyers, RA Harms and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, were already working in the background on the formal legal construction of the exclusion of the complainant and myself. Considered in this context, the analogy just used, which originates from ancient Rome, makes it clear to what extent this board email aimed at an acclamation of the masses and addressed to the masses of DVNLP members<sup>516</sup> can be seen as a preparation of the

---

<sup>514</sup> See *DVNLP Board to all members (07.10.2014)*.

<sup>515</sup> See the *causa opinion DVNLP (26.09.2014)* and the *steel response DVNLP-Causa-Stelln. (06.10.2014)*.

<sup>516</sup> See also "Mass mail from Lindenstrasse" in "*Earlier posts from my blog*".

DVNLP members by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors for achieving the desired goal of "empowerment"<sup>517</sup> by the general meeting of members, which he then manipulated and deceived accordingly.<sup>518</sup>

#### 1.2.2.4.2 Misuse of membership of members

The DVNLP-members were - and probably still are - worth a lot of their DVNLP-membership. This is probably not only because they have paid their membership fee so that they can use the DVNLP logo and issue DVNLP certificates, but for many of them their membership in this association certainly has an idealistic value - it is the sign of belonging to a professional field to which Fritz Perls, Milton H. Erickson, Gregory Bateson and Virginia Satir already belonged. However, the DVNLP membership has now lost this value: These three NLP-ancestors would turn away with horror in view of the fascist-totalitarian abominations of the "causa DVNLP" and the boundless cowardice of the association officials, members and looking-away people involved in this Nazi German association.

The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors has severely burdened the membership in this association with the mortgage perpetrator-victim-reversion and the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors continues this debt policy leading into moral bankruptcy. DVNLP membership is therefore a burdened currency, which is reprinted in the form of certification seals to encourage inflation and which has meanwhile almost completely lost its gold and value cover due to corruptly acting association officials. This will not change as long as the current DVNLP board of directors continues to abusively burden the (up to now probably still partly) attractive DVNLP membership with denial and concealment in order to cover up the DVNLP's guilt accumulated by the wrongs committed in the association - instead of clearing it up through education and compensation.

At the moment, the DVNLP can probably still make use of the mistaken view of the NLP-interested public that, if so many members still value DVNLP membership and so many NLP-interested people still want DVNLP certificates, nothing "really bad" could have happened in the association. But, to continue using analogies from the

---

<sup>517</sup> With the Nazi-analogy "authorization" (see the "*Nazi-analogies*" court decision), here is the "Declaration of the lied DVNLP members" (*MV-Web-Info in the members area (06.11.2014)*); published in the DVNLP-Fake-Members Forum: *Declaration of the DVNLP General Assembly 2014 - DVNLP-XING (December 14, 2019)*). This "historical testimony" of its fascist-totalitarian past, which reaches up to the present, is still exhibited by the DVNLP executive committee in its DVNLP fake member forum (see 1.2.2.7.1. *Lies in the "faked" DVNLP member forum*).

<sup>518</sup> The manipulation and deception of the general meeting and with it his "authorization" would probably not have been so easily achieved by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, if he had not already beforehand appropriately tuned the DVNLP association people against us - as criminally and aggressively represented "association pests" - with this mail. He, resp. the DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks of Hallig Oland even succeeded in presenting me as a kind of rag collector who "collects" mail addresses in a somehow semi-criminal way (compare *DVNLP board of directors to all members (07.10.2014)*).

monetary economy: As long as the DVNLP does not improve the value coverage of membership and certificates by clarifying and processing the "DVNLP cause", it will trade with rotten and toxic derivatives.<sup>519</sup>

#### *1.2.2.5 The board abuses association officials*

Besides the many "simple" DVNLP members, the DVNLP board of directors also abused and misused some of its conflict-stricken functionaries.

##### *1.2.2.5.1 Abuse against Martina Schmidt-Tanger*

Martina Schmidt-Tanger was abused by the DVNLP Board of Directors when she agreed to pass on my confidential e-mails addressed to her and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas to XY for use against me and the complainant. Although Martina Schmidt-Tanger herself wanted to do this, presumably out of her own motives directed against the complainant and myself, so that it was probably not a dirty delegation in that sense, this covert operation remains in any event an abuse of her person by the board: Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech should have known that this action - after all, the misappropriation of confidential association information at management level - not only violated the articles of association and, as collegial underhandedness, was contrary to public decency, but also grossly disregarded the duty of the executive board, as repeatedly asserted by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, to uphold the mutual presumption of innocence with regard to XY and the complainant. This is not altered by the presumably present circumstance that the board members Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech, who, unlike the chairman, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, were not directly involved in the conflicts with the complainant, were probably only very incompletely and (presumably at least by lying per omission) grossly incorrectly informed about the DVNLP causa conflicts and their background.

Even if the latter should be true, it is and remains an abuse Martina Schmidt-Tanger by the DVNLP board of directors - an abuse at least through negligence. After all, the board members, like all other DVNLP members, were provided by me with information<sup>520</sup> that should have made them suspect that they had been lied to by the two "NLP professionals" Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas. It is possible that Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech have suspected or perhaps even already known this, without, however, having used this intuition or even this knowledge to avert the wrong decisions for which they are in any case jointly responsible.

As I could not talk to any of the other four board members, I can only say something very general, structural about the motivation for this kind of abuse: In my opinion, a basic problem of the DVNLP is that almost all members of the association are

---

<sup>519</sup> Cf. *"The NLP and the madmen. The DVNLP corrupts its method"*.

<sup>520</sup> See e.g. my complaint from 19.06.2014 in *"Causa DVNLP" - correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014* and *My mails to DVNLP members*.

teaching trainers and therefore competitors in a highly competitive market. Perhaps the colleagues on the board of directors and in the other association committees thought that it would be an advantage for their own position in any case if the founder Thies Stahl and the best-positioned competitors on the market for NLP, coaching and mediation training - apart from Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Stephan Landsiedel his students - "deconstruct" each other. Whoever emerges unscathed from this struggle, perhaps the logic of these thoughts: there will be fewer competitors left and those who remain will - given the severity of their conflict - in any case be competitors weakened by loss of reputation. The colleagues, who perhaps actually thought like that, would of course not have the long-term ecology in mind: Their method NLP and their "professional association" DVNLP naturally suffers immense damage to their reputation and image by such a careless approach.

XY at least will have been happy about the effective but dirty support from the DVNLP, as his and, probably also the calculations of Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, worked out: The Regional Court of Hamburg found that I had adopted the complainant's accusations against XY in essential points, with the consequence, obviously intended by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger together with XY, that I was not allowed to <sup>521</sup>talk about the contents of the complainant's complaint against XY under threat of a fine of € 250,000 and, in the end, without awkward declarations of dissociation, was not allowed to quote them any more. I had been successfully muzzled and could virtually no longer support the complainant in the association.

For this "success", achieved in a dirty coalition of the DVNLP executive committee with the abuser and alleged sex offender XY in his proceedings against me, the mails embezzled by Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas by abuse of their offices and passed on to XY were decisive. For<sup>522</sup> example, in the judgment of the Hamburg Regional Court of 30.06.2017, it is stated: *"The allegation that the witness [complainant] committed sexual offences against the children of the witness [complainant] does not follow from the hearing of evidence, but from the e-mail of 24.08.2013 [which Thies Stahl sent to Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas]. If the defendant [Thies Stahl] states there that it believes the witness [complainant] that plaintiff [XY] had penetratingly abused the daughter at the age of eleven and the son at thirteen, the defendant does not distance itself but makes serious accusations of its own against third parties with regard to plaintiff. In this*

---

<sup>521</sup> Like the complainant, I would only have spoken in the event of a referral by the Conciliation Committee, in which a legally secure framework based on professional secrecy could and should have been provided. But instead of helping to produce such a legally secure framework, the two association lawyers Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and RA Harms were already busy with the special legal design of the exclusion proceedings against the complainant and myself.

<sup>522</sup> In addition to the testimony of the co-reported Master's participant GA, who, himself a lawyer, knew exactly how to behave as a "credible" witness. This is exactly what the judge praised in his judgement on GA.

*respect, it was not only a matter of statements in the most personal area, especially since the e-mail was not only addressed to Martina Schmidt-Tanger [but also to the DVNLP chairman of the board Dr. jur. Jens Tomas].*

It seems that the judge did not really have in mind that my mails to Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas were both *private and confidential* correspondence that should be assigned to the privacy worthy of protection, and at the same time and above all also a *confidential correspondence within the association* by honorary DVNLP functionaries on the management level of the association. The judge declared the aspect of privacy worthy of protection (Martina Schmidt-Tanger and I were also, in personal union, private colleagues), which was perhaps too much emphasised by my lawyer, irrelevant, on the grounds that these mails were not only addressed to the member of the training and further training commission, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, but also to third parties: to the DVNLP chairman of the board, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, and also to the other board members. It was precisely with this reasoning that the judge made it clear - albeit probably unintentionally - that the correspondence was confidential within the association.

In the legally questionable<sup>523</sup> *logic of these court proceedings*, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, who had dealt ex officio with the events surrounding DVNLP members, the complainant and XY, was therefore allowed to pass on these confidential e-mails from member XY to member XY for his private use against me, because these were not only addressed to my colleague Martina Schmidt-Tanger, a psychologist and trainer who was still a friend of mine at the time, but also to a third person, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas. As if it were any "third person" who would represent "the public" for the allegedly fulfilled facts of "making my own" the complainant's allegations against XY.

In the *logic of DVNLP-internal processes*, however, it is for me without question an absurdity that an internal correspondence between high-ranking officials and functionaries of the DVNLP, the DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and the Senior Member of the DVNLP Education and Training Commission, the Board Advisor Martina Schmidt-Tanger and me, the DVNLP Senior Honorary Member and initiating founder of this association, which dealt with internal association matters to be treated confidentially, is handed over to an association member for judicial use against another affected association member.<sup>524</sup>

---

<sup>523</sup> I had decided against an appeal. My budget was exhausted and I didn't feel like another court case. Furthermore, I erroneously assumed that the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors would carry out reconnaissance. I had not expected that he would instead bring two "Causa DVNLP" main and associates on board.

<sup>524</sup> In this case, the member to whose disadvantage this betrayal occurs is also one of the three officials whose correspondence is misappropriated. Double standards in the morally crashed DVNLP: Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had refused to tell me who had complained about me, let alone with what content. He has assured the persons concerned of confidentiality and has also kept this

The judge obviously did not think very long about a necessary differentiation between *private amicable-confidential* and *association-internal-confidential*, nor did he seem to think very long about the question of whether someone makes his own accusations against a person when he says that *he would believe someone* who makes accusations against this person. Unfortunately, my lawyer and I have not taken this questionable conclusion as an opportunity to appeal against the verdict in court case XY./Stahl. Perhaps we should have done so, because in addition to an opinion of Senior Public Prosecutor R. dated 16 December 2014<sup>525</sup>, which very appropriately represented my position and which we had presented to the judge but which was not further considered by him, there was a second voice from the Hamburg Public Prosecutor's Office, which was obviously better able to understand and comprehend my position in the "DVNLP case" than this judge: In a letter from the Attorney General v. On the second page of 22 June 2015, in which<sup>526</sup> he rejects the complaint of KF's lawyer XY against the termination of the investigations against me, it is stated that the context of my "*statements in question*" would show that it was not *I who* had described XY as a pimp, but that I had "*reproduced corresponding statements*" In so doing, he made it very clear that I had not accepted the complainant's allegations against XY.

The fact that KF had presented the *manipulated and highly toxic* LKA note of 24 January 2014<sup>527</sup> to the judge on 20 July 2016<sup>528</sup> and had increasingly psychopathologised the complainant in his writings would<sup>529</sup> also have spoken in favour of an appeal. According to the head of the social psychiatric service, the<sup>530</sup> judge took the manipulated LKA note of 24.01.2014, together with the "dossier perpetrator-victim-reversion", uncommented to the court file and did not take it into account in his judgement.<sup>531</sup>

---

questionable promise. The addressees of the complaints, XY, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM, however, were informed in detail of the content of the complaints filed against them by the Board of Directors before it helped them to "get these complaints off the table" by means contrary to the Statutes.

<sup>525</sup> See *notice of recruitment to XY via KF (16.12.2014)*

<sup>526</sup> See *GStA v. S. an KF (22.06.2015)*.

<sup>527</sup> See the entries under the 24.01.2014 in the "*Dossier Täter-Opfers-Victim-Reversal*".

<sup>528</sup> See *attorney KF to LG HH (20.07.2016)*

<sup>529</sup> On 16.06.2015, in a supplement to his complaint of 29.05.2015 against the discontinuation of the investigations against me, KF stated that "*there are concrete indications that Mrs. ... [complainant] is not only mentally ill, but also suffers from delusions.* (See *RA KF to public prosecutor's office (16.06.2015)*).

<sup>530</sup> See the entry under the 05.09.2016 in the "*Dossier Täter-Opfer-Anber-Rkehr*".

<sup>531</sup> See the entries under the 05.09. and 27.09.2016 in the "*Dossier Täter-Opfer-Anber-Rkehr*". The impression which his "favorite witness" GA and the DVNLP "character witnesses" Martina

The fact that I agreed with the settlement urgently recommended by the judge in his order of 03.02.2015 "*with regard to the possible taking of evidence, which could be very incriminating for possible witnesses*", "... *without acknowledging a legal obligation and without concession of the statements made so far, to undertake not to make the statements in dispute in the future, as far as they have been proven*", would also have spoken in favor of an appeal - in contrast to XY.<sup>532</sup>

The fact that the passing on of internal confidential mails to a DVNLP member for private use against two other DVNLP members by the association's official Martina Schmidt-Tanger is an abuse can also be explained by the fact that the DVNLP board of directors probably demanded from her to suppress all friendly and collegial concerns as well as all loyalty concerns regarding her DVNLP office. Martina Schmidt-Tanger was demanded by her board of directors to take the risk of being<sup>533</sup> seen as a sneaky "colleague sow" abusing her office. The absurdity of it is:

- At the beginning of the "DVNLP case", and later even to SPIEGEL, Dr Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger had reproached the complainant and myself<sup>534</sup> that we would *abuse the DVNLP for something that would not go through the courts* - for lack of evidence in the form of witness statements.
- Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger did exactly that, but vice versa. They are *abusing a court for something that would not have been possible within the DVNLP within the association* - at least not within the framework of the current statutes: Only by abusing trial XY./Stahl in the course of their covert operations, which were concealed from the DVNLP members, was it possible for them to denounce the complainant as an untrustworthy false accuser - only in

---

Schmidt-Tanger, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Petra P. and Stephan Landsiedel made on the judge was obviously more decisive than the opinion of his lawyer colleagues Chief Public Prosecutor R. and Attorney General v. S. It was probably also important that the prospect of not having to extend the duration of the proceedings to further years and not having to deal more deeply with the manipulation of the LKA and the complex situation of the complainant as a witness was also important. I had given him the "*Dossier Victim-Victim-Return*" for the court file.

<sup>532</sup> In any event, I had only quoted the complainant's allegations. It was never my intention to make accusations against him as my own. But XY probably wanted a "proper" sentence that would clearly certify his complete innocence. And for his mafia-like acting supporters and patrons Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Petra P., Leo Buchholz and Stephan Landsiedel a clear muzzle guilty verdict was of course of great importance for me - how else could they have managed to criminalize and psychiatrize the complainant in the DVNLP.

<sup>533</sup> Martina Schmidt-Tanger was familiar with the complainant's description according to which XY wanted to blackmail her into signing a certificate for hours in adult education, which she later obtained by means of physical violence (cf. 2.3. "*Manifest violence*" by DVNLP members), by saying that she would be a "pig among colleagues" if she did not give it to him.

<sup>534</sup> See my mail of 24.08.2013 in "*Causa DVNLP*"- *Correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014*, the *causa statement DVNLP (26.09.2014)* and *DVNLP-answers to questions of the SPIEGEL (13.04.2016)*.



this way were they able to eliminate her complaints within the association, which were also directed against herself as an abuser of authority.

The Board of Directors also abused Martina Schmidt-Tanger in that it required her - as a renowned senior member of the Training and Further Education Commission - to deal with XY and the conflict- and guilt-ridden Master's participants Petra P., AK and Leo Buchholz in order to manipulate, deceive and lie to the 2014 general meeting together with these "causa DVNLP" perpetrators, or more precisely: to support the lies of the alleged master bullying "partners" of the complainant with "charismatic" hypocritically used crocodile tears. The perpetrator Petra P. denounced the complainant and me to the police with false statements and the complainant's intensive conflict partner, AK, had already lost his temper with her once<sup>535</sup> and thus revealed himself to be a violent perpetrator.

Even if Martina Schmidt-Tanger had sufficient reasons of her own to want to "dispose of" the complainant, i.e. she probably "used" (i.e. abused herself in the sense of a reciprocal abuse) her "NLP-professional" employee Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his board of directors for these reasons of her own, this does not change the fact that she was in turn instrumentalised and abused by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech: Even an abuse within a structure of mutual or reciprocal abuses, *an abuse within an abuse culture*, is and remains an abuse - which, as an association-internal continuing effect, has not been corrected by the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors until today: To this day, the DVNLP has not sanctioned the abuse that a still acting member of its training and further training commission has committed against her training participant. In doing so, he still abuses his senior member of the training and further education commission, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, who he expects to be regarded, together with Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, as the main or almost sole perpetrator of the "DVNLP case".

Martina Schmidt-Tanger, however, is not the only DVNLP member who has been abused by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech for (1.) as a "clean" DVNLP character witness to support the abuser and alleged sex offender XY in his court proceedings contrary to the statutes or (2.) as a "manipulation and deception commissioner" in the general meeting to be lied to.

#### 1.2.2.5.2 Abuse of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who in his time as chairman was also a simple member of the association in addition to his function as an association member, was also abused by the DVNLP board. This is because he should have been corrected by his colleagues Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech and prevented from forming a coalition in several perverse triangles (see 1.2.1.4.2. *Lord of the*

---

<sup>535</sup> See the mail exchange with AK in the *mails in the master distribution list 2013-2014*.

*Perverse Triangles*) against other members of the Union, the complainant and myself.

Strictly speaking, all abuses already described above committed by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and all abuses described in this chapter, committed by and for which the Board of Directors is responsible,<sup>536</sup> also constitute a kind of meta-abuse of the members of the Board of Directors against their chairman, inasmuch as they, as his colleagues on the Board of Directors, have obviously approved the abuses of their chairman against the DVNLP members concerned, each of which is also associated with an abuse of his office. This is particularly clear from the example of the forcible removal of the complainant and myself from the general meeting, which was manipulated and deceived by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas *together with Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech*, and also from the example of the meeting held in this MA by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas "waded off" spokesman of the Arbitration Commission, Henrik Andresen (see 1.2.2.5.6. *Abuse to Henrik Andresen*): Both abusive attacks by DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas took place in the presence of all board members.

In this case, as in the other cases described above, Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech probably abused both the member abused by their chairperson and their chairperson committing this abuse. These "abuses with the abuses", these meta-abuses, which have not been corrected and continued until today, make up<sup>537</sup> the DVNLP culture of abuse. The DVNLP board members Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech have committed these abuses either with deliberate clarity about the background facts and contexts of the "DVNLP case" together with its chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, or rather negligently, with a perhaps diffuse half- or non-knowledge resulting from negligent ignorance.

Strictly speaking, of course, besides the members of the board of directors, the majority of the<sup>538</sup> DVNLP-members, who are well informed by me, also abused their

---

<sup>536</sup> The line between the ordinary member Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and the DVNLP chairman of the board is probably not so easy to draw in each individual case - and probably also the task of an investigation committee to be appointed in the DVNLP.

<sup>537</sup> The structural problem of the DVNLP already mentioned above, the absurd fact that NLP trainers decide on the certification authorization, i.e. on the market chances of their competitors in the NLP training market, should have led to statutorily elaborated and strictly supervised structures and procedures in this association, which guarantee an intact separation of powers in the association. In the "DVNLP case" it has become clear that the extremely weak regulations existing in this respect could not prevent totalitarian derailments and the collapse of the separation of powers in the association. As a consequence of the amendment of the DVNLP statutes (see *DVNLP statutes*) it is probably foreseeable that the DVNLP will turn into an association in which such aberrations, as we have experienced in the "Causa DVNLP" as indications of the existence of arbitrary rule, will no longer be visible.

<sup>538</sup> Compare my mails to the DVNLP members.

chairman. To put it in a provocative mixture of a "Führer-Volk"-Nazi analogy<sup>539</sup> and Bert Hellinger's use of language referring to that time: The DVNLP "people of the association", <sup>540</sup>well informed about its misdeeds, "put their "leader", Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, "into service", i.e. the DVNLP members "had their board of directors and their chairman of the board of directors "made" - most of them probably with the idea of a quarter, half or foreknowledge, but many of the well informed members also with full knowledge about the destruction policy of their association towards the complainant and me. As their "Führer", in order to remain in the Nazi analogy of "empowerment", Dr. jur. Jens Tomas presumably took on the task, in the person of the complainant and in my person, of averting and "eliminating" something that threatened the identity experience of the "associational people" of the DVNLP - similar to what Adolf Hitler did for the German people at that time with regard to the Jews who threatened their identity experience.

If one allows all the Nazi analogies used here, in addition to the newly introduced analogy of the "inaugurated association leader", to take effect, one comes across people who were devalued during the Nazi era, who were portrayed in an extremely devastating way as being unworthy of being part of the national community and therefore of being part of it on account of their religious or *ethnic origin* and affiliation (Jews, Roma and Sinti), their physical or *psychological disability* or their (actual or alleged) *mental illness*, their humanistic attitude and devotion to all people ("*friends of the Jews*") or their *divergent political opinions and basic convictions* (social democrats, communists) - or also because of the special nature of their sexual life, such as homosexuals who<sup>541</sup>*live promiscuously and "seduce innocent men"*, i.e. those who love the same sex.

The DVNLP also dealt with the devaluation and criminal exclusion of people. The 2014 General Meeting, which was lied to and deceived by him, "authorised" Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his board of directors to remove the complainant and me as "Association Damagers" from the "People's Body" of the DVNLP in violation of the statutes. With the help of the DVNLP's "Schutzstaffel" they were "deported" from the general meeting, then in this general meeting they were "condemned in absentia" by a "standing court in absentia" and finally "eliminated" "by

---

<sup>539</sup> Cf. the Nazi analogies I have already used before to describe the "Causa DVNLP", e.g. those of the "Authorization" (see "*Nazi Analogies*" Court Ruling (09.03.2017))

<sup>540</sup> The DVNLP members were not only informed by *my mails to the DVNLP members* about the derailments in their association, but also about my publications which became more and more obvious with regard to the misconduct and machinations in the DVNLP.

<sup>541</sup> It was the practice of the Nazis to spare the seduced, usually younger men and kill only the older, usually promiscuous homosexuals who had seduced the younger ones, who were generally considered more innocent by them.

*circumventing their own statutes*".<sup>542</sup> The DVNLP members present, who were manipulated, deceived, lied to and "seduced" by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, did not only silently accept this, but also<sup>543</sup> authorized him and his association's leadership by "Enabling Act" to deal with us at their own discretion, i.e. if necessary also criminally, and to "eliminate" us as members.

All these terms, which originate from the Nazi era, would suggest that in the case of the DVNLP we are talking about a Nazi association. But for good reasons I have not done this so far, but preferred to speak of a Nazi German association, which can be called Fachistoid with some justification because of its fascist-totalitarian "Causa DVNLP"-slips. The ending "-oid" means "similar" and not "equal". In this sense, in order to avoid misunderstandings, I have not spoken of Nazi comparisons, but of Nazi analogies. And it is also in this sense, by the way, that I am talking about a mafiod association and not a mafia association in the case of the DVNLP.

According to the same logic, I deliberately did not speak of Nazi perpetrator energy with reference to my trainer colleague Martina Schmidt-Tanger, who was still a friend of mine at the time, but of Nazi perpetrator energy<sup>544</sup>. I did so in memory of a conversation with her and the complainant together in late summer 2014, when Martina had made it clear that if I supported the complainant in her request to refer her complaint to the Arbitration Committee and therefore fewer people would come to our high-priced coaching training, which had been well attended for many years, she would terminate her cooperation with me.

At first I was completely speechless about what suddenly became apparent in my colleague's side and then expressed my astonishment with the help of this analogy: *"Martina, it's as if we were living in the dark German past and ... [the complainant] was a Jew. And you would then demand that I hide her as a woman by my side and leave her defenceless to the harassment of our colleagues and seminar participants who violently act against her<sup>545</sup>? And all because you're afraid the Nazis among our seminar customers would stay away?!"*

---

<sup>542</sup> On page 11 of the *"Nazi Analogies" court decision (09.03.2017)* it says: *"For the board of directors has had its decision on the exclusion of the defendant [= Thies Stahl, but also concerns the complainant] confirmed by the general meeting, bypassing its own statutes. In doing so, the defendant was not only unlawfully but also violently prevented from attending the general meeting.*

<sup>543</sup> See the *declaration of the DVNLP General Assembly 2014*, for which I have written in *"DVNLP deserted by all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control"* had used the Nazi analogy "Enabling Act".

<sup>544</sup> See under 1.2.1.3.3. *place of honour in the circle of perpetrators of violence* the chapter 1.2.1.3.3.5. *slander and eliminate with nazi or perpetrator energy*

<sup>545</sup> Martina knew exactly who was meant here. We had talked about her by name, but since SM, one of her "NLP-professional"/DVNLP teaching trainers was also present at our coaching training

At the time of this interview in September 2013, I had not yet known in what absurd way Martina Schmidt-Tanger had become entangled in role-mixing conflicts with the complainant in 2011 in the part of our coaching training in which I was not yet involved as a trainer. Even in the summer of 2014, I did not yet know many details of Martina Schmidt-Tanger's unethical behaviour towards the complainant, i.e. at the time when Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas provided my e-mails addressed to both of them within the association by misappropriation of funds XY for judicial use against me and thus against the complainant. XY had demonstrably abused the complainant as a DVNLP course instructor and coach and, according to her reports, had pimped and exploited her. The latter also through the mediation of her love services to male and female DVNLP instructors, members and association officials.

And likewise, I could not yet suspect at that time how far Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, the "NLP-professional" employee Martina Schmidt-Tangers, would go in the abuse of his DVNLP office as chairman of the board in order to help his "NLP-professional" employer out of a jam with a discreditation and denunciation of the complainant towards the LKA, the public prosecutor's office and in the Hamburg court case XY/Steel, which was official for the association but concealed from the DVNLP membership. This means that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, by interfering together with Martina Schmidt-Tanger - both as high-ranking DVNLP representatives and concealed from the association members - in court proceedings XY/Steel, would denounce the complainant as a crazy and implausible false accuser, I could not have imagined. Together with Cora Besser-Siegmund, Petra P., Stephan Landsiedel and Leo Buchholz, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas created the basis for "liquidating" the complainant as a member of the association and "eliminating" her by excluding her from the association in violation of the statutes.

The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" member of the Management Board was then successful in this against the background

- the (quasi-) *ethnic origin* and affiliation of the complainant (she grew up in a sectarian, paedosexual and prostitution "ethnic" subculture of a paedo-criminal offender system from which she managed to leave as a 38-year-old key witness),
- her sexuality forced upon her by this perpetrator system, which she lived in a very special way, including a "*promiscuity*" that seduces innocent men and women in group events or individual settings (on behalf of her perpetrator system she had acquired several male and female DVNLP association officials and members via sex as supporters and consumers for this system between 2004 and 2011,

---

and DN, one of the participants, she wanted the association to keep silent about the complainant's allegations under all circumstances.

- of an alleged psychological *disability*, which was officially "certified" by her trainer Martina Schmidt-Tanger, who was involved in role abuse conflicts with her, at the beginning of the "DVNLP case" - a diagnosis which was then confirmed by the perpetrator rope team Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Petra P., Anita was impressively confirmed by Hertel, Leo Buchholz and AK by mass hypnotic-beamer-supported denunciation - in the version "unbelievable *mentally ill people*".

The background for the attempt to<sup>546</sup>liquidate and eliminate me as a DVNLP member is probably that

- I have very clearly taken sides for a woman who also works professionally as an escort, call girl and also as a "part-time" sex worker. In the analogy "Jew-lover" spoken: I was not afraid of the taboo subjects of prostitution and promiscuity, which are part of the lived bourgeois double standards. But: one does not stand up for a woman who is socially (crushingly) marginalized because of her (quasi)ethnic origin with impunity, I was allowed to learn. And you don't want to be associated with "someone like that." ("You had something with her yourself", I was often told as feedback to my publications.<sup>547</sup>) One does not even get involved with a Jewess if one orients oneself by the Jew Jesus and his "He who is free of sin" encounter with the adulteress (who for some probably lived a little too promiscuously),<sup>548</sup>
- I was presented to the people of the association as a kind of *mentally handicapped person*, as someone with a kind of "second spring dementia" who suffers from "disorders (*of a mental illness*)". I was psychopathologized<sup>549</sup> by

---

<sup>546</sup> Before they could have been successful with this attempt carried out by criminal means, I - on my own initiative - resigned from the DVNLP (see 1.2.2.8.2. *Abuse of the Conciliation Commission*).

<sup>547</sup> In order to prevent this misunderstanding, which has already occurred several times, I did not get to know the complainant as a love servant or sex worker, but as a participant in my master's course - and after the seminar I learned to love her as a love partner and woman at my side. Neither was I aware of her decades-long background of being forced into prostitution as a child, teenager and also as an adult through her family and extended perpetrator system, nor was I aware that when I started a relationship with her, she was currently also, and even in my seminar, still having to buy.

<sup>548</sup> My colleague Martina talked about Jesus several times at that time, and in her opinion he had no problems sitting at his table with a prostitute. Apart from the fact that I am not Jesus, Martina could of course not give this honour to the complainant - probably because of certain details of her role-mixing conflict with the complainant, whom I did not know at the time of these conversations. With regard to these details, a DVNLP investigative committee should consult Martina Schmidt-Tanger.

<sup>549</sup> See the DVNLP's statement to the SPIEGEL, which officially pathologizes me, in which it says, in addition to "suffering from disorders", that "Mr. Stahl is one of the outstanding figures in Germany with regard to NLP... the more shaken is the board of directors by the depth to which Mr.

Martina Schmidt-Tanger, initially in the association<sup>550</sup> and then by the DVNLP board of directors, in an extremely stupid and cheeky way to the SPIEGEL and was presented to the DVNLP members as an apparently somewhat confused and somehow annoying ragman parasite<sup>551</sup> and "*poor old man*"<sup>552</sup> (all in all, as if my brain had suffered irreparable damage in the encounter with my new, much younger and in a special way experienced girlfriend),

- I have represented *basic convictions and (association-political) opinions that clearly deviate* from the line of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors and the DVNLP chief advisor Martina Schmidt-Tanger (both organizationally and ethically, e.g. on the topic of "dealing with abuse and abuse complaints"<sup>553</sup>, and theoretically with regard to the possibilities of integrating NLP and systemic constellation work).

This description makes clear the similarity with social developments and peculiarities of the Nazi era, which also affected my person. As, in this analogy, a "*friend of the Jews*", a (supposedly) *mentally ill* (Folie á deux-Gestörter) and *dissident*, I was excommunicated together with the complainant and, as an honorary member (of the DVNLP), liquidated and eliminated.

In contrast to Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and the other DVNLP perpetrators, as well as probably most DVNLP members, I had and have no fear of contact with the taboo topics prostitution, abuse and pedophilia due to my own life experience. Above all, unlike some of my colleagues among the DVNLP perpetrators, I am not afraid of being accused of unethical or judicial misconduct in the context of these issues, including paedophilia, i.e. of being in conflict with the DVNLP's ethical guidelines or even with the law.<sup>554</sup>

---

*Stahl has gone in his "mission" to save the honor of his partner" - of course without mentioning the criminal machinations in the DVNLP to the detriment of the complainant, which made such a "rescue" of her person absolutely necessary.*

<sup>550</sup> See her mails from 30.05.2014 in "*Causa DVNLP*"- *Correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014*.

<sup>551</sup> See *DVNLP Board to all members (07.10.2014)*.

<sup>552</sup> See *Hallig-Oland on the XING NLP "Poor old man" (January 20, 2015)*.

<sup>553</sup> Attacked, but probably for more personal motives, which remain in the dark, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas made "*statements*" containing my theoretical derivations, which "*objectively analyzed in large parts contain 'absurd' trains of thought and pseudo-psychological constructs* (see *Jens an Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)*).

<sup>554</sup> On the subject of abuse and prostitution: I have survived, worked through and integrated the abuse as a small child by my aunt and as an adult by my trainer Virginia Satir (see *Taboo Virginia's tongue*) as well as the psychological costs of a longer extramarital secret love relationship and some encounters with paid love servants - e.g. when I was in a psychologically difficult separation and divorce situation with my first wife at that time. Among other things, I have meanwhile come to terms quite well with the shock which for me in 1993 consisted in the fact that when my then

Besides the devastating devaluation of people mainly because of a certain ethnic (or even quasi-ethnic) origin and because of their (alleged) mental illness or disability, there is another aspect regarding the similarity of the "Causa DVNLP" events with social and psychological developments, structures, processes during the Nazi era. This systemic structural level only becomes accessible to the viewer when he has studied the way promiscuous homosexuals in the Third Reich dealt with each other.

If one reads the book "*Hitler's Secret: The Double Life of a Dictator*"<sup>555</sup> by the historian Lothar Machtan, a parallel emerges between the way Hitler and the Nazi leadership elite dealt with the psychological defence and separation of their own homoerotic and promiscuous tendencies and the way leading female DVNLP members dealt with their own female homosexual and promiscuous tendencies:

At that time Hitler had the homosexual Ernst Röhm and numerous gay men belonging to the Nazi leadership elite liquidated. In the "Night of the Long Knives" he had about 150 of them murdered and subsequently had 15,000 to 50,000 homosexuals deported to the concentration camps and killed there. All this, according to the hypothesis of Lothar Machtan, mainly and primarily for the purpose of camouflaging his own homosexuality. Today, in 2014, at the "General Meeting of the Long Knives", the summary court, which judged the complainant and myself in absentia, was decisively co-organised and made possible by the women of the DVNLP leadership elite, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Anita von

---

still-wife, suddenly and from ambush, in the custody dispute in the family court of the judge threw on the table the remark "*And in addition, he makes the under-age, drug-addicted girls from the line in St. George dependent on his money*". With this elegant, hypnotic, highly effective criminalization and pathologization of my person - which she spread not only in court, but also in my professional environment - my then wife made it very clear that she greatly appreciated, but above all made use of my openness regarding my part of our extramarital activities - unfortunately only in the most blatant way grossly wrong and distorted. Since I had decided at that time not to pathologize her with the help of my lawyer, she won the custody battle "easily": Even after that, the Higher Regional Court and, with text modules, also the Constitutional Court confirmed the right to denial of joint custody, which at that time was granted quasi automatically to the mother in the case of a dispute. (Targeted slander in court can be decisive in determining whether or not one owns a condominium - which yes, I had earned well, would be ok if the children were not also asked to pay in such cases, in the currency of PAS symptoms into adulthood). Across all instances together I was 150.000,00 DM poorer, but my psychological immune system has certainly strengthened this experience, e.g. in terms of a more fearless and truthful handling of my own experiences regarding the taboo subjects of abuse and prostitution: How do you process abuse you have experienced, both in the position of the one who suffered it and in the position of the one who committed it? As an abusive suitor, dear DVNLP colleagues, or as an abusive party, dear DVNLP colleagues, especially in personal union as an abusive trainer, psychotherapist, mediator or coach within a power-asymmetrical relationship with a participant and/or client.

<sup>555</sup> See

[https://www.amazon.de/gp/product/359615927X/ref=as\\_li\\_tl?ie=UTF8&camp=1638&creative=6742&creativeASIN=359615927X&linkCode=as2ag&thiesstahlseminar&id=9bd81fc499dd04413c593b2d9002cc7f](https://www.amazon.de/gp/product/359615927X/ref=as_li_tl?ie=UTF8&camp=1638&creative=6742&creativeASIN=359615927X&linkCode=as2ag&thiesstahlseminar&id=9bd81fc499dd04413c593b2d9002cc7f)



Hertel and Petra P., who were involved in intensive role-mixing conflicts with the complainant.

Since the complainant reports on several sexual and power abuses by female DVNLP members, instructors and association officials, and since the exposed DVNLP women just listed have all actively protected XY and the other alleged male abusers and criminals, and instead of them have "let the complainant jump over the blade", appears in the light of the Hitler-Röhm analogy as a defensive attitude of the leading DVNLP women regarding their own homoerotic tendencies and presumed tendencies towards a female, same-sex abuse of power.

A DVNLP investigative committee, which questioned the complainant and looked at her 3,000 pages of biographical and DVNLP reappraisal texts, will be surprised to what extent descriptions of abusive homoerotic encounters not only by individual gay DVNLP instructors and members, for example with children and adolescents, but also by DVNLP teacher trainers and female association officials with the complainant as a client, training participant and committee petitioner who has been sexually and power-abused by them - all in the context of unethical role mixing within power-asymmetrical relationships.

This final report cannot go into this Nazi analogy of the "Night of the Long Knives", which in this case concerned the women's leadership elite. This interesting aspect of the "liquidation" of the complainant (and also of the "elimination" of myself as her supporter) in the DVNLP as a defence against libidinous inclinations and orientations that are not socially acceptable, split off and therefore collectively tabooed, can probably only be further researched in the protected framework of a committee of inquiry - or in a DVNLP working group that is theoretically and ethically working through the derailments of the "DVNLP case" and is also protected by a duty of confidentiality. The reading of the book by Lothar Machtan is highly recommended to their members in case of their occurrence - it will help them to recognize the structural similarity with regard to the aspects *promiscuously living and "innocent men seducing"* homosexuals in the Nazi era and *promiscuously living and "innocent women seducing"* homoerotically also experienced sex service provider in the DVNLP: In order for leading representatives of the system to successfully camouflage and hide the acting out and acting out of their homoerotic (and in the DVNLP of course also their hetero- and homosexual paedophile) inclinations, the person(s) who could become dangerous in the direction of forced outing had to be liquidated and disposed of.

In the DVNLP, this German association, which has arrived in the middle of bourgeois society, one does not want to have anything to do with all that the complainant and I represent as messengers or bearers of this bad news.<sup>556</sup> It is obviously something

---

<sup>556</sup> Occasionally it is perhaps quite ok for the bourgeois man *and also* for the NLP-emancipated bourgeois DVNLP-woman to resort to the services of a love servant as a trivial offence, so to

that falls out of all common and narrow notions of bourgeois double standards and probably in a threatening way goes beyond the scope of what can be addressed in relation to sexuality in the training and coaching context and must therefore be excommunicated as a subject together with those who name it (see 1.2.5. *Sloppy scapegoat ritual*).

The idea, which is based on the Hellingerian figure of thought (Nazi Germany and Hitler), that DVNLP members, together with their leadership elite, "*put the chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas into service*", moves the blame, after my indignation directed primarily at him and Martina Schmidt-Tanger for years, on Dr. jur. Jens Tomas quite obviously charged, into a different light: From this point of view, it is no longer so much a question of his guilt as of his office presumably being abused by the DVNLP association official primarily for "NLP-professional" private economic reasons and reasons that are related to the accusations that the complainant also made against him. This of course remains the object of my indignation as the injured party, just like the complainant. In this view it is about a kind of mission and higher task, which consists in making clear to what extent fascist-totalitarian social structures and mindsets, which were believed to be long since overcome in Germany,<sup>557</sup> are repeated in the DVNLP and thus become clear as still present. After all, NLP is represented in the German association DVNLP in a very German way, and to this seems to belong the establishment of the fascistoid culture of abuse described in this "Causa DVNLP" final report - which is of course more than sad for me, because as its German importer I have been associating *very* different hopes for the people in Germany with the NLP method since 1979.

The combination of Nazi analogies and thought positions of Bert Hellinger used here for the description of the development in the DVNLP will probably be experienced as an imposition by some NLP-interested people inside and outside the DVNLP, e.g. those who, already in the 2004 debate about the efforts of exclusion directed against Bert Hellinger, could not or did not want to understand<sup>558</sup> my criticism of Hellinger critics exposed in the systemic world.

In connection with the "Causa DVNLP" I would like to point out again this Hellinger debate at that time, because I suspect that the exclusion of my person from the DVNLP was not insignificantly also a consequence of the fact that I publicly and very critically excluded myself from the Bert Hellinger, in large parts less "systemic", but

---

speak. But, my students Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Cora Besser-Siegmund and Anita von Hertel will certainly agree with me, "of course you don't want someone like that among your peers".

<sup>557</sup> See "*Violence, abuse, double standards and the return of the repressed in the DVNLP*".

<sup>558</sup> See mine in *Bert Hellinger: My Life. My work: The founder of the family constellation* completely printed *Open Letter Thies Stahl to Arist von Schlippe*, which I had written in reaction to his "Open Letter to Bert Hellinger" and the "Potsdam Declaration" and which are both completely printed in this book. See also the interesting, complete *elk documentation* of the reactions he received to his Open Letter to Hellinger, kindly provided to me by Arist von Schlippe.

rather fascistoid and Nazi-looking criticism of him and his person by some colleagues, above all by my colleague Arist von Schlippe, who together with Matthias Varga von Kibéd initiated the "Potsdam Declaration" of the Systemic Society,<sup>559</sup> or co-formulated. This hint might be useful for those who find the relationship between NLPers and operators interesting: In the DVNLP I introduced the "Systemic Structural Constellations" (SySt) by Matthias Varga von Kibéd and Insa Sparrer at congresses from 2001 onwards, which was "looked up" by the "NLP purists", such as Martina Schmidt-Tanger, and was probably also thanked by several NLPers who looked beyond their own noses. But the fact that I, already from 2004 and then with even clearer words from 2007, publicly and also at DVNLP congresses, spoke out against what I consider to be their strangely emotional, excluding and subliminally destructive criticism of Bert Hellinger and his work, has probably contributed substantially to my own exclusion from the DVNLP (and the Hellinger excluding part of the field of constellation work<sup>560</sup>). This criticism referred to the Nazi and abundantly stupid and uninformed<sup>561</sup> way in which Bert Hellinger was accused by many of being a Nazi sympathizer.

One background to the dynamics of exclusion and division, which has also partially reached into the NLP "community", was Hellinger's confrontation with Hitler. In 2018, in connection with the tendencies of exclusion directed against me in the DVNLP and in the world of operators, I wrote<sup>562</sup>: "...Bert had touched on the German Hitler taboo by<sup>563</sup> conceding Hitler a 'being human'. In doing so, he went against the habit of most Germans of reflexively thinking of Hitler exclusively as a kind of

---

<sup>559</sup> See the *position of the systemic society*. Here is this *document with the signatories*.

<sup>560</sup> Matthias Varga von Kibéd no longer lists Hellinger as one of his instructors: The four main roots of the SySt are Erickson's hypnotherapy, solution-focused work, satirical work and other group simulation methods, and various systemic approaches such as those of Försters, the Heidelberg and the Milan (see minute 3:03 in <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZRE-uzhj91c>).

<sup>561</sup> See also the open reply letter by Bertold Ulsamer, also printed in full length in *Bert Hellinger: Mein Leben. My work: The founder of the family constellation*, to be found on page 33 ff. also in the *moose documentation*.

<sup>562</sup> See "*Psychiatry. Not funny*", *Open Letter - The NISL psychiatrises participants of its training and correspondence Weber-Stahl*.

<sup>563</sup> See p. 247 in his book "*Gottesgedanken*" (Kösel-Verlag, 2004) In addition, I find a very enlightening passage from Wilfried Nelles, "*Bert Hellinger, Adolf Hitler and National Socialism*": "(Bert wrote:) 'If I respect you, I respect myself. If I detest you, I detest me. Then may I love you? Do I have to love you because otherwise I'm not allowed to love me either?' There is, according to Hellinger, a common source or cause behind everything (the religions call it 'God'), and if I condemn Hitler, I condemn the source, and since we all come from the same cause, I condemn myself as well. But just as he does not condemn him, he does not praise him either - Hellinger simply abstains from any judgement and leaves Adolf Hitler to history or the cause that produced him like everything else: 'Therefore I am free from you, and you are free from me. ... Therefore I forget you and release you from my thoughts and feelings, even from my love or respect, and from my judgment.'"

*monstrous, non-human something, as the essence of pure evil, abstracted to the maximum from everything human, i.e. as a non-human, through whose vaporization and traceless dissolution into nothingness all evil has disappeared from all Nazi perpetrators and followers - and also all the bad and evil that has ever been, is or will ever be present in a German person.*<sup>564</sup>

The discussion about the background to the exclusion of Bert Hellinger, which has been pursued in Germany in a quite devastating manner since the beginning of the 2000s, is anything but complete in the "psycho world".<sup>565</sup> Much could also be said about how this dynamic of exclusion and division plays into the "DVNLP cause".

But it is probably true that anyone who touches a taboo (as Bert Hellinger did with Adolf Hitler) becomes an untouchable. And whoever touches an untouchable (as I did with my criticism of the Nazi critique of Hellinger) becomes untouchable himself. As far as I am concerned, this apparently applies both to the topic of "Hellinger and Hitler" and to the taboos surrounding the topics of "abuse and prostitution".

#### 1.2.2.5.3 Misuse of Petra P. and AK

Association official Petra P., spokeswoman of the DVNLP regional group Hamburg/Schleswig-Holstein at the time of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech, was abused by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech in their - depending on whether and to what extent they may have been lied to by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger - blind or sighted fight against the complainant and me.

Petra P. was even abused twice: (1.) Together with AK, she and the other "Causa DVNLP" perpetrators were deceived by her and the other "Causa DVNLP" perpetrators and the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors made her play the role of the complainant's victim. In this way, she was expected to refrain from speaking within the association, e.g. in a hearing before the Arbitration Commission, about her own intensive involvement in the conflict XY./complainant, the background activities of XY in my Master's thesis and also about the group bullying she allegedly

---

<sup>564</sup> From my *Open Letter - The NISL psychiatrists participants in its training*.

<sup>565</sup> Unfortunately Bert Hellinger died on 19.09.2019 at the age of 93 years. It's a pity, Bert, I would have liked to hear your opinion about the "Causa DVNLP" and the bigger movements that show up in it. - I had asked Bert on 10.12.2018 after the publication of his autobiography, if he already knew my open letter to Arist in the years 2007 to 2011, when I often sat in his seminars. Sophie Hellinger replied on 15.12.2018 in Bert's name: *"Bert had taken note of your letter from the beginning and was very touched that you defended it in this way. At that time, however, he had decided not to react to the attacks made against him. Because he always said, "What works will work. Only now in his autobiography had he decided to take a stand. And of course your letter was part of it. That is why he is still very attached to you today. Bert thanks you very much for your congratulations on his birthday. He told me to tell you: 'Dear Thies, I have never forgotten you, and I have never forgotten what you have done for me. You have a place in my heart.'"*

carried out together with XY and the Master participants in the conflict AK, DK, DD, KA and Leo Buchholz against the complainant.

Petra P. has sacrificed the opportunity to relieve her presumably guilty conscience by taking on the mission of providing the manipulated and deceived general meeting during the beamer presentation of out-of-context text passages of the complainant's reports and e-mails with suitable fairy tales and fake tips - which are all to be regarded as lies in this respect alone, than that they supported the basic lie of this manipulated and deceived general meeting, which to this day consists in the complete eradication of the figure of the abuser and alleged sex offender XY, who is central to the "Causa DVNLP" conflicts.

Moreover, Petra P. was apparently put on duty by the DVNLP board for the dirty job of denouncing the complainant and me to the police with brazen lies<sup>566</sup>.

#### 1.2.2.5.4 Abuse against Anita von Hertel and Leo Buchholz

Anita von Hertel, spokesperson of the Mediation Section, was probably abused by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors to the extent that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech certainly knew what a great sacrifice they were asking of her when they allowed it, approved of it and presumably also wanted her to disregard all her principles and values as a lawyer and mediator and give her blessing to a "summary court in absentia" and a suspension of the "audiatur et altera pars" - d.h. to participate in a "mediation à la DVNLP", which may include as an essential part of the mediation that one of the two parties to the conflict is bound and gagged and carried out of the room before mediation begins.

Just like Anita von Hertel, Leo Buchholz, who was deeply involved in the conflicts between XY and the complainant in the background of my master's degree, was obviously required by the board of directors to control himself in the officially manipulated and deceived general meeting of the association and to keep quiet about his presumably very intimate knowledge of the tremendous events surrounding XY, the complainant and her children.

Just like XY and the other "causa DVNLP" perpetrators, Leo Buchholz was blocked from turning around by this claim of his person by the DVNLP's executive committee for the cover-up and exclusion policy. He was forced to, as Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, and thus also Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech, allowed his guilt towards the complainant, her daughter and above all her son, which presumably existed anyway, to grow many times over when they demanded that he stand by and watch as the dull-brown "Schutz-Staffel" of the DVNLP once again caused physical violence in the complainant's life, which had not exactly been free of violence until then. His participation through active

---

<sup>566</sup> See under the 25.06.2014 in the "*Dossier Täter-Opfers-Victim-Repatriation*".

silence in this manipulated and deceived general meeting and thus also in the extent of the damage which the DVNLP, and above all he himself, caused to the complainant and her children, will probably weigh on the conscience of Leo Buchholz for a long time to come.

#### 1.2.2.5.5 Abuse against Cora Besser-Siegmund and Stephan Landsiedel

The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors knew that Cora Besser-Siegmund supported her psychotherapy patient, the abuser and alleged sex offender XY, who in her opinion was in need of treatment because of the abusive relationship with the complainant, in his proceedings against me in violation of the statutes (see 1.2.1.2. *Abuse by Cora Besser-Siegmund*). Just like Dr. jur. Jens Tomas himself, she has declared to XY, in accordance with the court statement of KF of 30.07.2014, the lawyer of XY who was notified together with him, that she is prepared to appear as a "clean" character witness for XY before the Hamburg court in the proceedings XY./Stahl. In addition, Cora Besser-Siegmund XY has forwarded a mail to XY on 24.06.2014 privately and collegially from me to her and her husband Harry Siegmund.

In this mail, I had pointed out to my old student<sup>567</sup> Cora Besser-Siegmund and her husband the complainant's "self-defense publication"<sup>568</sup> on her blog regarding the statutory violations of the DVNLP, which was acting in partisan fashion against her. XY had his lawyer KF use this mail for a criminalisation attack against me - with the equation, my advice that they should *"best download it if the DVNLP collects it by temporary injunction"*, would mean *"that the defendant was very well aware of the content of the 130-page PDF file posted on the Internet by ... [complainant] and was also aware that the posting violated the legitimate and justifiable [old spelling in the original] interests of third parties*. Cora Besser-Siegmund has given my private mail to our common student XY, so that he can use it in the court case XY./Stahl, to prove to the judge that I, the defendant, *"deliberately erred and made the entire contents of the file his own. This contains all the accusations made against the plaintiff by ... [the complainant]."*<sup>569</sup>

It was unnecessary for Cora Besser-Siegmund to leave my private e-mail addressed to her decompensated patient and Wingwave trainee XY, because XY had his lawyer KF point out in the same statement that I had publicly referred to this 130-page publication of the complainant via Facebook and had thus allegedly made her accusations my own. Although it is therefore dispensable, i.e. despite this redundancy in content, XY, KF and Cora Besser-Siegmund will have considered their "appearance" in this respect in KF's statement and in court to be necessary and

---

<sup>567</sup> She was already a participant in one of my student Gestalt groups in 1979 and then in one of my NLP practitioner groups in Hamburg in the early 80s.

<sup>568</sup> See *The S.O.S. Emergency Response Publication*.

<sup>569</sup> See *KF to LG HH (30.07.2014)*.

meaningful: The Wingwave trainer and exposed DVNLP member Cora Besser-Siegmund effectively gives a character witness against me, and thus also against the complainant - which was probably important for Cora Besser-Siegmund and XY against the background of their common fight against her.

Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech would have had to make it clear to Cora Besser-Siegmund that the DVNLP statutes do not permit such behaviour, as their chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas was obviously not in a position to do so due to his involvement in the conflict. And Dr. jur. Jens Tomas should have pointed out to her that it is not compatible with the abstinence rule for psychotherapists. However, the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors obviously demanded of Cora Besser-Siegmund - i.e. also took her into service - to ignore her certainly existing concerns and the legitimate fear of getting into trouble with the Psychotherapeutic Association for the benefit of the Association, which - you will presumably have agreed with Cora Besser-Siegmund on this point - would depend on a jointly courageous "disposal" of the complainant. On account of her special relationship with the complainant, Cora Besser-Siegmund will have her own "good reasons" for participating so actively in their disposal with such a legally risky manoeuvre.

Mitigating circumstances could possibly be invoked with regard to Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech in so far as they themselves as members of the board of directors were presumably also abused - reciprocally - by Cora Besser-Siegmund, since Cora Besser-Siegmund, like the other DVNLP perpetrators, had a clear interest of her own in the psychiatric treatment and elimination of the complainant.

The same is true for the DVNLP honorary award winner, the DVNLP teaching coach Stephan Landsiedel. It must be assumed that Stephan Landsiedel has agreed with Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his board of directors to select the Hamburg court case XY./Stahl as the strategically favourable place and moment to carry out his fraud with a DVNLP certificate against the complainant as an untrustworthy and quasi-criminal witness (see *1.2.1.5 Abuse by Stephan Landsiedel*). By approving this fraud with a DVNLP certificate by means of a certificate invalidation declaration five years after its issuance and bypassing the association committees responsible for it, and probably also by means of a corresponding agreement made in full knowledge with the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board member Stephan Landsiedel was abusively "claimed" by the DVNLP for this fraud: Apparently he was asked to take this questionable action although he risked damaging his reputation as a serious provider of DVNLP seminars: By this abusive and dirty delegation of the board to him, Stephan Landsiedel has sacrificed the trustworthiness of his Landsiedel certificates.

But in the case of Stephan Landsiedel, as in the case of the other "Causa DVNLP" perpetrators Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Dr. jur.: To be prepared to be abused and to become part of a reciprocal abuse structure in mutual complicity and roped party, probably also means to have a vital interest in the disposal of the complainant for one's own dark motives and to be prepared to be abused by the DVNLP board and to abuse the DVNLP itself.

#### 1.2.2.5.6 Abuse against Henrik Andresen

When 24 hours before the beginning of the general meeting on 31 October 2014 it became clear that DVNLP lawyers Harms and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas might be able to deny the complainant and me access to the general meeting by means of legal formalities and tricks of the lawyer's office, Henrik Andresen, spokesman of the DVNLP's arbitration commission, who had been informed by me about these machinations of the DVNLP board, filled his office with some civil courage.

From a meeting with me and the complainant, he knew about the perfidious way in which the complainant had been excluded from the crisis meeting scheduled with the board of directors at the beginning of June 2014, he knew about the sabotage of my efforts at internal mediation within the association and he knew the contents of our motions to the arbitration committee and the general meeting, all of which were collected by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors.

Henrik Andresen, as spokesman of the Arbitration Commission, acted in accordance with the spirit of his honorary office and submitted a statement to the Board of Directors on 31.10.2014 before the start of the General Assembly, in which he took a courageous and clear position with regard to membership rights and the handling of the conflicts to be resolved.<sup>570</sup>

The second member of the arbitration commission, Barbara Knuth, who was also informed in detail, decided to be unreachable for the complainant and myself, to travel to the general meeting and to participate in its manipulation and deception, by 571keeping to herself and not bringing to bear her knowledge of the background of her being manipulated and deceived, which was concealed from the members who were lied to and deceived in this meeting, and of the violations of the statutes, misconduct and machinations of some of the association officials who acted mafiotically together with the association lawyer.

In this general meeting, which he manipulated and deceived, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas then discredited Henrik Andresen - in his absence and in the presence of Barbara Knuth - by criminalising him for his alleged "service of friendship", i.e. portrayed him as a quasi-criminal collaborator of the complainant and myself, who, according to Dr. jur. Jens Tomas' lie, would have been "statutorily and lawfully"<sup>572</sup> excluded from participating in this general meeting and also from the association.<sup>573</sup>

---

<sup>570</sup> See *Andresen arbitration award BF and Stahl (31.10.2014)*.

<sup>571</sup> See the mail exchange *before the meeting SK (27.03.2015)*, *after the meeting SK (28.03.2015)*, as well as *steel arbitration commission positions paradox*.

<sup>572</sup> Dr. jur. Jens Tomas assured the lying members in this general meeting several times after witness reports by word of honour. The alleged conformity with the statutes of our forcible removal from the general meeting, which he did not mention, would have been "*ratified*" by the general meeting, he reports to the Berlin court in his statement. The members had done so in the



In his statement of 9 December 2014 to the Berlin Regional Court, Dr Jens Tomas, a lawyer, described the spokesman of the Arbitration Commission, Henrik Andresen, as being biased and discredited and criminalised him on the basis of this bias, which he was wrongly accused of. Why Dr. jur. Jens Tomas then later, when the Arbitration Commission had to decide on the appropriateness or legality of the association exclusion proceedings initiated by the Board of Directors, did not complain about Henrik Andresen's bias, he will have to explain to a DVNLP investigative committee: Dr. jur. Jens Tomas did not ensure that Henrik Andresen was replaced as a member of the Arbitration Commission because of the bias which he himself had established against the Berlin Regional Court, nor did he ensure that Henrik Andresen would be replaced because of the complainant's application of 26 March 2015 (for completely different reasons) for bias against Henrik Andresen<sup>574</sup>. In both cases of an established or suspected bias it would have been the task of DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas to intervene.

Dr. jur. Jens Tomas disciplined Henrik Andresen, who, at least not in public, did not defend himself against it, by publicly "washing up" and "bringing him into line", so that the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board no longer had to fear that Henrik Andresen would once again dare to stand up for the rights of the complainant and myself. He then no longer stole from the responsibility of a member of the Arbitration Commission, but secretly stole from it (see *1.2.2.8.2. Misuse of the Arbitration Commission*) - with the help of whatever means of pressure Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his board of directors were able to persuade Henrik Andresen to do so.

In the case of Henrik Andresen, too, one must probably assume a kind of reciprocal abuse, i.e. that Henrik Andresen, for his part, claimed the DVNLP board for his own interest. Should this be the case, he obviously did so at the price of being discredited and criminalized by the board of directors in front of 81 of the almost 2,000 DVNLP members at the general meeting. In view of the reports of the complainant, which have been suppressed by the DVNLP, I can only speculate about his motives here: Perhaps he found it more acceptable to himself that the few members present at the general meeting accuse him of having conspired with his former trainer, the association's founder Thies Stahl, out of old solidarity, than to take the risk that the nature of his involvement in the sordid topics and events of the "DVNLP case" would become notorious.

---

same way as they had "approved the exclusion and measures against Thies Stahl and ... [the complainant] by 84 votes in favour" (cf. *Statement of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (09.12.2014)*).

<sup>573</sup> In his *oath*. (09.12.2014), Dr. jur. Jens Tomas criminalizes me, just like Henrik Andresen, quite clearly: "Thus, the house ban was no longer a house ban of the board or the chairperson of the meeting, but also of the general meeting, which ratified it shortly after **Mr. Stahl** [emphasis added by me] had been **expelled from office**". .

<sup>574</sup> See *bias petition H.A. SK (26.03.2015)*

The extremely abusive discreditation of Henrik Andresens was probably necessary for Dr. jur. Jens Tomas for at least three reasons, since with its help he was able to prevent

- that Barbara Knuth, who is sitting in the General Assembly, would at last endorse Henrik Andresen's statement and make a contribution to the fact that the General Assembly and then the Arbitration Committee would help the complainant and me to obtain our membership, human and voting rights after all,
- the official lie of his "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors from April 2014<sup>575</sup>, that the "*Arbitration Court of the Association*" had "*dealt with the accusations*",
- the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors should have changed its already started and anyway risky strategy of justifying our exclusion to the general meeting to be lied to by stating that our motions to the arbitration commission had not been properly submitted. He certainly knew that this strategy would require all his rhetorical skills, since he was certainly very aware that his statement that my (and the complainant's) "*request for arbitration was not submitted in accordance with the Statute*" was <sup>576</sup>legally untenable and, above all, was a straightforward lie.<sup>577</sup>

Henrik Andresen was wrongly discredited by the Board of Directors, as he dealt with our motions in an appropriate manner and according to his duty. He was abused a second time, later in March 2015, by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors (see under "*1.2.2.8.2. Abuse of the Conciliation Commission*").

#### 1.2.2.5.7 Abuse on the applicants AK and OA

AK and OA were presumably encouraged by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors to file exclusion motions<sup>578</sup> against the complainant and myself in the DVNLP. In other words, they have been used to submit these requests as files of underhand denunciations. This results from the fact that AK and OA had obviously not been made aware by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors that their applications were complaints which should actually have been submitted to the arbitration commission for referral.

---

<sup>575</sup> See *April-without-date opinion (XX.04.2014)*, also in "*Causa DVNLP*"- *Correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014*

<sup>576</sup> See page 1 of the *oath. Statement by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (09.12.2014)*.

<sup>577</sup> The Regional Court of Berlin has confirmed the formally lawful transmission of our applications to the Arbitration Commission (see the *remarks of the Regional Court of Berlin in the decision on the determination of costs (01.07.2015)* and the *Alternative Facts of the DVNLP for the Regional Court of Berlin*).

<sup>578</sup> See *exclusion request AK (08.10.2014)* and *exclusion request OA (08.10.2014)*

In addition, the DVNLP board tolerated that these applications for exclusion of the complainant were written in a psychopathologizing, discrediting and defamatory way, similar to the filthy complaint e-mail from DK to the board<sup>579</sup>, which was kept secret from the complainant and me, as well as the applications for exclusion from AK and OA, in violation of the statutes and morals and kept closed from us.

Both applicants, XYs and Stephan Landsiedels, who obviously worked in the service of the DVNLP association management, were also abused by the Dr. jur. Jens Tomas board of directors because the board did not help them to make them less sloppy and thus to embarrass themselves as less stupid.

- For example, he did not correct AK when the latter, in his request for exclusion of the complainant and myself, accused me in an absurd and embarrassing way that I had ensured that the complainant was a teacher trainer. The board knew, of course, that this was nonsense and that she was not a teacher trainer at all. He would have had to ask AK, how he had come to this erroneous assumption. If AK had not drafted its motion in close consultation with the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Directors, the latter would certainly have taken the false teacher-trainer factual claim as an opportunity to review the appropriateness and probity of the AK exclusion motion. But since the addressee of the complaint, AK, has provided the executive committee with his application just as criminally as with his appearance in the general meeting which was criminally deceived together with him, it will have been welcome to the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" executive committee that AK accused *me* of nepotism and favouritism - and not Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who was just about to abuse his office as DVNLP chairman of the executive committee several times and thoroughly in the sense of an "NLP-professional" nepotism. And of course the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors also knew that I could not make anyone, and certainly not past him or the training and further training commission, a teaching trainer. And he also knew that he was in the process of abusing Stephan Landsiedel to revoke the complainant's NLP Landsiedel Trainer Certificate five years after it was issued, which would be a prerequisite for becoming a DVNLP Teaching Trainer.
- Also, the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Directors did not review the application for exclusion of OA for reasonableness and probity. This follows from the fact that OA alleged that the complainant had not legally acquired its DVNLP certificates. Abused the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board member OA, and thus also Stephan Landsiedel, in so far as he indirectly accused OA's trainer Stephan Landsiedel of having unlawfully granted his Landsiedel trainer certificate to the complainant - first, because of the lack of time between the master's and the trainer's training and, second, because of the lack of time between the master's and the trainer's training, because he and the other course attendants in this Landsiedel training course, as he writes in his exclusion request, *"agreed on the*

---

<sup>579</sup> See *Mail Master participant DK to DVNLP (25.04.2014)*.

*acceptance of the examination and the NLP trainer certificate to be acquired as a result".* The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors did not pursue the serious certificate fraud allegations against Stephan Landsiedel implicitly formulated by OA, e.g. by forwarding this part of his exclusion request to the competent DVNLP committees, the training commission and the arbitration commission, for referral (which OA had even explicitly requested in its request so that they would agree to the exclusion of the complainant).

This means that the dishonest "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors tolerated that the applications for exclusion of AK and OA, which were obviously manipulated by himself, were blatantly contradictory with regard to the proposed reasons for exclusion!

The misuse of AK and OA by the DVNLP board of directors also consists to this day in the fact that the DVNLP board has not yet ensured that the contributions of AK and OA containing their own and our clear names<sup>580</sup> are deleted in the internet forums controlled by the DVNLP: The DVNLP board should have protected the anonymity of the perpetrators AK and OA in its service, just as DK, DD and KA did: After they have done the "dirty work" of dirty delegations and covert operations for the board of directors, the board leaves them standing in the rain - embarrassingly outed publicly as a contract bailiff for a group of criminal DVNLP association officials and members, and thus publicly suspected of being among the "causa DVNLP" intensifiers.

AK and OA, according to the reports of the complainant suppressed in the DVNLP, belong, like DK, DD and KA, to a group of actors and perpetrators in violent "group events" and individual encounters. They are therefore all abusers in the same sense as XY (see 1.2.2.3.2 *The DVNLP board abuses abuser XY*).

Knowing full well that these are criminal works of art, the DVNLP Board of Directors did not submit the applications of AK and OA, which had denounced us, to the complainant and myself for inspection or comment - even verbally, they were not brought to our attention either in terms of their content or as existing at all, despite several requests and requests on<sup>581</sup> my part to name us "horse and rider" of those who had demanded our exclusion.

---

<sup>580</sup> See the posts in the DVNLP members forum then/now: *SA AK DK DD KA assassinated in Koenigsmacher (27.02.2015)/The Koenigsmacher is assassinated 1-2 DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019), SA AK in DVNLP-XING forum The true victims (27.02.2015)/The true victims thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019), OA in Some shoes wear metal soles DVNLP-XING (07.10.2014)/Some shoes wear metal soles DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019), AK and DD in Stellvertreter-Konflikte (27.02.2015)/Stellvertreter-Konflikte DVNLP -XING (14.12.2019) and DD in Meine Sicht zu einer aktuellen DVNLP-XING (26.08.2018)/Meine Sicht zu einer aktuellen DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019)*

<sup>581</sup> See *Jens Thies Jens (13.03.2014)* and *Jens to Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)*.

#### 1.2.2.5.8 Misuse at the certificate cheaters RP and XY

The certificate cheat RP<sup>582</sup>, who had remained unmolested in the DVNLP and who, according to the reports of the complainant suppressed in the DVNLP, had abused his role as coach to her in a similar way as XY later did, and who had obtained a signature on a forged certificate for hours in adult education from her, even with the use of coercion and physical violence, was abused by the board of directors in the same way as XY and the other "DVNLP cause" perpetrators just mentioned.

In both cases the abuse consists in the fact that the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors "let these two allegedly violent fraudsters get away with it".<sup>583</sup> i.e. he has taken the risk of withholding from both the correction of their attitude necessary for their development and for the safety of their future clients. To make them in this way accomplices of the perpetrator-victim-reversion in the DVNLP is an abuse by the DVNLP executive board for the benefit of a highly questionable association policy.

Here, too, one can speak of a meta-abuse: For the higher goal of maintaining a, respect satire, "healthy double moral" in the DVNLP association people by "disintegrating" and "eliminating" members as "undesirable elements" who dare to question the taboo policy of their board, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech obviously had to decide to abuse the abusers in these two cases as well. Thus, in the case of RP, as in all other cases of abuse not investigated, corrected or sanctioned in the association in addition to that of XY, they also took the risk of enticing the abuser to further violent abuses - after all, like the others, he "got away" with his certificate fraud and with his abuse.<sup>584</sup>

#### 1.2.2.5.9 Misuse of the DVNLP instructor SA

The important role of the DVNLP Teaching Trainer SA as an abuser and abused person in the network of mutual abuse and being abused, i.e. the culture of abuse in DVNLP, is described in more detail in an extra chapter.

It is also an abuse in the case of SA, because its board of directors, which can therefore sit back, did not prevent SA from committing justiciable injustices: He used the slanderous slander of SA against the complainant and against me and continues

---

<sup>582</sup> See under 06.03.2014 in "*Causa DVNLP*"- *Correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014 and DVNLP to RP due to certification (20.03.2014)*.

<sup>583</sup> Having "got away" with acts of violence uncorrected is not necessarily the basis for communicating less violently, e.g. threatening to use violence less intensively or more often (compare the last sentences in the article about Richard Bandler in *Mother Jones Magazine* in the *Internet research Bandler-Christensen* in my article "*Violence, abuse, double standards and the return of the repressed in the DVNLP*").

<sup>584</sup> Both RP and XY can be assumed to emulate the Richard Bandler model in a problematic way. See my reflections on this in "*Violence, Abuse, Double Standards and the Return of the Repressed in the DVNLP*" and the concluding remark at the end of the article in "*Mother Jones: The Bandler Method*".

to do so today (see 1.2.2.7.5. DVNLP self-justification as a scripted reality soap in cyberspace).

But: The DVNLP cannot let SA lie and exhibit these lies on the Internet in an eternally preserved form, without burdening his conscience: Of course SA cannot "sleep well" then, because the "Causa Thies" he calls it is actually<sup>585</sup> more of a "Causa SA in the DVNLP".

#### 1.2.2.5.10. Abuse against Ralf Dannemeyer

The DVNLP member Ralf Dannemeyer, today member of the DVNLP executive board for press and public relations, was used by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" executive board as a quasi-press spokesman and a kind of propaganda officer of the DVNLP executive board, but probably also abused to spread false reports in the big NLP-XING forum - until today (see 1.2.2.7.2 Lies in Dannemeyer's "Schmuddelecken-Forum"). To this day he is still being claimed by the DVNLP board as its propaganda minister. If he were to comply with my request to delete the contributions which the complainant and I still have *in both* forums for which he is responsible and which defame the complainant and myself in a way that violates my personal rights (see 1.2.2.7. The Board abuses its communication channels), he would have to acknowledge that he is a "causa DVNLP" perpetrator, which he has brought a number of false reports to the people.<sup>586</sup>

#### 1.2.2.6. the board of directors abuses its office staff

The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors abused not only association officials but also branch office employees: Since Dr. jur. Jens Tomas probably did not have the courage to give an official DVNLP statement on the subject of "Abuses and dealing with abuse complaints", the presumptuously appearing arbitrariness of DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks probably suited him perfectly. He announced in the NLP-XING forum on the internet and on my Facebook page - publicly as a representative of the DVNLP: "According to our information there was no abuse within the association. Also publicly on Facebook, I replied to him: "Instead of saying this, you'd better say: 'Whether or not the serious abuse complaints before the association are justified, the association cannot take a position until the relevant legal disputes are resolved'. For the executive board - and even more so for the managing director - the principle of neutrality of mutual presumptions of innocence applies".<sup>587</sup>

No matter if DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks of Hallig-Oland posted these official DVNLP announcements on his own authority or if they were a dirty

---

<sup>585</sup> See exchange SA steel in nlp4all (25.04.2019),

<sup>586</sup> See Dannemeyer Stahl (08.07.2015)

<sup>587</sup> See DVNLP-GF in Lindenstrassen-Soap (Facebook 09/16-19/2014), DVNLP-GF Hendriks in XING-NLP No abuse (09/18/2014). See also "Are you "Halligoland", Mr DVNLP Managing Director Berend Henriks?"

delegation of his board: The fact that it is the DVNLP managing director, and not the DVNLP chairman, who makes these statements in such a crisis situation, seems to be abusive in any case: It gives the impression that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech, as the responsible board members, have hidden behind their managing director. In this case, the abuse would be that they would have expected him to run the risk of getting the feelings of the conflict members, DVNLP members reading along, and NLP interested parties that were actually directed at them in their place. With this "lightning rod function" they put him at risk of being insulted and ridiculed instead of them - which promptly happened<sup>588</sup>.

A DVNLP investigative committee should clarify whether DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks, with his announcements on the net, has worked through a delegation of his executive board or acted on his own authority. This also applies to the actions of the Wikipedia user "Halligoland"<sup>589</sup> in June 2014, when the complainant and I<sup>590</sup> were currently still engaged in intensive discussions with Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the Dr. jur. In 2014 the Wikipedia user "Halligoland" - in all probability the DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks from Hallig-Oland<sup>591</sup> - cancelled my status as the first German NLP trainer<sup>592</sup> and my DVNLP honorary membership<sup>593</sup> on the Wikipedia "Thies-Stahl" and Wikipedia "DVNLP" pages.

Whether he, also as "Halligoland", acted on his own authority or was used for dirty delegations, in any case Berend Hendriks was and is abused in the DVNLP by the fact that his board of directors is still too cowardly to stand up in front of his managing director with an official statement and protect him. Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Conny

---

<sup>588</sup> See *DVNLP-GF in Lindenstrassen-Soap (Facebook 16.-19.09.2014)*, e.g. on page 8

<sup>589</sup> From the *list of Wikipedia user contributions of "Halligoland"* it is clear that "Halligoland" maintained *Wikipedia entries about the North Sea Hallig "Hallig Oland" as well as the DVNLP page at Wikipedia* - since the DVNLP's managing director Berend Hendriks of Hallig-Oland (*Facebook page Hallig-Oland-Hendriks (22.05.2016)*) was hired by the DVNLP.

<sup>590</sup> See the *"Causa DVNLP" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014* and also the *"Causa DVNLP" correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014*.

<sup>591</sup> See *Are you "Halligoland", Mr DVNLP managing director Berend Henriks?*

<sup>592</sup> He replaced *"...and brought NLP to Germany"* with *"...and brought NLP to Germany with others"*. ([https://de.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Thies\\_Stahlhoff&prevlid=131195916](https://de.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Thies_Stahlhoff&prevlid=131195916)). My NLP seminars in early 1980 were the first in Germany. Together with Gundl Kutschera I made the first seminar in 1982 within the DGNLP (see <https://thiesstahl.com/nlp-assoziationen/> and *First Trainers Gundl Kutschera u. Thies Stahl/current*: <https://www.hrm.at/fachartikel/europas-500:-dr-gundl-kutschera-14046>).

<sup>593</sup> He deletes without substitution *"...and as a member of the founding board honorary member of the DVNLP"* (<https://de.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=ThiesStahlhoff&prevlid=131743812>).

Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech could have easily<sup>594</sup> done that back then - and so could the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors since then: Anja Mýrdal would only have to have the courage to publicly declare that, to her knowledge, the Wikipedia user "Halligoland" is not identical with Berend Hendriks, the designated fan of the North Sea Hallig Oland. With the help of such a short statement, the DVNLP managing director would be relieved of the burden of suspicion of having made arbitrarily escalating statements in a crisis situation of the association and having caused a lot of damage to the DVNLP with them, just like by a vandalism carried out on Wikipedia.

Assuming the identity of Berend Hendriks of Hallig-Oland and Wikipedia user "Halligoland", there seems to have been a double - and therefore doubly questionable - delegation in the DVNLP, a kind of double shift of responsibility.

- The DVNLP executive committee, itself too timid and cautious to make a clarifying statement beyond the short, misrepresentation-containing minutes of the general meeting in the closed member's area<sup>595</sup> on dvnlp.de, leaves this lie protocol to its office employee Holger Dieckmann on November 3.2014 in its DVNLP "Fake Members Forum" (see below)<sup>596</sup> - which constitutes a rather abusive use of this employee by the DVNLP board of directors in that neither Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Anja Mýrdal have had the courage to issue a statement on the "DVNLP case" which clarifies and does not conceal facts, as the DVNLP board of directors has done with all previous DVNLP statements, including the "final statement" of 22.09.2015<sup>597</sup>. The DVNLP executive board<sup>598</sup> still refers to this mendacious declaration to this day.
- Then the moderator of the NLP forum on XING, Ralf Dannemeyer, will act as an official press spokesperson for the DVNLP on November 4, 2014 with the publication of a moderator info.<sup>599</sup> In this "moderator info", which was already

---

<sup>594</sup> Such a simple and clear statement had already been suggested to the DVNLP on September 25, 2014 by a clever fellow reader in the NLP forum in his opening contribution from *XING-NLP Open question / current link to the "Open question" thread*. But the board has decided on a lie strategy which does not even shy away from infantilizing blaming of a SPIEGEL editor (see page 6 in *DVNLP answers to questions of SPIEGEL (13.04.2016)*).

<sup>595</sup> See *MV-Web-Info in the member area (06.11.2014)*.

<sup>596</sup> See *declaration of the DVNLP MV 2014 DVNLP-XING (12/14/2019)*

<sup>597</sup> After an intervention of my lawyer, it was shortened (see *before-after DVNLP-Declaration of Conclusion. (22.09.2015-16.09.2017)*).

<sup>598</sup> See *DVNLP regional group BW on FB (19.01.2018)* Otherwise he lets his managing director refer to the old lie statements (see *"DVNLP relies on lying managing directors"* and *"DVNLP lies. Chronic"*).

<sup>599</sup> See *ModInfo TS excluded (04.11.2014)* This collection of lies and defamation remained on the Internet until 8 July 2015 (see *Dannemeyer Stahl (8 July 2015)*).



read by 555 people on the first day (!), the 2016 DVNLP honorary award winner Dannemeyer spread the same lies over eight months in his NLP forum with its 12,000 readers as the DVNLP board of directors let his office employee Dieckmann spread them with the help of the lie MV protocol in the DVNLP fake members' forum - and still has them spread today. However, Ralf Dannemeyer, today's Board Member for Press and Public Relations and at that time court rapporteur of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board, added some more perfidious lies, which, since they<sup>600</sup> coincide with his lies before the Berlin Regional Court, probably go back to Dr. jur. Jens Tomas.

- Thereupon, the Wikipedia user "Halligoland" takes the chance to prevent it from being exposed as probably the DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks of Hallig-Oland by trying to legitimize his vandalism on<sup>601</sup> the "DVNLP" and "Thies Stahl" Wikipedia page on November 5, 2014 retrospectively with the help of the Dannemeyer's moderator info of November 4, 2014.<sup>602</sup>

This diffusion and obviously improper delegation of responsibility ultimately points out that the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors has abusively used both DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks and DVNLP office employee Holger Dieckmann to fill the association's internal leadership gap caused by his lack of courage to make a clear and above all truthful statement. The "Anja Mýrdal" board continues this abuse of the two DVNLP employees unchanged.

Although I have publicly denounced and denounced "Halligoland's" machinations, the DVNLP board of directors has not yet commented on the astonishing fact that either the DVNLP's managing director Berend Hendriks<sup>603</sup> has correctly maintained the official Wikipedia page of the DVNLP as "Halligoland" with several entries or any other small-criminal Wikipedia vandal completely unknown to the board and the management. The DVNLP board of directors had already wanted to sell the latter to SPIEGEL<sup>604</sup> in an absurd and arrogant way under the lying baron and today's honorary member Dr. jur. Jens Tomas.

The DVNLP board of directors would then also have to explain how it comes about that they do not know which of their employees is responsible for the maintenance of their Wikipedia page. And he would also have to explain why it works so well that

---

<sup>600</sup> See the lies in *Eidstattl. Statement by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (09.12.2014)*,

<sup>601</sup> See the Wikipedia "Halligoland" reference to Dannemeyer ModInfo in the XING NLP Forum (November 5, 2014).

<sup>602</sup> With which the small-time criminal "Halligoland" upgraded a Wikipedia employee who had become aware of his vandalism to the forum moderator Dannemeyer, so to speak, to the press spokesman of the DVNLP.

<sup>603</sup> See Halligoland on the DVNLP Wikipedia page on November 4, 2014.

<sup>604</sup> See *DVNLP answers to questions of the SPIEGEL (13.04.2016)*, page 6.

the association management simply waits from time to time to see if someone anonymously changes the DVNLP Wikipedia page in their interest and in the sense of a meaningful association administration: Over nineteen (!) occasions on which the anonymous DVNLP staff member as Wikipedia user "Halligoland" maintained the DVNLP website<sup>605</sup>, e.g. with correct information on the election of the board of directors and similar, this then very unconventional internet strategy of the DVNLP board of directors apparently proved its worth. This anonymous DVNLP employee has also proved to be very reliable, at least in the sense of the DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, when he supported his board in its fight against me as a critic of the DVNLP's approach to handling abuse complaints with the help of his covert Halligoland operations<sup>606</sup> against me.

It seems to have become an unpleasant tradition in the DVNLP that the DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks of Hallig-Oland is appointed as "man for the rough" in the association: Also by the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors the DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks in the DVNLP is obviously still abused for the unpleasant lie jobs of the board of directors. So he had obviously been instructed by the "Anja <sup>607</sup>Mýrdal" board of directors to lie to DVNLP regional groups and DVNLP members inquiring about the "DVNLP cause".

Why Berend Hendriks allows himself to be abused in this way remains his secret to this day: What interesting transmission he had developed for me in 2014, quasi from a distance, has not been revealed to me to this day, because I had never met him personally. Whatever Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and the other "Causa DVNLP" perpetrators told him about me probably led to his actions and machinations - and in the end to his humorless and a bit pinched "poor old man" remark<sup>608</sup> in the NLP forum.

#### *1.2.2.7. the Management Board misuses its communication channels*

The wrongdoing of the executive committee, which constitutes a multiple abuse of association members, can be described as acting in mafia-criminal and fascist-totalitarian deception and propaganda structures, ultimately as a kind of covert warfare against the complainant and me. This took place, as described above, in secret deception operations both in the 2014 general meeting, which was lied to the letter, and in the legal proceedings XY./Stahl, which were abused by the DVNLP and

---

<sup>605</sup> See again Halligoland on the DVNLP Wikipedia page on November 04, 2014 and also the *list of Wikipedia user contributions of "Halligoland"*.

<sup>606</sup> See in Wikipedia on the "*Thies Stahl*" page (1.) and on the "*Thies Stahl*" page (2.)

<sup>607</sup> See *Hendriks to member (24.01.2018)*, *DVNLP regional group BW on FB (19.01.2018)* and "*DVNLP relies on lying CEO*".

<sup>608</sup> See his comment (*Hallig-Oland in the XING-NLP "Poor old man" (Jan 20, 2015)*) on my own, also private DVNLP members forum" (*The Second DVNLP Fake Members Forum (Jan 23, 2015)*), which I had temporarily allowed myself for fun.

into which the "DVNLP cause" conflicts, which should actually be dealt with in the association, were outsourced in violation of the statutes.

And this covert warfare is still going on today. Essentially still as "cyber war" in the Internet forums, which are covertly and unilaterally controlled by the DVNLP board, but also mediated through the other communication and announcement media controlled by the board, such as the DVNLP website, circulars and press releases.

There seem to be different degrees and degrees in which the DVNLP board of directors under Dr. jur. Jens Tomas at that time and under Anja Mýrdal until today succeeds in covering up or dirty delegating its questionable actions and in concealing its responsibility for them. In addition to the already described delegations of unjust actions to several members of the association (such as Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Petra P., Stephan Landsiedel, XY, AK, OA, DK, KA), to former and current members of the Executive Board (such as Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz, Thomas Pech and Leo Buchholz), there are further abusive delegations concerning the handling of DVNLP's communication and announcement channels by the board of directors: the current board member Ralf Dannemeyer and the staff of the DVNLP office, DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks von Hallig-Oland and DVNLP office staff member Holger Diekmann. In the alleged delegations to these persons, an abusive practice seems to manifest itself in the way this association deals with the media and communication channels over which it has communication sovereignty.

In the course of the "DVNLP Causa" - i.e. until today - the DVNLP board of directors has misused its power and its possibilities in various ways to misinform and lie to the internal and external public:

- In its internal communication within the association, the DVNLP board of directors, with the help of its DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks and the office employee Holger Dieckmann, misuses its "faked" DVNLP member forum for the systematic dissemination of false and disinformation (see *1.2.2.7.1 Lies in the "faked" DVNLP member forum*).
- In his communication outside the association, the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" member of the Executive Board abused his influence over the NLP-interested public with regard to the association member Ralf Dannemeyer, the apparently neutral moderator of the 12,000 reader NLP Internet forum on XING for the targeted dissemination of false information (see *1.2.2.7.2 Lies in Dannemeyer's "Schmuddelecken-Forum"*). Until today this abuse of the NLP Internet Forum continues, but now under the direction and official responsibility of the DVNLP board: Since the responsible forum moderator, DVNLP member Ralf Dannemeyer, has been the board member responsible for press and public relations since April 2018 and regularly since October 2018 in personal union with the board member responsible for the information policy of the DVNLP, the DVNLP board - and thus the DVNLP as an association - is responsible for the targeted spreading of false information and lies in its forum, which has existed and continued since summer 2014.

- In its internal as well as external communication the DVNLP board, with the help of its DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks, misused the Facebook page of the DVNLP regional group Baden-Württemberg and official announcements to inquiring members of the association.<sup>609</sup>
- In its communication outside the association, the DVNLP executive board misused a DVNLP statement to the SPIEGEL<sup>610</sup> for its "DVNLP cause" false allegations and lies.

The DVNLP continues to abuse its two Internet forums to this day, 24/7 non-stop, for a dirty information war against the complainant and me. In both Internet forums, he pursued his goal in a kind of camouflage mode with the help of covert operations and dirty delegations: the discrediting, criminalization and pathologization of two members of the association, the complainant and myself. He controlled and influenced these forums, since the beginning of the "DVNLP cause" and until today, as instruments of a dirty DVNLP communication policy, in different ways, but both in a way for which he was responsible.

The DVNLP board of directors has systematically deceived and lied to the internal as well as the NLP-interested public outside the association in both forums with false information and lies. The recognizable goal of this policy of misinformation and disinformation of the DVNLP was, and still is, to maintain the false image of the complainant and myself that was created by the board of directors in the manipulated and deceived 2014 general meeting through slander and lies - and also the one established there, absurd distortion of the conflict situation in my master course at that time, in which the abuser and suspected sexual offender XY, who is still hidden in the DVNLP today,<sup>611</sup> was completely eradicated as the central figure of this conflict and remains eradicated to this day

For this targeted disinformation policy the DVNLP board does not get its hands dirty itself. With Berend Hendriks, he has an office employee who is repeatedly misused by his board of directors to lie and insult the DVNLP. Furthermore, there are several DVNLP members who are not so strict with the truth regarding important association matters, such as Petra P., AK, DK, OA and also the DVNLP member, the forum moderator Ralf Dannemeyer. The latter today continues to distribute his alternative facts in his guerrilla internet forum, but as the new board for press and public relations, he will have to answer for the defamations of the complainant and myself in his forum - it is now an official DVNLP forum of the association due to the personal union of his moderator and a member of the board.

---

<sup>609</sup> See *DVNLP regional group BW on FB (19.01.2018)*, "DVNLP relies on lying managers" and "DVNLP lies. Chronic".

<sup>610</sup> See *DVNLP answers to questions of the SPIEGEL (13.04.2016)*.

<sup>611</sup> See the *judgment of the Provisional Judgment (09.03.2017)*, page 8/9.

In practical terms, the 2014 and current DVNLP board of directors has implemented the clearly recognisable aim of a slander that stigmatises and pathologises the complainant and myself into the future by establishing DVNLP "dirty corners"<sup>612</sup> in the forums: Areas in both (DV)NLP Internet forums in which the slander and defamation of the complainant's conflicting partners, i.e. the alleged sexual offenders supporting abuser XY, as expressed in several threads and contributions, are maintained and preserved for posterity in a way that remains fresh.

The moderators of both forums, who were loyal to their board, were quite obviously instructed, urged or asked by the board to keep these defamations and discreditations of the conflicting parties, such as relics, which the complainant had reported for violations of her sexual self-determination. Just as if all subsequent DVNLP generations were supposed to believe in the innocence of the alleged "causa DVNLP" perpetrators of violence, who were<sup>613</sup> canonized by the forum moderator Ralf Dannemeyer, in the sense and today also in the name of the DVNLP executive board. Instead of passing on the complaints, criminalizations and pathologizations that were brought forward against the complainant and me within the association, but which were concealed from us in terms of content, to the DVNLP bodies responsible according to the statutes and to have them clarified within the association, the DVNLP board of directors first mediated them unilaterally as defamatory slander via the Schautribunal's general meeting in 2014 and then (just as unilaterally, since we are not able to comment on them) via its two internet forums to the general public. He has left it there until today, quasi as a memorial for the alleged innocence of the "poor" former master participants, who were allegedly falsely accused by the complainant, one of whom, Leo Buchholz as a member of the board of directors, is an official of the DVNLP association. In December 2019, all contributions defaming us in the DVNLP Fake Members Forum<sup>614</sup> and in the

---

<sup>612</sup> I owe this term to the moderator Ralf Dannemeyer (see his post from 10.10.2014, 7:26, in the *Open Question thread in XING-NLP / current link to the "Open Question" thread*). He indirectly refers with him to the area in his Internet forum where the dirty defamations of the complaint addressees were discussed and, after our banishment from the forums, can still be read in their dirty and perfidious one-sidedness.

<sup>613</sup> Ralf Dannemeyer "moderates" highly biased (see "*Closing a discussion" Dannemeyer praises KA, DD and AK (13.10.2014) / current link to "Closing a discussion"*).

<sup>614</sup> In the DVNLP members' forum: *The kingmaker is assassinated 1-2 DVNLP-XING (12/14/2019)*, *The true victims Thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING (12/14/2019)* (Censorship: cut out is *part 1v2 from The true victims... DVNLP-XING (10.10.2014)*), *Some shoes wear metal soles DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019)*, *Substitute Conflicts DVNLP -XING (14.12.2019)* and *My view on a current DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019)*. The official lies and misrepresentations of the association: *preliminary comparison DVNLP vs. TS DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019)*, *reference to statement of the DVNLP board of directors regarding the cause DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019)* and *declaration of DVNLP MV 2014 DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019)*.

Dannemeyer Forum will<sup>615</sup> still be visible - with the clear names of the conflict participants who are thus publicly incriminating themselves and who are still being abused by the DVNLP in this way today.

The DVNLP board of directors has placed the accusations of this smear campaign into the timelessness of the internet - kept fresh for years for DVNLP members and NLP-interested people who were systematically lied to by the board of directors<sup>616</sup> - and their descendants. As already in the Schautribunal members' meeting, which was carried out by him with fascist-totalitarian methods, the DVNLP executive board used and abused its power and influence also in its own DVNLP members' forum<sup>617</sup> and in Dannemeyer's "NLP guerrilla marketing" forum, for<sup>618</sup> which he is also responsible since April 2018, in a way that made it impossible for the complainant and me to defend ourselves against the perfidious attacks and defamations launched by him.<sup>619</sup> For these, the board of directors used its information sovereignty, obviously steered essentially via two middlemen: the "Hallig Oland" presenter Berend Hendriks and Ralf Dannemeyer, who at that time acted as DVNLP court reporter. The DVNLP board of directors apparently synchronized their respective

---

<sup>615</sup> In the Dannemeyer Guerilla Forum: *DK AK in judgement and prejudice XING-NLP (10/04/2014)*/ *current link: Judgement and Prejudice, DD in "Meine Sicht..." in XING-NLP/ current link to "Meine Sicht..."*, *DD KA SA AK in Offene Frage in XING-NLP/ current link to the thread "Offene Frage"*, *"Schließung einer Diskussion" Dannemeyer praises KA, DD and AK (10/13/2014)/ current link to "Schließung einer Diskussion"* and *Dannemeyer Guerilla-Marketing in XING-NLP/ current link Dannemeyer Guerilla-Marketing*. The association's official lies and misrepresentations: *XING-NLP court corrects DVNLP board (November 17, 2014)*/ *current link to court corrects DVNLP board* and *ModInfo settlement in litigation XING-NLP (January 14, 2015)*/ *current link ModInfo settlement in litigation*

<sup>616</sup> Since these NLP-interested people are also potential seminar and coaching clients of mine, in view of the serious damage to my reputation caused by the DVNLP (like me, only 16 years longer than him) representing the NLP method, one must probably speak of a questionable to justifiable competition and trademark law action of this association to my disadvantage.

<sup>617</sup> It's actually a DVNLP "fake" members forum, as shown below.

<sup>618</sup> Ralf Dannemeyer had "made a gift" of the term "guerrilla marketing" (see *Dannemeyer Guerilla Marketing in XING-NLP/current link Dannemeyer Guerilla Marketing*). If one looks at the structure of this forum and the *self-advertisement of the "moderator" Dannemeyer*, it quickly becomes clear that this accusation against me is probably more like a projection of Mr. Dannemeyer, who himself seems to be a greater guerrilla marketing expert than he himself would like to be or even was aware of.

<sup>619</sup> I would then not only have had to pay my lawyer, as I did, to defend myself against the false claims of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors in his statements and against his attempt to "kaput" me, as well as for the costly defence of my freedom of opinion and articulation, but I would have opened another costly legal front: a difficult dispute with an association under press law and the right to express opinions, which allows its questionable helpers to operate greasily and covertly on the Internet and as forum moderators.

actions as "DVNLP causa" perpetrators via dark channels of opaque, mafia-like communication structures and dirty delegations.<sup>620</sup>

By denying his responsibility for the (fake) DVNLP members' forum he set up<sup>621</sup> and by deliberately leaving unmentioned his influence on the moderator Ralf Dannemeyer, who obviously does a kind of favouritism journalism for the DVNLP, the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors successfully "utilise", i.e. abuse, both internet forums already in 2014 for the association exclusion of the complainant and myself - which the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors continues to this day with the help of DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks and board member Ralf Dannemeyer. The latter probably mainly with the aim of covering up the guilt of the board of directors for our exclusion, which is contrary to the statutes and embarrassing for a communications association, and probably also with the aim of preventing our potentially costly rehabilitation for the association. Anja Mýrdal is certainly supported in this by Ralf Dannemeyer and Leo Buchholz, who both, albeit for different reasons, should not be particularly interested in clearing up and coming to terms with their own "Causa DVNLP" membership.

The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of the DVNLP allowed, promoted or arranged, presumably by means of corresponding dirty delegations, for prejudgement, vigilante justice and character assassination to the detriment of the complainant and myself to take place in the Internet forums in the same way as in the deceived general meeting. And today's Anja Mýrdal board of directors continues to pursue the slander started by his predecessor and the corresponding vigilante justice against the complainant - to her and to the detriment of her children, whose pimps within and outside the DVNLP certainly feel actively supported by the criminalization and psychiatric treatment of her mother, which is still taking place in this association today.

The DVNLP board of directors supports the perpetrators to this day: By letting his lying managing director disseminate DVNLP statements with false assertions<sup>622</sup> and especially by not stopping the fact that the lying "DVNLP cause" in the dark back rooms of the (DV)NLP forums, for which the board of directors is ultimately responsible, is still to this day exuding and secreting its toxic effect not only for us but also for the "association soul" of the DVNLP.

---

<sup>620</sup> See the Wikipedia "Halligoland" reference to Dannemeyer ModInfo in the XING NLP Forum (November 5, 2014). It is not known to what extent the association lawyers Harms and Dr. G. also supported the board of directors on this front with helpful tips or other measures in the background (see DVNLP association lawyer collaborates with KF Dr. G. (23.09.2014)).

<sup>621</sup> See association lawyer Harms at LG Berlin (23.06.2015)

<sup>622</sup> See Hendriks an Mitglied (24.01.2018), Hendriks' fake note on the DVNLP's statement, "DVNLP relies on lying managers" and "DVNLP lies. Chronic".

The contents of these dirty corners in the DVNLP forums are neither officially commented by the DVNLP, i.e. under the assumption of executive responsibility, nor are they commentable in any way by the complainant and me. They are, since April 2018 *both* responsible for the content by the DVNLP board, something like untreated pus in the soul of the DVNLP, encapsulated and inaccessible for any healing communication within this association (Cosmic Joke:) of professional communicators.

The DVNLP immortalizes its wrongdoing in cyberspace. The board could ask its managing director Berend Hendriks, as moderator of its "Fake" members' forum, and its board member for press and public relations, Ralf Dannemeyer, as moderator of the NLP forum, to remove the contributions from the net which are still visible there for years and which defame the complainant and me - after all, the DVNLP is fully responsible for the slander and defamation in its two DVNLP forum grubby corners. He could instruct his managing director to remove them, and by majority decision of the board of directors also Ralf Dannemeyer, who is no longer just the simple DVNLP member <sup>623</sup>experienced in guerrilla marketing and who as XING moderator runs his own NLP forum, but as a board member also the head of the DVNLP Ministry of Propaganda: His NLP Internet forum with 12,000 readers is de facto a DVNLP Internet forum, since his moderator is identical with the board member for press and public relations.

Such a decision to remove the defamatory contributions from the DVNLP forums will probably not be taken by the "Anja Mýrdal" board, however, as it would then have to disclose which of today's board colleagues knew how much about the wrongful decisions and actions of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board and as such also wanted them and even, like e.g. Leo Buchholz in the 2014 members' meeting, which he also deceived, fully committed and supported.

And, Anja Mýrdal would also have to clarify in what way the moderators of both forums have worked together in the background of the "DVNLP cause" and within the sphere of influence of the DVNLP board. That they have this is not only evident from the Halligoland-Dannemeyer-Connection<sup>624</sup> but also from the high degree of agreement in name (AK, DK, DD, SA, KA and OA) and content of the defamatory contributions in both forums. The cooperation is also made clear by the fact that the manipulations and falsifications of the "DVNLP" and "Thies Stahl" Wikipedia pages by the Wikipedia user "Halligoland", i.e. presumably by DVNLP managing director

---

<sup>623</sup> To master guerrilla marketing so well oneself is possibly a rather unconscious than conscious competence with Mr. Dannemeyer. He thought he recognized them in my actions, but seems to be blind to his own longstanding and highly successful practice of guerrilla marketing as a forum moderator (see *self-advertisement of "moderator" Dannemeyer*, the "Dannemeyer Events" and the *advertisement as "Moderator Info" on November 20, 2018* in the XING NLP forum).

<sup>624</sup> See the Wikipedia "Halligoland" reference to Dannemeyer ModInfo in the XING NLP Forum (November 5, 2014).



Berend Hendriks of Hallig-Oland, could not be cleared up within Wikipedia mainly because of this, because "Halligoland" referred to<sup>625</sup> the Wikipedia staff member "Stobaios", who was critical of his machinations, by referring to the "moderator" info<sup>626</sup> of the then DVNLP court reporter Ralf Dannemeyer, which looked like an official DVNLP and XING announcement. Probably for this reason "Halligoland" did not have to fear further sanctions from Wikipedia. He did not need to be told this by his superior at the time, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who either ordered, dirtily delegated or only approved and covered up his machinations.

This "Halligoland"-Dannemeyer-Connection in the sewerage system of the DVNLP lie building seems to be part of a double denial strategy of the DVNLP board of directors: If one assumes the identity of<sup>627</sup> the Wikipedia user "Halligoland" and the DVNLP managing director and "Hallig Oland" lover Berend Hendriks, who is often misused by his board as a "man for the rough", his machinations appear to be ordered and answered for by the DVNLP board - e.g. in the context of an underground double delegation that conceals and diffuses the responsibility of the board. But the DVNLP would probably<sup>628</sup> deny this at any time, since it can point out that the public prosecutor's office in Hamburg has not initiated any investigations regarding my Wikipedia vandalism charges against DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks of Hallig-Oland due to lack of public interest.

The DVNLP board of directors would presumably reject all accusations of being in any way responsible for the fact that its managing director, who - officially as a "private person" who likes to disguise himself as DVNLP managing director<sup>629</sup> - moderates the fake DVNLP members' forum, i.e. is already in stealth mode in a quasi anonymized way anyway, is underground and undercover<sup>630</sup> with the moderator of the "Cyberspace dirty licks"<sup>631</sup>Ralf Dannemeyer. And Mr. Dannemeyer would probably

---

<sup>625</sup> See the post from 09/18/2014 in "*Earlier posts from my blog*" and from the same day the post in *DVNLP-GF Hendriks in XING-NLP No abuse (09/18/2014)*.

<sup>626</sup> "Halligoland" refers, serious sounding, to the false report ("moderator info") by Dannemeyer: "*According to XING, Thies Stahl has been excluded from the association*" (see *Wikipedia "Halligoland" reference to Dannemeyer ModInfo on the XING NLP forum (November 5, 2014)*).

<sup>627</sup> There are many reasons for this: *Are you "Halligoland", Mr. DVNLP managing director Berend Henriks?*, the *Facebook page Hallig Oland-Hendriks (22.05.2016)* and the *list of Wikipedia user contributions of the user "Halligoland"*.

<sup>628</sup> How he did this not only stupidly but also arrogantly and presumptuously (see point 6, page 6 in *DVNLP answers to questions of the SPIEGEL (13.04.2016)*).

<sup>629</sup> See again *Verbandsanwalt Harms at LG Berlin (23.06.2015)* and *Alternative Facts DVNLP LG Berlin*.

<sup>630</sup> See "*Halligoland" refers to Dannemeyer's ModInfo*.

<sup>631</sup> Mr. Dannemeyer accused me on 10.10.2014, 7:26, in the *thread Open Question*, not to have been put in a filthy corner by the forum participant SA, whom I had accused of this, but to have

claim not to have been informed that "Halligoland" quoted him in this way, i.e. as if *he* were<sup>632</sup> the DVNLP press spokesperson responsible for XING, and thus, without his knowledge, abused him as a disseminator of DVNLP lies and as a co-actor of the DVNLP self-justice. In wise foresight and probably also instinctively clever, Mr. Dannemeyer had already once far from himself<sup>633</sup> that his "moderator info"<sup>634</sup> about the events in the general meeting deceived by the executive committee could contain false assertions and untruths, disparaging the complainant and me with the DVNLP lies contained in it and read over long months by thousands of forum participants of his forum. Furthermore, Ralf Dannemeyer would probably not tell anyone that he had been<sup>635</sup> asked by me several times in the forum to delete this "moderator" lie fake info - and, above all, to indicate the criminal source of<sup>636</sup> the false reports contained in it - Mr. Dannemeyer himself was not present at the 2014 general meeting described by him.

An interesting lie parallel to the "moderator" info that Mr. Dannemeyer used in his DVNLP official-looking "moderator" info, to which the moderator of the DVNLP fake members' forum Berend Hendriks von Hallig-Oland referred, spread "duck", "*the complainant injured three members of the security service*", was by the way the perfidious "Padberg" lie<sup>637</sup> on my Facebook page: "*One of the security service members is now lying in hospital with a broken knee*". What a hypnotically elegant "pars pro toto" portrayal: One of the five muscle-bound bouncers, all of them well-

---

put myself there. With the Schmuddelkinder, to use the analogy of the song "*Don't play with the Schmuddelkinder, don't sing their songs*" by Franz-Josef Degenhardt, I can no longer "play" or deal with them: In the Dannemeyer "dirty corner" of the uncleaned threads and dirty contributions, which he as moderator has been making available to the intensive conflict partners of the complainant in his forum for years, I have no access since 2014 and therefore cannot comment on the lies of the "Dannemeyer dirty children", which have been published there unilaterally in the NLP forum for years.

<sup>632</sup> It could hardly be more absurd and mafia-like: It is not the DVNLP board of directors that takes a stand, e.g. towards Wikipedia with regard to the fake DVNLP-Wikipedia page, but the DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks of Hallig-Oland does so by referring to the "DVNLP press spokesman" Ralf Dannemeyer, who is not authorized as such, but comes across as such. However, the DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks of Hallig-Oland does not do this officially as managing director and spokesman of the DVNLP, but illegally and anonymously as "Halligoland".

<sup>633</sup> See *Dannemeyer Stahl* (08.07.2015).

<sup>634</sup> See: *ModInfo TS excluded* (04.11.2014)

<sup>635</sup> See my post of 11/19/2014, 8:59, in the *thread "Unterbinden von Diskursen" XING NLP Forum* (11/19/2014).

<sup>636</sup> The source becomes clear when one reads in the *Oath. Explanation Dr. jur. Jens Tomas* (09.12.2014) reads the passage about the "*tearful general meeting(s)*" and compares it with the passage about the "*tears of consternation and compassion*" in the *ModInfo TS excluded* (04.11.2014).

<sup>637</sup> See *Facebook lie Padberg* (01.11.2014)

trained and violent security guards, is said to have been taken to hospital with a bruised knee?!<sup>638</sup> For the recipients of this perfidious design lie by Ekkehart Padberg, this invented detail (pars) conjures up a scene in front of the mind's eye in which our actions (toto) are made to appear violent. Every reader will wonder what astonishingly aggressive and violent people I, the honorary member who was 64 years old at the time, and the rather petite complainant are. In the corresponding fantasy spread by Ralf Dannemeyer and Ekkehart Padberg, presumably <sup>639</sup>inspired and spread by the lies of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas about "*the aggressiveness of the two*", the evil to be fought in the complainant and me must then have given us supernatural powers.<sup>640</sup>

The lie spreaders Padberg<sup>641</sup> and Dannemeyer both preferred not to tell me from which source these lies multiplied by them in the media originated, e.g. the fairy tale about a security guard who was allegedly<sup>642</sup> hospitalized by the petite complainant. Thomas Biniasz, as a member of the board of directors jointly responsible for the manipulation and deception of the general meeting, apparently reported here to his business partner Ekkehart Padberg, who was not present there, *very subjectively coloured* by the general meeting, similar to how the DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas probably provided his court reporter Dannemeyer with such fake reports, which he then publicly spread for the benefit of the criminal association politics of the DVNLP board of directors.

A further indication of a cooperation of the "Hallig Oland" moderator Berend Hendriks<sup>643</sup> with the moderator of the NLP-XING forum, Ralf Dannemeyer, is the fact that the latter let the DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks, who is<sup>644</sup> often

---

<sup>638</sup> In the corresponding legal dispute with the Bochum security company, no violation was mentioned (see *AG Bochum Sicherheitsdienst (15.07.2015) and Einstllg.StA*).

<sup>639</sup> See his defamatory depiction of our persons in *Oath. Statement by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (09.12.2014)*.

<sup>640</sup> What the Hamburg Regional Court sees differently: It expressly stated that "*the defendant [me] and Mrs... [the complainant] did not engage in aggressive behaviour*". (see page 2 in the *judgment of 17.04.2017*)

<sup>641</sup> See also *Facebook lie Padberg (01.11.2014)*

<sup>642</sup> Cf. *AG Bochum Sicherheitsdienst (15.07.2015) and the public prosecutor's office*.

<sup>643</sup> See the *Hallig Oland Hendriks (05/22/2016) Facebook page*.

<sup>644</sup> Cf. *DVNLP relies on lying managers and "DVNLP lies. Chronic"*.

lying to the association, announce on September 18, 2014 in his forum<sup>645</sup>, just like he did elsewhere<sup>646</sup> on the Internet, that there had been no abuse in the DVNLP.

By tolerating these statements by Berend Hendriks, the biased "moderator" and loyal "court reporter of the DVNLP", the current director of press and public relations, Ralf Dannemeyer, has allowed DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks to publicly discredit the complainant in his 12,000-reader forum. The experienced journalist Dannemeyer will have noticed that the DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks "presupposed" her abuse complaint, which has become public due to the omissions and wrong decisions of the DVNLP executive board, as a false accusation<sup>647</sup>. One cannot help but get the impression that these two moderators of the (DV)NLP forums, Berend Hendriks and Ralf Dannemeyer, either worked together consciously here or that their actions were synchronized independently of each other with the help of suitable delegations of their executive committee.

Apart from the central lie, which the DVNLP executive committee lets spread in all its announcements, but also via its middlemen Hendriks and Dannemeyer in the internet forums until today, that I had been excluded from the association, there are several other false assertions and genuine lies defaming the complainant and me in the dark "dirty-licks" museums of the two DVNLP internet forums.

1.2.2.7.1 Lies in the "faked" DVNLP member forum

*"It is not the official DVNLP forum? I cancelled my membership as of 31.12.14 and was informed that I would no longer be able to access the forum, as it is only accessible to members.* Someone wrote this on 09.01.2015 in a discussion on my Facebook page.<sup>648</sup> This astonished question makes clear how much the DVNLP Fake Forum is perceived as a real members' forum of the DVNLP.

The "DVNLP Members" forum is, as the subtitle reveals, an "Internal XING group for DVNLP members" and is entitled "DVNLP - German Association for Neuro-Linguistic

---

<sup>645</sup> Link: *DVNLP-GF Hendriks on XING-NLP No abuse (09/18/2014)*.

<sup>646</sup> See page 5 in *DVNLP-GF in Lindenstrassen-Soap (Facebook 16.-19.09.2014)*

<sup>647</sup> When he criticizes the wording "Violence and abuse of power in NLP" as inappropriate ("lurid") in the article of September 19, 2014, 9:26 in *DVNLP-GF Hendriks in XING-NLP Kein Missbrauch (September 18, 2014)*, he suggests that the violent and power-abusive suppression was appropriate to the abuse complaint. In doing so, he is presupposing that this complaint was a false accusation. The latter he did of course already by the "association's official" *statement: "According to our information there was no abuse within the association.*

<sup>648</sup> See *Facebook TS page excerpt (08/01/2015)*.

Programming". It also features the original logo of the DVNLP<sup>649</sup>. It looks very official<sup>650</sup>, just like an officially operated DVNLP members' forum.

The DVNLP used this forum covertly as a political instrument to silence critical or unpopular members - in 2014 these were the complainant and me. The board was and still is able to use it in this way, because not only the logo and the name give the impression of being an official DVNLP members' forum, but also the moderators, who present themselves there in their full DVNLP association functions, such as in 2014 the "Board of Directors for Press and Public Relations", Sebastian Mauritz, the "DVNLP Managing Director", Berend Hendriks and the "DVNLP office staff", Holger Dieckmann.

Today, the board of directors refrains from appointing a board member as moderator. Nevertheless this forum still convincingly gives the wrong impression of being an official DVNLP member forum. But attention, dear DVNLP members: In case of conflict with their board, unpopular members have to expect that the board and DVNLP managing director Hendriks von Hallig-Oland will again use the DVNLP members' forum as a "fake" forum. Then it becomes a communication trap. In the "DVNLP case", the complainant and I were the unpopular members who fell into this trap and disappeared in it (see 1.2.1.4.12. *Lord of the "disappearance"*).

The DVNLP members' forum can become a communication trap into which members can fall, because they think it is a forum which is under the responsibility of the DVNLP board of directors throughout and in every respect. This appearance is deceptive, because if necessary the board of directors uses it as a "stealth forum" to conceal its wrongdoing, e.g. in connection with covert operations: It then publishes, prohibits or suppresses member opinions selectively and, as appropriate, disguises or denies its responsibility for this forum. He can do this by delegating them to the moderators if necessary and: then denying this fact. In this way he can turn dirty delegations into covert operations after the event. I wrote about such a case on 21.09.2014 in my blog:

*"I have not yet published my 2nd and 3rd proposal to the DVNLP General Assembly. I would have taken the risk of jeopardizing the mediation between Stahl and the board of directors by further 'association-damaging behavior' on my part. But now the situation has changed: The DVNLP does not want to admit my second application to the MV. The DVNLP managing director ("Halligoland") repeatedly deletes him from the members' forum - defaming me with the claim that I am defaming in this motion.*

*Dear DVNLP board, dear managing director Hendriks, I hereby [here in my blog] let the DVNLP members read<sup>651</sup> my MV proposals. What they are invited to vote on*

---

<sup>649</sup> Here is the current *link to the forum which is only valid for DVNLP-XING members*.

<sup>650</sup> See *Official Look DVNLP Fake Forum (09/26/2014)*.

*requires their careful thought as preparation. For the members should not make the same devastating mistake as the leadership of the association made: it is not a question of deciding whether the abuse complaint is well-founded or whether the person bringing the complaint is credible. Neither the board of directors nor the managing director (with "... there was no abuse") can and may comment on this, nor may the general meeting decide on it. And certainly not in the turmoil of emotions during a hectic vote. [Therefore I wanted the members to deal with my motions not only in but already before the general meeting]. It is about the correction of an embarrassing way of dealing with a member of the association by the chairman of the board and his management team, which is in the humanistic tradition of a psycho- and communication method. Here the human rights of a member were trampled underfoot - only because the association's leadership continuously mixes up the categories of being a member of the executive board or AFK, being a judge or being a 'court-legitimated psychological expert'. In doing so, they have caused enormous emotional and economic damage to those involved, and to me as well."*

Particularly striking is the practice of selective admission of contributions, taking as an example the contributions of DK, one of the complainant's intensive master-conflict partners, which defamed the complainant and myself. Although DK had insulted the DVNLP board in connection with the termination of his membership<sup>652</sup>, he was allowed to<sup>653</sup> post defamatory and psychopathologizing contributions in the DVNLP "members' forum" - i.e. contributions against, in the view of the board, unpopular association members. Our contributions referring to these defamatory attacks by DK were immediately and unilaterally deleted.

The DVNLP took no responsibility for this, on the contrary. The board of directors had the association's lawyer Harms declare the DVNLP "Members' Forum" in front of the LG Berlin as a "private pleasure" of Messrs Mauritz, Hendriks and Dieckmann<sup>654</sup>, who would only wear their DVNLP functionary and employee designations "by chance". On behalf of the board, RA Harms denied any responsibility for the gagging of two members of the association, the complainant and myself, in the DVNLP members' forum: The DVNLP Managing Director Berend Hendriks, the DVNLP Board Member for Public Relations Sebastian Mauritz, as well as the DVNLP office staff member Holger Dieckmann, although they appear there by name as functionaries of the association, would have nothing to do with the DVNLP and its board as

---

<sup>651</sup> See 1st Suppressed Motion (Steel) for DVNLP General Assembly 2014, 2nd MV Motion Steel and MV Motions Steel #3 to #5.

<sup>652</sup> Link: *Mail Master participant DK to DVNLP*

<sup>653</sup> *SA AK DK DD KA assassinated in Koenigsmacher (27.02.2015)/The Koenigsmacher is assassinated 1-2 DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019), SA AK DK in DVNLP-XING-Forum The true victims (27.02.2015)/The real victims Thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019) and AK DK in deputy conflicts (27.02.2015)/deputy conflicts DVNLP -XING (14.12.2019).*

<sup>654</sup> See: *DVNLP lawyer to LG-Berlin (09.12.2014)* .

moderators of this forum and they would have the right to decide independently and on their own responsibility who they want to let have their say and who not - and also who they want to exclude from the forum without further ado. The DVNLP board of directors has his mafia-like acting lawyer explain to the LG Berlin that he himself is not responsible for what is going on in this "DVNLP members' forum".<sup>655</sup>

Against the backdrop of this adventurous argumentation before the Berlin Regional Court, I had the fun of<sup>656</sup> setting up a "DVNLP Member Forum" on XING, with the subtitle: "*The honest FAKE FORUM, also for fans of the soap DVNLP- "Lindenstrasse". Alternatively, censored.*" Of course, neither the association's lawyer<sup>657</sup> nor the rather humourless DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks from Hallig-Oland<sup>658</sup> found this funny.

The DVNLP Fake Member Forum on XING is therefore a chameleon or stealth forum with flexible control and concealable responsibility of the Executive Board, which can even deny this responsibility completely if necessary. What he also does occasionally: This DVNLP "members' forum" is in such a case the "private pleasure" of its moderators and the fact that the forum moderators there wear their official DVNLP functionary titles and it shines with the official DVNLP logo<sup>659</sup> has no legal relevance in case of conflict according to the DVNLP lawyers, RA Harms and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas.

An impressive testimony to the manipulation possibilities of the "DVNLP Members' Forum", which are still used by the DVNLP Board of Directors as a covert instrument

---

<sup>655</sup> This argumentation is knitted according to the same pattern as the stupid and brazen denial of the board in the affair about the Wikipedia user "Halligoland" (see page 6 in *DVNLP answers to questions of the SPIEGEL (13.04.2016)*). The DVNLP executive board in office today has as little courage to distance itself clearly from his machinations as it does to take responsibility for not taking responsibility for his "Members' Forum". There is still no statement of the association on its fake character.

<sup>656</sup> See *The Second DVNLP Fake Member Forum (23.01.2015)*.

<sup>657</sup> See *rights to use the DVNLP name and logo non-transparent assigned and blog post franchisor DVNLP (22.01.2015)*.

<sup>658</sup> See *Hallig-Oland on the XING NLP "Poor old man" (January 20, 2015)*.

<sup>659</sup> Under this camouflage construction, which has certainly not changed since 2014, the board of directors can use this "DVNLP members' forum" as a weapon against unpopular members again at any time - even if it is now only moderated by DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks von Hallig-Oland and office staff member Holger Dieckmann, but no longer by any board member - which is probably a precautionary measure after I publicly denounced this fake character of the "DVNLP members' forum", which is dangerous for critical members. But, dear DVNLP executive board, especially Mr Dannemeyer, you still have the responsibility for this institution, which can be used for "decomposition measures" (cf. "*My beautiful delinquent German Verband! DVNLP completes perpetrator-victim-reversion*") and: for the responsibility denied by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" executive board to the detriment of the complainant and myself.

for the targeted disinformation of DVNLP members, is the thread "*The true victims no longer keep silent*", which is still visible in this forum and was initiated by the intensive conflict partner of the complainant AK. The board of directors has had its HalligOland managing director removed a substantial part of this thread, which dates from 2014<sup>660</sup> and can now only be called up in the "DVNLP members forum" in the carved form<sup>661</sup>, but of course with all the defamations directed against the complainant and myself and the clear names of all those involved. The cut out contribution is<sup>662</sup> a commentary of mine, which was left to the censorship of the DVNLP board of directors. It deals with the actual background of the "cause DVNLP"-conflicts, concerning the situation in my former master as well as the internal conflicts with my former "NLP-professional"-colleagues Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas. Without this comment, the forum participants cannot adequately classify and understand the other contributions of this thread - except in a way that corresponds to the strategy of the DVNLP board: Besides a head-shaking lack of understanding, the readers probably only have the intended impression that the complainant has "probably gone a little crazy" and the DVNLP founder "probably gone a little senile".

In the thread with the theatrically somewhat thickly applied and pitiful title "*The true victims are no longer silent*", AK publishes the mails that the complainant and I wrote to the participants of the master group at that time and within this distribution list especially to AK - but not completely. AK omitted four mails from this mail exchange that<sup>663</sup>would have been important for a proper classification, the first three mails of this exchange are missing, two from me and one from the complainant to the master participants, and also the last mail from me to this mailing list. A comparison between the thread "shortened" published by AK in this thread, which is still visible today, and the complete exchange of e-mails<sup>664</sup> reveals the intention of the unholy alliance of the Board of Directors with the "causa DVNLP" perpetrators AK and the other conflict partners of the complainant from the Master, DK, DD and KA, the husband of the conflict partner Petra P., as well as with OA and SA: to portray the complainant as insane and, together with her, to discredit me as well - which he certainly succeeded in doing with many of the DVNLP members who had read the thread manipulated at these two levels.

For the fact that this thread with the false allegations of DK, DD, AK, KA, OA and SA contained in it, which defame the complainant and myself, is still visible to all

---

<sup>660</sup> See SA AK DK in DVNLP-XING-Forum *The true victims* (27.02.2015).

<sup>661</sup> See *The true victims thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING* (12/14/2019).

<sup>662</sup> See *part 1v2 of The True Victims... DVNLP-XING* (10.10.2014).

<sup>663</sup> Here is the original mail exchange: *mail exchange with the former Master participants*.

<sup>664</sup> Here is the original mail exchange: *mail exchange with the former Master participants*.



association members in this DVNLP "Fake" members' forum, the DVNLP Board will probably still refuse any responsibility, as it did in 2014.

Also in the threads "*The kingmaker is assassinated*", which are<sup>665</sup> still visible today, and also the thread "*Deputy Conflicts*"<sup>666</sup>: In both of these, the board of directors still grants the intensive conflict partners of the complainant AK, DK, DD, GA and KA an absurdly one-sided space to this day for their contributions which psychopathologise and defame me and the complainant, in which a "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, the picture of the actual conflict situation is distorted beyond recognition in my master's degree.

The article "*Some shoes have metal soles*", which defames the complainant and myself, also<sup>667</sup> shows the underhand use of the "communication trap DVNLP members' forum": it was placed in the "members' forum" by the DVNLP informer OA, probably at the same time as his "straw man" exclusion request<sup>668</sup>, the DVNLP board of directors, which perverts fraternisation with OA, did not inform the complainant and myself in any way about its content and existence.

AK, OA, DK, DD, KA and SA would probably be well advised to ask the Director of Press and Public Relations, Ralf Dannemeyer, to ensure that their contributions defaming the complainant and myself in both internet forums for which the DVNLP Board is responsible are deleted. It cannot be in their interest that their clear names be publicly "posted" in connection with the misconduct for which they are accused - just as it is not in the complainant's and my interest to be mentioned by name there and to continue to be badly discredited and defamed with the help of false allegations and distorted and incomplete<sup>669</sup> representations.

AK had not only opened a thread "*The true victims don't keep silent anymore*"<sup>670</sup> in the DVNLP-Fake members forum with the publication of our mails to the participants

---

<sup>665</sup> See AK, DK, DD and KA assassinated in *Königsmacher* 27.02.2015 (archived) and *Der Königsmacher is assassinated 1-2 DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019) (current, with clear names).

<sup>666</sup> See AK and DD in *Deputy Conflicts* (27.02.2015) (archived) and *Deputy Conflicts DVNLP -XING* (14.12.2019) (current, with clear names).

<sup>667</sup> See OA in *Manche Schuhe tragen Metallsohlen DVNLP-XING* (07.10.2014) (archived) and *Manche Schuhe tragen Metallsohlen DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019) (current, with clear name).

<sup>668</sup> See *exclusion request OA* (08.10.2014).

<sup>669</sup> Compare the "chronology" of the KA in *The True Victims Thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING* (12/14/2019) with the *DVNLP cause - the chronology*.

<sup>670</sup> AK in *DVNLP-XING-Forum The true victims Status 27/02/2015/The true victims Thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING* (14/12/2019).

of my master at that time<sup>671</sup>, but also in Ralf Dannemeyer's 12.000 readers NLP-XING forum and additionally on his own Facebook page. At least there they were deleted.

The fact that this thread with AK's contributions violating his personal rights can still be read in the DVNLP fake members' forum today<sup>672</sup> makes it clear that AK and his accomplices DK, DD, KA, OA and SA, not only in 2014 by "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, but also the board of directors of Anja Mýrdal to this day, in order to be able to continue to cover up the fact of the unethical, abusive and allegedly justifiable behaviour of course attendant XY.<sup>673</sup>

The complainant's intensive conflict partners from her then DVNLP training seminars, DK, DD, KA and OA, who are still represented with their defamatory contributions in the DVNLP's Fake Members Forum, are among those group participants who were *not* mentioned by *name at all* by the complainant in her original e-mail to the group in connection with violent attacks<sup>674</sup>, but who nevertheless decided in favour of a kind of prophylactic or "first strike warfare" against the complainant. This consists of writing broad attack postings in the (DV)NLP forums, in which the deeds they are accused of and also our names were no longer made anonymous.

They obviously relied on the fact that these absurdly out-of-context quotes from the complainant's e-mails to the masters, just as in the beamer presentation during the general meeting of the members lied to by the board, AK and Petra P., would make the complainant appear to be untrustworthy. You probably could not have imagined that it would become increasingly clear to readers that the complainant's allegations, which were so openly quoted, were true. AK, DK, DD, KA, OA and SA have set themselves a lasting gangster memorial in the forums.

---

<sup>671</sup> Link: *Mails in the master distribution list 2013-2014*.

<sup>672</sup> See *SA AK in DVNLP-XING-Forum Die wahren Opfer (27.02.2015)*, current link with clear names: *The true victims thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING (12/14/2019)*. Censorship: *The part 1v2 Censorship True Victim Thread DVNLP-XING (10/18/2019)* was cut out.

<sup>673</sup> It is a repetition of what AK had already practiced, together with Petra P., in the deception general meeting: XY was spirited away, perfidiously hidden behind the detailed and epically broadly presented, slanderous and calumniating accusations against the complainant and me. - The wording "the *real* victims" chosen by AK is rather cynical against the background of the fact that the complainant is the victim of course attendant XY, who has been proven to have abused and allegedly repeatedly raped her and who is supported by AK, as well as the victim of a perpetrator-victim-reversion process in the DVNLP and in the authorities.

<sup>674</sup> Except in the correspondence with the DVNLP board that excluded her, which was accessible on her blog for more than three days. In this "*S.O.S. Notwehr*" *publication* she had - I hadn't thought of it either - failed to blacken the names of DK, DD, KA, Petra P. and OA as well as five DVNLP instructors.

This broad prophylactic attack, which, in addition to the anonymity of the complainant, also removed the complainant's own anonymity, was mainly carried out by the master participant AK and KA, also master participant and husband of the master participant Petra P., with whom he lied to the manipulated 2014 general meeting. AK was specifically accused by the complainant in the exchange of e-mails with the master participants with a *very specific* accusation - namely, after he had made very derogatory and insulting<sup>675</sup> remarks about the complainant in the master distribution list.

In addition to AK and the course leader XY, there were eight participants of this master's course who had become known by name. The remaining twenty-six participants in this Master's course were not mentioned by name in the course of the complainant's allegations that the complainant had made against the Master's group as a whole, which were formulated in a vague and rather sweepingly anonymous manner. Her accusations also included extremely abusive behaviour with regard to the complainant's right to sexual self-determination, inciting violent coercion and collecting agency fees for prostitution jobs, as well as the accusation of group bullying, which was directed at all participants in the Master's programme at the time.

In contrast to AK, DK, DD and KA, the master participants DN, MR, TH and GA, which were also mentioned by name in relation to more specific allegations, preferred not to put on the shoes of the complainant's general and less concrete allegations against the master group. Only AK, DK, DD and KA, i.e. only four of the thirty-four participants in the master's course, apart from course instructor XY, have "outed" themselves by name by their prophylactic attack on the complainant, which has fallen out of all proportion in relation to their "S.O.S. emergency defence" publication, by<sup>676</sup> having<sup>677</sup> themselves broadly and theatrically staged in the Internet forums as the "real victims" to this day.

One can also see the disproportionate means in the warfare of<sup>678</sup> this XY supporter group: They revealed themselves to be the perpetrators mainly by attacking the complainant in a much wider public than that of the master mailing list of only 34 people. They did so first in the deceived 2014 General Assembly, i.e. in the 1,900 people counting the total public of the association, and then in the large 12,000

---

<sup>675</sup> See under the 03.04.2014, 21:52:54, 26.04.2014, 15:18:56, and 27.04.2014, 14:01:09, in *mails in the master distribution list 2013-2014*.

<sup>676</sup> See *The S.O.S. Emergency Response Publication*.

<sup>677</sup> See *Dannemeyer praises KA, DD and AK (13.10.2014)*, current link to the thread "Closing a discussion" .

<sup>678</sup> It seems as if the effort that AK in particular, but also DK, DD and KA have made with their defamations in the forums, is a direct measure of their unacknowledged guilt for the events in the Master at that time.

people public of the NLP Internet forums. This "pre-emptive strike", with which they wanted to defame and stigmatise the complainant as implausible and mentally disturbed, caused enormous collateral damage to all those involved, for which they themselves and the DVNLP still have to answer for.

The "true" victimhood of the complainant's conflict partners, AK, DK, KA, DD and GA, who are still publicly disseminating in the "DVNLP Members Forum", consists ultimately and actually only in the fact that they were participants in a DVNLP group, of which someone on an internal group mailing list claimed that there had been sexual violence and also group bullying: DK, DD and KA were among those Master's participants who were accused by the complainant, as part of a group, of sexual violence against her and her children only in a general and, above all, anonymous manner - only KA's wife, Petra P., was named. DK, DD and KA could therefore have remained in the background, which was not dangerous for them, and remained anonymous, as the other participants of this group named in the master distribution list, Petra P., DN, MR, TH and GA, wisely did.

The "true victim" AK, whose name was also *not* mentioned in the complainant's first e-mail to the master participants, had a clearly recognizable motive for coming out publicly with its devastating attacks on the complainant - both with Petra P. together, as an accomplice on the stage of the deceived general meeting, as well as in his extensive contributions in the Internet forums: his name only became public after he lost his temper and, in an e-mail insulting the complainant in this master mail distribution list,<sup>679</sup> suspected himself of being one of the persons accused of violent acts by the complainant. In her reply e-mail to him, also in the manageable public sphere of this master distributor, the complainant AK outed her former client in a confronting and compromising way<sup>680</sup>. But this did *not* happen in the large 12,000 people public of the NLP forum, but in the closed 34-person master distributor, where this little exchange of blows had taken place - as a result of an insult to her person by AK.<sup>681</sup>

In fact, AK, Petra P., DK, DD, GA and KA were "victims" only in so far as their names, together with those of the other DVNLP complaint addressees indicated, were publicly available for three days unblackened in a blog post<sup>682</sup> by the complainant. The DVNLP members AK, KA, Petra P. and OA, together with DK, DD, GA, OA and KA, were to explain to a DVNLP investigating committee or the police, who would

---

<sup>679</sup> See AK's mail of 03.04.2014 in *Mails in the master distribution list 2013-2014*.

<sup>680</sup> The complainant's e-mail of 27.04.2014, also in *e-mails on the Master distribution list 2013-2014*.

<sup>681</sup> See also my mail to AK and the Masters from 26.04.2014 in *Mails in the Master Distribution List 2013-2014*.

<sup>682</sup> See *The S.O.S. Emergency Response Publication* .

probably question them at some point in the future, the private necessity for such a disproportionate first strike warfare.

Interesting for a closer examination is AK's contribution of 20.10.2014, 13:46, in his "True Victims" thread, in which he makes a remarkable lapse: *"If there is even a judgment of a court (or "a neck full of injunctions") that certain things may no longer be distributed because they are untrue, why do Stahl and ... [the appellant] still cling to the truth of their own statements?"*<sup>683</sup> Here AK has on the "short official way of the unconscious" times evenly publicly conceded that the statements of the author correspond naturally to the truth. That AK acknowledges their "truth content", came here briefly, to talk to Freud, "to the pig in front".

AK, DK, DD, OA and also, quasi as representatives of the participant Petra P., who was accused by name in the exchange of e-mails with the masters, whose husband KA, have revealed themselves in the forums to be intensive conflict partners of the complainant by the fact that they have publicly portrayed their then co-participant, the complainant, with violent and defamatory attacks as crazy and untrustworthy and continue to portray her as such to this day. DK and DD even did so "without any need", as they had not appeared by name before. Their attacks are still publicly available in the "DVNLP member forum"<sup>684</sup> and (at that time all of them) also in the NLP-XING forum, which can be viewed by 12,000 readers all over the world, in their entire toxicity defaming the complainant and me as threads and contributions.

The statement by DD, the complainant's intensive conflict partner, *"My view of a current situation that is damaging the NLP"*<sup>685</sup>, which disparages the complainant and me, has also been available since September 2014 in the DVNLP Fake Members Forum in a one-sided and uncommented manner. Identical in text and likewise uncommented until today visible is this contribution in the Dannemeyer NLP forum<sup>686</sup>, here with an enlightening comment from me, but also with further defamations of DK and DD.

---

<sup>683</sup> See in 20190913 *Stellvertreter-Konflikte - DVNLP-XING* and here in the DVNLP-censored abridged version *AK and DD in Stellvertreter-Konflikte (27.02.2015)*.

<sup>684</sup> See the posts in the DVNLP members forum then/now: *SA AK DK DD KA assassinated in Koenigsmacher (27.02.2015)/The Koenigsmacher is assassinated 1-2 DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019), SA AK in DVNLP-XING forum The true victims (27.02.2015)/The true victims thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019), OA in Some shoes wear metal soles DVNLP-XING (07.10.2014)/Some shoes wear metal soles DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019), AK and DD in Stellvertreter-Konflikte (27.02.2015)/Stellvertreter-Konflikte DVNLP -XING (14.12.2019) and DD in Meine Sicht zu einer aktuellen DVNLP-XING (26.08.2018)/Meine Sicht zu einer aktuellen DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019)*

<sup>685</sup> See *DD in My view on a current DVNLP-XING (August 26, 2018)*, current: *My view on a current DVNLP-XING (December 14, 2019)*.

<sup>686</sup> See *"My vision..." DD in XING-NLP*, current link: [here](#).

The probably intentionally misleading reference "*Statement of the DVNLP Board of Directors on the Causa Thies Stahl and ... [Complainant]*", which has been displayed in the DVNLP Fake Forum until today,<sup>687</sup> contains a dead link with which the DVNLP statement containing false allegations could be called up in<sup>688</sup> 2014, which the DVNLP first had to change after an intervention of my lawyer and which it had to change from its website dvnlp.de, after he had left it there from 22.09.2014 until mid-July 2015, visible to the general public. With this dead link, the (sometimes sloppy working) managing director of the DVNLP still gives the impression that this statement is still on the net as an official one that satisfactorily explains and legitimizes the "DVNLP cause". A cheap trick is used here to feign seriousness and credibility.

A genuine perfidy is hidden behind the article "*Preliminary comparison DVNLP vs. Thies Stahl*", which can be called up to this day visibly in the DVNLP member forum<sup>689</sup>: This misleading misrepresentation of a judicial statement of the district court Berlin<sup>690</sup>, contains a small, but serious falsification of a quotation: DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks of Hallig-Oland "quotes" either unconsciously sloppy or deliberately falsifying by omitting a small but important word: "*According to a decision of the arbitration commission, he (the DVNLP) may, however, keep [revealing prescriber: Berend Hendriks] that the exclusion has now been carried out in accordance with the statutes.*" The original states: "*Following a decision by the Arbitration Commission, however, he may claim that the exclusion has now been carried out in accordance with the statutes.* With this Berend Hendriks of Hallig-Oland in the DVNLP members' forum falsified the text of this comparison just as much as the DVNLP court reporter Ralf Dannemeyer in his NLP forum (see below), who was a smear journalist in the "Causa DVNLP" - both obviously consciously and with the same logic, whereby Hallig-Oland-Hendriks was much less accurate and elaborate than the trained journalist Dannemeyer (see 1.2.2.7.2 *Lying in the Dannemeyer's "dirty corner forum"*).

The defamations of AK, DK, DD, GA and KA, which have been spread unilaterally in the DVNLP "Members' Forum" until today, still serve the board of directors to spread

---

<sup>687</sup> See reference to the statement of the DVNLP Board of Directors on the DVNLP-XING case (14.12.2019).

<sup>688</sup> See *causa statement DVNLP (26.09.2014), reply steel DVNLP causa statement. (06.10.2014)* and here is the change concerning only a small legal detail after an intervention of my lawyer: *before-after DVNLP final exams. (22.09.2015-16.09.2017)*.

<sup>689</sup> See *preliminary comparison DVNLP vs. TS DVNLP-XING (December 14, 2019)*.

<sup>690</sup> See the *comments of the Berlin Regional Court in the order fixing the costs (01.07.2015)*.

a grossly falsified picture of the "Causa DVNLP" conflicts, synchronized <sup>691</sup>with the official misrepresentations of the DVNLP leadership.

The DVNLP executive board is responsible for the insults and defamations of the complainant and myself immortalized in both forums - those in its fake DVNLP members' forum since 2014 anyway, and those in Dannemeyer's dirty corner in the NLP forum since April 2018 at the latest<sup>692</sup>, when Ralf Dannemeyer became the responsible executive board member for press and public relations. The latter obviously did not find it necessary to remove the reputation-killing contributions from his NLP forum - which, due to the personal union of the DVNLP Board Moderator and the DVNLP Executive Board Moderator for one and a half years now, has to be considered as an official DVNLP association - neither before, as a simple DVNLP member, nor since April 2018 as a provisionally active DVNLP Executive Board Member for Press and Public Relations, elected in October 2018.

Anja Mýrdal, Joerg-Friedrich Gampper and Peter Klein obviously did not expect this from Ralf Dannemeyer any more than the "causa DVNLP" perpetrator and co-chairman Leo Buchholz and before that the criminally acting "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors. Knowing that Mr. Dannemeyer nurtures and cares for the defamatory threads and contributions in his forum just as much as DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks does in his DVNLP "Fake" forum, the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors has made the "DVNLP cause" concerning the "buck to the gardener", when he first provisionally entrusted Ralf Dannemeyer with press and public relations work and then, together with the "DVNLP causa" perpetrator, Leo Buchholz, accepted him into the DVNLP board of directors.

Of its two "gentlemen of the DVNLP dirty corners", Berend Hendriks and Ralf Dannemeyer, the board has not demanded to this day to remove the unilaterally defamatory character assassination contributions from their forums. With this, the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors has made it more than clear that it does not intend to clear up the misconduct and offences that have occurred in the DVNLP.

In the context of the "Causa DVNLP"-issues at least, Ralf Dannemeyer, the new official "press spokesman" and propaganda director of the DVNLP, will probably not believe anything any more, just as little as the DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks, who has proven to be a chronic liar for his board. The DVNLP chairwoman Anja Mýrdal, who already created a credibility problem with the provisional entrustment of Mr. Dannemeyer with the press and public relations work, does not become now under any circumstances more credible by the fact that she did not prevent for her executive committee beside Ralf Dannemeyer even still another second, personally far more deeply into the unsolved conflicts entangled "Causa DVNLP" accomplices as a new member of the board: Leo Buchholz. Together with

---

<sup>691</sup> See *"DVNLP relies on lying managers"* and *"DVNLP lies. Chronic"*.

<sup>692</sup> See the *report of the DVNLP board of directors* of 24.04.18.

Martina Schmidt-Tanger, AK and Petra P., he is one of the main perpetrators of the manipulation and deception of the 2014 General Assembly and, according to the reports of the complainant suppressed in the DVNLP, together with Petra P., AK, DK, DD, GA, TH, DN and KA, one of the<sup>693</sup> former master participants most intensively involved in the unsolved background dynamics around the abuser and alleged criminal XY.

The brazenest "Causa DVNLP" lie, which is strangely enough at the same time a sad truth, is spread by the DVNLP in its fake members forum: The "*Declaration of the DVNLP General Assembly 2014*"<sup>694</sup>, which was manipulated and deceived by the Board and the Managing Director, is still visible for all DVNLP members on the net - as an enduring historical testimony of the "empowerment"<sup>695</sup> of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board and thus also of the curious fact that DVNLP members have been lied to by their Board for five years - and even knew about it.<sup>696</sup>

1.2.2.7.2 Lies in the Dannemeyer's "dirty corner forum

While the DVNLP "member forum" on XING with its official-looking look still looked like it had something to do with DVNLP, the NLP forum on XING in 2014<sup>697</sup> simply seemed to be what it is: an NLP forum hosted by a Mr. Dannemeyer as moderator. The very special loyalty of this moderator as a DVNLP member to the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors was not immediately apparent.

Back then, when the "DVNLP cause" was still being discussed in both forums (at least to some extent), one could still suspect or know that the DVNLP "Fake" members' forum was an announcement medium indirectly controlled by the DVNLP board and censored in its sense, even if veiled and covered up: In the NLP forum the influence of the DVNLP, mediated by the loyalty of the moderator Dannemeyer to the board, only became clear for forum participants who read the forum continuously and attentively.

Ralf Dannemeyer has not only published the DVNLP's false allegations and statements containing lies in his 12,000-reader medium, but as a moderator who is loyal to the DVNLP's board of directors and who obviously does favouritism journalism for the DVNLP, he has also appropriately censored his NLP forum in the

---

<sup>693</sup> See the excerpt from the *KF brief LG HH P.P., L.B., AK, KA, GA et. al (05.03.2014)*.

<sup>694</sup> See *declaration of the DVNLP MV 2014 DVNLP-XING (12/14/2019)* Originally, the DVNLP published it here: *MV-Web-Info in the members' area (06.11.2014)*.

<sup>695</sup> For this usage, see the article "*Relying on DVNLP by all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control*" related "*Nazi analogies*" court decision.

<sup>696</sup> This is an astonishing denial and repression of the DVNLP members, if you consider *my mails to the DVNLP members*, my article "*Leave DVNLP in all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control*" and the "*Nazi analogies*" court decision.

<sup>697</sup> Link: "*NLP - The World of Neuro-Linguistic Programming*"



sense of the DVNLP board of directors. DVNLP members who were disliked by the board, e.g. the complainant and me, he silenced by excluding them from the forum, and he gave the other DVNLP members protected by the board, e.g. the complainant's conflicting parties, an absurdly wide scope for their defamation, pathologization and criminalization.

As the contextual reference makes clear, with clear reference to me, Ralf Dannemeyer wrote on 11.10.2014, 7:25 in his NLP forum: *"A teaching trainer has repeatedly abused his power in various social networks and deliberately published slanderous, criminalizing and pathologizing statements about the participants of one of his master's courses"*.<sup>698</sup> That is a lie and Mr. Dannemeyer knows it. He confuses the personal rights of the complainant and of me with publications by our conflict partners from my former master course, AK, DK, DD and KA<sup>699</sup>, whom he named and protected as forum moderator, with my contributions in the two (DV)NLP Internet forums and on my Facebook page<sup>700</sup>. As far as his "power" is concerned, which he very clearly "abused" as a biased and censoring moderator of the complainant and me, the experienced guerrilla marketer Dannemeyer briefly presented us with a practical example of "applied projection" here - combined with a skilful pressing of his readers' tear glands, directly after his slanderous assertion: *"Here, for the first time, those affected find the courage and the forum to take a stand, to express their consternation and also their sadness."*

This is journalistic bitch work, because Mr Dannemeyer has only heard one side of the story: He has not spoken once with the complainant and me, but he has spoken with our conflicting partners from the master course, whom he publicly praised<sup>701</sup> and - as the Director of Press and Public Relations and by name<sup>702</sup> - still praises. This action of Mr. Dannemeyer is more than questionable from the point of view of competition law: Ralf Dannemeyer abuses his DVNLP office in a mafia-like manner by leaving his own partisan<sup>703</sup> and also the slanderous, our personal rights violating

---

<sup>698</sup> See on 10/11/2014, 7:25 in *thread Open question in XING-NLP / current link to thread "Open question"*.

<sup>699</sup> See *Dannemeyer praises KA, DD and AK (13.10.2014) / current link to the thread "Closing a discussion"*.

<sup>700</sup> See *DVNLP-GF in Lindenstrassen-Soap (Facebook 16.-19.09.2014), "Earlier posts from my blog", blog post "Dumped violence in the DVNLP" (31.10.2014), blog post "Princes onto Walls" (15.11.2014) and blog post "Board disregards statutes" (30.10.2014)*.

<sup>701</sup> See again *Dannemeyer praises KA, DD and AK (13.10.2014) / current link to the thread "Closing a discussion"*.

<sup>702</sup> This *public naming and praise of the "DVNLP cause"* by the acting DVNLP board member for press and public relations, Ralf Dannemeyer, can hardly be surpassed in embarrassment.

<sup>703</sup> See the contributions in the now deleted *thread "Unterbinden von Diskursen" XING NLP Forum (November 19, 2014). pdf*.

contributions of the "Causa DVNLP" perpetrators to this day in the internet forums for which he is responsible as DVNLP board member for press and public relations, well visible for the broad NLP-interested public.

It is Mr. Dannemeyer's right to have operated <sup>704</sup>his XING NLP forum essentially as a "guerrilla marketing forum in the manner of a lord of the manor". After all, he is an NLP provider who, as a studied and experienced journalist, is well versed in the world of press and public relations and has certainly recognized the value of well-done guerrilla marketing<sup>705</sup> for his own work.<sup>706</sup> Also the fact that he as a DVNLP member has decided several times in favour of a kind of DVNLP complacency reporting, is perhaps experienced by many in the field as unappetizing, but cannot be blamed on him - above all it is not a crime and his career as the in April 2018 first provisionally commissioned and now newly elected board member for press and public relations has obviously not been harmed by this positioning.

However, it is less ok, at least for the complainant and myself as the injured party, etc. of his work as a journalistic assistant to a criminally acting DVNLP executive board, that Ralf Dannemeyer clearly left his role as moderator in the course of the "DVNLP case" and explicitly became a party to the conflict in the DVNLP's information war against the complainant and me - in a war between unequally armed opponents: Ralf Dannemeyer has already in 2014, still as a simple DVNLP-member loyal to the board, made himself a kind of co-executor of the self- and mobjustice of the DVNLP-board and has thus become one of the "Causa DVNLP"-members.

One attentive fellow reader and thinker in the NLP forum spoke of his impression of a personal "*vendetta*" of Mr. Dannemeyer and another of a "*warpath*" on which he would find himself<sup>707</sup>, which he had because of the special way in which Mr. Dannemeyer was increasingly leaving his role as moderator and increasingly

---

<sup>704</sup> See the funny first post in *The Second DVNLP Fake Members Forum (23.01.2015)*.

<sup>705</sup> After Mr. Dannemeyer's "Guerilla-Marketing" accusation against me (*Dannemeyer Guerilla-Marketing (10.01.2015)*/current link) I looked up *Wikipedia*: "*With a very small budget, the medium or sales channel is chosen which is cheap to obtain in each case. Examples are ... writing letters to the editor or holding panel discussions [or even a Dannemeyer NLP Internet forum which is operated selflessly and for the general public]. ...with the aim of highlighting their own offerings.*" / "*Guerrilla marketing is also used to protect the anonymity of the Internet forums, in which advertising messages are deliberately interspersed in corresponding topic-related communities. One author is quoted as saying: 'The customer wants to see the 'real' benefits that fit his needs presented in your communication, including your guerrilla marketing campaign.*"

<sup>706</sup> See the *self-advertisement of "Moderator" Dannemeyer* and the "Dannemeyer Events" in the XING NLP Forum, as well as his *advertisement as "Moderator Info" on November 20, 2018*.

<sup>707</sup> See the post of November 20, 2015 in the *thread "Unterbinden von Diskursen" XING NLP Forum (November 19, 2014). pdf*.

"moderating" in a party-like manner. Other forum participants<sup>708</sup> expressed themselves clearly and sometimes very sharply in the same direction.

Why Ralf Dannemeyer in 2014 so clearly sided with the complainant's conflict partners from the then Master, AK, DK, DD, KA and GA, and why he helped the DVNLP and still helps it today to implement its exclusion policy against the complainant and me, which is staged with some energy of destruction, has not yet become clear to me. Sometimes I thought that it might have something to do with the fact that Ralf Dannemeyer is a student of Gundl Kutschera, and that his dislike of me might simply be a relic from the 80s and 90s, when my and Gundl's students did not talk about each other in a very appreciative way<sup>709</sup> - a relic from the one and a half decades between 1982 and 1996, when Gundl and I conducted NLP trainings in our own organizations and structures before we came together to found the DVNLP.

This hypothesis is probably supported by the fact that Ralf Dannemeyer writes in his book<sup>710</sup> that the Austrian Gundl Kutschera *"works as an NLP teacher trainer at John Grinder's institute in 1982"* and *"at the same time founds her own institute in her home country: Gundl Kutschera is thus the first to introduce NLP in the German-speaking world"*. This is true, if you disregard the fact that I held the first NLP seminar in *German-speaking Schleswig-Holstein* in February 1980 and from 1980 to 1982, at that time still a Gestalt and family therapist, I conducted several NLP seminars at the likewise *German-speaking* University of Hamburg and<sup>711</sup> founded the *"German Society for Neurolinguistic Programming"* (DGNLP) in 1981. In 1981, during a seminar with John Grinder in Santa Cruz, I invited Gundl to hold a seminar with me in 1982 within the **DGNLP**, as the *"first woman"*, who then *"in the German-speaking area"*, together *with me*, held an NLP seminar in the summer of 1982. It remained with only one common seminar, because Gundl wanted to introduce NLP in

---

<sup>708</sup> See the initial statement of the participant SA in the *thread "Unterbinden von Diskursen" XING-NLP Forum (November 19, 2014)* and *simply delete* the contributions of SU in *XING-NLP contributions*.

<sup>709</sup> I came from the "feeling therapies" like conversation and gestalt therapy to NLP and Gundl from cognitive behavioral therapy. I was glad that NLP allowed me and my students to have clear techniques and structures and Gundl was probably glad, to put it pointedly, that she could hug trees with her students in the forest with feeling. So the Kutschera and Stahl pupils tended to perceive each other as too "technically-minded" and too "diffusely sensitive" and for a while probably cultivated corresponding enemy images. So I was all the more happy that in 1996 I had succeeded in getting Gundl on board with Bert Feustl and me to found the DVNLP together.

<sup>710</sup> In Dannemeyer, Ralf, Dannemeyer, Dr. Petra, *NLP-Practitioner textbook: Unfolding potentials with neurolinguistic programming* (p.43). Kindle version.

<sup>711</sup> The DGNLP, together with Gundl Kutschera's Resonance Group, is the oldest predecessor of the DVNLP. See <https://thiesstahl.com/nlp-assoziationen/>.

Germany in a clearly different way than I had "*introduced*" it in seminars, translations and articles in the German speaking countries since 1979.<sup>712</sup>

I don't know what Mr. Dannemeyer sees in me and thinks I have to fight. Perhaps it is less a relic from the early days of NLP, a pattern repetition from the conflict of his German speaking "NLP ancestors" Gundl Kutschera and Thies Stahl and their first NLP students. Or maybe I just hurt his feelings when I publicly corrected him in 2011<sup>713</sup> and 2014<sup>714</sup> regarding Virginia Satir. At least Ralf Dannemeyer is capable of learning, because on 07.10.2019 he writes in his NLP-Internetforum and on 14.10.2019 in the DVNLP-Blog<sup>715</sup>: "*This makes Gundl Kutschera the first woman to introduce NLP in the German speaking countries (in Hamburg at about the same time: Thies Stahl)...*". Thank you, Mr Dannemeyer, for at least allowing me the German-speaking Hamburg for my early work. However, as I said, Gundl Kutschera's first seminar in Germany was the <sup>716</sup>first DGNLP seminar held *by her and me* together<sup>717</sup> in the summer of 1982 in Düsseldorf.

My old colleague Gundl Kutschera is much more precise and honest than the guerrilla marketing expert and DVNLP propaganda minister Ralf Dannemeyer. On her Wikipedia page it says, "*She is the founder of the Kutschera-Resonance Method and was together with Thies Stahl the first NLP Teaching Trainer in the German speaking countries.*"<sup>718</sup> This text is probably also authorized by Gundl: "*It was Dr. Gundl Kutschera and the psychologist Thies Stahl who brought the then new findings to*

---

<sup>712</sup> Perhaps Mr. Dannemeyer inspired the DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks of Halligoland, if he happens to be identical with the Wikipedia user "Halligoland", by his in this point somewhat inaccurate NLP-historical classification to his Wikipedia "corrections". See *the Wikipedia "Halligoland" reference to Dannemeyer ModInfo in the XING NLP Forum (November 5, 2014)* and "*Are you "Halligoland", Mr. DVNLP Managing Director Berend Henriks? On Gundl's Wikipedia page* apparently neither "Halligoland", who is presumably identical with the DVNLP managing director Berend Henriks, who likes to work sloppily, nor the in the "Causa DVNLP" systematically uncleanly researching courtesy journalist Dannemeyer has looked.

<sup>713</sup> See *Dannemeyer Stahl - note Satir Parts Party (04.11.2011) /Current link.*

<sup>714</sup> See my contribution from 24.11.2014, 17:46, in *Dannemeyer Stahl - note Satir Interview (24.11.2014) / current link.*

<sup>715</sup> See <https://www.xing.com/communities/posts/gundl-kutschera-hat-ein-neues-buch-geschrieben-interview-mit-der-autorin-und-verlosung-1017420841> and <https://dvnlp.de/blog/2019/10/14/unser-ehrenmitglied-gundl-kutschera-hat-ein-neues-buch-geschrieben-interview-mit-der-grande-dame-des-nlp/>.

<sup>716</sup> See <https://thiesstahl.com/nlp-assoziationen/>.

<sup>717</sup> See *first trainers Gundl Kutschera and Thies Stahl/current link:* <https://www.hrm.at/fachartikel/europas-500:-dr-gundl-kutschera-14046>.

<sup>718</sup> See *Gundl Kutschers page on Wikipedia.*

*Germany, Austria and Switzerland towards the end of their 35-year stay in the USA. They were the first NLP trainers here.*"<sup>719</sup>

Be that as it may, at the latest now, as the board member for press and public relations, Ralf Dannemeyer should reflect and step out of the "accidental lineup" he has gotten into with regard to me and whoever else he might have gotten into, and clean up the dirty traces of his blind partisanship in his (DV)NLP forum.

This means: to ask the opponents of the complainant's conflict, AK, DK, DD, KA, GA and OA, to remove their slanderous posts from the forums using the plain names of the complainant and myself, or, which would certainly be better for the complainant and myself, but also for the lost honour of the DVNLP, to delete the posts and threads in the dirty corner of its forum themselves. And he should, as the new DVNLP board of directors for press and public relations together with Anja Mýrdal and his board colleagues, encourage DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks of Hallig-Oland to do the same with the corresponding dirty corner in the DVNLP Fake members' forum.

Furthermore, Mr. Dannemeyer and the DVNLP would certainly be well advised if, after the overdue separation from his favourite "Causa DVNLP" members AK, DK, DD, GA and KA, he would give up the moderation of the NLP forum<sup>720</sup> and take over the moderation of the DVNLP members' forum instead - but of course only *after* the DVNLP has transformed it into a *real* members' forum, for which the entire board would then have taken *full responsibility throughout*. However the "Anja Mýrdal" executive committee would then have to do without two Internet forums, which it can use at any time again as traps, in order to cold-set critics of the federation guidance elegantly.

Ralf Dannemeyer had already acted as quasi press spokesman of the DVNLP on November 4, 2014, when he had relieved the probably somewhat cowardly DVNLP executive board of the obviously unpleasant and probably also unsolvable task of announcing the resignation of Thies Stahl to a broader public - which was not an exclusion of the association, as the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" executive board wanted to sell my resignation to the DVNLP members in the closed member area of the DVNLP website. The DVNLP executive obviously did not dare to tell such a lie to the larger NLP-interested public: Dr. jur. Jens Tomas surely knew that the statements of the

---

<sup>719</sup> See *first trainers Gundl Kutschera and Thies Stahl/current link*: <https://www.hrm.at/fachartikel/europas-500:-dr-gundl-kutschera-14046>. This article was probably written by one of my participants in Austria or Switzerland, two countries in the "*German-speaking world*", where I have held many seminars for over two decades since 1982.

<sup>720</sup> Although in times of Trump it might not be noticed anymore if the DVNLP board of directors for press and public relations maintains a board-sponsored, privately operated "NLP-Guerilla-Marketing-Forum for DVNLP-friendly "alternative facts" at the same time besides the official "DVNLP-Fake-Members Forum for Fake-News".

Berlin Regional Court<sup>721</sup> were not compatible with the lie he had published in the members' area - and hoped that DVNLP members who had lied through and through would not ask critical questions.

Today's DVNLP Propaganda Minister Dannemeyer helped Dr. jur. Jens Tomas to "slip" the lies of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors to the general public: In his NLP Internet forum he published the false report "*Thies Stahl excluded from the DVNLP*"<sup>722</sup> disguised as "moderator info". This corresponded in essential parts to the unfounded allegations and lies concerning the DVNLP's general meeting<sup>723</sup>, which were published by the executive board on 06.11.2014 in the members' area of dvnlp.de and which defamed the complainant and myself. In addition, Dannemeyer referred in this information to the "*Statement of the German Association for Neuro-Linguistic Programming (DVNLP e.V.) in the case Thies Stahl and ... [complainant]*".<sup>724</sup>, a statement published on 26.09.2014 on dvnlp.de containing false assertions and lies by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, which he left there for the general public to see until mid-July 2015.

He left this "moderator info", with which Mr. Dannemeyer spread extreme lies, visible on the net for his 12,000 forum participants for well over half a year. When he was finally ready to take it off the net on 8 July 2015, he pointed out to me once again: "*From a legal point of view, I must add for reasons of caution: without acknowledging a legal obligation, without prejudice to the factual and legal situation and without admitting that the presentation was wrong (it was not).*"<sup>725</sup> Of course she was! This "moderator info" was a fake message containing grossly false, defamatory representations that violated our personal rights - and also a link to a DVNLP statement containing false allegations and lies. She was anything but what her name suggests: As an extremely biased message, this "moderator info" contained the same false reports and lies that the DVNLP had spread. It was not a neutral moderator information, but a DVNLP court report, in which Ralf Dannemeyer defamed and criminalized the complainant and me in the same way as the criminal association leadership of the DVNLP did: The complainant and I were not violent during the

---

<sup>721</sup> See remarks of the Berlin Regional Court in the order for the determination of costs (01.07.2015), comparison LG Berlin (06.01.2015) and alternative facts DVNLP LG Berlin.

<sup>722</sup> This *ModInfo TS excluded (11/04/2014)* was used by Wikipedia user "Halligoland" to remain anonymous with his criminal machinations (see DVNLP-"Halligoland" refers to XING-NLP-Forum (11/05/2014)).

<sup>723</sup> See the "Declaration of the lied DVNLP members" *MV-Web-Info in the member area (06.11.2014)*, also published, until today, in the Fake Member Forum: *Declaration of the DVNLP MV 2014 DVNLP-XING (12/14/2019)*; for DVNLP members here the *online link*.

<sup>724</sup> *Causa statement DVNLP (26.09.2014)*

<sup>725</sup> See *Dannemeyer Stahl (08.07.2015)*

general meeting, which was abused by the executive committee.<sup>726</sup> And, Ralf Dannemeyer also spread and still spreads this DVNLP lie as a member of the board: I was not excluded from the DVNLP, but I resigned from this association.<sup>727</sup>

Here Ralf Dannemeyer has to put up with the accusation that he did and still does a pronounced and dirty favouritism journalism for the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors and in his sense also historical misrepresentation<sup>728</sup>. With his moderator information Ralf Dannemeyer clearly supported the DVNLP's falsification of history. About this the Hamburg Regional Court said: *"This subheading [= falsification of history] refers to the publication of<sup>729</sup> the plaintiff [=DVNLP] on the legal proceedings before the Berlin Regional Court ("final declaration"<sup>730</sup>). The defendant [=Thies Stahl] attacks the description contained in the declaration as untrue or false with the passage in dispute and the further text. The defendant is thus dealing with the plaintiff's published statement. The plaintiff, who has himself distributed his own public statement, must therefore accept the public dispute. In addition, there are sufficient connecting factors for the defendant's assessment associated with the subheading. For the "Final Declaration"<sup>731</sup> on the exclusion of Thies Stahl" does not reflect the view of the Berlin Regional Court that the exclusion was unlawful. Furthermore, it is not mentioned that the defendant was granted membership rights until his voluntary resignation and that the resolution in the general meeting was passed illegally and contrary to the statutes.* [emphasis added by me] *The defendant may therefore express the opinion that the past events are misrepresented.*<sup>732</sup>

So, besides the 2,000 DVNLP members, also the 12,000 subscribers of the Dannemeyer NLP Forum interested in NLP were lied to, for which the DVNLP member Ralf Dannemeyer, who was lying for the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board in 2014, is responsible. Today, as DVNLP board member for press and public relations

---

<sup>726</sup> The Hamburg Regional Court stated: *"No aggressive behaviour was assumed by the defendant [me] and Mrs... [the complainant].* (see page 2 of the *judgment*).

<sup>727</sup> See *association lawyer Harms at LG Berlin (23.06.2015)*

<sup>728</sup> See the *ModInfo settlement in the legal dispute XING-NLP (January 14, 2015)/ current link ModInfo settlement in the legal dispute* in his forum and the also still visible contribution *preliminary settlement DVNLP vs. TS DVNLP-XING (December 14, 2019)* in the DVNLP fake member forum, also under his responsibility.

<sup>729</sup> See *"DVNLP deserted by all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control"*.

<sup>730</sup> Online by mid-2017: *Final declaration in the member area of dvnlp.de.*

<sup>731</sup> After an intervention of my lawyer: *before-and-after DVNLP graduation certificate. (22.09.2015-16.09.2017)* See also: *Grandiose Lie - the "Final Declaration" of the DVNLP and dispute about DVNLP final declaration in the member area (2016-2017).*

<sup>732</sup> See page 12/13 in the *"Nazi Analogies" court ruling (09.03.2017).*

on behalf of or at least with the approval of the "Anja Mýrdal" board, Ralf Dannemeyer still hides the truth and continues to spread lies.

To all the many thousands of DVNLP members and NLP-interested people who were misinformed by Mr. Dannemeyer, the DVNLP executive board responsible for this systematic disinformation policy has not yet corrected the situation: I was not expelled, but I resigned from the DVNLP. Before my planned second meeting with the Arbitration Commission, whose members were at that time still busy reading my comprehensive "Causa DVNLP" documentation and all correspondence between complainant/Steel/DVNLP<sup>733</sup>, I left the offender association DVNLP. I made this decision *before*<sup>734</sup> the DVNLP Arbitration Commission, which is still in consultation, had reached an arbitration award<sup>735</sup> (see below *1.2.2.8.2. Abuse of the Arbitration Commission*).

Mr. Dannemeyer had of course "forgotten" to inform the 12,000 readers of his forum that I had spared the DVNLP the catastrophe of having to repeat the 2014 general meeting, which was manipulated and deceived by the DVNLP board.<sup>736</sup> Had he not been a courtesy journalist for the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, he could have easily included a corresponding reference in his moderator information "*Settlement in the legal dispute Thies Stahl/DVNLP*"<sup>737</sup> of 14 January 2015. But Mr. Dannemeyer decided <sup>738</sup>to bend the truth in this "moderator info", which has been read by at least 3,322 (!) NLP-interested visitors of his forum, by "quoting":

*"The DVNLP will review the statements*

---

<sup>733</sup> See "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014 and "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014.

<sup>734</sup> See also *Steel Arbitration Commission Positions Paradoxy, Aussittserklg. Steel DVNLP* (11.04.2015) and *last mail* (13.04.2015) to arbitration commission.

<sup>735</sup> According to the DVNLP's statutes, in the event of an association exclusion procedure conducted by the board of directors, the arbitration commission is, with its decision, above that of the board of directors, the board of trustees and even the general meeting (cf. Sections 11, 29 and 30 of the *DVNLP's statutes*). I had built on this when I accepted the settlement before the Berlin Regional Court (here the original *settlement LG Berlin (January 6, 2015)* and here the distorted and falsified DVNLP reporting: *preliminary settlement DVNLP vs. TS DVNLP-XING (December 14, 2019)* and **settlement in the XING litigation (January 14, 2015)**/ *current link*).

<sup>736</sup> See the *remarks of the Berlin Regional Court in the order fixing the costs* (01.07.2015).

<sup>737</sup> Original & Fake: *Settlement LG Berlin (January 6, 2015)* and *settlement in the XING litigation (January 14, 2015)*/ *current link*.

<sup>738</sup> Whether it has become clear to Mr Dannemeyer in the meantime that some of the elements of a misconduct under competition law or trademark law have probably been realised here, is not known to me.



*The exclusion of the members ... [complainant] and Thies Stahl was carried out in accordance with the statutes. Neither had the right to exercise their membership rights at the MV. Both tried to gain access to the MV by force. Thies Stahl grossly insulted staff at the office'.*

*until the final decision in the main proceedings before the Berlin Regional Court has been made. Should the DVNLP's arbitration commission decide on the exclusion, **the DVNLP may, however, publish this** [emphasis added by me], i.e. in the case of a confirmed exclusion, the **DVNLP may** say that this has now been carried out in accordance with the statutes.*

But in the original it says:

*"The DVNLP commits to the statements*

*The exclusion of the members ... [complainant] and Thies Stahl was carried out in accordance with the statutes. Neither had the right to exercise their membership rights at the MV. Both tried to gain access to the MV by force. Thies Stahl grossly insulted staff at the office'.*

*until the final decision in the main proceedings before the Berlin Regional Court has been made. **However**, following a decision by the Arbitration Commission, **he may, depending on the decision of the Arbitration Commission, claim** [emphasis added] that the exclusion is now in accordance with the statutes.*

Here, Ralf Dannemeyer built a similar twist into his report on January 14, 2015<sup>739</sup> as DVNLP Managing Director Berend Hendriks built into his on January 16, 2015<sup>740</sup> (see 1.2.2.7.1 Lies in the "faked" DVNLP Members' Forum). It is not the first time that the managing director of the DVNLP oriented himself towards the court reporter Dannemeyer<sup>741</sup>, as if Ralf Dannemeyer had already been the official press spokesman<sup>742</sup> of the DVNLP board of directors at that time, who was allowed to shape the truth "alternatively".

What kind of scribble journalism is that, Mr. Dannemeyer? Under no circumstances should the DVNLP have been allowed to "*publish this, however*"! The addition, "*i.e. to say in the case of a confirmed exclusion that this has now been carried out in accordance with the statutes*", corresponds to the original, but the three-part passus

---

<sup>739</sup> See again: *Settlement in XING litigation (January 14, 2015)/ current link* .

<sup>740</sup> See the misrepresentation visible until today: *preliminary comparison DVNLP vs. TS DVNLP-XING (12/14/2019)*.

<sup>741</sup> See the Wikipedia "*Halligoland*" reference to *Dannemeyer ModInfo in the XING NLP Forum (November 5, 2014)*.

<sup>742</sup> See also "*Previous posts from my blog*", page 11.

of lies "Neither of them had the right to exercise their membership rights at the MA. Both tried to gain access to the MV by force. Thies Stahl grossly insulted employees of the office" was **no** longer allowed to be published under **any circumstances**: What is particularly interesting about this "Dannemeyer lie" is that the trained journalist Dannemeyer, on the one hand, has incorporated the NLP-hypnosis-linguistic (area ambiguity of the word "this") subtlety, by which it is very effectively suggested that the DVNLP board of directors should continue to publish this lie passage after the end of the referral to the arbitration commission, but on the other hand, he has achieved the in this way somewhat refined smart-journalistic masterstroke of not only making this lie passage available for his 12th birthday, but also for his own personal use.000 forum readers once again to quote him extra broadly and conspicuously, but even to leave him - quoted broadly and conspicuously today - as a genuine lie in the forum! The complainant and I *had* membership rights and were of course allowed to participate<sup>743</sup>. And we did not use violence<sup>744</sup> nor did I insult<sup>745</sup> employees of the office.

The current director for press and public relations, the propaganda minister of the DVNLP, Ralf Dannemeyer, is still spreading a perfidious design lie, noted by 3325 readers<sup>746</sup> on 22.12.2019. And Mr. Dannemeyer probably does this very consciously and also intentionally, because as a studied journalist one can assume that he was aware that every word counts in court documents. It must have been clear to him that an additional sealed insertion, such as "*the DVNLP may publish this, however,*" constitutes an inadmissible manipulation of an apparently objective reporting and citation. Ralf Dannemeyer had received<sup>747</sup> a copy of this settlement from me, which was concluded before the Berlin Regional Court on 06.01.2015, and knew exactly that he should not have repeated the passus of lies in his moderator info in this form. The public distribution of these reports for more than four years now is not a trivial offence, but a real crime - especially since the distribution of this report was obviously<sup>748</sup> synchronized by the DVNLP board of directors via the proven<sup>749</sup> Connection Dannemeyer-HalligOland board of directors with the distribution of the similar but sloppy fake report in the DVNLP Fake Member Forum.

---

<sup>743</sup> See the *remarks of the Berlin Regional Court in the order fixing the costs (01.07.2015)*.

<sup>744</sup> See *Urteil - Geisterartikel des LG Hamburg*, page 2: "*The defendant [Thies Stahl] and ... [the complainant] did not behave aggressively.*"

<sup>745</sup> I just told them they were working for a pretty criminal organization right now.

<sup>746</sup> Here the *current link*.

<sup>747</sup> See *comparison LG Berlin (06.01.2015)*.

<sup>748</sup> See *preliminary comparison DVNLP vs. TS DVNLP-XING (December 14, 2019)*.

<sup>749</sup> See the *Wikipedia "Halligoland" reference to Dannemeyer ModInfo in the XING NLP Forum (November 5, 2014)*.

Mr. Dannemeyer has done a real disservice to the NLP, which in his person ("Bandit & Swindler") is<sup>750</sup> once again an evil manipulating method. I assume that when he switched off the commentary function for this communication in his forum and thus preserved it for the NLP generations of the next decades, it was clear to him that most readers would erroneously regard this "moderator info", which had been left unchanged over the years and which the complainant and I could not comment on, as a statement that correctly reflects the latest state of the legal dispute. But the readers at the beginning of 2015, except for the few who had read my publications, could not suspect that they were being presented with a genuine false report with cleverly disguised lies by the moderator of a 12,000 reader XING forum - and neither could the thousands of NLP-interested readers who have since then and still today considered and still consider this criminal fake report by the (meanwhile) Executive Board for Press and Public Relations, Ralf Dannemeyer, to be serious: Even today, most readers of the Dannemeyer'sche Forum will certainly not get the idea that they are being lied to by their "moderator" continuously and to this day. The 12,000 members of this forum cannot suspect that the DVNLP's Minister of Propaganda and "moderator" Dannemeyer was not worthy of a "moderator's info" that would inform his readers about the existing court decisions<sup>751</sup> that unmask the DVNLP as an association of perpetrators and injustices - and also as a journalistic accomplice involved in the association's official injustices.

Since the Dannemeyer-Guerilla-Marketing-NLP-Forum, since its "moderator" is the DVNLP-board responsible for the press and public relations, might also be perceived as a DVNLP-Forum, Anja Mýrdal and her board members would certainly do well to distance themselves from the dirty journalistic methods of their board colleague Dannemeyer. If they do not do so, the DVNLP chairwoman Anja Mýrdal has to be reproached for having known the fact that her press executive board *consciously and synchronized with the DVNLP managing director has been spreading lies and false reports in a criminal way until today.*

One of these lies, as I mentioned earlier, is the report that I was excluded. I resigned. After the withdrawal of<sup>752</sup> Henrik Andresen, who had been "waded through" by the executive committee in the manipulated and deceived general meeting, the arbitration commission, consisting only of Barbara Knuth and Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, was at the time of my resignation on 11.04.2015 not only busy with reading my extensive documentation on the "DVNLP case" presented to it, but at the

---

<sup>750</sup> You write about the "pet names" *Bandit & Swindler*, Mr. Dannemeyer, in your "*NLP Practitioner Textbook*", but you certainly did not expect how quickly the NLP community has every reason to associate this term with their person.

<sup>751</sup> There are no *statements by the Berlin Regional Court in the decision on the determination of costs (01.07.2015), judgement - interim injunction MV, "Nazi analogies" court judgement, decision AG-Altona (17.11.2017) and AG Bochum Sicherheitsdienst (15.07.2015) and interim public prosecutor's office.* See also: *Legal facts of the "Causa DVNLP*

<sup>752</sup> See also the *oath of oath. Statement by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (09.12.2014).*

same time also with its own occupation and legitimation problems (see 1.2.2.8.2. *Misuse of the arbitration commission*). At the time of my resignation from the DVNLP, which at least saved this association from a repetition of the 2014 general meeting manipulated and deceived by the board, the arbitration commission was in a difficult phase of deliberation and had not yet made a decision. A second meeting with myself and the complainant was also planned.

As a result of my resignation on 11 April 2015,<sup>753</sup> a further referral to the Arbitration Commission of the misconduct of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Directors and the misdeeds of the "DVNLP case" perpetrators had become obsolete: The Arbitration Commission no longer had to decide whether or not it wanted to confirm the exclusion proceedings initiated against me by the Board of Directors as appropriate, because, as the DVNLP public prosecutor confirmed, I had ended the arbitration proceedings by my resignation "*within the framework of the current arbitration proceedings...*", namely "*by the implied withdrawal of the request for arbitration.*"<sup>754</sup>

<sup>755</sup>With the false report of 14.01.2015, still visible in the Dannemeyer-Forum, that I had been excluded from the DVNLP, the DVNLP still spreads the lie, which Mr. Dannemeyer had already spread<sup>756</sup> on 04.11.2014 with his "Moderator-Info", through his board of directors for press and public relations in a more elegant and inconspicuous packaging. The latter corresponded almost exactly to the official and dishonest DVNLP statements<sup>757</sup> on the "DVNLP cause", which are still disseminated by the Board of Directors today. With his "moderator info" of 04.11.2014, visible in the forum until 08.07.2015, Mr. Dannemeyer had spread the same central lies as the Dr. jur. Jens Tomas board of directors, who deliberately deceived his members - without any journalistically appropriate distance appropriate for a moderation. The "moderator" Dannemeyer could not achieve the latter, as he has increasingly become a party to the conflict during the "DVNLP case".

The false report of November 4, 2014, spread by Mr. Dannemeyer as "moderator info" contained, without citing the source or authorization - essentially, but more exaggeratedly - the same theatrical and dishonest argumentation of the statements of the conflictive DVNLP executive board<sup>758</sup>: The complainant and I had (1.)

---

<sup>753</sup> See *exit sound. Steel DVNLP (11.04.2015)*-

<sup>754</sup> See *Harms to LG Berlin (07.05.2015)* .

<sup>755</sup> See *again settlement in the XING legal dispute (January 14, 2015)/ current link* .

<sup>756</sup> See *ModInfo TS excluded (04.11.2014)*

<sup>757</sup> See "*DVNLP relies on lying managers*", "*DVNLP lies. Chronic*", *Hendriks to member (24.01.2018)* and also *Grandiose Lie - the "final declaration" of the DVNLP*.

<sup>758</sup> Cf. the *oath. Statement by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (09.12.2014)* to the Berlin District Court.

*"interfered with her [meaning the participants in my master's degree at the time] private and professional life by defamatory, slanderous, pathologising and criminalising lies" and (2.) "The two persons excluded from the association [wrong, right would be: excluded from the general meeting in violation of the statutes and the law] were denied access to the meeting - in particular for the protection of the persons concerned [meaning the protection of the persons concerned who had lied to the general meeting]: Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Petra P., AK, and, through silence, Leo Buchholz and Anita von Hertel]. Nevertheless, they tried to get in by force" and (3) "This caused a commotion in the course of which [the complainant] injured three members of a security staff. They said they were filing criminal charges."*<sup>759</sup>

The latter is a ludicrous and absurd lie, which, as already mentioned, was also spread by the "Causa DVNLP"-member Eckhard Padberg on my Facebook page<sup>760</sup> - which makes this cyberwar attack against the complainant and me all the more effective on three different channels. The fact that the Padberg statement is a genuine lie can be shown by the decision of the Bochum working group and the notice of recruitment from the Bochum public prosecutor's office. In<sup>761</sup> addition, the LG Hamburg also<sup>762</sup> clearly stated: *"The defendant [Thies Stahl] and ... [the complainant] did not engage in aggressive behaviour.* Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, as one of the wire-pulling authors of this lie, had<sup>763</sup> asserted exactly the opposite to the Regional Court of Berlin in his affidavit, which more than generously dealt with the truth and criminalised both myself and the complainant.

The Dannemeyer false report *"Thies Stahl excluded from the DVNLP"*, his "moderator info" of November 4, 2014, was, as mentioned above, used by the Wikipedia user "Halligoland" against a Wikipedia employee who was looking at him to justify the fact that he had garnished the "DVNLP" and the "Thies Stahl" Wikipedia page, which he had manipulated, with false claims and lies to my disadvantage. "Halligoland's" wikipedia internal note<sup>764</sup> reads as if Mr. Dannemeyer was the new press spokesman of the DVNLP.<sup>765</sup> With his help, "halligoland" was able to avoid being forced by

---

<sup>759</sup> *Settlement in the XING legal dispute (January 14, 2015)/ current link .*

<sup>760</sup> *See Facebook lie Padberg (01.11.2014)*

<sup>761</sup> *Link: AG Bochum Security Service (15.07.2015) and public prosecutor's office*

<sup>762</sup> *See page 2 in the judgment - Spiritual articles.*

<sup>763</sup> *Link: Declaration in lieu of an oath Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (09.12.2014)*

<sup>764</sup> *See DVNLP-Halligoland refers to XING NLP Forum (November 5, 2014) (current link)*

<sup>765</sup> *See page 11 in "Previous posts from my blog" the post "New DVNLP spokesperson?"*

Wikipedia to reveal his identity, for example as the "Hallig Oland fan" Berend Hendriks, and thus expose the DVNLP board.<sup>766</sup>

This mafia-like structure of the DVNLP's public relations work, or more precisely its flexible possibilities of outsourcing announcement tasks to a DVNLP managing director who<sup>767</sup> *apparently* acts on his own authority and to a "moderator" Dannemeyer who is lying to his board in a friendly and affectionate way, has apparently served the DVNLP well, since the DVNLP board has managed to avoid a clear and above all untruthful<sup>768</sup> statement on the "DVNLP cause" until today.

The DVNLP's<sup>769</sup> final lie declaration of 22.09.2015 stood for long months in the member area of the DVNLP website. Even before my resignation - one of my reasons for resignation - the DVNLP board of directors deceived me into thinking that they<sup>770</sup> wanted to come to a joint declaration on my resignation together with me. Even after my resignation, the DVNLP board of directors let me believe that they actually wanted to participate in a joint declaration. With this he not only strained my patience, but probably also that of the ÖRA<sup>771</sup> - and also the DVNLP budget for lawyer's fees.

Until today there is no public and above all truthful statement of the DVNLP board of directors about the "Causa DVNLP" and its consequences. The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Executive Board did not keep its promise made to me to cooperate in a joint final declaration DVNLP/Stahl. The "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors, newly elected in 2016, also apparently does not feel bound by this promise as his legal successor in the unjust association DVNLP.

#### 1.2.2.7.3 Mr Dannemeyer's 'dirty-ass' contents

In Dannemeyer's NLP-XING-Forum, the publicly visible threads of the NLP-XING-Forum have for five years contained the complainant and me reputation-murdering

---

<sup>766</sup> The DVNLP board is still silent on the "Halligoland" affair. He probably doesn't dare to make a fool of himself with a statement that the Wikipedia user "Halligoland" is not the DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks, a fan of the North Sea Hallig "Hallig Oland".

<sup>767</sup> See *DVNLP-GF Hendriks on XING-NLP No Abuse (09/18/2014)* and on my *Facebook page (11/01/2014)*.

<sup>768</sup> See *"DVNLP lies. Chronic"* and *"DVNLP relies on lying managing directors"*.

<sup>769</sup> See the *before-and-after DVNLP final accounts. (22.09.2015-16.09.2017)* (minimal change after an intervention of my lawyer) and my comments on it from 11.11.2015: *Grandiose lie - the "final declaration" of the DVNLP*.

<sup>770</sup> See *DVNLP boycott. joint declaration (May 2015)*.

<sup>771</sup> The DVNLP wanted to negotiate before the ÖRA, but probably not in order to reach a common agreement, as I naively thought, and for which I also made a proposal (see *DVNLP content tactic Common Declaration. (in 2016)*), but rather for purely legal reasons for a more favourable starting point for planned further lawsuits against me.

defamatory false allegations and lies of AK, DK, DD, KA and GA, the intensive conflict partners of the complainant from my former master. They are the same persons who were also found <sup>772</sup>in the "DVNLP member forum" with partly identical statements and threads and still are today. In both forums, your defamation of the complainant and of myself for the past five years, too, cannot be deleted or commented on by us.

The DVNLP announcement journalism of Mr. Dannemeyer, which is still practiced in the NLP-XING forum in the sense of the "Causa DVNLP" perpetrators and which is pleasing to the board, becomes particularly clear by the fact that he as "moderator"

- about the legal development in the wake of the "DVNLP case" is highly one-sided and, without any clarifying correction, grossly incorrect<sup>773</sup>, or to this day distorted<sup>774</sup> or not<sup>775</sup> reported at all,
- left his moderator information "*Thies Stahl excluded from the DVNLP*", which<sup>776</sup> defamed the complainant and me with false allegations, in the forum for 8 months,<sup>777</sup>
- *did NOT* report on the "*Final declaration on the exclusion of Thies Stahl*", which was<sup>778</sup> published by the DVNLP executive committee between Sept. 2015 and at

---

<sup>772</sup> In the DVNLP fake members forum *The Kingmaker is assassinated 1-2 DVNLP-XING* (12/14/2019), *The True Victims Thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING* (12/14/2019) (Censorship: cut out is part 1v2 of *The True Victims*) *DVNLP-XING* (10.10.2014)), *Some shoes wear metal soles DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019), *Substitute Conflicts DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019) and *My view on a current DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019). In the Dannemeyer Forum: *Thread Open question in XING-NLP / current link to the thread "Open question"*, *DK AK in verdict and prejudice XING-NLP* (10/04/2014) / *current link: Verdict and prejudice, violence and victims in NLP*, *DD in "Meine Sicht..." in XING-NLP/current link to "Meine Sicht..."* and "*Schließung einer Diskussion*" *Dannemeyer praises KA, DD u. AK* (10/13/2014) and *current link to "Schließung einer Diskussion"*. Deleted are the threads "*Unterbinden von Diskursen*" *XING-NLP-Forum* (November 19, 2014) and *DVNLP had bad luck again* (October 21, 2014).

<sup>773</sup> See *ModInfo TS excluded* (04.11.2014)

<sup>774</sup> See *XING-NLP court corrected DVNLP board* (11/17/2014)/Online link. With his statement *settlement in the XING* (January 14, 2015)/Online-Link *lawsuit*, in which he quotes from a DVNLP statement, Ralf Dannemeyer, as described above, gives the false impression that this may still be done by the DVNLP. This has not been the case for a long time after an intervention of my lawyer.

<sup>775</sup> These court decisions, which have meanwhile been issued in relation to the "Causa DVNLP", were not worth "moderator information" to Mr. Dannemeyer: The "*groundbreaking ruling on Nazi analogies*", the "*ruling on manipulation and deception of the 2014 General Assembly*" and the *AG-Altona decision* concerning XY and the complainant (17.11.2017). He consistently presents this information to his 12,000 NLP-interested forum readers - in the meantime, as DVNLP board member for press and public relations, he does so officially.

<sup>776</sup> See *ModInfo TS excluded* (04.11.2014)

<sup>777</sup> See *Dannemeyer Stahl* (08.07.2015)

least Sept. 2017 in the member area dvnlp.de and which still contains false assertions and lies, forced by an intervention of my lawyer<sup>779</sup> on 12.06.2017,

- Threads and parts of threads; without exception to the detriment of the complainant and myself, unilaterally deleted<sup>780</sup>,
- Threads in which the complainant and me defamatory contributions by us then remained uncorrectable or could not be supplemented, e.g. the thread "*Judgment and Prejudice, Violence and Victims in NLP*"<sup>781</sup>, which was initiated by DK, one of the intensive conflict partners of the complainant from my master's degree at that time, whose mail of the DVNLP board had generously tolerated the complainant and me rudely defaming her (see 1.2.1.8. *Abuse by Petra P., AK, DK, KA and OA*). This thread is still visible with all the clear names in the forum and was read by 3,847 (!) people on 22.12.2019,
- in a number of threads fell so far out of his role as moderator that he not only allowed the complainant and me to make derogatory to insulting comments, but even wrote them himself.

In this way, Mr. Dannemeyer has fallen out of his role, for example in the thread "Open question"<sup>782</sup>, in which the "moderator" Dannemeyer and his co-moderator, Stefan Gros, have clearly and insultingly left their role as moderator. See in detail

- the encroaching hints of the moderator Stefan Gros<sup>783</sup>, who reproaches me for the "punishability" of my mails to DVNLP members (informing them about the machinations of their board), smugly states my "ability to learn", only to then realize that once in Abano, in contrast to myself or e.g. Matthias Varga of Kibéd, "would have *been too good*" to hold a sign with the reference to a workshop I offered there, and finally gave me "*the gift*" of feedback in a sweet and disgusting way, to let me know that my behaviour would be "*completely incomprehensible*" to him, that I would ruin my reputation and that of my

---

<sup>778</sup> See *before/after DVNLP completion class (22.09.2015-16.09.2017)* and *Grandiose Lie - the "Final Declaration" of the DVNLP*.

<sup>779</sup> See the *dispute over the DVNLP graduation certificate in the member area (2016-2017)*.

<sup>780</sup> See *Einseitig Beiträge einfach so löschen (28.04.2015) / current link to Einseitig Beiträge einfach so löschen*, "*Schließung einer Diskussion*" Dannemeyer praises KA, DD u. AK (13.10.2014)/ *current link to "Schließung einer Diskussion"*. The thread "*Discouraging discourse*" XING NLP Forum (November 19, 2014) has been deleted.

<sup>781</sup> See *XING-NLP Verdict and Prejudice (09.01.2019)* (Archive) or *Verdict and Prejudice, Violence and Victims in NLP* (current link).

<sup>782</sup> See *DD KA SA AK in Open question in XING-NLP/ current link to the thread "Open question"*.

<sup>783</sup> See under 09.10.2014, 15:34 in *Open Question Dannemeyer Schmutzdecke* (archive link, here the *online link*).



partner, and that we would present ourselves "*as victims of sexual and political machinations*". He rounds it all off with a dose of moraline, of which he probably did not know himself what he wanted to express or achieve with it: "*You condemn the relationship of the coach [XY was not a coach at that time, but an assistant] of your own training and then start a relationship yourself?*"<sup>784</sup>

- the cynical and stupid comment by Stefan Gros, alluding to an alleged secondary gain: "*I regret that we obviously did not express ourselves clearly enough ... or is your goal here to be excluded from the forum in order to be able to continue to play the role of victim?*"<sup>785</sup>
- Ralf Dannemeyer's highly partisan solidarity note, which is recognisable to all readers, to the former master's participants AK, DK, DD and KA, whose slandering he still allows to stand in epic breadth in his forum to this day: "*Warm greetings and solidarity for those who are now defending themselves against injustice suffered and - finally - have found words*".<sup>786</sup>,
- the "dirty lick" hint<sup>787</sup> with which Mr. Dannemeyer "straddles" the argument between me and the forum participant SA and attacks me "out of the blue", sneakily but frontally,
- the assumption of the DVNLP executive board's pre-conviction and vigilante justice by Mr. Dannemeyer, who was appointed to me on 11.10.2014 as an abuser attacks with his reference to "*a single - prominent - trainer ... who leaves the ethical framework*" and "*abuses his power ... and deliberately abusive ... and slanderous by his fellow readers* (due to the accusations of the "causa DVNLP" perpetrators AK, DK, DD, KA and GA), which can be easily decoded by him in his forum and contain the clarifications of the complainant and myself), *criminalising and pathologising statements about the participants of one of his master's courses*", followed by a reference to the "poor" master's participants KA, DK, DD, GA and AK, which makes his partisanship clear even to the last reader, of whom he says that they "*find here for the first time the courage and the forum to take a stand, to give words to their stunnedness and also their sadness*".<sup>788</sup> This contribution of the "moderator", who is not only not impartial

---

<sup>784</sup> Here Mr. Gros moralized me on a thin database, i.e. on the basis of rumors. See 1.2.1.1 *Misuse by XY*.

<sup>785</sup> See on 21.10.2014, 14:01, in *DVNLP had bad luck again (21.10.2014)*

<sup>786</sup> See under 09.10.2014, 17:23 in *Open Question Dannemeyer Schmuddelecke* (Archive link, here the *online link* )

<sup>787</sup> See under 10.10.2014, 7:26 in *Open Question Dannemeyer Schmuddelecke* (archive link, here the *online link*).

<sup>788</sup> See 11.10.2014, 7:25 in *DD KA SA AK in Open question in XING-NLP/current link to the thread "Open question"*.

but also explicitly and highly involved in the conflict, is still visible today and has been called up 5,823 times (on 22 December 2019). It makes it clear that the current Director of Press and Public Relations, Ralf Dannemeyer, is not only a desk accomplice of the DVNLP case but also one of its main perpetrators due to his position of journalistic power<sup>789</sup>,

- Ralf Dannemeyer's enthusiastic praise<sup>790</sup> for the <sup>791</sup>complainant's conflicting parties KA, DK, DD, GA and AK, who describe themselves as "*wrongly accused*" and to whom he gives the widest possible scope for comment before closing the relevant thread "Open Question" on Sunday afternoon after their defamatory attacks on me and the complainant. He did the latter despite my - humorously, but very urgently - expressed request: "*I am now three days on a seminar tour and not online. Please wait until Monday for any other clever comments or assassination attempts.*"<sup>792</sup> So from Friday, the 10th, to Sunday evening, the 12.10.2014, I could not comment anything. Punctually on Sunday afternoon Mr. Dannemeyer closed the thread,
- the "guerrilla marketing" remark by Ralf Dannemeyer<sup>793</sup> of 10.01.2015, "*As taken from the textbook on guerrilla marketing*", which is probably more a projection than anything else. He stated that it would (he meant through me) "*cloud the issue, swap perpetrator, victim, cause and effect*" and then psychopathologized me casually with, "*It is pointless to discuss with them: Once they have established a "truth", nothing more changes. Facts to the contrary are either ignored or reinterpreted as further evidence. Almost like conspiracy theorists.*" With these defamatory statements of its executive committee for press and public work, Ralf Dannemeyer, the DVNLP executive committee pathologizes and criminalizes me until today - officially.

To what extent Ralf Dannemeyer in his forum - and thus the current DVNLP board of directors officially also - has knowingly spread lies or simply fallen for the lies of the association's leadership around Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and their "Causa DVNLP" accomplices should be clarified by a DVNLP investigating committee.

---

<sup>789</sup> One can call him, as the Dr. jur. Jens Tomas board members also did, a "desk offender" and also think of the concept of "banality of evil" coined by Hannah Arendt (see 1.2.2.2.3. *Abuse through non-observance of judgements*).

<sup>790</sup> *Dannemeyer praises KA, DD and AK (13.10.2014)* (Archive link, here the *online link*).

<sup>791</sup> See especially the contributions of KA from October 11, 2014, 14:03 and October 12, 2014, 14:33 in *DD KA SA AK in Open Question in XING-NLP/ current link to the thread "Open Question"*.

<sup>792</sup> See my post from 10.10.2014, 10:44 in *DD KA SA AK in Open question in XING-NLP/ current link to the thread "Open question"*.

<sup>793</sup> See 10.01.2015, 7:37: *Dannemeyer Guerilla-Marketing (10.01.2014)* (Archive link; here the *online link*)

#### 1.2.2.7.4 DVNLP self-justification as a Scripted Reality Soap in Cyberspace - Cyberwar

For the vigilante justice against the complainant and myself, which is still carried out in the DVNLP, the DVNLP board of directors, as already described, uses the DVNLP member AK and the master conflict partners of the complainant DK, KA, DD and GA as instruments. As a further, not less questionable but for the official self-justice of the DVNLP in cyberspace important "witness for the prosecution", the DVNLP Teaching Trainer SA is called upon by the board.

AK, DK, DD, KA, OA and SA would presumably be well advised to ask the Director of Press and Public Relations, Ralf Dannemeyer, to ensure that their contributions defaming the complainant and myself in both internet forums for which the DVNLP Board is responsible are deleted. It cannot be in their interest that their names be publicly displayed in connection with the misconduct for which they are accused. Just as little as the complainant and I enjoy being badly discredited and defamed in the DVNLP Internet forums in all public spheres, both within and outside the association - especially after the threads have been shortened in the interests of the complainant's conflicting parties and to her and my disadvantage, censoring them and producing distorted and lies.<sup>794</sup>

While AK, DK, KA, DD and GA play a rather simple role in the "Scripted Reality Soap"<sup>795</sup> on the "Causa DVNLP", which was staged, produced and distributed in cyberspace by the DVNLP (according to the motto "*We have dirt on our own, that's why we act here as witnesses for the prosecution - and we are startled and shoot at sparrows with cannons*"), SA can be admired in a multiple role: The DVNLP claims him in the role of a fraudulent impostor chief witness, in the role of a sneaky chief prosecutor, a psychological-psychiatric hobby expert who secretes toxic diagnoses in a sweetish-smelly way, a bigot-hypocritical righteous man, a judge, as well as that of a wannabe grand inquisitor fallen out of time. These roles are implicit in his multi-layered contributions and become apparent when one appreciates the contributions exhibited and conserved by the DVNLP on the net as a total work of art representing a virtual court: the cyber state court of the DVNLP self- and cyberwar-mob justice. In this work of art, SA plays a leading role with his cyber war mission as a sneaky-sweet star witness for the DVNLP indictment, as a prosecutor and psycho evaluator.

---

<sup>794</sup> See the posts in the DVNLP members forum then/now: SA AK DK DD KA assassinated in *Koenigsmacher* (27.02.2015)/*The Koenigsmacher is assassinated 1-2 DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019), SA AK in *DVNLP-XING forum The true victims* (27.02.2015)/*The true victims thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019), OA in *Some shoes wear metal soles DVNLP-XING* (07.10.2014)/*Some shoes wear metal soles DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019), AK and DD in *Stellvertreter-Konflikte* (27.02.2015)/*Stellvertreter-Konflikte DVNLP -XING* (14.12.2019) and DD in *Meine Sicht zu einer aktuellen DVNLP-XING* (26.08.2018)/*Meine Sicht zu einer aktuellen DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019)

<sup>795</sup> For the terms Scripted Reality, Pseudo-Docu-Soap etc. see [https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scripted\\_Reality](https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scripted_Reality).

His cyberwar deployment first took place in 2014, and SA, due to the unbroken popularity of soap threads clicked on the Internet in the DVNLP-controlled forums, is still being used by SA in the DVNLP's cyber war against the complainant and me. SA and the others of the fascist-totalitarianist derailed DVNLP as a kind of marauding cyber war mercenaries, AK, DK, KA, DD and GA, which were still useful for its functionary elites, were first coordinated with the help of DVNLP member Ralf Dannemeyer, who moderated his guerilla NLP forum, and then from April 2018, will be officially supervised, deployed and awarded<sup>796</sup> with commendation medals by Ralf Dannemeyer, DVNLP board member for press and public relations. Mr. Dannemeyer, together with the entire "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors, is responsible for the fact that the complainant and I are still being attacked and defamed to this day by these DVNLP-loyal cyber-fighters of the association - obviously for their own sordid reasons.

In contrast to the former Master participants and their conflict partners among the DVNLP instructors who are involved in intensive conflicts with the complainant, the DVNLP instructor SA is not originally involved in conflicts with the complainant. He never met her, never talked to her. SA has already had conflictual points of contact with me in the past - hints for this can be found in the thread "*The kingmaker is assassinated*"<sup>797</sup> in the DVNLP-Fake member forum. These points of contact and also the title of this thread become understandable if I share my suspicion regarding the emotional motives of my colleague SA: My hypothesis is that SA still bears a grudge against me for not lobbying for the settlement of the corresponding conflict of the board members in his favour at the 2005 general meeting when he was still part of the then highly divided board of directors that I mediated. The DVNLP executive committee was so divided at that time that it had even invited members to the general meeting at two different places and on two different dates. I was the only person in the association who was accepted by all board members as a conflict moderator, and I succeeded in getting the board members to jointly invite me to a general meeting at *one* place and on *one* date - the 2005 general meeting. But the conflict over which board member should pay for the costs incurred by the dispute in the association would have required a long mediation period and would probably even have had to be resolved only through the courts.

In the 2005er meeting of the members I had exerted myself therefore with the then still existing weight of my voice in the federation to select a completely new executive committee, i.e. to load the disputed old executive committee no longer with the costs developed by the argument of the members of the executive committee among themselves or to make even for these regress-obligatory, but to relieve it - in addition, to say good-bye. I felt that I had committed myself to SA, as

---

<sup>796</sup> See *Dannemeyer praises KA, DD and AK (13.10.2014)*, [current link](#) .

<sup>797</sup> See *SA AK DK DD KA assassinated in Koenigsmacher (27.02.2015)*/ [current: The Koenigsmacher is assassinated 1-2 DVNLP-XING \(14.12.2019\)](#).

well as to his colleagues on the board. For SA, this was perhaps too sour an apple to bite into, since he, like his conflicting partners on the board, had to forego the pain-relieving satisfaction of having been "in the right" in the dispute between the board members.

With his contributions in the two DVNLP-controlled internet forums, SA, probably also in accordance with his interests as founder of an NLP coaching association parallel to DVNLP, interfered inappropriately and presumptuously in our conflicts with the participants of my master and with the DVNLP board. But: Since the DVNLP executive committee probably fitted the thrust of its attack against the complainant and me well into its criminal strategy, it left the SA contributions, which slander us in a psychopathologizing and criminalizing way, not only in DVNLP-Fake member forum, but also in the DVNLP-Dannemeyer's Guerilla-NLP-Internet Forum. So there are now several "Friendly-Fire" or "Snipers" contributions from SA in both DVNLP-controlled forums, which the DVNLP board of directors has been exhibiting on the net for five years now with great media impact for the internal and external NLP public.

The contributions of SA had a terrible influence on the criminal stigmatization, criminalization and psychopathologization of the complainant and myself in the DVNLP, which culminated in the 2014 general meeting of the DVNLP members, manipulated and deceived by the DVNLP executive board, shortly after the publication of the SA contributions in the DVNLP internet forums.

The perfidy of the DVNLP information policy is that the DVNLP board of directors has the unilateral power to shorten parts of the thread and then leave the thread plucked on the net. In his NLP-Internet forum Mr. Dannemeyer has completely deleted the thread "*The true victims are no longer silent*", which AK also opened there, but in the DVNLP-Fake members' forum, for which he is also responsible, he can still be seen in a carved version, in which a comment that is indispensable for the understanding of the thread was censored by me.<sup>798</sup>

This means that the board member for press and public relations, Ralf Dannemeyer, has meanwhile removed the essentially identical thread "*The true victims are no longer silent*" from his private forum for which he is responsible. It must have been clear to him that AK's posts contained defamation and that he could have legal difficulties as a private forum operator if he had left this thread in the forum. However as executive committee for press and public work Ralf Dannemeyer, as one of five executive committee members, is only diffusely jointly responsible for the fact that the defamatory and personality rights hurting contributions of AKs still stand in the DVNLP member forum. He will know, like his colleagues on the board, that the

---

<sup>798</sup> See SA AK in DVNLP-XING-Forum *Die wahren Opfer (27.02.2015)/ Die wahren Opfer Thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019) - cut out is part 1v2 from Die wahren Opfer... DVNLP-XING (10.10.2014).*

board has already succeeded once in court in rejecting the responsibility for the DVNLP Fake Members' Forum, which is used as a communication trap and a slander instrument, far from itself.

Had I, at least before the publication of this "Causa DVNLP" final report, sued the board for libel and slander, I would have had to assume that the DVNLP board would have shifted the responsibility back<sup>799</sup> to the DVNLP member forum "privately operated" moderators. Wisely, the board of directors has learned and, unlike in 2014, has refrained from including the board of directors for press and public relations as one of the forum moderators and has been content with the managing director Berend Hendriks and his employee Holger Dieckmann as moderators. It is to be assumed that the Board of Management member for Press and Public Relations, Ralf Dannemeyer, and his colleagues on the Board of Management would, in the event of a charge of a defamatory violation of our personal rights, have shifted the responsibility for such a criminal offence back to the moderators Hendrik and Dieckmann, who run this forum "as a private pleasure". In the case of a lawsuit, these two office employees would have to consider whether they would accept the corresponding punishment or would rather testify that they were explicitly instructed both then by "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" and today by the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors to leave the defamatory contributions in the DVNLP Fake Members' Forum - suitably carved.

In its contributions to the "True Victim" thread, as well as in its contributions still visible in the Dannemeyer Forum, e.g. to the thread "*Open Question*", SA psychopathologises and remotely diagnoses the complainant in a subtly defamatory way - in these quotations I have highlighted in bold:

- "*I see a harm for NLP when I read that **a severely traumatized woman who is emotionally highly burdened participates in an NLP-Practitioner Training, an NLP-Master Training, a Systemic Coach Training and an NLP-Trainer Training and becomes zertifiziert** and the association grants her a Teaching Trainer License [here SA quotes an incorrect statement from the XY supporter group]. There were many other instructors. With Thies, she only got her master's. A lot of things seem to have gone wrong. In my trainings I also sometimes have people **who are emotionally unable to meet the training requirements emotionally and mentally, to whom I recommend psychotherapy and finish the training.***"<sup>800</sup>

---

<sup>799</sup> See DVNLP lawyer at LG-Berlin (09.12.2014) and 1.2.2.7.1. lies in the "faked" DVNLP member forum.

<sup>800</sup> See SA AK in DVNLP-XING forum *The true victims* (27.02.2015); 10.10.2014, 12:53, here is the current link to this post: *The true victims thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019).

- "... [the complainant] **should not have been trained in NLP, but in good and long-term psychotherapy**. And she needs it even more after all this re-traumatization."<sup>801</sup>

SA gives the impression of being a well-informed and sympathetic observer, just as if he had led a committee of inquiry into the incidents in my master's thesis, which was conducted in camera and in great detail. But: SA, without exception, only talked to the complainant's conflicting parties and only spoke to me on the telephone very briefly once. He did not speak at all to the complainant herself. Their exclusion from communication in the association is a recursive pattern manifesting itself at all levels, which runs through the whole "Causa DVNLP", from the beginning concerning the executive committee to the end concerning the arbitration commission. Even the SA, which is very communicative and well-informed, has not interrupted this pattern.

After a friendly intro, which seems to be turned towards me with a collegial appreciation, SA (highlighted in bold) criminalizes me:

- "...because I value you, I would like to answer your question and share my assessment with you. ... The participants have already told you what was going on. It was an instructive course, it was a good group where everyone felt quite comfortable, learned a lot and was very impressed by their teacher".
- "Some have experienced a relationship between the co-trainer and a participant, but it did not bother anyone. The fact that you didn't notice has surprised some people. But this did not play a role in the atmosphere. There was no bullying, not for anyone. What a presumptuous statement by a self-appointed virtual head of an even more virtual committee of inquiry! You would have noticed for sure!"
- "What probably bothers everyone now: **That you explore the sex lives of your participants, formulate wild hypotheses and publish this**. [Except for a link to the complainant's self-defense publication, I have published nothing of the sort<sup>802</sup>] **The sex life of your former participants is none of your business. That's what everyone's trying to tell you somehow. And they don't want you to spy on them** [<sup>803</sup>I don't know how SA came up with that]. **This is unbearable for everyone**. If you know about crimes, go to the police. Let them do their job. This

---

<sup>801</sup> See SA on 10.10.2014, 13:28 in *XING-NLP Open question / current link to the thread "Open question"*.

<sup>802</sup> See *The S.O.S. Emergency Response Publication*.

<sup>803</sup> I essentially asked one single question: See *mails in the master distribution list 2013-2014*.

*is a job that no association or teaching trainer can do. NLP'ers can't do everything.*"<sup>804</sup>

The complainant then addressed SA, also in the DVNLP Members' Forum:

- *"Dear Sir ... [SA], I didn't know that you had been in the class! Where do you get the certainty that there was no bullying? I would ask you to be a little cautious with your opinion on this, or had we already talked on the phone so that you could get a personal impression of how I was doing in the course?"*<sup>805</sup>

SA responds with genuine gaslighting infantilisation and psychopathologisation:

- *"Dear Ms... [complainant], you're right, I didn't go to college. I only talked to Thies on the phone [SA pretends he got all his deep knowledge from me. Moreover, by making this reference, he gives the impression that I, to the exclusion of the complainant, had communicated something to him, and with him now to all forum readers, which might have given him, and with him now to all forum readers, reason to believe that I would see things differently from the complainant whom he had psychopathologised] to listen to his view of things. I was also first interested in a behaviour of the DVNLP executive board which I experienced as mobbing. Now I experience it as fainting behavior."*
- *"Apparently you don't use the term bullying as it is generally defined. Mobbing is psycho terror at the workplace with the aim of driving those affected out of the company. As a rule, bullying is initiated by the management. Infantilisation by giving a lecture with the wrong content: bullying also exists at school and even in kindergarten, but in any case in clubs...] The line encourages people to exclude individuals because they are unwanted by the line. SA said my course leader in the Master, if he had meant the DVNLP leadership, he would probably have been right] The bullying is done by mood swings, emotional exclusion and encouragement to wrongdoing towards this person. **Thies and the assistant coach weren't bullying you after all.** Gaslighting at its best: SA, who secretes his sweet and creeping poison, behaves very much like DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks, when he publicly announced that there had been no abuse in the DVNLP. It's like SA saying, "What's the complaint? You can't trust your perception anyway! You better trust me, I know better, also better than your former course instructor Thies Stahl!" Thies wouldn't have let a bullying go on." [SA praises me in a way that infantilizes and pathologizes the complainant] *On the contrary, you were favored. They had a closeness to leadership that others did not have.*" SA obviously wants to portray me as an abuser here, as he had*

---

<sup>804</sup> See under 16.10.2014, 10:18, in SA AK in DVNLP-XING forum *The true victims* (27.02.2015) / current: *The true victims thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019).

<sup>805</sup> See the entry from 10/16/2014, 10:56, in SA AK in DVNLP-XING forum *The true victims* (02/27/2015), here current: *The true victims thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING* (12/14/2019).



already indirectly insinuated<sup>806</sup> in Dannemeyer's NLP Forum that not the course instructor, but also the course leader, i.e. me, had had an affair with the complainant. It was not to me that the complainant in my master's course had a special closeness, but to her abuser and alleged perpetrator of violence, the course instructor XY]. *"When wire goes berserk, she won't talk to you anymore except to hurt you. Maybe there were a few participants you didn't like that much, it happens to the other participants as well. Lack of sympathy is not bullying, but quite normal group dynamics and not bullying."* [What a terrific gaslighting confusion induction! One could easily forget that SA was neither part of the group nor talked to me or her about the group dynamics]. *"An accusation of bullying should be well-founded."* [Infantilizing rebuke of the self-proclaimed DVNLP Cyber Chief Prosecutor and Judge SA. The complainant did indeed substantiate her accusations of bullying and other accusations against the Conciliation Commission to which she appealed in her application<sup>807</sup>, but was not heard]. *"Once again: Thies would have noticed a mobbing, he has antennas for it [first: smearing honey around the beard...] and at that time he was also still completely unbiased. [... and then declare myself to be crazy, so to speak:] Unfortunately, his bias is currently clouding his antennae."*

- ***"It would be good if you would seek good advice in this very entangled situation*** [this is a psychopathologisation of the same type as that first used by Martina Schmidt-Tanger in the association against the complainant]. *I'm afraid you won't find any really good solutions here, which I wish you from the bottom of my heart* [it's like SA saying, "I'll come to you in the nicest way, then you won't even notice I'm putting her in the psychiatric corner"! *Sincerely, SA*<sup>808</sup>

In this thread, SA then spreads slanderous "silent mail" and "rumor mill" slander that violates personal rights:

- ***"Story time"?: A director*** [SA refers here to SF, the complainant's pimp ex-husband, who was co-director of a youth welfare organisation together with the complainant] *forces his wife into prostitution, sets up a paedophile ring* [SA knew that the complainant had been living in a paedocriminal offender system since she was two years old, decades before her second marriage, and that her mother was one of them, her stepfather and her first husband], *forces his wife to become pregnant* [here, SA's imagination seems to have run away with him], *rapes her, abuses her own children* [the complainant's second husband, meant by SA, did not have any *children of his own*] *that the woman does not want* [SA knew and knows full well that the complainant is deeply saddened that she has

---

<sup>806</sup> See *XING-NLP Open question*; see 10/12/2014, 14:05, here the current link to this post.

<sup>807</sup> See the *complainant's application to the Conciliation Commission (23.08.2013)*

<sup>808</sup> See *SA AK in DVNLP-XING-Forum Die wahren Opfer (27.02.2015)*; 16.10.2014, 11:42. Here is the currently still visible post there: *Die wahren Opfer Thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019)*

had her children since August 2012 (!) - partly caused by XY and the DVNLP], *but which are now withheld from her. She is then raped by pseudo-helpers* [whom SA means by that, I don't know, perhaps the DVNLP teacher trainers who pretended to want to help her but did not do so for their own selfish and, above all, hedonistic reasons], in *the street* [he probably means the report of a rape scene in the dark on the Elbe during a "party" of some master participants] in *front of witnesses, children* [the sad role of the complainant's children in the paedophile perpetrator system and its complexity apparently cognitively overwhelmed SA in my telephone conversation with him] *and the whole wider world and nobody notices, but everybody is doing* [SA apparently believed the master participants exactly what they told him. She probably did not mention justifiable crimes during her slip-ups in "group events" with the complainant and her children to SA]. *And a teacher's trainer simply doesn't get anything out of it* [The fake alternative offered here by SA, completely in the spirit of the DVNLP board, is clear: Either the complainant is an unaccountable criminal or Thies Stahl is in his relationship with her not only a deluded fool, but also one who has probably become demented - preferably both.<sup>809</sup>

Subsequently, the complainant replied to the DVNLP teacher trainer SA in this thread: *"I am always amazed at how quickly and with how much ignorance and inaccurate information people presume to judge each other."*<sup>810</sup> She adds: *"I experience her fatherly-sweetish, encroaching, violently questioning my perception of her as badly as the filthy, dirty and violently abusive paedophile clients from the clerical environment that I had to serve as a child. I got sick."*<sup>811</sup>

Following this little exchange of blows, I will once again take a thorough and detailed stand<sup>812</sup> on the slander and defamation of SA in his forum posts. Summarized and formulated somewhat differently than there, roughly in this way: The contributions by SA, for which the DVNLP is responsible in its two forums, share some features that are quite toxic for me and the complainant. The colleague SA initially contributes some apparently useful additions to the positions I have presented<sup>813</sup>, giving the impression that he is participating in a "V.I.P." discussion on the basis of a

---

<sup>809</sup> See SA AK in DVNLP-XING forum *The True Victims* (27.02.2015); 17.10.2014, 21:15, currently still visible: *The True Victims Thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019).

<sup>810</sup> See SA AK in DVNLP-XING-Forum *The True Victims* (27.02.2015); 16.10.2014, 12:17, currently still visible: *The True Victims Thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019)

<sup>811</sup> See SA AK in DVNLP-XING-Forum *The True Victims* (27.02.2015); 18.10.2014, 18:02, currently still visible: *The True Victims Thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019)

<sup>812</sup> See SA AK in DVNLP-XING-Forum *The True Victims* (27/02/2015); 18/10/2014, 19:43 and 19:44, currently still visible: *The True Victims Thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING* (14/12/2019)

<sup>813</sup> See for example also in the thread SA AK DK DD KA in *Koenigsmacher assassinated* (27.02.2015), old: *The Königsmacher is assassinated 1-2 DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019)

"V.I.P.".Telefonates" with me and with the conflict partners of the complainant detailed and valid information about the "real" events in my former Master, expresses his apparently deeply felt sympathy, both for the person of the complainant and for me, praises me - also in front of my conflict partners from the Master - over the green clover, intersperses false factual assertions and lies in between and then pathologizes the complainant and also me (as "blinded dementia"), thereby indirectly criminalizing us, only to then again express his obviously hypocritical sympathy towards her and me in a greasy pastoral manner. He seems to feel genuine compassion for the "victims" who are portrayed by him as falsely accused. But when reading his contributions, one cannot help the impression that he is instrumentalising the "poor victims" for his own purposes just as much as the complainant and myself.<sup>814</sup>

At least he comforts the poor XY supporters then, similar to his sponsor, the DVNLP board member for press and public relations, Ralf Dannemeyer, who still does this today, and wishes them a good recovery for *their traumatisation* suffered by us!

This pattern can be found in the thread "The real victims don't keep quiet anymore!"<sup>815</sup> also here: SA first argued, apparently supporting me, in the spirit of my request,

- *"I wanted to support you in your motion that sexual relations between instructors and participants be treated more critically. The pick-up image of the NLP is slightly corrected at least in the DVNLP. And I could imagine that it would be very difficult to establish a trainer code against a board and an AFK. I thought you were getting cut because you were bringing up some sensitive issues."*

and then either criminalize me - or, more specifically, the complainant:

- *"Then I learned here: You're trying to get an assistant coach out of the DVNLP. You reported him to the health department and only because of stories that ... [the complainant] told you. There was no legal proceedings - nothing. I read here in the articles, which are not credible for me, ... [the complainant] has left her children to pedophile clients for abuse, so that she could earn money for years and also lived on it, just like her husband. She did nothing about it. Never. She*

---

<sup>814</sup> The assumption is obvious that SA, through his contributions to the NLP forum, just like Ralf Dannemeyer through his partisan "moderation" and his own contributions, has worked towards the DVNLP grands Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Thies Stahl and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas creating such a bad image for himself with the help of their conflict among themselves that he, just like Ralf Dannemeyer and probably also, through his unfair interference, Stephan Landsiedel, was able to rise to the front row of the exposed NLP providers.

<sup>815</sup> See SA on 18/10/2014, 22:24 in DVNLP fake members forum SA AK in DVNLP-XING forum *The True Victims* (27/02/2015) (original)/ *The True Victims Thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING* (14/12/2019) (visible today; deleted by the DVNLP board: *Part 1v2 of The Real Victims... DVNLP-XING* (10.10.2014)).

*was a social worker. And feels like an innocent victim. What did she allow as a mother to the children? She allegedly turned your master's course into a brothel and you're looking for the responsibility for that with your assistant." Then SA quotes me: "Then, after long months, the prosecution decided on the probably more economical way: instead of processing so many charges, they now charged the complainant with libel"] "What an explanation. Cops are very committed to the issue of pedophiles, you can believe that to frame someone is worse than murder. Just as well that ... [first name of the complainant] is not accused of prostitution, pimping, child prostitution etc. by the public prosecutor's office. I had hoped that facts [which were fake or alternative facts] would inspire some self-doubt in you, but your Nibelung loyalty will not be challenged.*

If one reads the contributions of the DVNLP teacher trainer SA, in which he, without any background knowledge, defamatory accuses the complainant with the help of rumor mill or silent mail false reports that he has misrepresented, it quickly becomes clear why the DVNLP board of directors leaves its contributions defaming the complainant and me in its DVNLP fake members' forum - and also in the new DVNLP forum of the board of directors for press and public relations, Ralf Dannemeyer.

The perfidious strategy of the colleague SA is to be illustrated <sup>816</sup>in the following with further examples of the thread "Open question", published in the Dannemeyer Forum until today in full length and with all our defamatory contributions, which was read 5832 times on 24.12.2019.

It is important to remember the important role played by the NLP internet forum of the then DVNLP courtesy journalist and today's DVNLP board member for press and public relations, Ralf Dannemeyer, for the vigilante justice perpetrated in the DVNLP: The DVNLP member Dannemeyer helped - already in 2014 the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors and today as a board member, also the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors - to use the DVNLP teacher trainer SA, who slandered and slandered us, in the cyber war as "main witness" of the DVNLP self- and mob justice against the complainant and me against us. Although Mr. Dannemeyer, as a trained journalist, should have noticed that he had offered SA a broad journalistic platform in his guerrilla NLP marketing forum for slander and defamation that violated his personal rights, and also that he should have corrected this for five years. Today, as head of the DVNLP Ministry of Propaganda, he probably suppresses this every day, as he would have to take responsibility for too many journalistic mistakes.<sup>817</sup>

On 10.10.2014, 9:28, SA insinuates to me (in the course of his answer to my "Hidden Agenda" hypothesis, which I had already held out to him at that time, that he would make an attack against me), *"From my point of view you were never against sex*

---

<sup>816</sup> See XING NLP thread "Open question" / current link to the thread "Open question".

<sup>817</sup> And that for more than the one mentioned here: *Dannemeyer Stahl (08.07.2015)*

*between coach and participants, now you have made a turn.*<sup>818</sup> Which is clearly not true! I had a clear line on this question - ever since a student Gestalt group "blew up" around my ears as a Gestalt therapist in 1979, because I had started a relationship with a participant. There were three exceptions in my coaching career: A clandestine meeting in 1985 (of which no one in the DGNLP training group at the time, except years later Martina Schmidt-Tanger, knew anything and in respect of which no one had claimed any damage) and then one each in 1989 and 2012, in the latter two of which the relationship in question was known to all group participants and was explicitly approved by all. In addition, both groups had a second person beside me as an equal co-trainer: in 1989, in a group in Switzerland led by myself and a co-trainer, my then lover from Vienna was a group participant and in 2012, in the coaching training course led together with Martina Schmidt-Tanger, my current partner, the complainant.

SA added in this article, *"Your friends could not take this turn so radically.* How he came up with this idea, SA did not mention: Who said that who couldn't understand what?! Martina Schmidt-Tanger or Dr. jur. Jens Tomas in conversation with SA? Probably. Above all, there was no such *"twist"*. That there was supposedly one, SA assumes here by pre-supposition: When readers think about whether others could understand a *"turn"* or whether it was radical, they have long since accepted the suggested view of reality according to which there would have been a *"turn"* at all. Here the hypocritical approach of SA becomes very clear: In an apparently empathetic, sweet understanding he mixes in increasing doses the poison of insinuations and grossly false, but probably more public, mind-readings not recognized as such by most. Such a mind-reading is what he continues with in this combination, which is dripping with disgusting hypocritical, toxic compassion: *"This has led to breaks. And you are deeply disappointed.* [I am rather disappointed about the dirty "friendly fire" of my colleague SA in the 12.000 readers of the Dannemeyer's Dirty Corner] *I understand that!"* This is a greasy, because hypocritical-empathic, and poisonous, because it insinuates facts and emotions, which is immediately followed by a slanderous and psychopathologizing defamation of the complainant: *"That ... [first name of the complainant] has and had massive problems is obvious and she should not have been trained in NLP, but in a good and long-term psychotherapy. And she needs them now more than ever after all this re-traumatization."*<sup>819</sup> Here again much of a hypocritical, strategically used compassion: SA, with all his pastoral-stickily spread empathy both for the complainant and me and for our conflict partners, who are victims of false accusations deplored by him, is probably more concerned with making it clear that in *his* seminars and in *his* NLP coaching association, which he founded parallel to the DVNLP, such bad things and mistakes as they happened in the DVNLP, naturally do not occur.

---

<sup>818</sup> See under see 10.10.2014, 13:28, in *XING-NLP Open question / current link to the thread "Open question"*.

<sup>819</sup> See under 10.10.2014, 13:28, in *XING-NLP Open question / current link*

The picture of the complainant, which was already widely publicised here in 2014 in the Dannemeyer-controlled NLP Internet forum, has not been corrected by SA to date (see below), despite our extensive publications on her situation at the time and her extraordinary resilience. As a reminder, the social pedagogue SA, who is here making a casual psychological-psychiatric remote diagnosis, does not know the complainant and has never said a single word to her! There was only this brief exchange in this forum, reproduced above, in which the complainant SA was justified in rebuking her for her omniscience, which she claimed to have acquired at her own expense in a grand inquisitorial manner.

Such a misleadingly pretended omniscience and pretended initiation becomes very clear again in the next article.<sup>820</sup> SA writes, again after a "deeply empathic" introduction ...

- *"...before I deal with your [meant is the "Causa DVNLP"-offender AK, who is mentioned here by SA]<sup>821</sup> completely justified objection, I would like to think about who will have the biggest damage from this escalation incident in my opinion. It's Mrs... [Appellant] and then also Thies Stahl. The two of them probably have the biggest losses and most pain to deal with now. A lot of relationships and trust has been broken. It's gonna be hard and difficult to process."*

... just as if he had been sitting on a virtual committee of inquiry as a VIP "connoisseur of the situation" and had acquired extensive detailed knowledge of what was going on in the background of my master's thesis:

- *"You're right, the trigger for the drama is not the co-trainer and the secret affair. The trigger is the affair of a teacher trainer with a participant during a training course. That was later. That was the origin of the later escalation even in retrospect with your group."*

Pretentious and at the same time completely confused. This "cabbage and beets" argument darkens the facts like a smoke bomb: In my Master's degree, no teacher trainer had a relationship with a participant and no co-trainer, but the course facilitator, also called course assistant. As a background it is of course interesting that AK, who was directly addressed by SA in this answer thread article, did not want and still does not want that the then course attendant and today's DVNLP teacher trainer XY is unmasked as abuser and suspected sex offender. Finally, the own protection of the XY supporter group from legal persecution stands and falls with the protection granted to the course guide XY by the association and his journalistic

---

<sup>820</sup> See under the 12.10.2014, 14:05, in *XING-NLP Open question/ current link to the thread "Open question"*.

<sup>821</sup> See under 10/12/2014, 14:05, in *DD KA SA AK in Open question in XING-NLP/ current: <https://www.xing.com/communities/posts/offene-frage-1008756635?comment=32540100>*.

assistant Dannemeyer. Even as the current DVNLP propaganda minister, he remains true to his line of offering AK, SA and the other XY supporters a unilateral forum for prosecutors of the DVNLP self-justice.

In this post<sup>822</sup> in the "Open Question" thread, SA, still in the mode of an officially appointed and arrogant "Seminar-TÜV" assessor, comes around the corner with *"The assistant's affair may have put some strain on the group dynamics of the master. That's probably all. Thies has not noticed any negative effects"*, distributes emotional sticking plasters for the poor conflict partners of the complainant, who he "diagnosed" as falsely accused, and then, criminalising us, indirectly calls on them to sue the complainant and me: *"You will certainly be able to straighten out the untenable allegations and also, if you want, get compensation for the derailments.* He continues to spin this underhanded intrigue against me with *"It would be desirable if at some point the individuals could apologize for their inappropriate reactions. And here the trainers [by that he meant me, of course] certainly have the right of way"*. I am therefore, together with the complainant, who has been presented by SA as being incompetent, the guilty party and, according to SA, I must apologise.

SA can be misused by the DVNLP courtesy journalist Ralf Dannemeyer in the course of the DVNLP's self- and cyber-mob justice also for the role of the sitting judge in this "cyber-court in absentia": Via the DVNLP propaganda instrument of the Dannemeyer guerrilla forum, the DVNLP lets its member SA spread its verdict in front of more than 12,000 people: *"I think you have a lot of people on your side and I think you will be the most right about the inappropriateness of TIE's behaviour."*<sup>823</sup>

But SA does not fulfill this function of judge without having separated before and after each one of his pastoral interjections dripping with hypocritical sweetish toxic empathy. Before that with: *"And what I wish most of all is that Mrs... [the complainant] gets the help and support she needs. She bears the brunt of the burden."*<sup>824</sup> And afterwards, addressed to the main perpetrator of the manipulation and deception of the 2014 General Assembly, AK, together with Petra P.: *"I wish them a good place where they can heal their wounds."*<sup>825</sup>

---

<sup>822</sup> See See October 12, 2014, 14:05, in *DD KA SA AK in Open question in XING-NLP/ aktuell*

<sup>823</sup> See <https://www.xing.com/communities/posts/offene-frage-1008756635?comment=32540213>.

<sup>824</sup> See October 12, 2014, 14:05, in *DD KA SA AK in Open question in XING-NLP/ currently* <https://www.xing.com/communities/posts/offene-frage-1008756635?comment=32540100>

<sup>825</sup> See October 12, 2014, 15:08, in *DD KA SA AK in Open question in XING-NLP/ current:* <https://www.xing.com/communities/posts/offene-frage-1008756635?comment=32540213>.

The complainant appears to have been the least affected by SA. As a human being, as a person with rights of his own, he did not take any notice of her at all and did not even get to know her: for SA she is and was very clearly exclusively a means to an end. He used her and talked *about* her, but not *to* her. Thus he behaved as a simple DVNLP member (albeit with a different, but no less harmful hidden agenda for the complainant abused by the DVNLP) just like the DVNLP association officials and members who were directly involved in conflict with the complainant.

In response to what I believe to be a very correct statement by a forum participant, *"Such a topic belongs in a protected framework. An experienced mediator would have to deal with the topic. Perhaps even the association should provide this, because the topic obviously also concerns him in the meantime"*, SA initially pretends very convincingly as if he was talking to ...

- *"Thies went to the media because he didn't get the support he deserved in the association. The topic is in the media because the internal clarification within the association has completely failed. If a board of directors no longer wants mail and no longer reads it, does not provide and convene mediation and conciliation, then the discourse is sought elsewhere. Thies is standing there with the doors locked."*<sup>826</sup>

... for me, in order to then, as it were ambush and indirectly, launch an attack against me by indirectly and very directly insinuating that I (1.) at that time (in the Master's degree) *"started an affair with a student" "and continued the training"*, (2.) *"researched the sexual relationships of my participants"* and (3.) *"publicly and pejoratively commented and evaluated the sexuality of participants"*<sup>827</sup>.

This "open question" thread<sup>828</sup> in the Dannemeyer forum was read by 5868 forum members on 24.12.2019<sup>829</sup> The DVNLP board has granted him - at the expense of the complainant and myself - the space for this extremely abusive discrediting of the complainant. Against the background of the complainant's reports on the criminal activities of XY and his forum support group around Petra P., her husband KA, AK,

---

<sup>826</sup> See *DD KA SA AK in Open Question in XING-NLP* at 14:45 on October 12, 2014/ here is the *current link* to this post.

<sup>827</sup> Also on October 12, 2014, 14:45, in *DD KA SA AK in Open Question in XING-NLP*/ here is the *current link* to this post.

<sup>828</sup> See *DD KA SA AK in Open question in XING-NLP*, here the *current link* .

<sup>829</sup> The Cosmic Joke: Petra P. complains about cyberbullying that allegedly takes place against her person in my DVNLP publications (see *Petra P. at Staatsanwaltschaft Cybermobbing* (27.10.2014)), but does not prevent KA, her husband, and her friends AK, DK, DD and GA from continuing in the most perfidious way the bullying, which they had started together with AK in the members' meeting against the complainant and me, which they lied to, in the NLP Internet forum sponsored first by the DVNLP courtesy journalist Dannemeyer and then by DVNLP board member Dannemeyer.



Leo Buchholz, DK, DD and GA, this theatrical and sleazy intrigue is difficult to bear, just as difficult as the "love motto" of the 2018 DVNLP Congress, which is cynical in view of the "DVNLP case".<sup>830</sup>

Just like SA, the then member of the master group KA, husband of Petra P., who together with AK is the main perpetrator of the manipulation and deception of the 2014 general meeting, and who together with AK, Petra P., DK, DD and GA is one of the intensive conflict partners of the complainant, spreads in the thread "Open Question" an absurdly one-sidedly distorted picture of the conflict situation in the master group of that time, since the role of XY is completely ignored<sup>831</sup>.

Not only in the internet forums for which the DVNLP board is responsible, the defamatory insinuations and lies of SA are spread from 2014 until today. SA itself does not deny itself the right to renew them again at the moment: On 25 April and 29 April 2019, SA reiterated his lies and defamations of the complainant and myself, which violated her personal rights, in the "nlp4all" discussion group<sup>832</sup>. Here he publicly accuses and criminalises the complainant: *"I don't believe a word your friend says. She spreads fairy tales and they are not harmless, but could put people in prison if they were true"* and *"Maybe she instrumentalized you?"* Besides, he continues to psychopathologize me loosely: *"You are the only one who believes them. This is a huge loyalty of yours, which has a high price for you"* and *"a kingmaker [he means me] has overestimated his influence and processes his personal insult in a very social group dynamic"*. The DVNLP teacher trainer SA probably does not know himself what the latter is supposed to be for a diagnosis.

With the additional discrediting of my person by the then following "psycho-diagnosis", SA is certainly better understood by the DVNLP association people: *"I'm surprised how you can maintain your self-deception for so long and be so resistant to feedback, although it seems to be nlp-immanent already. The Trump virus? Or an old man problem itself?"* and *"Taking your anger and disappointment out on everything now is pointless."* Even his criminalization of my person, which he had already committed five years ago, DVNLP-sponsored, SA could not resist on 29.04.2019 on

---

<sup>830</sup> See the "love" motto of the 2018 DVNLP congress.

<sup>831</sup> See the absurd "fantasy journey" of the master participant KA on 05.10.2014, 17:10, in *DD KA SA AK in Open Question in XING-NLP/* current: <https://www.xing.com/communities/posts/offene-frage-1008756635?comment=32519706>. Also informative is the dialogue prepared in stilted "Sie" language by KA on 05.10.2014, 17:10, in *DD KA SA AK in Open Question in XING-NLP/* current: <https://www.xing.com/communities/posts/offene-frage-1008756635?comment=32540156>

<sup>832</sup> See *exchange SA steel in nlp4all (25.04.2019)*. You can register here: <http://www.nlp.de/nlp4all/>

nlp4all: "You wanted to have a teacher's trainer denied his suitability as an instructor because he had sex with your girlfriend..."<sup>833</sup>

This public mind reading, as perfidious as it is stupid, could only happen to SA because either he hadn't taken note of any of my publications<sup>834</sup>, or because he couldn't row back without embarrassing himself or risking an ad. That is probably why he has decided to continue his attack just as blindly: His slanderous attack against the complainant and myself, which he has recently added to the nlp4all list, has secured for his colleague SA, alongside Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, a very special place of honour among the perpetrators of violence with whom the complainant has been confronted for many decades. In the case of SA, it is a place of honor for desk criminals who use unstable remote diagnostics to spy on remotely controlled cyberwar drones from ambush.

At the very least, SA has made it clear with this renewed defamation that it does not intend to take responsibility for its contribution to the perpetrator-victim-reversion trial that is still taking place in the DVNLP against the complainant today. Apparently SA wants to live with his guilt as if nothing had happened. In nlp4all he said, "*the 'Causa Thies Stahl' has taken away my sleep for a few evenings*"<sup>835</sup>. I would like to believe that, since SA has to live with the fact that he has been in the service of a criminal DVNLP for five years. If I had taken such a guilt trip, colleague SA, I would sleep badly too.

#### 1.2.2.7.5. lies to the press

About the lies of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors in the statement of the DVNLP<sup>836</sup> to the SPIEGEL, I had already said a few things in the chapter "*Abuse by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas*" in subchapter 1.2.1.4.4.

#### 1.2.2.7.6 Lie on the DVNLP website

The lie spread<sup>837</sup> officially on dvnlp.de after the general meeting at the beginning of November, which was manipulated and deceived by the board of directors, that the

---

<sup>833</sup> All quotes here: *Exchange of SA steel in nlp4all (25.04.2019)*.

<sup>834</sup> Especially "*Background of abuse complaints*" and "*Psychiatric report - a gift for the pedocriminal offender system and the DVNLP*", but also the other articles and other materials on <https://thiesstahl.com/texte-und-materialien-zum-dvnlp/>.

<sup>835</sup> See also *exchange SA steel in nlp4all (25.04.2019)*.

<sup>836</sup> See *DVNLP statement to the SPIEGEL*.

<sup>837</sup> See the "*Declaration of the lied DVNLP members*", first as *MV-Web-Info in the member area (06.11.2014)* The *declaration of the DVNLP MV 2014 DVNLP-XING (14.12.2019)*, which was communicated in the context of these big lies and tricked the duped members, stands as a memorial for the Dr. jur. Jens Tomas criminal board until today in the DVNLP-Fake member forum.

complainant had injured the security guards, was then spread further in the forums and on Facebook.<sup>838</sup>

#### 1.2.2.7.7 Lied member circular mail of the DVNLP

The DVNLP sent a circular mail<sup>839</sup> to its members on 07.10.2014 (see 1.2.2.4.1. *The board of directors abuses the DVNLP total membership*). In this mail, DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks recommended *all* DVNLP members to take legal action against two DVNLP members, the complainant and myself. He made this recommendation, which was equivalent to a request, after having previously<sup>840</sup> referred to the '*Statement of the Management Board on the Thies Stahl and ... [complainant] case*', which was full of lies.

#### 1.2.2.8 *The board abuses the association's bodies*

The board abused the association's committees "Training and Further Training Commission", "Conciliation Commission", "Board of Trustees", "Regional Groups", "General Assembly" and itself as a body in various ways.

##### 1.2.2.8.1 Misuse of the training commission

The Training Commission should have dealt with the certification fraud committed by XY, RP, Stephan Landsiedel and Carlos Salgado. The senior member of the Training and Further Training Commission, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, will have to explain the arguments she and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas used to convince her colleagues in the Training and Further Training Commission that they did not need to deal with the infringements in cases XY and Stephan Landsiedel/Carlos Salgado. They did not do so, and if they did, they did so minimally that they would not have listened to or questioned the complainant or myself.

The abuse of the Training and Further Training Commission, mediated by the abuse of office of its senior member Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, essentially consists of having been passed over although it was responsible as a body.

##### 1.2.2.8.2 Misuse of the conciliation commission

The arbitration commission was essentially abused twice by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors. For the first time, when he prevented her from processing the applications of the complainant and myself from August 2013 to September 2014 in a manner contrary to the Articles of Association - i.e. *before the* date of the general meeting of members manipulated and deceived by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors. For the second time, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas abused the Arbitration Commission *after* the Berlin Regional Court virtually obliged the DVNLP Board of

---

<sup>838</sup> See *ModInfo TS excluded (04.11.2014)* and *Facebook lie Padberg (01.11.2014)*.

<sup>839</sup> See *DVNLP Board to all members (07.10.2014)*.

<sup>840</sup> See the *causa statement DVNLP (26.09.2014)* and my comments on it in *Reply Steel DVNLP-Causa-Stelln. (06.10.2014)*.

Directors to process my application for suspension of the association exclusion proceedings initiated against me.

1.2.2.8.2.1 Misuse by the April lie of the board

In 2014, the Board of Directors<sup>841</sup> publicly claimed in its "April Unprecedented" statement that *"The Association's arbitration panel, called (later withdrawn) by Thies Stahl and ... [the complainant] has dealt with the allegations. Since criminal charges have been filed, the association's arbitration committee has reached its limits."* This statement is a misleading false assertion, a lie made up of a clever combination of half-truths with a lie by omission:

- It is not mentioned that the withdrawal of our requests of 23.08.2013<sup>842</sup> to refer our complaints against XY to the arbitration committee was only temporary. In the "Causa DVNLP" chronology,<sup>843</sup> I write, *"On 9 September 2013 and 10 September 2013, Thies Stahl and the complainant follow the legal assessment and the corresponding advice of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and withdraw their requests to refer the matter to the Conciliation Commission. The complainant and Thies Stahl did not employ their own lawyers who could have corrected the legal view of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas at that time. Both of them will only submit their respective complaints again on 03.03.2014 [formally, by registered letter with advice of delivery], together with a new request for negotiations before the arbitration commission. After March 3, 2014, the complainant and I submitted our complaints (later supplemented by other persons and misconduct) several times both formally and in our official correspondence with the DVNLP<sup>844</sup>.*
- The "Arbitration Court" (in the DVNLP statutes: "Conciliation Commission") would have *"dealt with the accusations"*, suggesting that there had been a working meeting of the Conciliation Commission, in which its members *would* have dealt with the *mutual* accusations of the *two* conflict parties (as indispensable parts of the grammar of the word "conflict-conciliation"). That was not the case.
- The statement, *"Since criminal charges have been filed, the Association's Arbitration Committee is reaching its limits here"*, conceals the fact that my complaint against XY<sup>845</sup> was and still is a separate complaint, independent of that

---

<sup>841</sup> See *April-without-date statement (XX.04.2014)*, or the commented version in *"Causa DVNLP"- Correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014*

<sup>842</sup> See *request by complainant to the Conciliation Commission (23.08.2013)*, *request by Stahl to the Conciliation Commission (23.08.2013)*

<sup>843</sup> See under this date in *Causa DVNLP - the chronology*.

<sup>844</sup> See *"Causa DVNLP" correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014* and *"Causa DVNLP" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014*.

<sup>845</sup> See *Jens an Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014)*.

of the complainant. If at all, there were "limits" only with regard to the complainant's complaint against XY, which may have been given by the fact that, in addition to an unethical action by XY that was certainly negotiable before the Arbitration Commission because it had been proven unethical, these limits also presumably included justiciable actions.

With the help of this tissue of lies consisting of half-truths and deliberate omissions, the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors succeeds in suggesting that our applications for referral to the arbitration commission were so "off the mark" that we would have withdrawn them - which amounts to ludicrous defamation and no longer has anything to do<sup>846</sup> with the reality of our dispute with the association's leadership.

This defamation of the complainant and myself also includes an abuse of the "Arbitration Commission" body by the DVNLP board of directors: With the still<sup>847</sup> maintained, lyingly distorted "April-without-date" statement (dated April 2014),<sup>848</sup> the DVNLP has abusively damaged the reputation of its Arbitration Commission body: He has abused the members working in it on a voluntary basis in that he has put them in a position to either tolerate this misrepresentation of their (just not) involvement with the "DVNLP cause" conflicts or to act on their own responsibility - and then to be publicly sanctioned<sup>849</sup> and disciplined, i.e. "washed up" (see above under "*1.2.2.4.6. abuse against Henrik Andresen*").

In reality, the Arbitration Commission could *not* become active in any way *whatsoever* and, in accordance with its provision in the statutes, was unable to deal with the accusations of the "DVNLP case" by means of arbitration negotiations, because the Board of Directors, contrary to the statutes and contrary to our repeated requests, simply did not commission it to deal with our complaints.

With this distorted "April-April" representation, the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors abused the arbitration commission in that it put its members under the stress of a conflict of loyalty: they had to make the certainly not easy decision to become disloyal either to their board of directors or to two members: Henrik Andresen and also Barbara Knuth knew at the time that the board of directors of the complainant and myself had blocked access to the Arbitration Committee for more than a year in violation of the Statutes.

---

<sup>846</sup> See also "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014 and "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014.

<sup>847</sup> See the documents sent in *Hendriks to member (24.01.2018)*, "*DVNLP relies on lying managing director*" and the comments on "lie 8" in "*DVNLP lies. Chronic*".

<sup>848</sup> See the *April-without-date opinion (XX.04.2014)* An annotated version can be found in "*Causa DVNLP*"- Correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014

<sup>849</sup> See *oath. Statement by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (09.12.2014)*.

The DVNLP statutes could not help Henrik Andresen and Barbara Knuth much in this conflict of loyalties, because in order to assert themselves against their board of directors, that it should implement the provisions of § 30 of the DVNLP statutes<sup>850</sup>, they had to fight a battle with the two authors of these statutes, the chairman of the board Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his fellow student, the association lawyer RA Harms, i.e. exactly with the two DVNLP lawyers who, using the example of the complainant and myself, showed them how the DVNLP did not shy away from dirty mafia lawyer tricks in order to get rid of two unpopular DVNLP members.

1.2.2.8.2.2 Misuse by covert attribution of co-responsibility

In a further respect, the misleading assertion that the "*Association's Court of Arbitration ... has dealt with the allegations*" is abusive: With it, the board of directors accuses Barbara Knuth and Henrik Andresen of being jointly responsible for the exclusion of the complainant and myself from the general meeting - and thus also for the association's internal exclusion policy towards the complainant. For the fact that no justice was done to the complainant in the DVNLP, however, the executive committee alone bears full responsibility - at least formally. To what extent Henrik Andresen, Barbara Knuth and Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann are partly responsible for them should be clarified by a committee of inquiry.

Barbara Knuth and even Henrik Andresen may have to accuse themselves of lacking moral courage. Barbara Knuth, who is well informed about the monstrosities in the association, is also well informed because, instead of actually "*dealing*" with us and our motions *together* with Henrik Andresen, she actively participated in manipulating and deceiving the members through appropriate silence at the right moments during the general meeting - and in doing so, idly watched how we, despite the right to vote and with brutal violence, were silenced and removed from this meeting. And this, when it would have been her task as a member of the conciliation commission to finally help us to find a voice in the association that has just derailed in Dark German. And Henrik Andresen perhaps because, after initially filling his office with courage and moral courage<sup>851</sup>, he had finally, by withdrawing<sup>852</sup>, simply betrayed it. His absence, which was not commented on by him, by the Board of Directors or his colleagues in the Conciliation Commission, nor by me after my meeting with the Conciliation Commission on 27 March 2015, was at the same time both the cause and the expression of a confusion in the "Conciliation Commission/Complainant/Steel" group, which affected both its mandate and the composition of this group (see below).

The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors probably left this group to its own devices for good reasons of its own, and at that time I was only able to name this

---

<sup>850</sup> See *DVNLP statutes*.

<sup>851</sup> See *Andresen arbitration award BF and Stahl (31.10.2014)*.

<sup>852</sup> See *Mail Andresen to Stahl (15.08.2015)*.

confusion and the paradox corresponding to it to some extent and also only very vaguely.<sup>853</sup>

1.2.2.8.2.3 Misuse of misappropriated bias

A second time the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Directors, when - in accordance with the statutes and quasi under the supervision of the Regional Court of Berlin - it had to deal in March 2015 with the exclusion proceedings of the DVNLP Board of Directors conducted <sup>854</sup>against me and the complainant "*in circumvention of its own statutes*" - and also with the fact that I was "*forcibly prevented from participating [in the general meeting], although he [I] had a right to participate and thus also to present his [my] position due to the suspensive effect.*"<sup>855</sup>

This second abuse consists in the fact that the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors left the arbitration commission in a state of confusion either by withholding important information concerning the basis of its work - or by allowing the arbitration commission to ignore this procedurally relevant information for its work: After the spokesman of the Arbitration Committee, Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann,<sup>856</sup> had, on 23 March 2015, invited the complainant out of the meeting with the Arbitration Committee which she and I had requested and which was finally scheduled for 27 March 2015, the complainant decided to inform the Board and the Arbitration Committee in writing about two important points. She declared<sup>857</sup> on 26.03.2015 (a) the withdrawal of her mandate from my person and indicated to the Arbitration Commission and the Board of Directors (b) the bias of Henrik Andresen regarding her and thus also my person.

Re (a): As<sup>858</sup> evidenced by all the 2013/14 correspondence between the DVNLP and myself, I had previously been mandated by the complainant to speak on her behalf: In my communication with the DVNLP association officials Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, I had stood up for the complainant and often spoke for her, especially when traumatic contents of her experiences had to be addressed and touched upon. This "mandating" of my person, which was officially withdrawn on 26.03.2015, meant that she wanted to speak for herself throughout the meeting

---

<sup>853</sup> See *steel arbitration commission positions paradox*.

<sup>854</sup> See page 11 in the "*Nazi Analogies*" court ruling (09.03.2017).

<sup>855</sup> The complainant and I had agreed, not least for reasons of cost, that only one of us would take legal action against the DVNLP's exclusion procedure and that I would be the one to do so: If my expulsion could then be prevented, I would support a committee of inquiry within the association, which, we hope, would then also reverse your expulsion.

<sup>856</sup> See the mails of 23.03.2015 in *Mails before meetings SK* (27.03.2015).

<sup>857</sup> See *bias petition H.A. SK* (26.03.2015)

<sup>858</sup> See "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014 and "*Causa DVNLP*" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014.

scheduled for 27.03.2015 and therefore release me from the role of her advocate or "articulation helper".

The two lawyers Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas will have understood this meaning of "withdrawal of the mandate", but presumably it did not fit either into the exclusion strategy of the Board of Managing Directors or into the ideas of Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and the Conciliation Committee as to how they intended to deal with the complainant and myself. Through the "unofficially official", or rather "officially unofficial", association prosecutor Dr. G., both the Board of Directors and the Arbitration Commission were certainly informed that although the criminal charges against me had been dropped on 16 December 2014<sup>859</sup>, the proceedings of the public prosecutor's office against the complainant had been initiated in the Local Court of Altona (dropped there on 17 November 2017 - see 2.3.) This circumstance probably spoke in favour of a serious attempt to save Thies Stahl, the founding board member and honorary member of the DVNLP, for the association, which - as Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and probably also Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann thought - could only succeed on the condition that he was separated from the complainant whom he supported.

As regards (b): As regards the complainant's request for the release of Commissioner Henrik Andresen from his duties while the Arbitration Commission was dealing with her and my case, both the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" member of the Management Board and the spokesman of the Arbitration Commission, Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, should have acted in his capacity as a responsible lawyer<sup>860</sup> who himself had experience in the law governing associations and federations. The complainant wrote: "*Mr Andresen was a course instructor in the DVNLP course (2004/2005) of Thies Stahl, in which my ex-husband ... [SF] along with the DVNLP member I indicated... [RP] and the one for Mr... [XY] testifying against Mr. Stahl... [BE] was a participant. Mr. Andresen has been coaching and advising both me and ... [SF], separately and together as a partner. In this capacity, he was instrumental in ensuring that ... and I Mr... [XY] into our private and professional relationships.*"<sup>861</sup>

In the same letter, the complainant filed a complaint against Henrik Andresen in connection with her application for his dismissal from the Arbitration Commission, since she had "*suffered enormous damage as a result of his interference as a course attendant and coach in the lives of ... [SF, her ex-husband] and myself.* In this letter, she also requested that her complaint against Henrik Andresen - who, ironically, was

---

<sup>859</sup> See *public prosecutor's office informs DVNLP lawyer Dr. G. (16.12.2014)* as well as *notice of discontinuation to XY via KF (16.12.2014)*, *notice of discontinuation to DD and KA (16.12.2014)*, *notice of discontinuation to P.P. (16.12.2014)*, *notice of recruitment to SF (16.12.2014)* and *notice of recruitment to AK (16.12.2014)*.

<sup>860</sup> See the *mail exchange Stahl-Wewel Vor der MV (22.10.2014)*.

<sup>861</sup> See *bias petition H.A. SK (26.03.2015)*



herself a member of the Arbitration Committee - be referred to the Arbitration Committee.

Neither the DVNLP Board of Directors, nor Henrik Andresen, nor the spokesman of the Arbitration Commission, Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, have formally confirmed in writing to the complainant the receipt of the bias petition. Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann informally and implicitly confirmed this request of the complainant to me when he wrote on 26 March 2015 that *"You have not yet complained about Henrik Andresen's bias"*<sup>862</sup> and that, directly after this statement, he threatened me that the Arbitration Committee - which, according to its articles of association, is also allowed to do so - could decide without hearing me personally if I wanted to postpone the meeting scheduled for 27 March 2015.

Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann was clearly annoyed by my request to postpone the meeting until the complainant's request for a conflict of interest concerning Henrik Andresen and the complicated handling of her request to the Arbitration Committee for the settlement of a dispute between the complainant and the member of the Arbitration Committee had been clarified. This is understandable due to the short time span between the bias petition and the meeting scheduled one day later. But it should also have been understandable for him - as a lawyer and as a human being - that I could not simply ignore the complainant's decision to declare Henrik Andresen biased because of the problematic overlapping of their previous lives - even if the complainant, for his understanding of the complexity of the DVNLP members' past entanglements, could only make this decision very late. And: I had let Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann put me under pressure and thought that I could not expect him and Barbara Knuth to take the trouble of changing their schedule at such short notice. I was also shocked by the sudden withdrawal of Henrik Andresen.

Extremely impressed by Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann's threat, I agreed to a meeting the following day, but *"with the proviso that you assure me that this is more of a get-to-know-you meeting and a kind of pre-sorting, and that there will definitely be another hearing before a then full mediation commission."*<sup>863</sup> Whereupon Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann rebuked me, *"They have not complained about Henrik Andresen's bias to this day, so we now see their request as strange. There would have been plenty of time for this and the Commission could have reacted to it beforehand."*<sup>864</sup>

---

<sup>862</sup> See page 12 in *mails before meetings SK (27.03.2015)*.

<sup>863</sup> See page 11 in *mails before meetings SK (27.03.2015)*.

<sup>864</sup> See page 12 in *mails before meetings SK (27.03.2015)*. Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann probably could not have imagined that the decision on how to deal with Henrik Andresen's bias could have been an intensive process between the complainant and myself - although he knew that there must have been a tension between "Henrik Andresen helped us" and "Henrik Andresen, as my course companion at the time, is at the same time part of the complainant's difficult and violent past".

The sentence *"They have not yet complained about a bias against Henrik Andresen"* contains as a pre-supposition that Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann assumed that I had reported a bias against Henrik Andresen - which is not true: the complainant had. I did not file a bias petition, but I should have done so, because Henrik Andresen was a course instructor in my NLP practitioner course 2004/2005 and knew three participants from this course, which lasted nine weekend seminars over a year, who were deeply involved in the complainant's paedocriminal offender system: SF, the complainant's husband, who was a pimp at the time, RP, the participant indicated by the complainant, and EB, who was responsible for XY in the court case XY./Stahl testified as a witness with the aim of muzzling me regarding the "causa DVNLP" conflicts surrounding the complainant.

Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann was aware of the complainant's request for a bias and also of the reasons for it. On his own initiative, he should have asked Henrik Andresen not to participate in the referral of the DVNLP/Steel dispute to the Arbitration Commission, since he had detailed knowledge that this dispute concerned the failure of the DVNLP to deal with the complainant's complaint against XY and that the complainant had accused Henrik Andresen of certain offences<sup>865</sup>that were closely related to her complaint against XY. The fact that the lawyer Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann did not do this must be called negligent.

Unfortunately, I myself did not ensure that Henrik Andresen was elected as a substitute member of the Conciliation Committee on the grounds of bias. Since Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann had threatened me that there would be no further date for a meeting, I did not want to endanger the meeting on 27.03.2019. I wrote, *"Since you suggest that there may not be any direct (face to face) communication if I do not come, I am of course making sure by my coming that such a communication can take place at all - even if only in the unreasonably restricted form of a hearing by a mediation commission that is incomplete with regard to an essential person, which I have criticized."*<sup>866</sup>

What a confusion of the spokesman of the conciliation commission regarding the mission of this body! He himself, Barbara Knuth and Henrik Andresen, probably together with Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, decided to invite only me and not the complainant *and* myself, as I had strongly requested. This means that, according to the logic followed by the Arbitration Committee and the Board, the complainant's request for a bias claim should have been completely irrelevant for a meeting of the Arbitration Committee with me. The Arbitration Commission, like probably the Board of Directors, wanted a "separation of the cases Thies Stahl and the complainant", but in an attempt to achieve this, they were hopelessly mixed up.

---

But: Even if he could not imagine this, he would have had to be more precise and explicit in his handling of the bias petition.

<sup>865</sup> See the *bias petition H.A. SK (26.03.2015)*

<sup>866</sup> See page 13 in *mails before meetings SK (27.03.2015)*.

Instead of implicitly pathologising me with this remark about my "*strange request*", it would have been Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann's duty and task at this point to ensure that the Arbitration Commission dealt with the declaration of bias concerning its member Henrik Andresen in accordance with the statutes<sup>867</sup>: if he had assigned the request for bias to me, he would have had to appoint a new third person for my case together with Barbara Knuth. On the other hand, if he had not mixed up the cases, he would have had to inform me very clearly that the complainant's bias claim was irrelevant for our meeting, instead of accusing *me of having* made it the day before the meeting.

Since Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and the Arbitration Commission thus failed to distinguish clearly and unambiguously between myself and the complainant, i.e., did not differentiate between the complainant's applications, which had not been dealt with for one and a half years at the time, and my application, which had also not been dealt with by the Arbitration Commission for the same length of time, the Arbitration Commission was also unable to work in a proper manner and in accordance with its mandate. That this differentiation did not take place to a sufficient extent is also made clear by the fact that Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann did not ask me whether I, *for my part, would* also like to file a bias petition against Henrik Andresen. He confused my "request" with that of the complainant, and thus also my request to the Conciliation Committee with hers. Also the ambiguity of the sentence, "*You have not complained about Henrik Andresen's bias to this day*", refers to this confusion: It can be understood as "*I take note that you have complained that Henrik Andresen is biased as of today*" and also as "*someone else, but not you, has complained that Henrik Andresen is biased*".

In this ambiguity, a contextual overlap in the perception of the members of the Conciliation Commission becomes clear, at least in that of its spokesperson, which apparently led to the confusion that made the effective work of this commission impossible: The meeting on 27 March 2015 took place under the unclear framework of whether or not I had declared member Henrik Andresen to be biased. The pragmatic consequence of this ambiguity was a double-bind-like situation for Henrik Andresen, who, in his attempt to free himself by flight from the paradox created by the non-intervention of the board of directors with regard to the complainant's request for a bias against him, declared himself biased in terms of behaviour by his total failure. He did this by dealing with his "bias", which was "*indicated*" in the sense of § 30 of the statutes, but not by appointing a substitute member, which was recognised by the association and thus protected, in a highly biased manner, namely apparently offended - in my opinion rightly so, since Henrik Andresen was not protected in his responsible position by a board of directors, which had already

---

<sup>867</sup> Section 29(2) states that "*The members of the commission must report any possible bias*" and Section 29(3) states that "*The election of substitute members in the event of the resignation or rejection of a member of the conciliation commission is permissible. If a member is rejected, the remaining two shall decide whether to appoint a representative.*"

played a bad role in the general meeting and before the Berlin Regional Court<sup>868</sup>. Nor did his colleague, the lawyer Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, who was elected spokesman of the Arbitration Commission as Henrik Andresen's successor, grant Henrik Andresen the protection that would have been appropriate and absolutely necessary for a member of this Commission under the Statutes in the event of a bias claim.

Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, like his colleagues Barbara Knuth and Henrik Andresen and myself, should have made sure that his sentence "*You have not complained about a bias on the part of Henrik Andresen to this day*" was then questioned as to whether the Arbitration Commission assumed - or whether I assumed - that I had complained about a bias together with the complainant on this 26 March 2015 or whether only the complainant alone had complained. Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth had their own reasons, unknown to me, for not providing clarity on this point, and Henrik Andresen had apparently withdrawn into himself - shot and threatened from two sides: his chairman of the board had publicly discredited and "washed up" him in the general meeting and before the Berlin Regional Court, and his actual bias with regard to verifiable points of contact with the perpetrator system in the background of the complainant could not be discussed away.

His colleagues did not say a single word to me about Henrik Andresen, after he had completely ceased his duties and contact as a member of the commission on 26 March 2015<sup>869</sup>, did not appear at the meeting on 27 March 2015 and after this meeting did not even take part in the correspondence of the Conciliation Commission-Steel, which dealt with central issues.<sup>870</sup> And I had not cared about Henrik Andresen any more, because I had my hands full with explaining to the rest of the Arbitration Commission, Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth, in writing before the meeting and also afterwards in preparation for the next meeting, the complexity of the misconduct that had occurred in the DVNLP and the crimes committed against the complainant. It only became clear to me later on that this was a lost effort of love due to the unclear framework and the (pre-)conviction of the complainant that had already taken place.

If Henrik Andresen had appeared at the meeting of the Arbitration Commission for Steel on 27 March 2015, he would inevitably have made the questionable separation of the "steel referral" and the "referral to the complainant" a subject of discussion - and thus probably also abolished it. Henrik Andresen would not have wanted his colleagues Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth to deal with the complainant's accusations against him in the Conciliation Committee in connection with his

---

<sup>868</sup> See *oath. Statement by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (09.12.2014)*.

<sup>869</sup> There was only his attempt to reassure me by telephone that his contact with her and the perpetrators, as alleged by the complainant, did not exist in 2004 and thereafter (see *Mail Andresen to Stahl (15.08.2015)*).

<sup>870</sup> See *mails after meeting SK (28.03.2015)* and *steel arbitration commission positions paradox*.

involvement in her former system of relationships. If he had arrived at the meeting on 27 March 2015, at which the complainant had also been announced, it would no longer have been possible for the Conciliation Committee to avoid a simultaneous dealing with the complainant's allegations against Henrik Andresen, XY and the other "DVNLP case" perpetrators.

Only an éclat with Henrik Andresen could have helped the "Rumpf-Schlichtungskommission" to free itself from the quicksand of the barber paradox (see below), which was not so named at the time. Without the presence of the complainant and Henrik Andresens, the amputated system "Conciliation Commission Complainant-Steel" could not correct itself.

It was only because he "dropped out" and because his drop out did not lead to a confrontation between the complainant and me and Henrik Andresen, but because I naively agreed to speak to Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth alone, that Henrik Andresen was able to remain in his cover. He had no choice but to participate in the complainant's declaration of madness through his boycott of the work of the Arbitration Commission - by watching inactively from his exile in the far north as the Arbitration Commission, into whose silent accomplice he had turned through his absence, continued the merciless policy of exclusion of the DVNLP executive board towards the complainant, thus completing the perpetrator-victim conversion in the DVNLP.

If I had distanced myself from the complainant, I now think, and perhaps even started to express doubts about her credibility, which the association's unprotected Henrik Andresen evidently felt compelled to do, he, Barbara Knuth and Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann would probably very quickly have made an arbitral award *against* mine and *for* the exclusion of the complainant. After all, both Barbara Knuth and Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann had already agreed to the exclusion of the complainant at the 2014 general meeting.<sup>871</sup> And finally, both also actively contributed to the confusion regarding the mandate and the composition of the Conciliation Committee, behind which they themselves had long since decided, in the spirit of and presumably together with the Board, that the complainant should not be heard at all: The complainant had been tarred and feathered by the board of directors and was now also "outside the door" of the conciliation commission<sup>872</sup>. And should this remain so, in the sense of the narrower "Causa DVNLP" circle of perpetrators around Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Anita von Hertel, Leo Buchholz, Ralf Dannemeyer, Petra P., AK, OA and Stephan Landsiedel anyway, and probably also in the sense of the circle of perpetrators extended by

---

<sup>871</sup> In this general meeting, which was manipulated and deceived by the executive committee, Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth had voted in favour of the "Enabling Act" (see page 10 in the "*Nazi Analogies*" court ruling (09.03.2017)) - and thus also approved the mob justice that had taken place there.

<sup>872</sup> Figuratively, but also literally (see below).

Henrik Andresen, Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth, who now, together with the narrower circle of perpetrators, is responsible for completing the process of victim-victim-reversion in the DVNLP.

In retrospect, it seems to me as if Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth had observed only a minimal form of politeness when they had not openly "*hostile*" (see below) to the complainant, but had greeted her in a well-behaved manner and with a handshake, before we then kept her waiting for a good two and a half hours in the foyer of the hotel where we met. The fact that she had to stay "outside the door" and that I did not prevent this, still hurts my soul today. But while discussing and arguing I lost track of time, as I tried to make it clear to Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth what kind of fascist-totalitarian perpetrator association of the DVNLP would inevitably become if the Arbitration Commission agreed to the exclusion of the complainant. The fact that the three members of the Conciliation Commission had already done precisely that at that time and had also more than clearly demonstrated this fact by their behaviour towards the complainant who had been left "on the doorstep" did not, in fact, become clear to me at that time, in the situation itself.

During the meeting on 27.03.2015, the unclear framework conditions were not discussed. Neither Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth nor I have raised the question whether the complainant's application for a declaration of partiality should also be regarded and treated as an application for a declaration of partiality on my part. I myself had not explicitly, formally or in writing submitted a request for a bias claim concerning Henrik Andresen, but I did assume at this meeting that the Arbitration Committee would not only deal with the conflict between the Board of Management Stahl and myself, but also, of course, with the requests for arbitration by the Arbitration Committee that had been suppressed by the Board of Management for years and had therefore not been dealt with, and that the bias of Henrik Andresen would also be discussed in this context. But neither Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann nor Barbara Knuth raised the question during the meeting as to what exactly would be the task of the conciliation commission, both for this meeting and in general.

This sign of a fundamental confusion of the mandate of the Conciliation Commission, which we overlooked at the time, was a repetition of the pattern of a confusion of the applications of the complainant and myself throughout the "DVNLP case"<sup>873</sup>. And it was a repetition of exactly this pattern: The DVNLP committee, which dealt with the complainant and myself, the executive committee first and now the arbitration commission, still wanted to talk to me, but in presumably stupid-good-bourgeois double standards they no longer wanted to talk to the complainant, who they had consistently treated like a leper. She only received an e-mail from Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann with an announcement that he would come back to her for an

---

<sup>873</sup> See *mail Jens to Thies (29.05.2014)*.

appointment - which he of course did not do. Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, as newly elected association official, has obviously quickly adapted to the DVNLP lie, dazzle and deceiver style of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors.

The confusion and deception permitted by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors and also by Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, as the legal expert of the Arbitration Commission, thus ultimately consisted in the fact that the board of directors and the Arbitration Commission had not clearly defined for all parties involved whether there would be only one petitioner (Stahl) or two petitioners (complainant and Stahl) at the meeting in Hamburg on 27 March 2015. This confusion was a repetition of the "context overlap" in the perception of the DVNLP functionaries, when its effect was not differentiated between the persons and thus also not between the concerns and motions of the complainant and myself - something that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his board had admittedly already failed to do. This confusion led to an ambiguously given, received or understood mandate of the Arbitration Commission<sup>874</sup> and probably created a double-bind or paradoxical situation for the Arbitration Commission within the DVNLP organization, which then inevitably had to lead to conflicts between the members of the Arbitration Commission and its petitioners.

The conflict about the complainant's "right to exist"<sup>875</sup> in the DVNLP, which had remained unresolved in the association, was transferred to the Arbitration Commission: First, the board wanted to exclude the complainant without hearing her and I wanted to prevent it, and then the conciliation committee wanted to exclude the complainant without hearing her and I wanted to prevent it again. This conflict was then not solvable for the Conciliation Committee, which apparently only and exclusively, quasi formally and bureaucratically decontextualised, wanted to process Thies Stahl's application (and by no means the complainant's application). Under these circumstances, my attempt to resolve it inevitably led to postponed and emotionalised conflicts between the members of the Conciliation Commission and myself - which, as conflicts involving substitutes, could of course not be resolved any more than the actual Stahl/DVNLP conflict concerning the treatment of the complainant, which had now been transferred to the Conciliation Commission. This is probably also not the case because the arbitration commission - understandably,

---

<sup>874</sup> In the *Mail Andresen an Stahl (15.08.2015)* it becomes clear once again that this ambiguity has obviously not been resolved in the Arbitration Commission and in the DVNLP in general.

<sup>875</sup> The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board and the most important association officials knew very well that the complainant had had to prostitute herself (see page 5 of the *application MST via Dr. G. for EV (05.06.2014)*), but did not want this to become public and used their association lawyers accordingly against the complainant and me (see "*Verbandsanwalt Unterl.-forder. Abuse whore (09.07.2015)*"). In its stupid-bourgeois, NLP- and life-hostile double moral, the DVNLP executive board has decided to rather eliminate two members than to endanger the "good reputation" of DVNLP seminars. And: Several DVNLP federation officials and members certainly did not want their own role-mixed-abusive sexual activities to be made public and compared with their lies and hypocritical double-moral ideology.

of course - did not want to be told by me, just as the board of directors had done before, how it should work.<sup>876</sup>

The indignation or perhaps even anger that is evident in the accusations made by Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann in our mail exchange is probably a postponed one. It was more in the direction of Henrik Andresens, who had "fucked off" with the excuse from the meeting on 27.03. that he would have to take a job that could not be postponed, although he, Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann with "*I have postponed many professional appointments to be able to attend this Friday*" and "*...my sister died on Thursday and despite the event on Friday I did not cancel the trip to Hamburg*" had taken a lot of trouble to make this meeting possible. Also, as he made clear, Barbara Knuth, who "*is fully committed to the event*" and "*together with me, offers you the opportunity to attend the hearing in Hamburg despite Henrik's absence and is postponing her own vacation because of it*".<sup>877</sup> Henrik Andresen also withdrew from the subsequent correspondence between the Arbitration Commission and Stahl and decided "*not to contribute anything more*".<sup>878</sup>

Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann did not say why he could not direct his indignation where it actually belonged. It is to be assumed that he, as he had told me in a telephone call a<sup>879</sup> few days *before* the general meeting as a project, had discussed the situation with Martina Schmidt-Tanger so extensively before the general meeting that he could only see the "DVNLP case" from her perspective - which she then "conveyed" to the 2014 general meeting, which she lied through and through. Since this perspective is likely to have coincided with the perspective of the depictions of the accomplices Petra P. and AK, who also deliberately and deliberately suppressed the perpetrator XY in a lying manner, he will have had difficulties to even begin to understand the position of the complainant and myself. After all, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, after she had<sup>880</sup> already psychopathologized the complainant and me at that time, was able to talk to Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann on the phone before the general meeting, as well as later, with crocodile tears in her eyes, in the general meeting of the Schautribunal. In contrast to me, who could not talk<sup>881</sup> to Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann in my telephone conversation with him before the general meeting because of the muzzle I was given by the DVNLP-sponsored injunctions, I could not talk about the

---

<sup>876</sup> It was a repetition of the conflict situation between the Board and myself, since the Board also did not want to be told by me or to be supervised in how it should deal with the complainant's and my complaints. He preferred to let them fall completely under the table, protected the addressees of the complaints and "eliminated" the complainant and me.

<sup>877</sup> See pages 5 and 9 in *mails after meeting SK (28.03.2015)*.

<sup>878</sup> See *Mail Andresen to Stahl (15.08.2015)*.

<sup>879</sup> See *Vor der MV Stahl-Wewel (22.10.2014)*

<sup>880</sup> See under the 30.05.2014 in "*Causa DVNLP*"- *Correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014*

<sup>881</sup> See *Vor der MV Stahl-Wewel (22.10.2014)*



complaints suppressed in the association. That is, in contrast to the one he had with Martina Schmidt-Tanger, the telephone conversation with me had to be very short, of course. Martina's psychopathologizing effusions were not slowed down by any injunctions or lawsuits. The complainant and I were not to sue her for injunctive relief (of her difamatory-pathologizing slander), as she did directly in relation to the complainant and indirectly in relation to me, through the dirty support of XY.

So it was clear that these could not be solved in the Arbitration Commission, as deputy conflicts had to lead to mutual pathologizing<sup>882</sup> or criminalizing attributions of the commission members and the petitioners: I accused Barbara Knuth and Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann of "*idly watching*" the spectacle as the complainant and I *were forcibly removed from the MV by the "Security Squadron of the DVNLP in the form of five muscular 'men-in-black'".*<sup>883</sup> and the new spokesman of the Arbitration Commission, Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, said in connection with my demand for a declaration of confidentiality by the members of the Arbitration Commission, "*I see no reason for suspicion.* He couldn't resist adding, pathologizing me, that I had "*an apparently deep distrust.*"<sup>884</sup>

The lawyer Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, who I had informed in detail before the meeting, was certainly aware, while this inappropriate diagnosis of my devotion and trust "escaped" him, that I had thought of the questionable testimonies of XY supporters Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas in connection with the demand for a written declaration of confidentiality. Because these two association officials had previously broken more than just their duty of confidentiality when they embezzled confidential information from within the association in 2014, which they then leaked to the lawyer of XY, KF, for use in court against me via the mafia-like lawyers Harms and Dr. G., who were acting as (auxiliary) association lawyers. Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann was informed that I did not want to risk a conviction in the XY/Steel court case, which I was threatened with if even one member of the Arbitration Committee were to testify to XY that I had "made my own" the complainant's accusations against him - e.g. Henrik Andresen, who according to the complainant's reports was or still is connected both with SF, the complainant's pimping ex-husband, and with XY. Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann knew that such more than semi-criminal abuses of office by DVNLP association officials had already occurred before, based on my reports and documents submitted in writing to the Arbitration Commission.

<sup>885</sup>It was therefore very clear to the lawyer Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann that I had to take legal precautions and needed a written declaration from the members of the

---

<sup>882</sup> I would have an "*apparently deep distrust*" (page 14 in *mails before meeting SK (27.03.2015)*).

<sup>883</sup> See the *mails before meetings SK (27.03.2015)* and the *mails after meetings SK (28.03.2015)*

<sup>884</sup> See pages 3 and 14 in *mails before meetings SK (27.03.2015)*.

<sup>885</sup> Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann probably had an inner conflict, two hearts beating in his chest: that of the lawyer, trained in a humanistic psycho-method, who was very aware of the events that led to

conciliation commission that I would not use the contents of the conciliation talks anywhere, in any way or before any court in the world. It was certainly also clear to him that I had to fear that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his associate (auxiliary) lawyers Harms, Dr. G. and KF would collaborate in a dirty way against the complainant and me. (How justified this fear was, because they actually<sup>886</sup> did, I only found out at the beginning of 2019).

I'm afraid I have to confess: Although I was aware of the necessity of this and I had my lawyer demand a declaration of confidentiality<sup>887888</sup>, I was hypnotized by Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann before the meeting with the arbitration commission into a perception of reality, that I could do without such a declaration. I was reluctant to be regarded as a morbidly suspicious person by someone who was made so important to me by circumstances and who was actually very powerful<sup>889</sup> de facto and statutorily.<sup>890</sup>

The submission of her request to replace Henrik Andresen and to refer the Andresen/Complainant dispute to the Arbitration Committee was made in the complainant's conviction, and mine too, that the Arbitration Committee would hear the complainant *and* myself. However, neither the members of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board nor those of the Arbitration Commission apparently had the courage on 26 and 27 March 2015 to openly name what was obviously already formally the basis of their actions: The complainant had been successfully and criminally "eliminated" and nobody wanted to have (had) anything to do with this kind of

---

the disenfranchisement of the complainant in the DVNLP, which were contrary to the statutes or criminal, and that of the double-moral citizen who, in the case of a "trivial offence", might be more inclined to do so, to identify with the cavalier as the aggrieved love service provider - even if her services are used in extremely abusive power-asymmetrical relationships between psychotherapist, coach, mediator and trainer in an unprofessional, unethical and abusive manner.

<sup>886</sup> See DVNLP association lawyer collaborates KF Dr. G. (23.09.2014).

<sup>887</sup> My lawyer wrote to Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann on 19.03.2105: "*As I have not yet received a reply from you to my last e-mail on this point, I would ask for a binding declaration from the members of the Arbitration Commission that they are subject to the duty of confidentiality with regard to the contents of the arbitration proceedings and the facts and opinions presented to them, in particular if these are likely to affect the personal rights of the parties involved or third parties. This statement is necessary before Mr Stahl sends you his opinion.*"

<sup>888</sup> See page 2 in mails before meeting SK (27.03.2015).

<sup>889</sup> The extent to which pathologies, even "small" ones, limit the choices of all those involved is usually only recognised afterwards. Especially when they occur in a hierarchical, extremely power asymmetric structure: Whoever has the power, Mr. Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, should be particularly careful about the temptation to use communicative violence through pathologization. They had the power to decide on my economic and, potentially, emotional ruin. You may not have really realized it.

<sup>890</sup> According to the statutes, in the event of a conflict, the decision of the arbitration committee is higher than that of the executive committee.

incident or offence against her - and therefore, as it had become taboo in the meantime, did not even want to address it.

And: Nobody, probably not even Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, had any serious plans, despite the somewhat hypocritical statements to the contrary, to<sup>891</sup>ever again - or even just once - talk to the complainant, who after all had already been successfully "disposed of" by the association. The Arbitration Commission and the DVNLP Board of Directors have led the complainant and me to believe that the meeting on 27 March 2015 would be something like the "multiple-party arbitration" of the delayed multiple-party mediation that we in the association had previously tried to achieve.

Only a Freudian "prescriber" or "reader" of Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann's refers to the factuality of the apparently long since made decision in the committees of the executive committee and the arbitration commission. However, the spokesman of the arbitration commission strongly objected to the suspicion of a Freudian "prescriber" and blamed the mobile phone's automatic word processor alone for something that still remains something of a Freudian "reader": *"We - the arbitration commission - will proceed like offers [as in the original] and then decide what we consider necessary or right. We can of course welcome Ms. ... [the complainant] - and as her partner we will do so in a **hostile manner** ... [emphasis added by me] - but we will then continue the conciliation procedure on your requests, Mr Stahl, without her, as far as possible through today's letter from Ms. ... [the complainant]. The procedure takes place between you and the conciliation committee. A procedure... ..is not due tomorrow.*<sup>892</sup>

I should not have agreed to a meeting with the Arbitration Commission under the definition "it is only about the DVNLP/Steel conflict", but should have insisted that - as I originally proposed to the Board of Directors and as I had already initiated - multiple party mediation or arbitration be carried out with XY and the other "DVNLP causa" perpetrators - even if only in the mini-variation of arbitration negotiations with the complainant, the Board of Directors and myself.

When I agreed to the settlement before the Berlin Regional Court, instead of insisting on a repetition of the general meeting to let the Arbitration Commission decide, I was not yet aware of the depth of Henrik Andresen's involvement in the complainant's system of relationships and perpetrators in essential details, especially not with regard to the business entanglements with the perpetrators, which were mixed up with coaching roles. They only became apparent after the complainant, together with the bias petition against Henrik Andresen, had also requested that the Arbitration Commission deal with her complaints against Anita von Hertel and Cora Besser-Siegmund and had decided to inform me about further details of the offender

---

<sup>891</sup> Not only on 26 and 27.03.2015, but also later (see *non-members of the Conciliation Commission (Dec. 2014-2017)*).

<sup>892</sup> See page 13/14 in *mails before meetings SK (27.03.2015)*.

system's business relations with ordinary and exposed DVNLP members, from which she withdrew as a testifying principal witness at the end of 2011. Cora Besser-Siegmund and Anita von Hertel, she writes in her corresponding petitions to the Arbitration Commission submitted together with the application for a declaration of bias<sup>893</sup>, would also have acted in an advisory capacity for her and the complainant would have suffered great damage as a result of their interference in her difficult life situation at the time.

The complainant submitted her bias petition regarding Henrik Andresen immediately after Henrik Andresen had cancelled the meeting of the Conciliation Committee on 25 March 2015, which had been scheduled for 27 March 2015 in Hamburg, inter alia because of his place of residence in the far north of Germany, since he had been *"given an assignment that he cannot postpone*". This was reported to us by the spokesman of the Conciliation Committee, Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann,<sup>894</sup> shortly after he had learned of Henrik Andresen's decision not to face me and the complainant at the meeting on 27 March 2015.

To assume that both the complainant and I would be heard by the Conciliation Committee with regard to our concerns was thus a naive mistake on my part. As explained above, Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors have not really done much to achieve this, and probably have not had the courage to take this illusion away from us. But I might also have been able to see before that the complainant was "long out":

- Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann had already stated to my lawyer on 03.03.2015, *"since we deal with all proceedings individually here, we would like to ask your client to make this appointment with us, even if only personally and alone, for his concern*. By "all proceedings", he could also mean the proceedings involving me and the complainant in relation to which he wanted the hearings to be sequential. At the very least, there is no clear statement here that the complainant should not be heard at all.
- After the complainant had once again formulated her request to the Arbitration Commission and the Board on 22 March 2015, Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann let us believe<sup>895</sup> that she would also be heard, which, however, would not be possible on the same day due to the small time window of two hours. He gave the impression that after the conversation with me on 27.03.2015 there would be an

---

<sup>893</sup> See *bias petition H.A. SK (26.03.2015)*

<sup>894</sup> See page 9 in *mails before meetings SK (27.03.2015)*.

<sup>895</sup> See page 8 in *mails before meetings SK (27.03.2015)*.

extra conversation with her - which he later on - as an empty promise - had promised her.<sup>896</sup>

- Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann had acknowledged my announcement that the complainant would come to the meeting on 27 March 2015 and that she would stay near the consultation room in case there were any questions for her. A *procedure... ..is not due tomorrow*.<sup>897</sup> He did not have the courage and clarity to add unequivocally, "...and will not stand in line".

<sup>898</sup>The complainant and I thought that, after my settlement with the DVNLP before the Regional Court of Berlin, which had confirmed the fact that our previous applications to the Arbitration Commission had not been dealt with, the Executive Board was now more or less "under observation" and would therefore finally instruct the Arbitration Commission to process the applications of the complainant and myself, which had already been suppressed for over a year and a half. The fact that the DVNLP had not acknowledged receipt of the complainant's threefold application (application for a bias against Henrik Andresen, application to refer the Andresen/complainant dispute to the Arbitration Commission and withdrawal of my mandate), as was perhaps usual in serious associations, was something we were used to from *all* our previous applications. On the contrary, we would have been rather surprised if the DVNLP board of directors and its rather not for accurate work famous DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks had suddenly introduced a different practice and acknowledged to the complainant the receipt of her supplementary application.

Had the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors let its managing director do this, he would also have had to have had the courage either to officially ignore the application of bias contrary to the provisions of the articles of association, or to explicitly and quite officially substantiate exactly what he had then implicitly and uncommentedly, unnamedly and rather clandestinely "pulled off": He would have informed the complainant by official notice and contrary to the provisions of the articles of association (§ 30.3 of the Basic Law, paragraph 1). 1) have to deny the right to appeal to the Arbitration Commission even if you are a new non-member (see my comments below on *abuse through fraudulent labeling*).

Since the official applications of the complainant to the Board of Directors and to the Arbitration Committee contained in her letter of 26 March 2015 have not been acknowledged, they do not appear to officially exist. The board of directors at least does not refer to them at all and the spokesman of the arbitration commission,

---

<sup>896</sup> See *Empty Words of the Conciliation Commission*.

<sup>897</sup> See page 12 in *mails before meetings SK (27.03.2015)*.

<sup>898</sup> Cf. page 3 in the *remarks of the Berlin Regional Court in the order fixing the costs (01.07.2015)*.

Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, only indirectly refers to them, when he wrote e.g. on 26.03.2015, "*They have not complained about Henrik Andresen's bias until today, therefore we now also consider their request as strange. There would have been plenty of time for this and the Commission could have reacted to it beforehand.*"<sup>899</sup>

The Arbitration Commission thus strictly adhered to the logic of formality, namely that only I had taken legal action against the exclusion procedure, and therefore, without its spokesman, Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, having communicated this so clearly, it did not want to hear the complainant either - not only in the meeting on 27 March 2015, but not *at all*. At this meeting, Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann gave the complainant and also myself a very convincing impression that the Conciliation Commission would deal with her complaints and hear her out. On 27 March 2015, these were empty promises that were intended to keep me and the complainant quiet and to make me believe that she would also be heard. It actually took me quite some time to realise that it was all smoke bombs, all sweet and poisonous and all empty talk from the spokesman of the Conciliation Commission, Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann - just as, in the years that followed, his statements<sup>900</sup> were empty promises that the Conciliation Commission would contact the complainant and me. Of course she didn't do that, the feeling of guilt of Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, Barbara Knuth and Henrik Andresen was probably too great.

On 27 March 2015, it took me longer than the two and a half hours in which I orally, and then for another two weeks, in which I fought in writing in the dispute with the Arbitration Commission for the Arbitration Commission to take note of the crime of total disenfranchisement and exclusion of the complainant committed by the Executive Board lying to the General Assembly of Members, and by preventing my exclusion from the Association, to contribute to the fact that I, as a member remaining in the Association, can then demand an investigative committee.

I am very sorry that I made the complainant wait so long outside the door in the foyer. It was not yet clear to me at this meeting that this struggle was already lost because of a conciliation commission that was based on formalisms rather than on the interweaving of contexts and humanity. I had the impression that in March/April 2014 Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and above all Barbara Knuth had already gone a long way from worrying about the "collateral damage complainant" at all. Like the "desktop" behaviour of the board members before, Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth, in their approach strictly adhering to legal and bureaucratic formalisms, made a somewhat eichmannoid official impression on me - because of the compulsive dealing only with petitioner Stahl and the consistent exclusion and fading out of the complainant as co-petitioner, but above all also against the background that the petitions of both petitioners, which have been submitted to the Conciliation

---

<sup>899</sup> See page 12 in *mails before meetings SK (27.03.2015)*.

<sup>900</sup> See *Empty Words of the Conciliation Commission*.

Commission for one and a half years, are closely related and interwoven. The latter, and Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth were very well informed about this, even so intensively that the DVNLP Board of Directors negligently and sloppily treated the applications of the complainant and myself<sup>901</sup> as one single application.

When the DVNLP officials and "civil servants" Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth informed the complainant on 27 March that they had been appointed to the DVNLP, the complainant was given the right to be heard. 2015, they knew exactly that they were standing in front of a woman who had been severely harmed in the DVNLP - with their participation: The "elimination" of the complainant from the "people" of the DVNLP was carried out on the basis of the "authorization"<sup>902</sup> of the executive committee by the general meeting of members which was deceived and lied to by the executive committee, and Barbara Knuth and Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann supported this authorization as members present there. And finally, in retrospect, also Henrik Andresen, who (presumably licking his wounds and) withdrew in silence after Dr. jur. Jens Tomas publicly discredited and humiliated him in the Schautribunal general meeting as punishment for his civil courage<sup>903</sup>.

Whatever Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth inwardly did in their perception with the person of the complainant, i.e. as whom or what they perceived the complainant to be, will probably remain their secret - in retrospect, the encounter of Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth with the complainant seems to me like an inadvertent constellation in which unpleasantly recurring Nazi structures are revealed (more on this below).

The fact that the Arbitration Commission had taken full note of the application for a bias (and thus also of the other two applications in the complainant's letter<sup>904</sup>) is evident, on the one hand, from Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann's somewhat reproachful statement of 26 March 2015 that I had *"to date, they have not claimed a bias on the part of Henrik Andresen"* (in contrast to the complainant, as he therefore knew) and, on the other hand, from an unofficial e-mail<sup>905</sup> from Henrik Andresen of 15 August 2015.

The misuse of the Arbitration Commission by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Directors consists either in the fact that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas did not officially pass on

---

<sup>901</sup> The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors had admitted that the applications absolutely had to be differentiated (see *mail Jens to Thies (29.05.2014)*).

<sup>902</sup> For these terms see the *"Nazi Analogies" court decision (09.03.2017)* regarding my article *"DVNLP deserted by all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control"*.

<sup>903</sup> See *oath. Statement by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (09.12.2014)*.

<sup>904</sup> See *bias petition H.A. SK (26.03.2015)*

<sup>905</sup> See *Mail Andresen to Stahl (15.08.2015)*.

the application for impartiality to the Arbitration Commission and let the Arbitration Commission muddle along in its confusion, or in the fact that he allowed and presumably also wanted the Arbitration Commission not to "report" the<sup>906</sup> existence of an application for impartiality to the parties involved in an appropriate manner and also did not mention the option of electing a substitute member to them. "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" has obviously decided, together with his buddy Harms, the association's lawyer and co-author of the DVNLP statutes, to stand idly by and watch how the Arbitration Commission - probably completely in line with the exclusion and final resolution policy of the board - became de facto incapacitated for work in its confusion over these issues relevant to the statutes.

#### 1.2.2.8.2.4 Abuse by disregarding the 'barber paradox

Neither the lawyer Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann nor Dr. jur. Jens Tomas exercised their office so conscientiously that they would have recognized - or even wanted to recognize - the variant of the barber paradox<sup>907</sup> into which they had thrown the Arbitration Commission by not dealing with the bias petition and the complaint against Henrik Andresen: Henrik Andresen was put in the position of the barber of a village by his nonreplacement because of bias, who was supposed to shave all men in the village who do not shave themselves.

The members of the Arbitration Commission have the task of arbitrating the disputes of all DVNLP members who are unable to resolve their conflicts with other DVNLP members among themselves and therefore bring them before the Arbitration Commission - such as the complainant who, as a DVNLP member, has a conflict with DVNLP member Henrik Andresen, which she cannot resolve herself together with him. This means that Conciliation Committee member Henrik Andresen must now help the complainant to resolve her conflict with DVNLP member Henrik Andresen, since these two members cannot resolve their conflict with each other themselves. The DVNLP and Arbitration Committee member Henrik Andresen must therefore arbitrate the conflict between DVNLP member Henrik Andresen and the complainant, since the latter is unable to resolve it himself together with the complainant.

The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, presumably together with Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, has thus, in a rather abusive manner, demanded Henrik Andresen as a member of the arbitration commission to arbitrate a conflict in which he himself is involved, i.e. to resolve a conflict which he himself cannot resolve. If Henrik

---

<sup>906</sup> Section 29(2) states that "*The members of the commission must report any possible bias*" and Section 29(3) states that "*The election of substitute members in the event of the resignation or rejection of a member of the conciliation commission is permissible. If a member is rejected, the remaining two shall decide whether to appoint a representative.*"

<sup>907</sup> See <https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Barbier-Paradoxon>.



Andresen had accepted this assignment, i.e. if he had not made sure that it would "fail"<sup>908</sup> he could have very definitely lost:

- If he were to attempt to settle his own conflict with the complainant, the result could be that he would have to accept the complainant's claims for compensation against him and, in addition, he would run the risk that certain questionable to presumably justiciable deals with the and also very idiosyncratic coaching interventions in the complainant's paedocriminal relationship and perpetrator system would become public.
- If he would not help to mediate his own conflict as a party to the dispute and work to have him replaced as a biased mediation commissioner, he would probably run an even greater risk that in his absence things would become public that he could not want to become public. Moreover, as a member of the Arbitration Commission no longer entitled to vote in his own case, he would even risk that Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, Barbara Knuth and the new member of the Commission replacing him would support the complainant with regard to her accusations against DVNLP member Henrik Andresen or even take legal action against him because of certain incidents in his coaching sessions in the complainant's environment.

After these considerations, Henrik Andresen's decision to withdraw from the work of the Arbitration Commission under a pretext and to rely on the fact that this withdrawal on the part of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Managing Directors, which had already put him out of action by an official "wringing of hands", would remain without consequences. Henrik Andresen will have hoped that his entanglement problem with the complainant's offender system will be solved "biologically" during the time he remains "on diving station" by the fact that his colleagues Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth, who had already voted for the exclusion of the complainant and myself at the general meeting anyway, will ratify the association's exclusion prepared by the board.

Henrik Andresen will have hoped that his fellow members of the Arbitration Commission will also not ask more detailed questions as to why he did not come to the meeting on 27 March 2015. I assume that Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth, still under the impression of the beamer character assassination presentation of the general meeting, were satisfied with certain thoughts, presumably thought out loud by Henrik Andresen, about an assumed lack of credibility or even madness of the complainant and a senile blindness of my person. After all, this was exactly the official view spread by the board and several influential association officials and members of the DVNLP.

---

<sup>908</sup> This is the linguistic regulation of the spokesman of the Conciliation Commission, Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, who spoke of a "failure" of Henrik Andresen (see page 5 in *mails after meeting SK (28.03.2015)*).

In this case, Henrik Andresen would simply have been the right choice for Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth, alongside Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Anita von Hertel, Stephan Landsiedel, Leo Buchholz and Petra P. another DVNLP association official who more or less bluntly portrays the complainant as untrustworthy and crazy - and me as an old man who mysteriously lost brain mass under the somehow intense influence of an agile NLP lover.

Either way, Henrik Andresen was biased, and both the DVNLP board and the spokesman of the Arbitration Commission should have dealt with his bias explicitly, instead of ignoring it and burdening the consequences of disregarding this bias on the biased Arbitration Commission member Henrik Andresen and the complainant and me as petitioners.

Moreover, the attempt of the Conciliation Committee could in no way have succeeded in keeping the complainant's concerns out of my case: My conflict with the Board, which was officially only supposed to be the subject of this case, was inseparable from the fact that the complainant's complaints had consistently not been dealt with as a constituent element and essential context of this conflict.

Perhaps Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann thought and acted here more as a typical lawyer than he himself would have liked<sup>909</sup>. He probably approached his work rather formally-bureaucratically and formally-correctly, i.e. very "left hemisphere"<sup>910</sup>, without looking, hearing and feeling too much to the left and to the right, i.e. without being "distracted" by human-emotional inclusions and by contexts within contexts of wholenesses.

Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann is likely to have lost sight of the fact, as I myself have, that his approach of keeping the complainant out of the discussion on 27 March 2015 could not have succeeded. For mediated by the failure to deal with Henrik Andresen's bias, the context that was attempted to be kept out by the failure to deal with the applications of Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann's complainant was extremely present at this meeting on 27 March 2015. As a result of his absence, Henrik Andresen was more than present and thus also the complainant - although not adequately co-symbolized, i.e. her concerns could not be adequately promised and included. The conflict between the board of directors and Stahl was caused precisely by the fact that I spoke on behalf of the unheard complainant, who had been silenced by the mafia lawyers of the DVNLP. And it could have been dissolved only by the fact that she could have spoken for herself. The absence of Henrik Andresen and the complainant thus recreated precisely the situation that had led to the conflict between the Board of Directors/ Stahl in the first place and which could ultimately

---

<sup>909</sup> See *mails before meetings SK (27.03.2015)*.

<sup>910</sup> In the sense of the generally predominant left-hemispheric-context-liberated way of "world production" in the sense of *MacGilchrist* (see "*The Master and His Emissary: The Divided Brain and the Making of the Western World*")

only be resolved by the same means, namely the final solution, namely the "elimination" of human beings.

The bias of Henrik Andresen was explicitly not an issue in this meeting or in our correspondence afterwards. Implicitly and tabooed, it was and remained, as an overly clear reference to the exclusion of the complainant from the work and efforts of the Arbitration Committee, of course a subject that overwhelmed all creativity and mutual human empathy. And, still in shock at the withdrawal of Henrik Andresen, who had previously worked hard for the complainant and for me, I stupidly contributed to this tabooing - and thus unfortunately also to the final exclusion of the complainant.

Much later I realized that I was just as "left hemispherically context-free on the road" as it is probably typical for jurists with their tendency towards a formal-abstract linear thinking that no longer includes essential contexts. I was addicted to the hubris of being able to get a grip on the communication situation with Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, who, in my impression at the time, thought and acted in a typically legal manner and abstracted from essential contexts, and Barbara Knuth, who was very reserved towards me for reasons unknown to me and probably related to NLP school-oriented prejudices, by convincing both of them - and ideally also, through the mediation of the two, the submerged Henrik Andresen - that they must of course involve the complainant.

At the same time, I have, as a left-hemispheric hubris, faded out essential contexts on another, more important level. Thus, on 27 March 2015 and in the days leading up to my resignation from the DVNLP on 11 April 2015, not yet, but only months later, it became clear to me: In my attempt to get my interlocutors to include the complainant, I myself contributed to maintaining the recursive DVNLP pattern of her radical and inhuman exclusion: As the most important, and unfortunately by myself during the meeting even with the context of our discussion hidden from me, the complainant sat alone "outside the door" in the foyer for the entire, almost three-hour discussion - perfectly excluded. In my desperate, but probably also somewhat megalomaniacal attempt to try to achieve the impossible, I have thus unfortunately participated in establishing the "normative power of the factual"<sup>911</sup> of their exclusion.

The slushing of the Arbitration Commission, in the sense of ignoring and concealing the present application for impartiality by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board implies a serious abuse of commissioner Henrik Andresens: In his bias, Henrik Andresen was entrusted with a double bind task that cannot be solved - unless with the help of the sham solution to go out of the field, which Henrik Andresen did when he cancelled

---

<sup>911</sup> See <http://www.rechtslexikon.net/d/normative-kraft-des-faktischen/normative-kraft-des-faktischen.htm> and [https://www.mundmische.de/bedeutung/8825-normative\\_Kraft\\_des\\_Faktischen](https://www.mundmische.de/bedeutung/8825-normative_Kraft_des_Faktischen).

his participation in the meeting of the conciliation commission the next day on 26.03.2015. Or, in order to underline the abusive character of the non-intervention of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, Henrik Andresen, as a former spokesman of the Arbitration Commission, who was publicly humiliated by its chairman Dr. jur.

If you are lucky as a sufferer, getting sick in such a double-bind situation consists only of becoming "a little confused". Some confusion is suggested by an e-mail from Henrik Andresens dated 15.08.2015<sup>912</sup> in which he wrote, "*After the accusations [of the complainant] against me, I have decided not to contribute anything more.* In the "The petitioners were mean to me and therefore I don't play in the arbitration commission anymore"-logic of this mail his bias becomes very clear in retrospect.

How maddening! Either Henrik Andresen could have made a contribution to the solution of the complex conflict situation, in which case he would have had *to do so* as an acting member of the Arbitration Commission - it would have been the duty of his office.<sup>913</sup> For the Arbitration Commission can only do the work that is extremely important for the Association and its members if its members can contribute to conflict resolution in whatever way it is that comes to their minds or appears in their horizons. Taboos harden and make uncreative - and the Rumpf arbitration commission without the person of Henrik Andresen and without the possibility to explicitly discuss how to deal with his bias had too much to taboo.

But Henrik Andresen, who was already publicly reprimanded by DVNLP chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, obviously could not do that, as he would have had to stand up against his board and against Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, who replaced him as spokesman of the arbitration commission. He should have indicated his bias, which was already indisputably present in that he was well acquainted with four of the complainant's intensive violent criminals from the year spent with them as her course assistant in my 2004/2005 practitioner training. According to their reports, Henrik Andresen was involved in the complainant's conflicts with these participants, or at least knew that she had to prostitute herself in this seminar for the participant SF, her pimp husband at the time.

If, however, a member of the Arbitration Commission withholds potentially helpful contributions because of a bias, he or she must therefore report his or her own bias, instead of stopping his or her voluntary work on the Commission under the pretext that he or she would rather take a job and earn money. It must do so above all, and

---

<sup>912</sup> See *Mail Andresen to Stahl (15.08.2015)*.

<sup>913</sup> Members of a body as important as the Arbitration Commission must be able to expect that the members of the association are familiar with the statutes, at least with regard to the points concerning their own body - e.g. the point where it is clearly stated that "*the members of the Commission must indicate possible bias*". See Section 29(2) in <https://www.dvnlp.de/medien/downloads/verbandsdokumente/satzung>.

especially when the conflict-prone board of directors and also the spokesperson of the conciliation commission negligently fail to deal with their conflict of interest, which is visible to all parties involved, in accordance with the statutes. Henrik Andresen knew that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas wanted to prevent him from standing up for the complainant and me again. It is to be assumed that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas Harms put Henrik Andresen under pressure by saying that he would face stronger sanctions than the public "washing up" in the general meeting<sup>914</sup> if he had continued to support the complainant and myself in the association. So Henrik Andresen, knowing that he was almost "put up against the wall" together with the complainant and myself, will have "turned tail" and "holed up" with the gracious permission of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann.

The fact that Henrik Andresen had stood up for the complainant and myself in a letter to the board of directors and to the general meeting, which had been lied to by the board of directors, was for me the basis for the fact that, in comparison with the DVNLP before the Regional Court of Berlin<sup>915</sup> on 6 January 2015, I had placed the decision about my remaining in the association in the hands of the arbitration commission at all. According to the DVNLP statutes, the latter has the last word after the executive board and the board of trustees on whether or not the executive board can assert itself against a member in case of conflict with the latter by means of an exclusion from the association. However, at that time, I did not yet know in what way (1.) Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had publicly humiliated and "washed up"<sup>916</sup> Henrik Andresen at the general meeting and (2.) that Henrik Andresen was no longer re-elected as chairman of the Arbitration Commission and (3.) also not how deeply Henrik Andresen had actually become involved in the life of the complainant and her pimp-husband at that time. She could only tell me some important details after she had decided to file <sup>917</sup>her bias petition against him. The way in which Henrik Andresen acted as a coach and consultant for the complainant and her husband seems to have several similarities with the way in which XY later acted as a coach and consultant for both of them.

The fact that the Board and his Commission colleagues did not protect Henrik Andresen by exempting him from his duties and that he therefore had to protect himself by "*contributing*" nothing at all and nothing at all, could - or would -<sup>918</sup> probably not have been able to name Henrik Andresen in his mail of 15.08.2015. The only way he could have made a meaningful contribution for all parties involved

---

<sup>914</sup> See *affidavit of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas*.

<sup>915</sup> See *comparison LG Berlin (06.01.2015)*.

<sup>916</sup> See *Andresen arbitral award BF and Stahl (31.10.2014) and oath. Statement by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (09.12.2014)*.

<sup>917</sup> See *bias petition H.A. SK (26.03.2015)*

<sup>918</sup> See *Mail Andresen to Stahl (15.08.2015)*.

would have been to declare that he was withdrawing from the Arbitration Commission's involvement in the Stahl/DVNLP conflict - without further commenting on his bias beyond the fact that he was a course facilitator of the 2004/2005 Practitioner Course. He could have done so without burdening himself further or more by engaging in a discussion about his bias, which in terms of content would probably have gone far beyond the fact of being a pimp together with her pimp SF, the certificate-dealing fraudster RP (one of the "causa DVNLP" perpetrators) and BE (later, together with XY Begleiter in the master's course, together with him intensive conflict partner of the complainant and supporter testifying on his behalf in court against me) in 2004 and 2005 a one-year practical training course with me

The highly abusive further commissioning of the Arbitration Commission by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Directors, due to the failure to deal with the bias of one of its members, was presumably also due to the fact that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had rather not suggested to Henrik Andresen, Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth to talk to us, the petitioners, about the paradoxical basic situation of the mandate of the Arbitration Commission. However, it is more likely to be assumed that there was no exchange at all between the <sup>919</sup>Board of Directors, which was hoping for the failure of the Conciliation Commission, and the Conciliation Commission, and especially probably none in which my thoughts at the time<sup>920</sup> on the fundamental paradox of our working meeting could have been discussed.

If it is true that the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors - to put it positively - did not interfere, the lawyer Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, who is experienced in the law of associations and federations, *apparently* bears sole responsibility for the fact that Henrik Andresen's bias was not adequately addressed. But even only apparently, because in the event of a conflict between the board of directors and the conciliation commission regarding the appropriate course of action of the conciliation commission with the petitioners, the complainant and Stahl, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas would probably have had to instruct his lawyer colleague Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann that, as spokesman of the Conciliation Commission, he should have<sup>921</sup> informed Petitioner Stahl even more clearly and unambiguously that the complainant's bias petition against Henrik Andresen was irrelevant for the meeting with him because of the logic of the separation of the "Referral to Stahl" and the "Referral to the complainant". But that would probably have led to the fact that I would have

---

<sup>919</sup> Dr. jur. Jens Tomas should have acknowledged that he had deceived and improperly manipulated the sovereign of the association, the general meeting. Even if the complainant and I had not insisted on punishment or damages: How could he have been sure that no one else in the association would have wanted to demand a repetition of the general meeting, which was invalidated by the forcible exclusion of two voting members, and make the executive committee liable to recourse.

<sup>920</sup> Cf. my comments for the arbitration commission in *steel arbitration commission positions paradox*.

<sup>921</sup> See page 11 in *mails before meetings SK (27.03.2015)*.

realized that I too would have had to reject Henrik Andresen as a biased person: After all, I am the supporter of the DVNLP member, the complainant, with whom he would have to fight out a conflict that would threaten his existence - and that is, since suspended as a member of the mediation commission, as a normal DVNLP member and before a mediation commission that would then consist of his colleagues Barbara Knuth and Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann plus a substitute member representing him.

If the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Directors has exchanged views with Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann on the further proceedings of the Arbitration Commission, Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas share the responsibility for the fact that Henrik Andresen's bias was not adequately addressed and a substitute member was not elected for him. Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas are then jointly responsible for the fact that the member of the Arbitration Commission Henrik Andresen was abusively placed on his shoulders alone the burden of the uncorrected bias situation and thus also the responsibility for the failure of the work of the Arbitration Commission.

Whether or not there was communication between the Board of Directors and the Arbitration Commission, in any case Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann *and* the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Directors are responsible for this abuse of Henrik Andresen: The Board of Directors, informed about the bias application, should have interfered and should have checked and supervised the situation in accordance with its duty of care for all members of the Association - also concerning the personal integrity and the mental well-being of Commissioner Andresen. If he or she interfered and neglected the request for bias, or if he or she *did not* interfere and neglected the request for bias precisely because of this, in both cases the board of directors, which is also responsible for the correct work of the arbitration commission, contributed decisively to the paradox or confusion blockade of the arbitration commission and thus to the failure of its work.

However, the aspect of reciprocal or presumed abuse is also interesting here again: For one could also say that Henrik Andresen abused the Arbitration Commission, e.g. by ignoring the complainant's request for a bias against himself for strategic reasons, i.e. did not ensure that this would have been the subject of an official exchange between the Executive Board and the Arbitration Commission, and then, for the same strategic reasons, by his withdrawal from the Arbitration Commission - his "*failure*", as Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann called this withdrawal<sup>922</sup> - contributed to the fact that the accusations made against him could be swept under the carpet within the association.

Finally, Henrik Andresen also participated in the sabotage of the *Arbitration Commission* and the resulting loss of reputation for the DVNLP through fraudulent

---

<sup>922</sup> See page 5 in *mails after meeting SK (28.03.2015)*.

labeling, by <sup>923</sup>"stealing" from the commission without comment from the Board of Directors and without being prosecuted: Henrik Andresen himself could have insisted that either Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth elect a substitute member for him - which would have meant that the petitioners Stahl and the complainant would be heard together and that the board of directors would suspend the work of the arbitration committee until the member declared biased would be replaced. Alternatively, Henrik Andresen should have insisted that the Arbitration Commission make it even clearer to Petitioner Stahl that the Arbitration Commission would in *any event* exclude the petitioner complainant from the hearing of Petitioner Stahl and that the bias petition against him was therefore in any *event* irrelevant for the meeting on 27 March 2015. And (*only* then!) then it would be (acceptable, but collegially not nice) to sign off from this meeting, because one finds it more important to accept a job that cannot be postponed.

But: Henrik Andresen withdrew and apparently resigned himself to the fact that the Arbitration Commission was left alone by the Board of Directors and, since he would not be protected by either the Board of Directors or the spokesman of the Arbitration Commission, he now had to see for himself how he would get by.<sup>924</sup> And he certainly did not want to be "washed up"<sup>925</sup> by the DVNLP chairman in public or be threatened with further sanctions.

In the sense of a reciprocal or mutual abuse, the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth abused their fellow member Henrik Andresen by allowing him or making it a sacrifice to put himself in a situation where he had no other choice, than to "steal" out of the responsibility and ultimately to take upon himself the "pig in the manger" shame of having left his conciliation commission colleagues alone in their difficult work "Conciliation Steel Board" and "Coming to terms with the DVNLP case", which in the final analysis is virtually aimed at squaring the circle.<sup>926</sup>

Overlooked, or at least not named, all those involved have a dynamic that I could not see at that time in the meeting on 27.03.2015 and the correspondence afterwards

---

<sup>923</sup> A nice implicit metaphor: Henrik Andresen has "stolen" something from the DVNLP, just like the complainant and me - the resources that he himself could have brought in or that would have become accessible to the other parties by staying with them. Abuses and an abusive culture costs choices and thereby: Resources in the system.

<sup>924</sup> See *Mail Andresen to Stahl (15.08.2015)*.

<sup>925</sup> Cf. the *oath. Statement by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (09.12.2014)*.

<sup>926</sup> By leaving the DVNLP, I have saved Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, Barbara Knuth and Henrik Andresen from failing in this task in such a way that they are personally and professionally in need of explanations (see also *mails after meetings SK (28.03.2015)* and *Stahl Schlichtungskommission Positionen Paradoxie*).



with<sup>927</sup> regard to the taboo "bias Henrik Andresen" and certainly not name it: With his courageous commitment to our membership and human rights, e.g. the right to remain in communication and existence, Henrik Andresen had touched the "untouchables", the complainant and me, and had become an untouchable himself - at least almost. By adapting, behaving well and above all by refraining from further supporting the complainant and myself, Henrik Andresen will probably have managed to remain in the association as a disgraced member of its board.

However, it must also be mentioned that Henrik Andresen, as part of the culture of abuse in the DVNLP, together with the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board and the Rest of the Arbitration Commission, of course also abused the complainant: He should have insisted on the granting of the protective function that a replacement in case of bias would have benefited not only the Commissioner to be replaced, i.e. him, but also the petitioner, the complainant.

Because in the case of ignoring a bias - in the course of multiple reciprocal abuse - all those involved lose options<sup>928</sup>. In this particular case, this means, inter alia, that Henrik Andresen and the complainant have (first of all) lost the opportunity to exchange views on the extent and nature of how their lives crossed in 2004 and to come to a common description of reality. The possible attempt at such a joint description of reality could even have led to Henrik Andresen, and perhaps the complainant, being able to acknowledge unilateral or possibly mutual compensation obligations, or to Henrik Andresen possibly even agreeing to help the complainant to take action against certain perpetrators outside or even within the DVNLP and to be available as a witness.

Had Henrik Andresen not abandoned his courage, he could have written an open letter to his fellow members of the Arbitration Commission, the DVNLP board and to us, saying that he would still have found it right if the complainant, instead of being excluded, had been invited together with me to a discussion with the Arbitration Commission on 27 March 2015. On the grounds that the Steel Complaints clearly relate to the complainant's complaint, which has been suppressed within the association, and that both complaints have important overlaps in substance. He could have argued that my complaint could ultimately not be understood and classified without the complainant's complaint and that the Conciliation Committee had already had our two complaints for two years, but had been prevented by the Board from dealing with them. He could have said that the complainant's complaint, precisely because it had already been before the Conciliation Committee for two years, now that the Regional Court of Berlin had formally cleared the way for the Conciliation Committee to deal with my complaint, it should also deal with the complainant's complaint that was still before it.

---

<sup>927</sup> See *mails after meetings SK (28.03.2015)*.

<sup>928</sup> For the connection between "abuse" and "cybernetic imperative" see "*1.1.7. Communication poverty and 'cybernetic imperative'*".

The options of Henrik Andresen and the complainant were thus enormously minimised by the abuse of his person caused by his non-appointment to the Arbitration Committee on the grounds of bias, since this abuse essentially forced both Henrik Andresen and the complainant to accept only one absurdly reduced alternative:

1. In the case of the official association character assassination of the complainant, which was confirmed by a ruling of the Arbitration Commission, she would "then just be crazy" - and Henrik Andresen would thus be above any suspicion of having contributed to the bias claim by any culpable involvement, even in the slightest way. In this case he would be released from the position of "spoilsport" or "comrade pig" into which the board of directors and the spokesman of the arbitration commission Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann had put him by not replacing him: He would then no longer be the one who had let down his honorary comrades-in-arms in the commission by withdrawing and deciding to "*contribute nothing more*".<sup>929</sup> And, he would then also no longer be the person who, in turn, would have been left hanging by the DVNLP executive committee and the spokesperson of the Arbitration Commission and would thus not have been able to prevent his relationship with me from being damaged by the unresolved and treated question of bias in the same way as his relationship with the complainant. After all, the latter was already so good that Henrik Andresen had the courage, even during the lied general meeting, to clearly show his colours to the "Dr. jur."<sup>930</sup> Whether this quite courageous act might even be regarded as a kind of compensation payment of a compensation obligation that he might have accepted towards the complainant long ago, only Henrik Andresen himself will know.
2. Or, according to the alternative, not so attractive for Henrik Andresen: It would turn out, if the Arbitration Commission continued to muddle along with him under the condition of his unexplained bias, that he was not only biased in the sense that in 2004 he had been a course instructor in one of my DVNLP Practitioner courses, in which, according to her report suppressed by the DVNLP, the complainant's ex-husband SF had prostituted his wife as a course participant and offered her to all persons in the course, that he found somehow interesting or important for himself or for his company jointly managed with the complainant<sup>931</sup>, but that "muddling along" might even reveal or condense, with

---

<sup>929</sup> See *Mail Andresen to Stahl (15.08.2015)*.

<sup>930</sup> See *Andresen arbitration award BF and Stahl (31.10.2014)*.

<sup>931</sup> One of these persons is RP, whose apparent certificate fraud was not cleared up with a signature pressed from the complainant under his adult lessons in the DVNLP. Another one is the later master participant BE, whom XY called as a witness against me in the court case XY./Stahl. Henrik Andresen knows not only SF, RP and BE, but, according to the content of her complaint suppressed in the association, also complaint addressee XY (see *bias claim H.A. SK (26 March 2015)*).

regard to all the complaints that were raised and suppressed in the association, indications that he might have been guilty of something that was possibly somehow unethical or even justifiable towards the complainant.

The bad thing about this alternative is, of course, that Henrik Andresen was forced into a "you or me" choice where there are only losers. Even if he had won under (1.), he would have lost: he would have been embarrassingly guilty of allowing an alternative to be forced upon him in which both conflict partners would lose in any case - and this as a long-standing member of the arbitration commission of an association that trains communicators and mediators in the best of the available psycho-methods. He would have accepted a zero-sum result, the shame of a total betrayal of the WinWin idea.

For a DVNLP investigative committee, the interesting question remains whether it was at all clear to the trained association and federation lawyer Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann that a substitute member can and should be elected in the case of a member of the commission being biased.<sup>932</sup> Or whether Dr. jur. Jens Tomas has perhaps agreed with the Conciliation Commission that Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, as its spokesman, should categorically rule out a postponement of the date and put me under pressure either to accept the date of 27 March 2015 without the participation of both the complainant and Henrik Andresens or to take the risk of not being heard by the Conciliation Commission at all? This assumption is not so far-fetched, since Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann had an extensive exchange of views with Martina Schmidt-Tanger before his acceptance of the election as spokesperson of the Arbitration Commission (I had kept my telephone conversation with him, also before the General Meeting,<sup>933</sup> very short, because, as mentioned, I had not been interested in the DVNLP-sponsored injunctions and the legal proceedings XY./Stahl from talking to people who, like Anita von Hertel or Franz-Josef Hücker, had not signed a confidentiality agreement as mediator or coach).

I consider it improbable that the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors did not deal with this bias and paradox crisis of the conciliation commission at all. After all, Henrik Andresen cancelled his participation in the next day's meeting more or less at the same time as the declaration of prejudice on 26 March 2015, and I find it difficult to imagine that he did not short-circuit Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, for whom the exclusion of the complainant and Thies Stahl was a matter for the boss. To the Arbitration

---

<sup>932</sup> Has he studied the bylaws? Talked to the authors of the statutes, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and association lawyer Harms? Here once again § 29 (2) and (3): *"The Commission shall be independent and neutral. Members of the Commission must indicate any possible bias. The election of substitute members in the event of the resignation or rejection of a member of the Conciliation Commission is permissible. If a member is rejected, the remaining two shall decide whether to appoint a representative. See <https://www.dvnlp.de/medien/downloads/verbandsdokumente/satzung>.*

<sup>933</sup> See *Vor der MV Stahl-Wewel (22.10.2014)*

Commission, I spoke of an astonishing "*synchronicity of events*" between Henrik Andresen's withdrawal and the complainant's request for bias.<sup>934</sup>

At the very least, it can probably be said that the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Management has violated its duty of supervision: He should have checked whether the bias petition against Henrik Andresen had been taken into account by Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann in accordance with the statutes and whether the latter had either appointed a representative for Henrik Andresen or opted for simultaneous referral to the complainant and myself. The Board of Directors should of course have ensured that Henrik Andresen, as a member of the Arbitration Commission, did not attempt to mediate a conflict in which he himself is involved as a witness or potentially culpably as a perpetrator.

Given that Henrik Andresen had a conflict with the complainant, he naturally had one with me. The Board and the Arbitration Commission were aware that the complainant was not only a seminar participant of mine, who had suffered great injustice in the DVNLP, but that she was also my life partner at that time. For this reason alone, the lawyers Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann should have pressed for Henrik Andresen to be replaced as a biased member of the Conciliation Commission!

During the meeting on 27 March 2015, I had completely forgotten the complainant's statement in her bias petition to Henrik Andresen that she would withdraw her mandate from me.<sup>935</sup> In order to prevent that not again I speak for her, but that she herself - finally - finds a hearing and also because of the unprofessional and sloppy handling of the bias petition regarding Henrik Andresen, I should not have accepted this meeting at all: I should have called it off and taken the risk that the Arbitration Commission would make good on the threat made by Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann that if I had wanted to postpone this meeting, there would be no meeting at all - a ludicrous threat that Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann reiterated by ironically ironizing<sup>936</sup> my demand for the Arbitration Commission to be complete with "*getting to know each other is not our job*".

In the naivety of the emotionally affected person, a meeting was naturally very important to me. For only in direct communication, it seemed to me, would it still be possible in the given legal situation and due to the duty of confidentiality of my interlocutors to personally present the complexity of the situation without being covered by further injunctions of the DVNLP and the complaint addressees protected by it. Communication is everything, I thought, similar to how Henrik Andresen so

---

<sup>934</sup> See page 11 in *mails before meetings SK (27.03.2015)*.

<sup>935</sup> See *bias petition H.A. SK (26.03.2015)*

<sup>936</sup> See page 14 in *mails before meetings SK (27.03.2015)*.

appropriately formulated it in his appeal to the general meeting.<sup>937</sup> But that was really naive thinking. The power structures and relations of power in the DVNLP<sup>938</sup> spoke against it - after all, the spokesman of the DVNLP's conciliation commission, Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, does not delude himself by threatening me with this remark: *"You also know that the commission is not at all obliged to make a hearing according to the statutes."*<sup>939</sup>

Hooray, the association of professional super-communicators naturally manages without direct, personal face-to-face communication in its mediation negotiations! And without even a hearing! Exactly as the DVNLP committees "Board of Directors", "Training and Further Training Commission", "Board of Trustees" and also the "General Assembly" of the Arbitration Commission, manipulated and deceived by the Board of Directors, had already demonstrated to the Arbitration Commission.

The arbitration commission, which has been isolated and kept uninformed by the board of directors for one and a half years, is now allowed to have its say - something the board was unable to prevent after the settlement before the Berlin Regional Court.<sup>940</sup> Previously, a new spokesperson was "missed" in the general meeting, which was manipulated and deceived by the executive committee.<sup>941</sup> who is handling his first case in such a way that I couldn't help reminding him that *"we are operating within an organisational structure that I set up in 1981 and which you joined 33 years after me"*<sup>942</sup>. How little respect will he then show for petitioners who are not exactly founding board members and first German honorary member of the DVNLP?

The fascist-totalitarian tendency in the DVNLP, which is manifested in the exclusion and blocking of the arbitration commission during the "DVNLP case", this de-democratization by undermining and undermining the separation of powers

---

<sup>937</sup> See *Andresen arbitration award BF and Stahl (31.10.2014)*.

<sup>938</sup> Referring to the conflict Stahl./DVNLP I said there: *"Mediation is of course only a context for the use of good communication skills if it is ordered by a higher authority. In the absence of this higher power, and if mediation is rejected or boycotted by the temporarily more powerful communication partner, the latter will usually continue to misuse his power to make his communication partner an ex-communication partner in its entirety. (see communication contexts with unequally distributed power XING-NLP (01/02/2015), also currently still on the net.*

<sup>939</sup> See also page 14 in *mails before meetings SK (27.03.2015)*.

<sup>940</sup> See *comparison LG Berlin (06.01.2015)*.

<sup>941</sup> At some point, Henrik Andresen will certainly tell how Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and probably Anita von Hertel and others "convinced" him that it would be "better" for him, themselves and the other "DVNLP causa" perpetrators if he "voluntarily" relinquished the chairmanship of the arbitration commission.

<sup>942</sup> See also on page 14 in *Mails before meetings SK (27.03.2015)*.

provided for in the statutes will probably increase even more <sup>943</sup>after the amendment of the statutes now planned. While the DVNLP board of directors had already practiced the exclusion of voting members from the general meeting and all bodies of the association "without hearing" after its "authorization" by the lying people of the association, this procedure will probably become best DVNLP practice in the future in case of conflict-resolving eliminations of members.

1.2.2.8.2.5 Abuse through counterfeiting and sabotage

An abuse of the Arbitration Commission also consists in the fact that the Board of Directors sabotages the work of the Arbitration Commission, which is still to be carried out, to arbitrate within the conflict between the Board of Directors and Stahl also the conflict between XY and Stahl, by the fact that the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Directors XY provided a confidential document for judicial use against me: He had the lawyer of the Association, Harms, pass on my internal statement on the exclusion proceedings initiated against me to KF, the lawyer of XY. The latter used this document, which is confidential within the association, in his statement of November 6, 2014<sup>944</sup> in the court case XY/Steel and at the same time the "DVNLP-Boards" agreed to be called by KF and XY as witnesses against me, a *DVNLP-member* (see above under "1.2.2.1. DVNLP-Board commits treason against DVNLP-members").

This monstrous thing that DVNLP association officials, even with the help of the association's lawyer, support one DVNLP member against another DVNLP member with confidential information within the association, took<sup>945</sup> place for the second time in the XY./Stahl trial - only this time the DVNLP board of directors *is acting* as a character witness against a DVNLP member, me.

The DVNLP board of directors thus counteracted the efforts of its arbitration commission in advance. It's as if the DVNLP board of directors had said to her, "*While we are working with our Mafia lawyers to try to resolve conflict XY./Stahl, we are working to ensure that Stahl is muzzled by the court in relation to his conflict with XY, and that he is effectively muzzled in the hearing with you. We support your mediation efforts by completely silencing one of your conflicting parties.*" And probably Dr. jur. Jens Tomas would have been tempted to "save" the conciliation commission work by adding: "*If you don't sign a declaration of confidentiality with Thies Stahl, he won't be able to say a peep. Then you'll quickly be finished with this arbitration.*"

Together with my statement, which had actually been embezzled by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and the DVNLP association lawyer Harms and which was to be treated

---

<sup>943</sup> See my thoughts on this in 1.1.6. *perverted triangles contain elements of betrayal* and 2.5. *restore internal separation of powers* .

<sup>944</sup> See page 6 in *Anwalt KF an LG HH (06.11.2014)*.

<sup>945</sup> Compare 1.2.1.3. *abuse by Martina Schmidt-Tanger* and 1.2.1.4. *abuse by Dr jur. Jens Tomas*.

confidentially within the association, the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors had obviously provided KF with the incorrect information<sup>946</sup> that the exclusion proceedings against me had been concluded: In its statement of 6 November 2014, KF speaks<sup>947</sup> of *exclusion proceedings* against me "*which have been successfully concluded in the meantime*". A nasty, DVNLP-sponsored lie, for which the members of the board of directors, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Conny Lindner, Thomas Biniasz, Sebastian Mauritz and Thomas Pech were unanimously named as witnesses in the court case XY./Stahl: The members of the DVNLP executive board closed as perjury witnesses *for one DVNLP member against another DVNLP member!* This monstrosity may have contributed to the fact that XY won his "defamation trial" against me - with criminally acting simple witnesses and no less criminally acting association officials, staged by the Mafia lawyers of the DVNLP, as "character witnesses".

Due to his close cooperation with the DVNLP lawyer, the XY-lawyer KF, who had lied to the Hamburg District Court, will of course have known exactly that the exclusion of my person from the association, which the Board of Directors had sought, would only be carried out to my disadvantage with a corresponding decision by the arbitration commission. And Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, the association lawyer Harms and RA KF also knew that at the time of this lie submitted by the DVNLP to the Hamburg Regional Court on 6 November 2014, the Arbitration Commission was in the preparatory phase for its work in dealing with the DVNLP/Steel conflict. This work was thwarted and made absurd by the DVNLP executive board with its illegal and criminal interference in the court case XY./Stahl by its mafia-like acting lawyers and auxiliary lawyers.

The DVNLP board of directors had a contradictory double role towards the arbitration commission due to an urgently to be corrected peculiarity of the DVNLP statutes: On the one hand, it instructed (by the regional court of Berlin forced to comply with the statutes) the arbitration commission to take<sup>948</sup> action regarding the conflict of members XY and Stahl, thus was in a hierarchically higher position than the latter. At the same time, he was in a hierarchically subordinate position vis-à-vis her inasmuch as he was virtually bound by instructions from the Arbitration Commission in a case of conflict such as this: he would have had to discontinue the exclusion proceedings against me and confirm my membership of the Association if

---

<sup>946</sup> Such an underground collaboration of the Mafia lawyers of the DVNLP would not be the first: See *DVNLP association lawyer collaborates KF Dr. G. (23.09.2014)*.

<sup>947</sup> See page 6 in *Anwalt KF an LG HH (06.11.2014)*.

<sup>948</sup> In violation of the statutes, the board of directors had not commissioned the conciliation commission for one and a half years, but in view of the Stahl./DVNLP proceedings, it now had to - and would - make up for this under the eyes of the Berlin Regional Court. At least that's what we thought.

the Arbitration Commission had decided accordingly with regard to the conflict between the Board of Directors and Stahl.<sup>949</sup>

This peculiarity of the DVNLP statutes, i.e. the fact that the arbitration commission is the highest authority in the case of an objection against an expulsion and not the general meeting, put the board of directors in a difficult situation: While it was forced to wait for the decision of the arbitration commission, which is hierarchically superior to it in this particular case, it was naturally exposed to the great temptation to use dubious to criminal means in order to prevent this, since the arbitration commission could take the side of the member threatened with expulsion. (At some point Henrik Andresen will probably tell how he was "gently" persuaded to withdraw first from the leadership and then completely from the "Causa DVNLP" work of the Arbitration Commission).

Seen in this light, there is, in addition to the personal dark motives of the DVNLP association officials involved in the conflict, a structural level for the clarification of the misdemeanours and crimes committed by the DVNLP. It consists of the fact that in the event of improper action by the Board and the Conciliation Commission, the misconduct of both the Board and the Conciliation Commission cannot be subsequently reviewed and sanctioned by any higher authority.

In the event of a conflict between a member and the board of directors about his or her whereabouts in the association, and especially in the more specific case of a conflict between this member and the mediation commission which is to mediate the conflict between the board of directors and the member, there should be an opportunity for the member concerned to present this tripartite conflict to the general meeting so that the general meeting can then decide on the further procedure, e.g. to set up a committee of inquiry composed of members who are at most distant from the conflict.

---

<sup>949</sup> Here is a recommendation to the DVNLP: In my opinion it only makes sense that in the case of a member's appeal due to an exclusion procedure, the arbitration commission stands hierarchically above the executive board and the board of trustees, if in a conflict resulting from this construction, the executive board / arbitration commission / member has the last word with the sovereign of the association, the general meeting. In such a case of conflict, the statutes would have to provide a procedure and a mode in which all three parties could present their position to the general meeting in writing and, if necessary, also orally. The statutes would also have to specify the alternatives available in such a case for a decision by the general meeting, such as a vote or the establishment of a committee of inquiry. Such a change of the statutes would of course be the exact opposite of the DVNLP's decision in 2018, which deprives a member threatened with expulsion by all hierarchically subordinate bodies of the association, above all the right to present his case to the general meeting or to apply for a committee of enquiry as a voting member (see After the new statutes, however, (see *Amendments to the statutes of the DVNLP's executive board (18.09.2018)* and *statutes of the DVNLP* or <https://www.dvnlp.de/der-dvnlp/verbandsportrat/satzung/>).



It was precisely this approach that the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of the complainant and myself blocked in the 2014 general meeting by our exclusion from the general meeting, which was contrary to the articles of association - we were still members with voting rights. In accordance with the new DVNLP statutes, which have been in force since 26.10.2018,<sup>950</sup> a board of directors is no longer required for this purpose, which is prepared to enforce the exclusion of an association by criminal means: The new regulation of the association's exclusion through the added point 5 of § 11 ("*All membership rights shall be suspended by decision of the Executive Board or the Board of Trustees*"), saves a criminal Executive Board from the (dirty) work of a mafia-like banishment of unpopular members and association exclusion candidates from the General Meeting. According to the new statutes, it is only possible to bring a conflict before the highest authority, the sovereign of the association, the general meeting, *in* the case of violations of the statutes or criminal activities of the board of directors.

With this quantum leap of a de-democratization of the DVNLP by the inconspicuously appearing small sentence of paragraph 5 of § 11 in its statutes, the DVNLP has taken the criminal exclusion of the complainant and myself as an occasion to build a future fascist-totalitarian DVNLP association leadership into the constitution of this association, which is a pleasing paradox: According to the now valid DVNLP statutes, such a conflict of a member with committees acting contrary to the statutes, negligently or carelessly, or even criminally against this member - the executive board, board of trustees and arbitration committee - can only be brought before the highest committee, the general meeting, if this conflict no longer exists. A member who is threatened by criminal actions of the executive committee in violation of the statutes could only succeed in having the general meeting appoint a committee of inquiry to examine the legality of the association management's request for exclusion if the member no longer needs an inquiry committee because the association management has not succeeded in the illegal exclusion. The naziod DVNLP has thus, by analogy with the constitutional complaint<sup>951</sup>, abolished the possibility of its members "*to be able to assert a violation of their fundamental rights or rights equivalent to fundamental rights [membership rights] through acts of state power [management of the association]*".

The DVNLP has abandoned the idea of a democratic association structure, which I had in mind when I founded this association: If a criminally acting executive committee, e.g. together with the board of trustees, which it has lied to in a suitable manner, manages to initiate the exclusion procedure for a member and if it further manages to influence the arbitration commission in a suitable manner, i.e. to put or force it as a whole or in parts, the affected member can no longer protect himself against his association exclusion: It cannot bring the criminal activities of the

---

<sup>950</sup> See <https://www.dvnlp.de/medien/downloads/verbandsdokumente/satzung>.

<sup>951</sup> See <https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Verfassungsbeschwerde> .

executive committee before the general meeting and can no longer contribute anything to the establishment of an investigative committee within the association to examine its case. (See 2.5 *Restoring the separation of powers within the association*).

In the present case, the temptation for the DVNLP executive board to use questionable to criminal means consisted in instructing the arbitration commission (following the Berlin Regional Court) to arbitrate the conflict between the executive board and Stahl, and thus implicitly also the conflicts between XY and XY and Stahl, but at the same time to make this arbitration more difficult, sabotage it or make it impossible. He did the latter, among other things, by abusing his power and sending all board members as abusers of authority and "clean" character witnesses in the XY/Steel trials - with the aim of rendering DVNLP member Stahl speechless and muzzled with regard to the conflicts to be negotiated before the Arbitration Commission - which was particularly effective as a board measure in that the board was prevented from attending the meeting on 27 January 2006 by the violation of its supervisory duties with regard to the meeting.03.2015 and by suppressing the complainant's further requests for referral to the Conciliation Committee, the Federal Court of Justice ensured that the complainant herself was not (again) heard by the Conciliation Committee.

The DVNLP Board of Directors created a double-bind situation for the Arbitration Commission: "Arbitrate the conflict between the Board of Directors and Stahl" but "Do not arbitrate the conflict, because we will (again<sup>952</sup>) successfully prevent Stahl from presenting his position at all". This led, as a result of his sabotage of their efforts, to confusion and ultimately to the incapacity to work of the arbitration commission. For one result of the sabotage was the quasi "psychogenic" failure of conciliation commission member Henrik Andresens, which must be seen as a consequence of his hidden and veiled, at least not explicitly perceived and treated, but proven bias.

The abuse of this body by the board of directors, which manifested itself in the disregard of the arbitration commission, essentially consisted here in the fact that the board of directors secretly continued, behind the back of the membership and then also of the arbitration commission, what was the subject of my complaint against it, in violation of the statutes or even criminal - that is, what the arbitration commission was supposed to help prevent. She could not stop it, because she herself had obviously become a victim of the DVNLP executive board's unlawful, undutiful and criminal actions.

---

<sup>952</sup> As already in the general meeting (see page 11 at the bottom of the *"Nazi Analogies" court decision (09.03.2017)* and now by our mafia-style underground interference as false "character witnesses" in the XY./Stahl trial.

However, all this only became clear to me long after I left the DVNLP on 11.04.2015, otherwise I would have had my lawyer take action against the multiple misappropriation of internal association documents by the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors. And above all, I would not have agreed to the settlement with the DVNLP board of directors at the regional court in Berlin, which, in my view, had the aim of preventing my exclusion from the association by referring the conflict between the board of directors and Stahl to the arbitration commission *and to spare* the DVNLP from having to repeat the general meeting of members, which it had deceived and lied about, and whose resolutions were not valid because two members entitled to vote were denied participation by means of physical force.<sup>953</sup>

To use the language of Jay Haley's Perverse Triangles once again: The board of directors pervertedly coalitioned with one party of the parties involved in the current arbitration proceedings against the other. He also abusively formed a coalition with member XY against the members of the Arbitration Commission, whose efforts he sabotaged by this continued perverse coalition. In addition, one cannot avoid the impression that the DVNLP executive board has also pervertedly and deliberately formed a coalition with the members of the Arbitration Commission Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann and Barbara Knuth against Arbitration Commission member Andresen. This mutual reciprocal multiple misuse would then be an essential part of the culture of misuse established in the DVNLP.

#### 1.2.2.8.2.6 Misuse through fraudulent labelling

The abuse of the Arbitration Commission in the DVNLP is at the same time an abuse of the (up to the "DVNLP cause") good and serious reputation of the DVNLP with regard to its complaint management. The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors has degraded the DVNLP's complaint management to a customer trap: The external or internal customer, i.e. a DVNLP member or a participant or client of a DVNLP Teaching Trainer, thinks on the basis of the statutes that he/she will receive some kind of protection by the Arbitration Commission for his/her membership fee in case of a potential conflict with his/her DVNLP Teaching Trainer or Coach. That is what he thinks, like the complainant and me, until - but really only until - he finds himself in court, *accused with the contents of his application* to the arbitration commission - turned against himself as a DVNLP member, as a "friendly fire" of his own association.

The DVNLP has misused the Arbitration Commission to pretend to operate an arbitration court that offers its members and the clients of its members, i.e. its seminar participants and clients, a kind of consumer protection, a protection provided by the association in case of internal complaints of DVNLP members against other members and against DVNLP association officials. Also, DVNLP seminar clients are lured into the seminars of DVNLP teaching trainers with the false promise that

---

<sup>953</sup> Compare the "Nazi Analogies" court ruling (9 March 2017) and the remarks of the Berlin Regional Court in the order fixing the costs (1 July 2015).

the Arbitration Commission will also act in case of complaints of non-members against DVNLP members. This is an empty promise of<sup>954</sup> the DVNLP, because the DVNLP has this kind of consumer protection on it, but there is none in it. As a labeling fraud, it is a dubious business practice of the DVNLP. This is evident, inter alia, from the ignored applications of the complainant, which she, having just become a non-member, addressed to the Conciliation Committee.<sup>955</sup> Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann acknowledged the reception and promised that the conciliation commission would contact him. That was an empty promise. The DVNLP recommends itself by statute as a kind of professional association that protects its members, as well as non-members, from the black sheep among its DVNLP members in case of conflict. What he does not do, however, as has been proven, is that the black sheep are members of the board of directors, association officials, honorary members and honorary prize winners.

The DVNLP board of directors still practices this abuse of the association's arbitration committee by pretending to be a committee that can be called upon in any case and then also acts. The DVNLP Arbitration Commission, which has obviously not been corrected in its actions, still consists of Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, Henrik Andresen and Barbara Knuth, the same members who allowed the DVNLP Board of Directors to corrupt this body to the core in the years 2013-2015. Ulrich Wewel-Erdmann, Henrik Andresen and Barbara Knuth have known from their own experience since the beginning of 2014 that they, as DVNLP committee, cannot offer unlimited protection for seminar participants and coaching/psychotherapy clients to the NLP-interested public and their own members. They have experienced this reality themselves as victims and also as perpetrators, i.e. they have brought it about by their own questionable and even abusive behaviour.

#### 1.2.2.8.3 Misuse of the general meeting

*"For the DVNLP board of directors had the decision it had taken on the exclusion of the defendant, bypassing its own statutes, confirmed by the general meeting. The defendant was not only unlawfully but also violently prevented from attending the general meeting..."* Hamburg District Court on 09.03.2017<sup>956</sup>

In my previous publications I have repeatedly pointed out the manipulation and deception of the 2014 general meeting of members, which was carried out <sup>957</sup>by the

---

<sup>954</sup> See Section 30, Tasks and Responsibilities of the Conciliation Commission, para. 1, in the DVNLP Statutes.

<sup>955</sup> See *non-members of the Arbitration Commission (Dec. 2014-2017)*.

<sup>956</sup> See page 11 in the *"Nazi Analogies" court ruling (09.03.2017)*.

<sup>957</sup> See *"DVNLP deserted by all good spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control"*, in addition the *"Nazi Analogies" court decision and blog post "Dumped violence in the DVNLP" (31.10.2014)*.

board of directors with fascist-totalitarian methods (use of a Schutz-Staffel, Enabling Act, deportation, show tribunal, summary courts in absentia).

The violent riots against two voting members, which were accepted and even provoked by the board of directors through a "Schutz-Staffel" (protection squadron), which was planned by the board of directors, were clearly recognizable<sup>958</sup> in the area of responsibility of the board of directors - for all those present there, among other things, recognizable by the fact that, in front of the eyes of all members and the other members of the board, the board member Sebastian Mauritz also interfered in this physical confrontation.<sup>959</sup>

1.2.2.8.3.1 The "crocodile tears" of the charism expert of the DVNLP

The highly biased board of directors has left an absurdly one-sided stage against the complainant and me to the conflicting parties of the complainant from my master course at that time, Petra P. and the DVNLP member AK, as well as the charismatic "crocodile tears" shed by Martina Schmidt-Tanger and the DVNLP chairman of the board, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, who, like her, lies. Thus, the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors not only abused the individually present DVNLP members in the course of its manipulation and deception, but also this highest body of the DVNLP itself, its sovereign.

In this "Tribunal Members' Meeting", shielded from us as voting<sup>960</sup> members by physical violence, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, together with Petra P. and AK<sup>961</sup>, had made public text fragments torn out of their context and thrown them onto the wall via beamer. These originated from a mail exchange within a closed distribution list of the then participants of the Master<sup>962</sup>, a multi-layered correspondence, whose actual topic was not even rudimentarily presented to the members, but was deliberately presented in a misunderstood way by distorting the meaning of essential facts. In this exchange of e-mails, the complainant had not only accused her fellow participants of group bullying against her person, but had also accused some of them of participating in swingers club visits, gang-banging and "special" NLP practice group evenings, which had mutated into group rape and were marketed by course assistant XY in the background of my

---

<sup>958</sup> Cf. the *oath. Statement by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (09.12.2014)*.

<sup>959</sup> See *EidestVers Holger Diekmann (09.12.2014)* and *EidestVers Berend Hendriks (09.12.2014)*

<sup>960</sup> See the *Berlin Regional Court in its decision on the fixing of costs (01.07.2015)*.

<sup>961</sup> The complainant's master course conflict partner, AK, already concealed in its *application for our exclusion* what constituted the essential lie (by deliberate omission) to the deceived general meeting (see page 8 in the *judgement - Interim injunction MV*): The complainant's main conflict partner, Master Course Attendant and abuser XY, was not mentioned at all, although his unethical and alleged criminal behaviour towards the complainant must be regarded as the core of the "causa DVNLP" conflicts.

<sup>962</sup> See *mails in the master distribution list 2013-2014*.

master group. The group bullying, the sexual violence at group meetings and even the person of the abuser and alleged sex offender XY, all this was completely suppressed in the beamer-supported presentation of the supporters XYs in the DVNLP. Instead of having their accusations treated as complaints within the association and in accordance with the statutes in the competent committees, the Dr. jur. Jens Tomas board of directors granted the master participants and intensive conflict partners of the complainant, Petra P. and AK, a broad place on the absurdly one-sided stage in this general meeting for their character assassination attacks on the complainant and also, together with their accomplices DK, KA, the husband of Petra P., and DD also has a similarly one-sidedly usable space in its DVNLP "Fake Members Forum"<sup>963</sup>, not to mention the similarly one-sidedly and broadly usable space in the NLP Forum of the then DVNLP Court Reporter and today's Board of Directors for Press and Public Relations, Ralf Dannemeyer (*see 1.2.2.7*).

AK has widely quoted my and the complainant's mails to the master group in both internet forums and in both they can still be read today, surrounded by twisted false allegations and in distorted and defamatory presentation - hosted and sponsored by the DVNLP. Just as in the members' meeting they lied to, they are absurdly decontextualised: without reference to the conflict around XY and to the group bullying that XY obviously encouraged and fueled specifically against the complainant, the readers cannot classify and understand them. They serve, of course intended by the Dr. jur. Jens Tomas Association management, exclusively to form prejudices against the complainant and myself and thus to prevent the complainant from being bullied by the master group participants, which Dr. jur. Jens Tomas of XY, Petra P. and AK has taken over as an official executive matter for the association.

Against this background, the complainant and I have decided to *publish* our e-mails to the then master group and the exchange of e-mails with AK in the *original*<sup>964</sup>. In this exchange of e-mails with the master group, the complainant expressed her indignation not only about the group bullying, but also, in *very drastic* language and *with the help of very explicit sexual* terms, her anger about some probably particularly border-violating and obviously horrible acts of sexual violence in the group events promoted and marketed by XY in the informal part of my master.

The text passages torn out of the context of this exchange of e-mails and out of the context of the conflicts in the master group at that time, and projected onto the wall

---

<sup>963</sup> See the posts in the DVNLP members forum then/now: SA AK DK DD KA assassinated in *Koenigsmacher* (27.02.2015)/*The Koenigsmacher is assassinated 1-2 DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019), SA AK in DVNLP-XING forum *The true victims* (27.02.2015)/*The true victims thread 1-4 DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019), OA in *Some shoes wear metal soles DVNLP-XING* (07.10.2014)/*Some shoes wear metal soles DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019), AK and DD in *Stellvertreter-Konflikte* (27.02.2015)/*Stellvertreter-Konflikte DVNLP -XING* (14.12.2019) and DD in *Meine Sicht zu einer aktuellen DVNLP-XING* (26.08.2018)/*Meine Sicht zu einer aktuellen DVNLP-XING* (14.12.2019)

<sup>964</sup> Link: *Mails in the master distribution list 2013-2014*

via beamer in this general meeting, which was manipulated and deceived by the board of directors, were garnished with theatrical and crocodile tear-painted reports, with the help of which the complainant was presented in this show tribunal as a "crazy" and "untrustworthy" false accuser - which she could not defend herself against because, together with me and despite existing membership rights, she was "thrown out of the door" (literally in her case) with physical force by the security service specially engaged for us.

1.2.2.8.3.2 The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board abuses the daughter of the Chairman

If one assumes that Dr. jur. Jens Tomas already selected the excerpts to be presented from the complainant's e-mails in the preparation of this general meeting, a subtlety of the planning of the perfidious dramaturgy of this tribunal general meeting becomes clear: Why did Dr. jur. Jens Tomas - which is specifically noted in the MV minutes<sup>965</sup> as an exception to the exclusion of the public - take his little daughter to this meeting? After all, an explosive event was foreseeable for two reasons:

- The Dr. jur. Jens Tomas board of directors had specially increased the in-house security service of the venue for the "deportation" of the complainant and myself by a "protective squadron" of four muscle-bound men-in-black<sup>966</sup> and a woman-in-black who apparently specializes in the scalp of<sup>967</sup> female patients for disposal. In his affidavit<sup>968</sup> before the Regional Court of Berlin of 12 December 2014, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas writes that the board of directors had assumed that there would be *riots* in this meeting "*due to the aggressiveness of the two [meant are the complainant and I]*" and "*a participation of Thies Stahl would have led to a turmoil after the events in the run-up, the decision made by us to engage a security service was logical, as has been shown. The Hamburg Regional Court, which explicitly<sup>969</sup> stated on 17 April 2017 that "the defendant [me] and Ms... [the complainant] did not engage in any aggressive behaviour.*
- At the beginning of the Tribunal's general meeting, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas certainly knew very well which passages of text, torn out of the context of the complainant's e-mail correspondence with the Master participants,<sup>970</sup> with very crude, bold terms used to describe sexual violence, he himself had selected in

---

<sup>965</sup> See MV protocol yellowPost (09.12.2014).

<sup>966</sup> The employee of the in-house security service later told the Bochum district court that he was very surprised that then "*only a petite woman and a 64-year-old had to be removed*".

<sup>967</sup> See the testimony of a witness: Oath. Declaration JR on the MV (18.12.2014).

<sup>968</sup> Link: Affidavit of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas.

<sup>969</sup> See page 2 in the judgment of the Hamburg Regional Court of 17.04.2017

<sup>970</sup> See the quote used by him on page 2 from Jens an Thies and DVNLP (30.05.2014).

order to throw them on the wall by beamer in the MA and with their help to portray the complainant as a "crazy" and therefore unbelievable sexual neurotic.

This means: Apart from the risk that she had to watch a woman, pulled by her hair, and a man, with his arm turned on his back, being removed from the room with brute physical force, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas has put his little daughter at risk of having to take part in a discussion about the sexual violence described in drastic, *very* "explicit" language. His presumably existing calculation that none of the members present would ask critical and detailed questions about a gang-bang event that had mutated into a gang rape, if he had to look into astonished children's eyes, has probably only partially worked out: A member, who probably wanted to comment or ask questions in more detail at this general meeting, finally suggested that the child should be brought out after all. Dr. jur. Jens Tomas must therefore be asked very seriously whether he did not abuse his daughter as a shield for this show tribunal in order to prevent a discussion about a beamer-assisted character assassination of the complainant for which he is responsible.

What Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Petra P. and AK deliberately concealed from the General Assembly of Members they lied to, apart from the role of XY, who was also completely eradicated as a person, was of course also deliberately concealed: In her exchange of e-mails with the participants in the master's course, the complainant accused her fellow participants at the time of having allowed or contributed to the fact that such "events" in the background of my master's course, for which course supervisor XY was largely responsible, resulted in group bullying, which he then fomented and which was mercilessly directed against the complainant, who had renounced him.

Of course, the Executive Board, which already had its Managing Director Berend Hendriks on September 18, 2014, wanted to make it public, both via the NLP forum on XING<sup>971</sup> and on my Facebook page<sup>972</sup>, that there had been no abuse in the DVNLP, to keep quiet at the General Meeting about the complainant's accusation that the participants of the master's class at the time had participated in the group bullying against her. Neither did the board want to deal with the complainant's complaints against the association's officials Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Petra P., which had been swept under the table with criminal intent.

And *certainly not* the members manipulated and lied to by the DVNLP executive committee at this meeting were to learn that the group bullying from the master group at that time had long since been continued by the executive committee as an official bullying against the complainant: Made a top priority by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, this group bullying against the complainant in the DVNLP, which developed around XY in my master's thesis, was continued and turned into "final" bullying: As mob

---

<sup>971</sup> Link: *DVNLP-GF Hendriks in XING-NLP No abuse*

<sup>972</sup> See page 5: *DVNLP-GF in Lindenstrassen-Soap (Facebook 16.-19.09.2014)*



justice, it had mutated into a kind of "final solution"<sup>973</sup> of the multiple "causa DVNLP" conflicts that had arisen around the complainant, which the executive committee under Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had decided to carry out as a general attack on the complainant's dignity and social identity.

This official attack of the association consisted of trampling on their rights, both the right to participate in the general meeting as a voting member of the DVNLP, and their basic human right to be <sup>974</sup>heard before being sentenced and excommunicated. And all this, although - or perhaps precisely because - Martina Schmidt-Tanger, the lawyers of the association and the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors knew that the complainant *"had to purchase SF for her husband [SF; Martina Schmidt-Tanger met SF at the DVNLP congress in 2011 and in 2012 he was a participant in her Provo seminar] and that his auxiliary pimp [reporting to XY] was also supposed to have been in the ["NLP-professional" and DVNLP] seminar.*<sup>975</sup> (See 1.2.1.3.2. *Line faults and compensation obligation*).

The abuse of the complainant by XY<sup>976</sup> and his alleged criminal offences against the sexual self-determination of the complainant, which has meanwhile been proven in court records, were completely concealed in this meeting of the review tribunal members. And it was not mentioned - as Cosmic Joke - that XY, who was in fact in need of psychological treatment, was protected and "pampers" by the board of directors as a DVNLP instructor, while the complainant, who was declared insane by the perpetrator-victim-reversion-process, should be excluded from the association. XY was not even mentioned in this "general meeting"<sup>977</sup> and is still kept hidden as

---

<sup>973</sup> See on page 12 in the *"Nazi Analogies" court ruling (09.03.2017)*.

<sup>974</sup> The lawyer and mediator Anita von Hertel, in this general meeting, which was held in a status quo court, probably because she was busy serving the board as a "vote-counting mouse", completely forgot to point out the "audiator et altera pars" ("One also hears the other side"), which has been a matter of course not only for mediators, but also for lawyers since time immemorial. She was so deeply involved in her own conflict with the complainant that she watched the mistreatment of the complainant by the security service without comment - although she had a voice in this meeting and could have raised it with some civil courage and used it for her sex partner.

<sup>975</sup> See page 5 of *application MST via Dr. G. on EV (05.06.2014)*.

<sup>976</sup> Regarding the information status of the association management around Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas at the time of the 2014 general meeting, see my exchange of ideas with both of them here: *Thies to the Board (01.06.2014)*, *thoughts on abuse of power and ethics in the DVNLP (12.06.2014)*, *"Causa DVNLP"- correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014* and *"Causa DVNLP"- correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014*.

<sup>977</sup> See page 8 of the *judgment - Interim Order MV*.

DVNLP and WingWave instructor not only from the members of the DVNLP but also from those of the GNLC.<sup>978</sup>

Instead, the perpetrators Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, Petra P., AK, with the deliberately silent cooperation of Anita von Hertel and Leo Buchholz, succeeded in creating a manipulative and deceptive dramaturgy for this meeting, through which they were able to fool the astonished DVNLP members, supported by beamers and crocodile tears, into believing that the complainant was an implausible and crazy woman: Just like me, they did not give the complainant - due to her "deportation" from the general meeting, which was unlawfully forced by the DVNLP's<sup>979</sup> "Schutz-Staffel" (Schutz-Staffel) and contrary to the statutes - any chance to defend herself against the slanders presented in this "summary court in absentia". She was, just like me, "eliminated" from the DVNLP "federation people".<sup>980</sup>

The basis and aim of this manipulative dramaturgy of the board of directors for the exclusion of the complainant and myself on account of *"association-damaging behaviour"*<sup>981</sup> was

(1.) The systematic concealment of the respective misconduct and roles of XY, SM, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, as well as the other actual and alleged DVNLP perpetrators in the "DVNLP case",

(2.) the parallel silencing of the complainant and myself, both by the use of physical violence against the complainant and myself, and by the covert and dirty support of XY by the DVNLP executive board in its defamation campaign, which was conducted outside the association in violation of its statutes and even staged in court<sup>982</sup> against the complainant and myself, and

(3.) the concealment of the fact that in this 2014 general meeting the board of directors blocked a total of eleven motions by eight DVNLP members for the

---

<sup>978</sup> See "DVNLP + GNLC hide suspected sex offender",

<sup>979</sup> See my blog posts "Dumped violence in the DVNLP" (31.10.2014) and "Princes onto Walls" (15.11.2014)

<sup>980</sup> On this and the other uses of the term from the Nazi era, see the "Nazi Analogies" Court Ruling.

<sup>981</sup> See the DVNLP statement of 09.07.2014 in "Causa DVNLP"- Correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014

<sup>982</sup> For procedure XY./Stahl and StA./complainant (concerning alleged defamation of XY) see *Legal facts of the "Causa DVNLP"*.

appointment of an investigative committee<sup>983</sup> in the association in violation of the statutes.

#### 1.2.2.8.4 Misuse of the regional groups

On January 19, 2018, the DVNLP executive board abused the regional group of Baden-Württemberg and its spokesperson, Susi Bayer, for its policy of lying and covering up when it posted "*Words from the Berend Hendriks office*" on the group's Facebook page to its members<sup>984</sup>, containing explicit lies<sup>985</sup> and a misleadingly stated fake link.<sup>986</sup>

The regional group Hamburg will have been lied to by the DVNLP executive committee, one can probably assume, through their spokeswoman at the time, Petra P., just as Petra P. lied to the manipulated and deceived general meeting and the Hamburg police.<sup>987</sup>

#### 1.2.2.9 *The Executive Board misuses the resources of the DVNLP*

For the sake of completeness, the misuse of the DVNLP Board of Directors' funds by the Association shall be briefly mentioned here.

##### 1.2.2.9.1 Wasted resources for lawyers and courts

The association's lawyer Harms was called in absurdly after Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger had decided not to have the association's internal complaints against Martina Schmidt-Tanger and her joint "NLP-professional" colleague SM resolved within the association by the arbitration commission or with the help of mediation, but to have both complaints and the complaints against Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM made available to the board together with them filed police reports with Dr. G., the second lawyer of the Association, who was still working unofficially and undercover at the time. Dr. jur. Jens Tomas arranged for Dr. G. to file injunctions against the complainant on behalf of Martina Schmidt-Tanger and SM.

From this point on, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas wanted the communication between the board and me to take place only through the association lawyer Harms. My attempts to make clear to Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger the abusive and

---

<sup>983</sup> See the *1st steel application, 2nd steel application, 3rd-5th steel application* and the *applications of six other members of the association*.

<sup>984</sup> See: *DVNLP regional group BW to FB (19.01.2018)*.

<sup>985</sup> Cf. *DVNLP relies on lying managers* and "*DVNLP lies. Chronic*".

<sup>986</sup> See: *Hendrik's FB reference to DVNLP final declaration (19.01.2018)*.

<sup>987</sup> It is not known whether Petra P. has in the meantime corrected the misrepresentations made to the police in the "*Dossier Täter-Opfer-Victim-Reversal*" (date entry of 25.06.2014). Probably not, although the DVNLP board of directors should actually demand it from her as DVNLP member.

harmful character of the use of the association lawyer Harms were unsuccessful. So I wrote in the extended board distribution list: *"As the communication medium 'lawyer's letter' it is the message itself. It is louder than the transmitted content, and it is incongruent with it. Because the content transmitted is 'we go into mediation and move towards each other'. The medium itself, as a message, says, 'Accept the engagement of RA Harms and renounce your criticism that he is working as a hired gun, paid by the association to stop his thinking and do the dirty work for you, in relation to which you, or your chairman, are stealing responsibility'". And: "You have treated her [the complainant] as if she did not exist as a human being, as if she was only the address belonging to a membership number, to which you can have your lawyer send something dehumanizing, dehumanized. She has confided in you as a victim and you have generously defined her as a perpetrator in your presumptuous presumption of office and have tried to take her out of circulation and sedate her with the presumptuous and above all false diagnosis of 'lack of psychological stability'".<sup>988</sup>*

The expenditure of the funds for the association lawyers Harms and Dr. G. was presumably just as illegal as ordering their use was an abuse of authority by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas: Association lawyers should not be appointed AGAINST but FOR association members. They should avert harm from them and not cause them harm. The latter, however, was done by the association's attorney Harms when he criminalized the complainant and me to the public prosecutor's office on 12 June 2015: We would publicly *"make allegations that are inconsistent with the facts"* with respect to the DVNLP, and the DVNLP would consider *"making claims for damages against Ms... [the Complainant] now"*.<sup>989</sup> What a perfidious denunciation of the official DVNLP association lawyer at the public prosecutor's office in Hamburg! No court decision justifying such a defamatory claim was issued in 2014, nor thereafter.

The DVNLP has spent funds on a lawyer of the association, who has harmed the DVNLP by collaborating mafiotically as a lawyer of the DVNLP with the lawyers of two <sup>990</sup>individual DVNLP members and providing them with confidential information and documents to fight two other DVNLP members in court (see 1.2.2.2.1. *Misuse of "lawyers of the association"*).

The self-destructive quality, this autoimmune problem of<sup>991</sup> the DVNLP, is also evident in the other questionable activities of the association's lawyer Harms

---

<sup>988</sup> See under 18.06.2014 in *"Causa DVNLP"- Correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014*

<sup>989</sup> See the entry of 12.06.2014 in the *"Dossier Täter-Opfer-Opfer-Repatriation"* and *Harms to StA regarding compensation (28.01.2015)*.

<sup>990</sup> See *DVNLP association lawyer collaborates KF Dr. G. (23.09.2014)*.

<sup>991</sup> In my article *"My beautiful delinquent German Verband! DVNLP completes perpetrator-victim-reversion"* I already used the analogy of an autoimmune disease and suggested that a DVNLP investigative committee should ask questions like: How could it happen that the DVNLP

mentioned above, as well as in the trademark lawsuit brought against me by Dr. G. on behalf of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors as "Kaputt-Klage" (see 1.2.2.2.3. *Abuse through Kaputtklagen*).

The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors also wasted the court costs and lawyer's fees for the two lawsuits against my publications, which were conducted by the law firm of Dr. G. and lost for the DVNLP, as<sup>992</sup>well as the lawyer's fees for the absurd attempt of a conciliation hearing DVNLP./Stahl in front of the ÖRA<sup>993</sup> in Hamburg, which obviously was not, as it should appear, about a cooperation of the DVNLP on a joint DVNLP-Stahl declaration, but rather about preventing such a declaration - and probably about creating better conditions for another lawsuit of the DVNLP against me.

#### 1.2.2.9.2 Wasted resources for three days five security guards

Not only the decision of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors to engage the association lawyer Harms for the implementation of the association exclusion procedure, which was elaborately designed with formalistic and shyster lawyer tricks, constituted a gross misuse of the DVNLP's funds, but also the implementation of the association exclusion procedure, which was initiated by the fellow students Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and RA Harms legally elaborated exclusion of the complainant and myself as voting members from the 2014 general meeting in violation of the articles of association: a security firm was then commissioned for this illegal exclusion, which provided four muscle-bound employees and one employee who was also not necessarily squeamish. With their help, the communication ban imposed on us by the board of directors was enforced, this "communication quarantine" imposed on the complainant and myself to protect the "NLP professionals" in the association, this communication death in the DVNLP which extinguishes human rights.

The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors has wasted association funds with this assignment, which is contrary to the statutes and morality, but above all with his crazy decision to engage the five security guards for two more days.<sup>994</sup>

---

attacks, disintegrates and eliminates members as parts of itself? And now it becomes clear that DVNLP RA Harms and RA Dr. G. paid for the continuation and intensification of the self-destruction process of DVNLP which was started by Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and its board of directors. Irreversible - if the DVNLP continues to refuse any clarification

<sup>992</sup> See the *judgement of the interim court (09.03.2017)* and the *"Nazi analogies" court judgement (09.03.2017)*.

<sup>993</sup> See *DVNLP Content Tactics Joint Declaration (in 2016)*.

<sup>994</sup> A decision, of which the managing director Berend Hendriks (not the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, who probably shirked this responsibility before assuming it) *declares in lieu of an oath* before the Berlin District Court that it goes back to the security services themselves. This was probably intended to convey to the court how highly dangerous the complainant and I had allegedly been, since even the professional security guards experienced in these matters had

In addition to the above-mentioned<sup>995</sup>lie, which was official<sup>996</sup> and spread in the forums, the complainant had injured the security guards: The film footage of the events on the stairs taken by one of the security service employees using an iPad was *not* shown by the security company in the court proceedings<sup>997</sup> against the complainant. With good reason: All you would have seen on them is that four muscle-bound men-in-black push us down the stairs, we stumble - and the complainant kicks at one of them who is just about to give me the next push from behind.

#### *1.2.2.10. The board abuses the reputation of the NLP*

I have already described above (see *1.2.1.4.9. Helpers of collegial underhandedness*) how Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas already knew in 2012 that I was discredited by one of the main protagonists of the perpetrator system of the complainant, her pimping ex-husband SF, in the police and also in the Family Court as a kind of monster manipulating and hypnotizing the complainant with NLP. Here Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, as leaders of the association, had done nothing to prevent the NLP method from being discredited by SF, together with myself as the longest-serving NLPer. Martina Schmidt-Tanger, who knew SF personally, had been informed by me and the complainant about SF's actions. Thus, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas also knew very well who SF was and in what way he had worked with the complainant's first pimp husband to persuade the family court to give them custody of the complainant's children. (Dr. jur. Jens Tomas had then deliberately lied and deceived DVNLP members regarding SF, as explained under *1.2.1.4.4 Lord of Lies*).

In January 2019, after a second, more thorough inspection of the files, I found two notes from the public prosecutor's office dated 18.02. and 25.06.2013<sup>998</sup>, which again refer to this omission: Both notices were certainly known to the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Directors since the inspection of the files by the Association Attorney Harms in April/May 2014 and also via the dark channel of the DVNLP Assistant Attorney Dr. G to the Hamburg Public Prosecutor's Office.<sup>999</sup> And both

---

advised on their own initiative to stay on site for two more days - as a presumably "appropriate" reaction to the *"aggressiveness of the two"* (cf. *Statement of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas (09.12.2014)*).

<sup>995</sup> See *ModInfo TS excluded (04.11.2014)* and *Facebook lie Padberg (01.11.2014)*.

<sup>996</sup> See *MV-Web-Info in the member area (06.11.2014)*.

<sup>997</sup> See *AG Bochum Security Service (15.07.2015)* and *StA*

<sup>998</sup> See here especially *StA Dr. E. NLP absurd (25.06.2013)*. In a second note (see *note StA Dr. E. (18.02.2013)*), Dr. E wrote *"Thies Stahl probably believed the statements of his partner"*. The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors must have been aware of both notes and must have had good reasons to keep their contents to himself.

<sup>999</sup> The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Board of Management did not inform us about their occurrence and results. He had been aware of these notes at the latest since September or December 2014,

notes show that in this case, too, the DVNLP has done nothing to protect the NLP method it advocates.

The "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors has probably imposed this restraint on itself because in the note of 25.06.2013, together with the NLP, which appears <sup>1000</sup>"absurd" to this StA, we, the DVNLP members to be excluded as "association pests", were also devalued. Probably in order to make it easier for the complainant and myself at the public prosecutor's office, as dubious adherents of "aberrant NLP", to be pathologized and criminalized by the perpetrators inside and outside the DVNLP who collaborate with Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors of the DVNLP accepted inactively that the NLP method represented by the DVNLP is now devalued not only in Hamburg youth welfare and youth welfare office circles and in a Hamburg family court, but then also in wider circles of the Hamburg judiciary and the public prosecutor's office.

The fact that the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors did nothing in connection with the "DVNLP case" to protect the reputation of the NLP must be described as the actual "association-damaging" behaviour in this crisis of the DVNLP. Probably in order not to endanger the sales of the three "NLP-professionals" among the "DVNLP cause" perpetrators, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his board of directors have endangered the reputation of NLP as a whole, i.e. abused the NLP method for their own "NLP-professional" advantage.

#### *1.2.2.11. The "Anja Mýrdal" board continues the culture of abuse in the DVNLP*

The "Anja Mýrdal" Management Board has neither clarified nor dealt with the misconduct and abuses for which the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" Management Board is responsible.

<sup>1001</sup>I officially informed Anja Mýrdal and her board of directors that they were probably lied to by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger. The "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors has neglected its duty of care, since it has not followed up this information by even a single inquiry with me or the complainant.

The board of directors of "Anja Mýrdal" had all relevant information concerning the complaints and requests of the complainant and myself that were suppressed in the association<sup>1002</sup>. Together with the approximately 1,700 other DVNLP members, he

---

since the lawyer Dr. G. became "unofficially official" active for the DVNLP (see *StA informs DVNLP lawyer Dr. G. (16.12.2014)* and *DVNLP association lawyer collaborates KF Dr. G. (23.09.2014)*).

<sup>1000</sup> See *StA Dr. E. NLP absurd (25.06.2013)*

<sup>1001</sup> See my letter of 29.10.2017 to the DVNLP board of directors in *non-members to arbitration commission (Dec. 2014-2017)*.

<sup>1002</sup> See *Complainant's motion to the Arbitration Commission, Stahl's motion to the Arbitration Commission, Complainant's MV motion (23.09.2014)*, *Stahl's 1st MV motion*, *Stahl's 1st suppressed motion (Stahl) for the DVNLP General Assembly 2014*, *Stahl's 2nd MV motion*, *Stahl's*

was informed by several e-mails<sup>1003</sup> about the misconduct and derailments in their association and also knew the relevant court decisions<sup>1004</sup>. Anja Mýrdal and her colleagues were also certainly aware of the machinations of the DVNLP ghost "Halligoland": At least one member of its executive committee, Thomas Pech, who was left over from the offender's executive committee, or also the office, will have known who<sup>1005</sup> has updated the official Wikipedia page of the DVNLP (after my public accusation of the DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks of Hallig Oland to be the Wikipedia user "Halligoland") then actually again as Wikipedia user "Halligoland" with *"Ilka Voigt replaced in the executive committee Thomas Binaisz"*. This means: Even the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors did not find it necessary to distance itself from the dubious DVNLP employee "Halligoland".

Anja Mýrdal and her colleagues were therefore aware of the breaches of the articles of association and other misconduct of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors and either adopted the "(alternative) facts" and "just good reasons" for these decisions as true and correct in their own perception of reality, or they simply hoped that they had not been lied to by their predecessors. At the very least, it can be assumed that Anja Mýrdal and her board of directors have not sufficiently dealt with the initial complaints filed by the complainant and myself against the "causa DVNLP" perpetrators XY, Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, nor with those filed by the complainant against the "causa DVNLP" perpetrators Stephan Landsiedel, SM, RP, OA, Anita von Hertel, Henrik Andresen and Petra P.

It is to be assumed that the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors neglected its duty of care and, for example, did not really take notice of the court decisions threatening the lying of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors: There does not appear to have been a new assessment of the "DVNLP cause" conflict situation at the time of assuming office, and thus no revision of decisions taken by the Board of Directors. This can be concluded, among other things, from the fact that neither Anja Mýrdal, nor any other member of her board of directors has contacted the complainant or me - neither in general, nor with regard to my explicit and official requests to the DVNLP board of directors of 31 January 2015 and 12 January 2016 for the arbitration commission to deal<sup>1006</sup> with the damage caused to me by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Martina Schmidt-Tanger. These requests to refer the matter to the Arbitration

---

*MV motions #3 to #5, MV motions of six other association members, Stahl's motion to the Arbitration Commission (12.01.2016) and Stahl's Arbitration Commission positions paradox.*

<sup>1003</sup> See *My mails to DVNLP members*. See especially in the last mail also the links to *Legal Facts of the "Causa DVNLP"* (was before *"Causa DVNLP" - Legal Status quo*) and *"For which crimes is the DVNLP in the pillory?"*

<sup>1004</sup> So the *judgement - interim injunction MV* and the *"Nazi analogies" court judgement*.

<sup>1005</sup> See *Halligoland on 05.11.2014 on wiki page*.

<sup>1006</sup> See *request DVNLP Arbitration Committee (31.01.2015)* and *Stahl to Arbitration Committee (12.01.2016)*.



Commission, if they were part of the transfer of office at all, were completely ignored by the "Anja Mýrdal" board as the legal successor to the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board.

Against this background, and also after reading my publications and the present court judgments, the members of the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors would have had every reason to investigate whether they had been sufficiently informed by their predecessors about their wrong decisions. Anja Mýrdal and her colleagues certainly had a suspicion that some things had been concealed from them: in connection with their wise decision to withdraw<sup>1007</sup> the DVNLP's trademark lawsuit against me initiated by Dr. jur. Jens Tomas.

Presumably Anja Mýrdal had recognized that this DVNLP lawsuit, as a clearly recognizable form of a crazy kaputt lawsuit, was based on dark personal motives of Dr. jur. Jens Tomas. Against the background of their knowledge that this board of directors manipulated, deceived and lied to the general meeting, they would have had sufficient reason to doubt the consistent truthfulness of the reporting of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors on the occasion of the handover of the board offices. It is possible that Anja Mýrdal and her board of directors did not read<sup>1008</sup> the documentation I wrote for the conciliation commission or, if at all, like perhaps my publications, they only skimmed over it and did not really take note of it.

The fact, however, that Anja Mýrdal and her colleagues not only did not prevent the expansion of their board of directors by Leo Buchholz and Ralf Dannemeyer, but obviously even approved of it, leads to the assumption that they have fallen for the lies of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors. For these were, after all, largely created or not prevented by Leo Buchholz, as the complainant's intensive conflict partner and silent accomplice in the manipulation and deception of the general meeting, and by Ralf Dannemeyer, at that time court rapporteur of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors, which spread lies.

Or, which is after all conceivable: Anja Mýrdal and her colleagues knew the lies of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board of directors very well and decided to support them as his legal successors. This would mean, however, that the new "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors, as a fully informed confidant of the deception of the general meeting, would be jointly responsible for the misconduct and criminal machinations of the "Causa DVNLP" - on the basis of either a clear and conscious decision or a rather diffuse, from the misconduct of the "Dr. jur. Jens Tomas" board member "Not wanting to know", a kind of blind spot for the injustice of the past, which the new

---

<sup>1007</sup> See above under 1.2.1.4.11. *Kaputt-Klaeger* and the links *DVNLP-Klage Markenrecht* (26.08.2016) and *Erklg. Junfermann trademark lawsuit* (August 29, 2016).

<sup>1008</sup> See *steel arbitration commission positions paradox*. They should have read as well: *Application by complainant to conciliation committee, application by Stahl to conciliation committee* and *by Stahl to conciliation committee* (12.01.2016).

board members have perhaps accepted as the price for a desired and perhaps also so experienced atmosphere of departure in the association.<sup>1009</sup>

In whatever relationship Anja Mýrdal and her colleagues were aware that they were being lied to, or they simply tried to hide or suppress this possibility, i.e. "didn't want to know about it", in each of these cases they are fully co-responsible for the crimes of the "DVNLP cause".

The wait-and-see policy pursued by the "Anja Mýrdal" board of directors is risky: The fact that the complainant is not rehabilitated and that the ethical guidelines are not revised (especially in the sense of a "§174c self-obligation"<sup>1010</sup> of DVNLP members) has the effect of an "unofficial association-official" permission for DVNLP coaches or trainers to be *very* generous in their discretionary scope for what the legislation in the case of licensed psychotherapists and alternative practitioners clearly describes as abuse and criminal offences according to §174c. The DVNLP does not de jure, but obviously de facto grant "permission to abuse" to clients and training participants - at least in the perception of the association members, since violations of the abstinence rule in the DVNLP are not only not punished, but also abusers, such as XY, in the DVNLP of the special protection of the board members culpably involved with him, such as Leo Buchholz, and board members who support him to this day with a reputation, such as Ralf Dannemeyer, can be pleased - by the Mafia honorary members and honorary prize winners, such as Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Cora Besser-Siegmund, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and Stephan Landsiedel, not to mention their association.

Nobody in the DVNLP seems to be upset about the fact that it has possibly become common practice in this association to leave the perpetrator in office and dignity in the case of proven abuse and to impose the maximum penalty of a crushing exclusion on the abused person by means of perpetrator-victim-reversion. This probably means that it can be assumed from the policy and from the lived practice in the DVNLP that an internal processing of cases of abuse within the association is neither intended nor desired. The DVNLP seems to have established as an implicit

---

<sup>1009</sup> It can probably be assumed that there were three types of prayers that Anja Mýrdal and her colleagues have said in the last two years since she took office. First they will have prayed, *"Please do not let Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas lie to us"*, then, *"Please do not let it come out that we knew all along that Martina Schmidt-Tanger, Dr. jur. Jens Tomas and his board lied to the members of the association"*, and finally, *"Please do not let it come out that we knew about the lies of the old association leadership and allowed or even wanted Leo Buchholz and Ralf Dannemeyer to be part of the board today, although we knew that Leo Buchholz is to be regarded as a personal and Ralf Dannemeyer as a journalistic accomplice of the DVNLP misconduct."*

<sup>1010</sup> See *thoughts on abuse of power and ethics in the DVNLP (12.06.2014)*, proposals in *1st Suppressed Motion (steel) for the DVNLP General Assembly 2014*, and also in the *"DVNLP Causa" correspondence 05.06.2013 to 05.05.2014* and *"DVNLP Causa" correspondence 08.05.2014 to 31.10.2014* .

rule: "Sexual and power abuses are acceptable components of an NLP that is egocentric-hedonistically lived in the DVNLP".

Most DVNLP members presumably start from the misconception cultivated in their association that there is nothing to learn for NLP practitioners from the reprocessing of abuses and abusive professional relationships, at least nothing that is important for the NLP user methodically, theoretically, ethically or even for his own personal and mental development.

And they are not even, as they have been shown, needing to learn to conceal even better the abuses of power that have taken place, since they have their "perpetrator-lobby association" DVNLP, which in the event of an "accident" in this respect immediately sends its mafia lawyers and some "cleaners" in the form of denunciatory character witnesses to dispose of the abused person, the traces of the abuse and any supporters of the abused.<sup>1011</sup>

Within the framework of the existing, somewhat naive-blue-eyed DVNLP ethics guidelines, sexual abuse within power-asymmetrical relationship contexts is nothing else than "trivial offences" generously overlooked in the execution of stupid-bourgeois double standards - at least as long as there is an abusive DVNLP teacher trainer, -A coach or psychotherapist as a gentleman or gentlewoman avoids leaving behind film recordings of violent threat scenarios, hypnotic or drug-supported "NLP or wing-wave interventions"<sup>1012</sup> - or other evidence that can be used in court for a "non-consensual" (see 1.2.1.1. *abuse by XY*), but violent abusive relationship events

The German Psychomethods Association DVNLP cannot avoid a thorough review of the "Causa DVNLP".

## 2. contexts of the "Causa DVNLP"

This final report dealt with a presentation of the "DVNLP case" as a sequence of breaches of statutes and law, as well as partly criminal misconduct of individual DVNLP members and association officials. And it was about wrong decisions of the misled and lied DVNLP organs "General Assembly", "Board of Directors", "Board of Trustees", "Training and Further Training Commission" and "Arbitration Commission".

---

<sup>1011</sup> The newly added paragraph 5 in § 11, "*All membership rights are suspended by decision of the executive board or the board of trustees*", will make it easier for future DVNLP management to do the dirty work of disposing of abused persons and their advocates. Criminal efforts to keep them out of the general meeting as voting members, as was still necessary in the case of the complainant and myself, will probably no longer be necessary in future.

<sup>1012</sup> In recent years, the complainant has written a great deal (9.5 million letters without spaces) about experiences of violence in her life. Of the well over 5,000 events and episodes from her second to thirty-eighth years, there are an alarmingly large number in which, in addition to abusive teachers, pastors and professors, there are also sexually and power-abusing NLP and Wingwave instructors - with absurd combinations of psycho techniques and naked violence.

In order that the "Causa DVNLP" can be meaningfully processed by myself and other colleagues interested in NLP and using it, and can be used as a basis for the further development of the good qualities of this method, I will deal with the backgrounds and connections in another book, without whose inclusion this crisis of (in DVNLP) organized NLP cannot be understood. It will be about the "*Contexts of the 'Cause DVNLP'*".

These contexts are the background influences and interrelationships, whose inclusion is, in my view, essential for a deeper understanding of the "Causa DVNLP". In addition to influences that play into the "Causa DVNLP" from my personal life background and from my collaboration with my former "NLP-professional" Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Dr. jur. Jens Tomas, it will be about the larger NLP-historical contexts and NLP-specific taboos that are relevant for this crisis of German organized NLP. These result both from the relationship between the methods NLP and constellation work and their specific history, and from an overlapping of the conflicts, taboos and traumas affecting the psycho-methods NLP and constellation work with conflicts, taboos and traumas that have to do with the specific German history. In the misdeeds and atrocities of the "Causa DVNLP" very personal traumas resulting from the life history of the perpetrators, NLP-specific traumas and those resulting from German history, have unpleasantly overlapped and led to violent trauma re-stages.

Only with the inclusion of these overlapping aspects and levels can there be a meaningful learning from monstrosities, which could probably only happen in the German organized NLP in the course of the "Causa DVNLP". It will also be necessary to describe them in order for the DVNLP to find the strength to distance itself from the Mafia, Stasi and Nazi methods accepted by its members and to acknowledge its obligation to compensate the complainant who has been officially harmed by the association.