

# Caution!

Machine generated translation!

## Terrific lie. The "Final Declaration" of the DVNLP

by Thies Stahl, published as blog post "Story time - DVNLP conceals the truth from its members" on 11.11.2015, as PDF on 06.02.2017, update 17.01.2020<sup>1</sup>

The "Final Declaration on the Exclusion of Thies Stahl", which the DVNLP Executive Board has been presenting to the association's public in the member area of dvnlp.de since 22.09.2015, contains false assertions, untruths and genuine lies. All in all it can be called a grandiose *lie by omission*, because it conceals both the persons centrally involved in the conflicts of the "Causa DVNLP" and the essential contents for the development of the method NLP<sup>2</sup>.

Above all, this "declaration" conceals the fact that I, as a DVNLP founding board member and honorary member initiating this association, have stood up for the preservation of the membership rights of an association member who has been excluded by the board of directors using criminal methods<sup>3</sup> in circumvention of the articles of association and who has therefore been unlawfully<sup>4</sup> excluded, *together* with this member, from the 2014 general meeting manipulated and deceived by the board of directors. Furthermore, this statement conceals the fact that the DVNLP - if I had not resigned but had sued for my membership rights - would have had to

---

<sup>1</sup> 18.09.2017: Insertion of the quotes from the court decisions, comment on the changed sentence in the statement; 04.10.2017: error corrections; 12.04.2018 and 17.08.2018: update links; 23.02.2019: corrections, 30.07.2019: links corrected, 17.01.2020: link "Legal facts" new. - On ThiesStahl.de this text and all documents linked to in this text can be found on the website <https://thiesstahl.com/texte-und-materialien-zum-dvnlp/>.

<sup>2</sup> See: "The Perverse Triangle as a Recursive Pattern in DVNLP", "Violence, Abuse, Double Morals and the Return of the Repressed in DVNLP", "DVNLP Abandoned by All Good Spirits? predetermined breaking point fascistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control", "The NLP and the madmen. The DVNLP corrupts its method", "'My beautiful delinquent German Verband!' DVNLP completes perpetrator-victim-reversion", 'Perpetrator Association DVNLP - silence, denial and repression' and 'DVNLP + GNLC hide suspected sex offender', as well as my publications *Legal facts of the 'Causa DVNLP'*, 'For what crimes is the DVNLP in the pillory?', 'DVNLP relies on lying managers', 'DVNLP lies. Chronic' and the "dossier perpetrator-victim-reversion".

<sup>3</sup> In its "groundbreaking verdict on Nazi analogies", the Hamburg Regional Court assessed in my article "DVNLP deserted by all good spirits? For their place in the circle of the perpetrators, who were also psychologically extremely violent towards the complainant, the DVNLP chairman Jens Tomas, and the member of the training and further education commission Martina Schmidt-Tanger, have generously used the DVNLP offices they have been awarded" as permissible expression of *opinion*: I would have put them both in the 'Circle of Perpetrators'. .

<sup>4</sup> "The association has indisputably acted contrary to its own statutes with regard to the exclusion of [Thies Stahls and the complainant] and disregarded essential procedural principles. (from the judgment, footnote #3.)

repeat the membership meeting that was invalid<sup>5</sup> due to the illegal exclusion of two voting members - with all the negative consequences for the DVNLP and especially for the reputation of the NLP in Germany.

For the points of the "Final Declaration on the exclusion of Thies Stahl", here as quotations in italics and in the middle, in detail:

*Mr. Thies Stahl was excluded from the DVNLP.*

That's not correct. It is correct that an exclusion procedure was initiated and the corresponding resolution of the board was ratified by the 2014 general meeting, but after it had been manipulated and deceived<sup>6</sup> by the board.

*Mr. Stahl challenged the exclusion (under Article 11.4 of the Statutes) by petitioning the Conciliation Commission. On 11.04.2015 Thies Stahl declared his immediate resignation from the association. On 16.04.2015, with the resignation of Mr. Stahl, the Arbitration Commission declared his application to be settled and the previously decided exclusion valid.*

This is grossly misrepresented. It is true that the Arbitration Commission, while its members were still getting an idea of the complex conflict situation, had to acknowledge that I had left the Association and that my request for referral had therefore become obsolete. After a first personal meeting with me, this commission was still busy studying an extensive documentation of my correspondence with the board of directors and all other documents that substantiate my statements. We had arranged another meeting to deal with any open questions that might still remain after their reading the documentation that I had submitted and that substantiated the wrong decisions of the Board. Either the board of directors is lying here, as it had already done in the 2014 general meeting<sup>7</sup>, and the arbitration committee had not

---

<sup>5</sup> In its *decision on costs of 30.06.2015*, the Berlin Regional Court assumes that the resolutions of the 2014 general meeting are to be regarded as invalid due to the exclusion of two members from this general meeting in violation of the statutes.

<sup>6</sup> The dramaturgy of this manipulation and deception was directed in a more than two-hour, beamer-supported production to conceal from the members present the core conflicts of the "Causa DVNLP", which arose around the unethical activities of the former course attendant in my master, XY, who was charged with rape and pimping. In its *"Nazi Analogies" judgment*, the Hamburg Regional Court states that at this general meeting "... although the ... conflict was addressed in connection with the allegations of ... [the complainant], at least one name - ... [XY] - was not mentioned at all, although it played a decisive role in that conflict. The suppression of this information alone would already bear the attacked accusation [that the general meeting was deceived and manipulated]. .

<sup>7</sup> In its *"Judgment on Nazi Analogies"* of 24 February 2017, the Hamburg Regional Court, in connection with the 2014 general meeting, corrected one of the lies of the executive board in this general meeting, namely "...it was alleged that the criminal accusations of the excluded woman ... [the complainant] have proven to be groundless" with *"The criminal investigations against the [XY] accused by Mrs... [the complainant] have not been completed.* (See footnote #3.)

yet made a decision at the time of my withdrawal from the association, as it had communicated it to me, or the board of directors is covering up a deception maneuver of the arbitration committee<sup>8</sup>, which in the case would consist in not having kept to the agreement with me, in going through my documentation and then discussing any open questions with me in a second meeting. Or, the arbitration committee withheld the information from the board of directors that they wanted to meet with me after reviewing my documentation. So at least one of the DVNLP committees "Board" and "Arbitration Commission" is lying.

*Legal proceedings brought by Mr. Stahl against the DVNLP (for failure to comment on the exclusion) were concluded with a settlement.*

That's right, but the Board of Directors forgot to mention that the settlement of 6 January 2015 mentioned above includes that the DVNLP, in accordance with the interim injunction of 11 November 2014, may not claim that the expulsion of the members, the complainant and Thies Stahl, would have been carried out in accordance with the statutes, at least not until the arbitration commission had decided that the expulsion procedure was to be confirmed as appropriate. And as I have just explained, the arbitration commission has *not* yet decided this. She explained that due to the changed situation caused by my resignation, she did not need to remain active because there was nothing left to decide. With my resignation from the association, the members of the Arbitration Commission in respect of the DVNLP/Stahl conflict were no longer in office. They then were only simple members of the association, who, like all other DVNLP members, had been deceived by their board members. And as such, they are of course free to join the questionable opinion of their manipulating and deceptive association leaders, according to which not the committee of inquiry demanded by me, the complainant and six other members, would have been the right step on the part of the association, but instead the dirty and communication-poor "final solution"<sup>9</sup> of the DVNLP conflicts by "eliminating" the complainant and the founding board.

*The background to the exclusion was violations of the statutes and damaging behavior by Mr. Stahl. Mr. Stahl accused a large number of members and officers of the association as well as uninvolved third parties, in some cases with serious accusations. None of these allegations have been convicted by a court of law, and all allegations made by Mr.*

---

<sup>8</sup> Two members of the three-member arbitration commission had previously voted for our expulsion in the manipulated and deceived MA. They did not want to talk to the complainant at all, i.e. she was excluded by the Arbitration Committee as consistently as she had been excluded by the Board of Directors before.

<sup>9</sup> All in my article in my article "DVNLP left by all good spirits? Sollbruchstelle faschistoid-totalitarian slips and loss of self-control" used Nazi analogies has the Hamburg Regional Court in its "groundbreaking ruling " as a legitimate expression of opinion.

*Stahl have been dismissed by a court of law or have been discontinued by the public prosecutor.*

That is incorrect. It is true that

- I have accused ONE member in the course of a complaint in the association of grave ethical misconduct and have also reported abuse in a power asymmetrical relationship,
- accusations made by me against third parties who, as non-DVNLP members, have nothing to do with association matters or the NLP, who are no concern of the board, which had completely lost its neutrality at an early stage - even if they were suspected accomplices of a reported association member,
- I have accused the association officials Martina Schmidt-Tanger and Jens Tomas within the association in the context of the mailing list of the board of directors of not having exercised and abused their offices in accordance with the statutes,
- the public prosecutor did not follow up my complaint against the DVNLP managing director Berend Hendriks, the expelled lover of the "Hallig Oland" (a very small island in the North Sea). I had asked the board of directors to distance themselves from the machinations of the Wikipedia user "halligoland" and to declare clearly that his managing director Berend Hendriks is not identical with the user "halligoland", who had demonstrably committed reputation-damaging vandalism on my Wikipedia page "Thies Stahl" and the Wikipedia page "DVNLP".<sup>10</sup>

In its statement, the DVNLP board conceals the fact that its chairman Dr. jur. Jens Tomas has conceded in writing: The Board *"did not differentiate sufficiently"* between the complaint of my former seminar participant, the complainant, and my complaint referring to the same addressee, XY. In the course of this mixing, the board of directors "dropped my complaint", just like the complaint of my participant, the complainant, which was suppressed by the board.

*Some members of the association as well as third parties have in turn brought an action or filed criminal charges against Mr. Stahl. In some cases, court decisions on the procedures are still pending.*

This was a genuine lie: Only the ONE member, XY, to whom, in addition to the suppressed complaint of the complainant, my own, quite differently situated but also suppressed complaint was also directed, sued me for injunction: I would have "made my own" the accusations of my former seminar participant.<sup>11</sup>

---

<sup>10</sup> See: *Are you "halligoland", Mr DVNLP managing director Berend Henriks?*

<sup>11</sup> See *legal facts of the "DVNLP case", "For what crimes is the DVNLP pilloried", "DVNLP relies on lying managers" and "DVNLP lies. Chronic"*.

Another lie was the statement,

*...in further cases, Mr. Stahl was prohibited by court order from making various statements on accusations against members of the association by means of a declaration of discontinuance with penalty clause.*

Nothing at all was forbidden to me by the court! The DVNLP corrected this false statement after a warning from my lawyer in mid-2017 by this replacement:

*...in some cases, Mr. Stahl has undertaken, by way of a declaration of discontinuance which is punishable by law, to cease making the respective statements.*

That's right: Some of the participants of my master course at that time, who were involved in the conflict and whom I had written to, together with all the participants of this course and without mentioning any names, asking for information about what was happening in the group, obviously feared to be named by me as accomplices. **In the interest of a de-escalation** of the conflict situation in the association (two of these persons belong to the DVNLP members with whom the executive committee formed a dirty coalition in so-called perverse triangles<sup>12</sup>), **I agreed to** declare to them by my signature, *"... to refrain from making any claims against third parties, either verbatim or in spirit, that Mr. / Mrs. .. [the respective Master participant] had taken advantage of Mrs. ... [the complainant] as a client for whose jobs, had raped her, had subjected her children and/or her employees to sexual or other violence and that Mr. / Mrs. ... [the respective Master participant] had failed to help Mrs. ... [the complainant] in situations in which she was subjected to sexual or other violence."* I hadn't done that anyway (**there was no "first example"**) and I hadn't intended to!

*Some members injured by Mr. Stahl have asked the board to expel Mr. Stahl. In order to protect the association from further damage and to protect the affected members and committee members, the board of trustees has decided to take this step.*

Those members who claim to have suffered damage as a result of my actions should have been given the opportunity by the DVNLP board to present their complaints to the association's arbitration committee, from which they would then have had to be heard. However, the board has systematically prevented the arbitration commission from dealing with the members involved in the conflict. The board members even refused to inform me about which members had requested my exclusion, and that of the complainant, and on what grounds. It is not these members who have been harmed by the failure of the board of - in violation of the Statutes - blocking the arbitration commission do deal with the their and our complaints and their prevention of mediation, but the complainant and also me.

---

<sup>12</sup> See "The Perverse Triangle as a Recursive Pattern in DVNLP".

*In the future, the Executive Board will continue to use legal means to take action against criminal acts and statements made by Mr. Stahl, insofar as members and officers of the Association are affected.*

For my part, I reserve the right to make the DVNLP liable to recourse<sup>13</sup> for the enormous damage caused to me.

---

<sup>13</sup> *"For the 'Final Declaration on the Expulsion of Thies Stahl' does not reflect the view of the Berlin Regional Court that the expulsion was unlawful. Furthermore, it is not mentioned that the defendant [Thies Stahl] was granted membership rights until his voluntary resignation and that the decision in the general meeting was taken illegally and contrary to the statutes. (From the "Nazi Analogies" court decision.) - "For even the exclusion of the defendant, who subsequently was not able to speak at that general meeting, could be taken up by the defendant to the effect that the information of the general meeting was incomplete and that the executive committee - by the illegal exclusion - had deceived and manipulated the general meeting. (From the "Judgment on manipulation and deception of the 2014 General Assembly").*